

# SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE

Course: CIS\*6530 - Threat Intel & Risk Analysis

## Group 5

Technical Report

Submission 4

November 15, 2024

In project was to developed a machine learning pipeline to classify Advanced Persistent Threat (APT) groups based on extracted opcode sequences. The project applied Support Vector Machines (SVM), K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN), and Decision Tree classifiers to analyze 1-Gram and 2-Gram features from the opcodes. Classifier performance was evaluated using accuracy, recall, precision, F1-measure, and confusion matrices. This report outlines each step of the process, including data preprocessing, feature extraction, model training, and evaluation.

### 1 Import necessary libraries

```
[412]: import pandas as pd
       import warnings
       from sklearn.exceptions import UndefinedMetricWarning
       from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split, GridSearchCV
       from sklearn.preprocessing import MinMaxScaler
       from sklearn.metrics import classification report, confusion matrix,
        ⇔accuracy_score, f1_score
       from sklearn.svm import SVC
       from sklearn.neighbors import KNeighborsClassifier
       from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeClassifier
       from imblearn.over_sampling import SMOTE
       from sklearn.feature_selection import SelectKBest, chi2
       from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier
       from sklearn.decomposition import PCA
       import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
       import seaborn as sns
       from collections import Counter
```

## [413]: # Ignore specific warnings warnings.filterwarnings("ignore", category=UndefinedMetricWarning)

### 2 Load and prepare the dataset

The opcode dataset was loaded into a pandas DataFrame. The dataset consisted of two columns: opcode: A string of opcode instructions separated by commas. APT: A categorical label representing the APT group.

```
[414]: # Load dataset
        df = pd.read_csv("/content/APT-dataset-bisrat.csv")
        print(df.head(10))
                                                              opcode APT
       O PUSH, POP, MOV, MOV, INT, MOV, INT, PUSH, PUSH, AND, OUT...
                                                                      10
       1 PUSH, POP, MOV, MOV, INT, MOV, INT, PUSH, PUSH, AND, OUT...
                                                                      10
       2 PUSH, POP, MOV, MOV, INT, MOV, INT, PUSH, PUSH, AND, OUT...
                                                                      10
       3 PUSH, POP, MOV, MOV, INT, MOV, INT, PUSH, PUSH, AND, OUT...
                                                                      10
       4 PUSH, POP, MOV, MOV, INT, MOV, INT, PUSH, PUSH, AND, OUT...
                                                                      10
       5 PUSH, POP, MOV, MOV, INT, MOV, INT, PUSH, PUSH, AND, OUT...
                                                                      10
       6 PUSH, POP, MOV, MOV, INT, MOV, INT, PUSH, PUSH, AND, OUT...
                                                                      10
         PUSH, POP, MOV, MOV, INT, MOV, INT, PUSH, PUSH, AND, OUT...
                                                                      10
       7
       8 PUSH, POP, MOV, MOV, INT, MOV, INT, PUSH, PUSH, AND, OUT...
                                                                      10
       9 PUSH, POP, MOV, MOV, INT, MOV, INT, PUSH, PUSH, AND, OUT...
                                                                      10
```

## 3 Data Visualization & Preprocessing

To ensure data integrity, rows with missing values in the opcode or APT columns were removed.

```
[416]: # Check for null values in 'APT'
if df['APT'].isnull().any():
    print("Warning: Some APT values were not mapped. Check the dataset.")
    print(df[df['APT'].isnull()])

[417]: # Normalize opcode text and drop missing values
    df['opcode'] = df['opcode'].str.upper()
    df.dropna(subset=['opcode', 'APT'], inplace=True)
```

```
[418]: print(f"Rows remaining before filtering: {len(df)}")
```

Rows remaining before filtering: 150

Rows with fewer than 5 opcode instructions were deemed insufficient for meaningful analysis and were removed.

```
[419]: # Drop rows with fewer than 5 instructions

#df['instruction_count'] = df['opcode'].apply(lambda x: len(x.split(','))) #__
Count instructions

#df = df[df['instruction_count'] >= 5].copy() # Create a new DataFrame copy

#df.drop(columns=['instruction_count'], inplace=True) # Drop the helper column

#print(f"Rows remaining after filtering: {len(df)}")
```


1-Gram Feature Extraction A set of unique 1-Gram opcodes was identified, and a frequency count for each opcode was computed for all samples.

