

# **UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND**

## **RHODE TO SECURITY**

November 9, 2024

In-Person

Number of Teams	Max Team Points	Min Team Points	Mean Team Points	Total Points
Number of reams	Received	Received	Received	Possible
94	9153	1350	6115.31	10,000

## **TEAM 6 SCORECARD**

This table highlights the *team*'s efforts for the 2024 CyberForce Competition®.

Score Category	Team Points	Percent of Points	Team Ranking
Anomalies	724	36.20%	36
Security Documentation	812	81.20%	56
C-Suite Panel	886	88.60%	28
Red Team	744	29.76%	77
Blue Team	1509	75.45%	76
Green Team Surveys	0	0.00%	79
Deductions	0		
Overall	4675	46.75%	79

#### **ANOMALY SCORING**

Anomalies simulate the real-world challenges that cybersecurity professionals face daily in the industry. These carefully crafted challenges not only test technical skills but also emphasize daily time management skills that professionals must demonstrate to effectively perform their roles. Most anomalies are mapped to the NIST NICE Framework and fall into one of seven work role categories: Oversight & Governance, Design & Development, Implementation & Operation, Protection & Defense, Investigation, Cyberspace Intelligence, and Cyberspace Effects. Some anomalies may also be categorized as Energy or "Other". For those mapped to the NIST NICE Framework, their will include the mapping to associated knowledge, skill, ability, and task roles within its respective category, offering students with a comprehensive idea of the wide range of responsibilities cybersecurity professionals face while in the field.

Anomaly Score | 724

Below highlights whether the anomaly was correct or incorrect for your team.

1	yes	27	no	53	Not Answered
2	yes	28	yes	54	yes
3	yes	29	Not Answered	55	yes
4	yes	30	Not Answered	56	no
5	yes	31	Not Answered	57	yes
6	yes	32	Not Answered	58	yes
7	yes	33	Not Answered	59	yes
8	yes	34	yes	60	no
9	yes	35	Not Answered	61	yes
10	yes	36	yes	62	yes
11	no	37	yes	63	yes
12	Not Answered	38	yes	64	no
13	yes	39	yes	65	Not Answered
14	yes	40	no	66	Not Answered
15	yes	41	Not Answered	67	Not Answered
16	yes	42	Not Answered	68	Not Answered
17	yes	43	no	69	Not Answered
18	yes	44	Not Answered	70	yes
19	yes	45	yes	71	Not Answered
20	Not Answered	46	yes	72	yes
21	no	47	yes	73	Not Answered
22	Not Answered	48	no	74	Not Answered
23	Not Answered	49	Not Answered	75	Not Answered
24	no	50	Not Answered	76	yes
25	Not Answered	51	Not Answered	77	yes
26	Not Answered	52	Not Answered	·	

### **ORANGE TEAM**

#### **SECURITY DOCUMENTATION**

Blue team participants should use the Security Documentation section as an opportunity to highlight unique approaches to securing their infrastructure.

Security Documentation Score	812
Occurry Documentation Occie	012

Strong Points	Areas of Improvement
<ul> <li>Good concise explanation of vulnerability mitigations</li> <li>The system hardening section is very well written and understandable for the intended audience.</li> <li>did well overall</li> <li>Known vulnerabilities section was detailed and showed due diligence in securing systems.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>System overview seems like turning in a homework assignment and not appropriate for C-suite</li> <li>The system overview contains a lot of technical information from the eight core components. It may overwhelm leadership; therefore, it would be helpful to break out the components into more high-level descriptions and focus on the more technical details in the other sections of the document.</li> <li>no map box on asset inventory and seim which were on network diagram but not on inventory also needed stronger justification in Harding section.</li> <li>System overview needs to be targeted to a non-technical audience.</li> <li>Network diagram should match the asset inventory.</li> </ul>

### **C-SUITE PANEL**

C-Suite Panel will be a pre-recorded video based on the task outlined in this document. This video should be recorded and placed somewhere accessible to judges.

C-Suite Panel Score | 886

Strong Points	Areas of Improvement
<ul> <li>Good actions to take with justifications</li> <li>Nice acronym creation for the solution.         Very concise and professional.</li> <li>Well-structured presentation with good pacing and meaningful contributions from all presenters.</li> <li>Great presentation and good points that you addressed</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No long term strategy to reduce the business risks. SECLUDE is a list of good actions to take, but it is not a strategy.</li> <li>N/A</li> <li>More clearly describe how government facility outages threaten the business. The proposed strategy (SECLUDE) was comprehensive but should more clearly address how the identified business risks</li> </ul>

(ex: contract loss & legal risk) are reduced.

Provide technology costs for all high priority recommendations (ex: zero trust

implementation)

<ul> <li>expand more on your Strategy to Reduce</li> </ul>
Business Risks

#### **RED TEAM SCORING**

#### RED TEAM FLAG INPUTS (ASSUME BREACH & WHACK A MOLE)

This year we will be using *Assume Breach* for part of your Red team score. This will be worth *1000 points*. The purpose of the assume breach model is for your team to investigate and accurately report back incident details after experiencing a successful execution of an attack chain. The **Whack a Mole** portion of the Red team score will be worth *750 points*. This will be done in a traditional method of "hacking" through holes created through known vulnerabilities in the system.

Assume Breach									
AB1	AB2	AB3	AB4	AB5	AB6	AB7	AB8	AB9	AB10
0	50	25	50	50	0	0	25	0	0

Whack a Mole				
WAM1	WAM2			
0	93			

#### AUTOMATED SCRIPT CHECK - VULNERABILITY

This portion of the Red team score will be worth 750 points. This will be done via an automated scripted check.

Automated Script Score	450
------------------------	-----

#### **BLUE TEAM SCORE**

The Blue team scoring (service scans) is completely based on the Blue team's ability to keep services active. In an industry environment, every security professional's primary responsibility is to keep business operational and secure. Service uptime is based on the required services and their respective uptimes. Teams earn points for each availability scan that results in positive service uptime for a total of 2000 points. Throughout the day, services will be validated as operational by the scoreboard polling system. Each service is scored and weighted the same, which means availability is scored purely on the service being operational.

Service Scans	Al Algorithm Score
1205	304

#### **GREEN TEAM SCORE**

The Green team will review and complete surveys to evaluate each Blue team system's usability and user experience. Points will be awarded based on the user's ability to complete the tasks outlined in the user acceptance testing guide at the end of this document. The Green team will assess their ability to validate these tasks. The guide that will be provided to Green team users is available in the Rubrics section. It is in your best interest to run through this user testing to ensure that you can complete all the steps they are.

Green Team Score