



UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL FLORIDA

A TEAM WITH A DREAM

November 9, 2024

In-Person

Number of Teams	Max Team Points Received	Min Team Points Received	Mean Team Points Received	Total Points Possible
94	9153	1350	6115.31	10,000

TEAM 4 SCORECARD

This table highlights the *team's* efforts for the 2024 CyberForce Competition®.

Score Category	Team Points	Percent of Points	Team Ranking
Anomalies	1722	86.10%	1
Security Documentation	973	97.30%	7
C-Suite Panel	906	90.60%	17
Red Team	2075	83.00%	3
Blue Team	2000	100.00%	1
Green Team Surveys	1477	98.47%	1
<i>Deductions</i>	0		
Overall	9153	91.53%	1

ANOMALY SCORING

Anomalies simulate the real-world challenges that cybersecurity professionals face daily in the industry. These carefully crafted challenges not only test technical skills but also emphasize daily time management skills that professionals must demonstrate to effectively perform their roles. Most anomalies are mapped to the NIST NICE Framework and fall into one of seven work role categories: *Oversight & Governance, Design & Development, Implementation & Operation, Protection & Defense, Investigation, Cyberspace Intelligence, and Cyberspace Effects*. Some anomalies may also be categorized as *Energy* or *Other*. For those mapped to the NIST NICE Framework, their will include the mapping to associated knowledge, skill, ability, and task roles within its respective category, offering students with a comprehensive idea of the wide range of responsibilities cybersecurity professionals face while in the field.

Anomaly Score | 1722

Below highlights whether the anomaly was correct or incorrect for your team.

1	yes	27	no	53	yes
2	yes	28	yes	54	yes
3	yes	29	yes	55	yes
4	yes	30	yes	56	yes
5	yes	31	yes	57	yes
6	yes	32	yes	58	yes
7	yes	33	yes	59	yes
8	yes	34	yes	60	no
9	yes	35	yes	61	yes
10	yes	36	yes	62	yes
11	yes	37	yes	63	yes
12	Not Answered	38	Not Answered	64	yes
13	yes	39	yes	65	yes
14	yes	40	yes	66	yes
15	yes	41	yes	67	yes
16	yes	42	yes	68	yes
17	yes	43	yes	69	yes
18	yes	44	yes	70	yes
19	yes	45	yes	71	no
20	Not Answered	46	yes	72	yes
21	yes	47	yes	73	yes
22	yes	48	yes	74	yes
23	yes	49	yes	75	yes
24	no	50	yes	76	yes
25	yes	51	yes	77	yes
26	Not Answered	52	yes		

ORANGE TEAM

SECURITY DOCUMENTATION

Blue team participants should use the Security Documentation section as an opportunity to highlight unique approaches to securing their infrastructure.

Security Documentation Score	973
------------------------------	-----

<i>Strong Points</i>	<i>Areas of Improvement</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Very detailed in every section, great job • The documentation was very thorough and well written for the intended audience. • did well on finding so many vulnerabilities • Known vulnerabilities and hardening steps were extremely thorough and detailed. It was apparent that you spent a good amount of time securing systems. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overall hard to find anything to criticize • Nothing, this is an exemplary example of a security report. • missing few ports have less than 90% of assets, some portions needed stronger justification in Hardening section • System overview went a bit too technical for your average C-Suite audience.

C-SUITE PANEL

C-Suite Panel will be a pre-recorded video based on the task outlined in this document. This video should be recorded and placed somewhere accessible to judges.

C-Suite Panel Score	906
---------------------	-----

<i>Strong Points</i>	<i>Areas of Improvement</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There were nice visuals throughout the presentation, and it looked very professional • You went beyond "reputation" and actually spelled out how and why reputation will be hurt. Very impressive. • Professional presentation with appropriate pacing and natural transition • Great presentation and good points that you addressed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • N/A • C-suite does not differentiate between external and internal costs. Training is expensive. How many hours per person per year of training? That is something they can quantify. • Some audio was a little low, some sections felt a bit scripted, some recommended strategies (high-level, long-term) felt more tactical (specific, short-term) • Connect your Strategy to Reduce Business Risks to your Risks Related to Business

RED TEAM SCORING

RED TEAM FLAG INPUTS (ASSUME BREACH & WHACK A MOLE)

This year we will be using **Assume Breach** for part of your Red team score. This will be worth 1000 points. The purpose of the assume breach model is for your team to investigate and accurately report back incident details after experiencing a successful execution of an attack chain. The **Whack a Mole** portion of the Red team score will be worth 750 points. This will be done in a traditional method of "hacking" through holes created through known vulnerabilities in the system.

Assume Breach									
AB1	AB2	AB3	AB4	AB5	AB6	AB7	AB8	AB9	AB10

100	50	100	100	50	75	100	100	100	100
-----	----	-----	-----	----	----	-----	-----	-----	-----

Whack a Mole	
WAM1	WAM2
375	375

AUTOMATED SCRIPT CHECK – VULNERABILITY

This portion of the Red team score will be worth *750 points*. This will be done via an automated scripted check.

Automated Script Score	450
------------------------	-----

BLUE TEAM SCORE

The Blue team scoring (service scans) is completely based on the Blue team's ability to keep services active. In an industry environment, every security professional's primary responsibility is to keep business operational and secure. Service uptime is based on the required services and their respective uptimes. Teams earn points for each availability scan that results in positive service uptime for a total of 2000 points. Throughout the day, services will be validated as operational by the scoreboard polling system. Each service is scored and weighted the same, which means availability is scored purely on the service being operational.

Service Scans	AI Algorithm Score
1600	400

GREEN TEAM SCORE

The Green team will review and complete surveys to evaluate each Blue team system's usability and user experience. Points will be awarded based on the user's ability to complete the tasks outlined in the user acceptance testing guide at the end of this document. The Green team will assess their ability to validate these tasks. The guide that will be provided to Green team users is available in the Rubrics section. It is in your best interest to run through this user testing to ensure that you can complete all the steps they are.

Green Team Score
1477