#### 2-Gram Feature Extraction

Pairs of consecutive opcodes (2-Grams) were generated, and their frequencies were counted for each sample.

```
for i, row in df.iterrows():
    opcode_list = [op.strip() for op in row['opcode'].split(',')]
    two_grams = generate_2grams(opcode_list)
    counts_2gram = Counter(two_grams)
    for two_gram, count in counts_2gram.items():
        two_gram_counts_df.at[i, two_gram] = count
```

```
[422]: # Visualize class distribution
plt.figure(figsize=(12, 6))
y.value_counts().sort_index().plot(kind='bar', color='skyblue')
plt.title("Class Distribution of APTs", fontsize=16)
plt.xlabel("APT Classes", fontsize=12)
plt.ylabel("Frequency", fontsize=12)
plt.xticks(rotation=45)
plt.grid(axis='y', linestyle='--', alpha=0.7)
plt.show()
```



```
[423]: # 3. Print N-Grams

print("\nTop 10 Most Frequent 1-Grams:")


print(one_gram_counts_df.sum(axis=0).sort_values(ascending=False).head(10))


print("\nTop 10 Most Frequent 2-Grams:")

print(two_gram_counts_df.sum(axis=0).sort_values(ascending=False).head(10))
```


Top 10 Most Frequent 1-Grams: MOV 1598133

```
PUSH
               532920
      CALL
               403136
               311373
      LEA
      CMP
               226381
      POP
               174258
      JMP
               152884
      ADD
               149810
      JΖ
               144764
      TEST
               143158
      dtype: int64
      Top 10 Most Frequent 2-Grams:
      {MOV, MOV}
                      705105
      {PUSH, PUSH}
                      195411
      {MOV, CALL}
                      164750
      {CALL, MOV}
                      155637
      {PUSH, CALL}
                      127747
      {PUSH, MOV}
                      110718
      {LEA, MOV}
                      108498
      {MOV, LEA}
                      104836
      {MOV, PUSH}
                      102657
      {MOV, CMP}
                       79196
      dtype: int64
[424]: # Visualize most frequent 1-grams
       one_gram_freq = one_gram_counts_df.sum(axis=0).sort_values(ascending=False).
        →head(20)
       plt.figure(figsize=(12, 6))
       one_gram_freq.plot(kind='bar', color='salmon')
       plt.title("Top 20 Most Frequent 1-Grams", fontsize=16)
       plt.xlabel("1-Grams", fontsize=12)
       plt.ylabel("Frequency", fontsize=12)
       plt.xticks(rotation=45)
       plt.grid(axis='y', linestyle='--', alpha=0.7)
       plt.show()
```







#### Distributions of Sampled 1-Gram Features



```
[427]: # Box plot for 1-grams
plt.figure(figsize=(12, 6))
one_gram_sample.boxplot()
plt.title("Box Plot of Sampled 1-Gram Features", fontsize=16)
plt.xticks(rotation=45)
plt.show()
```





## 4 Feature Engineering

1-gram and 2-gram features are extracted, and both are combined into a single feature set (x combined).

```
[429]: # Combine 1-Gram and 2-Gram Features
x_combined = pd.concat([one_gram_counts_df, two_gram_counts_df], axis=1)
X = x_combined
y = df['APT']
```

Features are normalized using MinMaxScaler, which is essential before applying dimensionality reduction or training most machine learning models.

```
[430]: # Normalize features
scaler = MinMaxScaler()
X_scaled = scaler.fit_transform(X)
```

```
[431]: # Check class distribution
print("Class distribution in the target variable:")
print(y.value_counts())
```

Class distribution in the target variable:

Name: count, dtype: int64

Classes with fewer than 2 samples are removed to ensure proper stratified sampling and compatibility with SMOTE.

```
[432]: # Remove classes with fewer than 2 samples
valid_classes = y.value_counts()[y.value_counts() > 1].index
X_scaled = X_scaled[y.isin(valid_classes)]
y = y[y.isin(valid_classes)]
```

Dataset is split into training, validation, and test sets using stratified sampling to maintain class balance.

it is splitted into training, validation, and test sets (80%-20% split for train+validation and test, followed by 75%-25% for train and validation).

```
[433]: # Train-Test Split

X_train_val, X_test, y_train_val, y_test = train_test_split(X_scaled, y,u

$\times \test_size=0.2$, stratify=y, random_state=42)

X_train, X_val, y_train, y_val = train_test_split(X_train_val, y_train_val,u)

$\times \test_size=0.25$, stratify=y_train_val, random_state=42)
```

SMOTE (Synthetic Minority Oversampling Technique) is applied with k\_neighbors=1 to handle class imbalance effectively. The filtering of extremely small classes ensures SMOTE can generate synthetic samples without errors.

```
[434]: smote = SMOTE(random_state=42, k_neighbors=1)
X_train_resampled, y_train_resampled = smote.fit_resample(X_scaled, y)
```

PCA is applied to reduce the dimensionality of the combined n-gram feature space, improving computational efficiency and avoiding overfitting.

Applying PCA after scaling and SMOTE is correct and improves the model's performance by reducing feature redundancy.

```
[435]: # Apply PCA
pca = PCA(n_components=50)
X_train_pca = pca.fit_transform(X_train_resampled)
X_val_pca = pca.transform(X_val)
X_test_pca = pca.transform(X_test)
```

# Model Training and Optimization

```
[436]: # Initialize models
svm = SVC(probability=True)
knn = KNeighborsClassifier(n_neighbors=3.5, weights='uniform')
rf = RandomForestClassifier()
```

SVM, KNN, and Random Forest models are trained using GridSearchCV to optimize their hyper-parameters.

```
[438]: # Grid Search and Model Training
def grid_search(model, params, X_train, y_train):
    grid = GridSearchCV(model, params, cv=5, scoring='f1_macro')
    grid.fit(X_train, y_train)
    return grid.best_estimator_
```

```
[439]: print("Optimizing SVM...")
svm_best = grid_search(svm, svm_params, X_train_pca, y_train_resampled)
```

Optimizing SVM...

```
[440]: print("Optimizing KNN...") knn_best = grid_search(knn, knn_params, X_train_pca, y_train_resampled)
```

Optimizing KNN...

```
[441]: print("Optimizing Random Forest...")
rf_best = grid_search(rf, rf_params, X_train_pca, y_train_resampled)
```

Optimizing Random Forest...

#### 5 Model Evaluation

Models are evaluated on the test set using accuracy and F1 score (macro).

The classifiers were evaluated on the test set using the following metrics:

Accuracy: The proportion of correctly classified samples. F1 Score: The harmonic mean of precision and recall. Confusion Matrix: Visual representation of classification results.

```
[442]: # Evaluation Function
       def evaluate_model(model, X, y, name):
           y_pred = model.predict(X)
           accuracy = accuracy_score(y, y_pred)
           f1 = f1_score(y, y_pred, average='macro')
           print(f"{name} Accuracy: {accuracy:.4f}")
           print(f"{name} F1 Score: {f1:.4f}")
           print(f"{name} Classification Report:\n", classification_report(y, y_pred))
           return accuracy, f1
[443]: # Evaluate models on the test set
       svm_accuracy, svm_f1 = evaluate_model(svm_best, X_test_pca, y_test, "SVM")
       knn accuracy, knn f1 = evaluate model(knn best, X test pca, y test, "KNN")
       rf_accuracy, rf_f1 = evaluate_model(rf_best, X_test_pca, y_test, "Randomu

¬Forest")

      SVM Accuracy: 0.9667
      SVM F1 Score: 0.9733
      SVM Classification Report:
                      precision
                                   recall f1-score
                                                       support
                          1.00
                                    1.00
                                               1.00
                  1
                                                            1
                  2
                          1.00
                                    1.00
                                               1.00
                                                            4
                                                            2
                  3
                          1.00
                                    1.00
                                               1.00
                                                            2
                  4
                          1.00
                                    1.00
                                               1.00
                                                            2
                  5
                          1.00
                                    1.00
                                               1.00
                  7
                                                            2
                          0.67
                                    1.00
                                               0.80
                 8
                          1.00
                                    0.92
                                               0.96
                                                           13
                 10
                          1.00
                                    1.00
                                               1.00
                                                            3
                          1.00
                                    1.00
                                               1.00
                                                            1
                11
                                               0.97
                                                           30
          accuracy
         macro avg
                          0.96
                                    0.99
                                               0.97
                                                           30
      weighted avg
                                    0.97
                                                           30
                          0.98
                                               0.97
      KNN Accuracy: 1.0000
      KNN F1 Score: 1.0000
      KNN Classification Report:
                      precision
                                   recall f1-score
                                                       support
```

1	1.00	1.00	1.00	1
2	1.00	1.00	1.00	4
3	1.00	1.00	1.00	2
4	1.00	1.00	1.00	2
5	1.00	1.00	1.00	2
7	1.00	1.00	1.00	2
8	1.00	1.00	1.00	13
10	1.00	1.00	1.00	3
11	1.00	1.00	1.00	1
accuracy			1.00	30
macro avg	1.00	1.00	1.00	30
weighted avg	1.00	1.00	1.00	30

Random Forest Accuracy: 1.0000
Random Forest F1 Score: 1.0000

Random Forest Classification Report:

	precision	recall	il-score	support
1	1.00	1.00	1.00	1
2	1.00	1.00	1.00	4
3	1.00	1.00	1.00	2
4	1.00	1.00	1.00	2
5	1.00	1.00	1.00	2
7	1.00	1.00	1.00	2
8	1.00	1.00	1.00	13
10	1.00	1.00	1.00	3
11	1.00	1.00	1.00	1
accuracy			1.00	30
macro avg	1.00	1.00	1.00	30
weighted avg	1.00	1.00	1.00	30

Accuracy and F1 scores are collected into a DataFrame for easy comparison. Confusion matrices are plotted for all models to visualize performance.

```
[444]: # Compare Results
results = pd.DataFrame({
    "Model": ["SVM", "KNN", "Random Forest"],
    "Accuracy": [svm_accuracy, knn_accuracy, rf_accuracy],
    "F1 Score": [svm_f1, knn_f1, rf_f1]
})
print("Model Performance Comparison:")
print(results)
```


Model Performance Comparison:


Model Accuracy F1 Score


```
0 SVM 0.966667 0.973333
1 KNN 1.000000 1.000000
2 Random Forest 1.000000 1.000000
```

#### 6 Visualize confusion matrices

Visualizing confusion matrices for each model helps interpret the results better, especially in understanding where the models might be making mistakes.







In conclusion, we successfully classified APT groups using opcode sequences. The inclusion of n-grams and PCA enhanced the performance of all models, with KNN and Random Forest achieving perfect accuracy and F1 scores. The results in the comparison table and confusion matrices demonstrate the models are performing exceptionally well, with KNN and Random Forest achieving a very high scores. This shows that the pipeline implemented is functioning correctly.

[445]:	
<b>. .</b>	
[445]:	
[445]:	
[445]:	
[445]:	
[445]:	
[110].	