

CONSTITUTIONAL COMPLIANCE REPORT

The Islamic Republic of Pakistan

Constitution 1973

Report ID: RPT-2025-12-23-8SFDVYQR7

Document: ECP Case.pdf

Analysis Date: 23/12/2025, 12:13:26 pm

Overall Compliance: PARTIALLY COMPLIANT

Legal Classification: Legal Document

Verified References: 0

Compliance Score: 1 / 7 sections (14%)

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Supreme Court of Pakistan, sitting as a Constitutional Bench, is reviewing multiple civil petitions concerning earlier judgments related to electoral matters. The petitions involve various political parties and the Election Commission of Pakistan as petitioners and respondents, respectively. A recent reconstitution of the Bench occurred due to the recusal of a member, and the initial hearing resulted in the dismissal of all review petitions by two judges. The Court has scheduled further hearings to provide detailed reasons for its decisions.

Key Findings:

- Overall compliance: PARTIALLY COMPLIANT
- Total constitutional articles reviewed: 6
- Fully compliant articles: 1
- Non-compliant articles: 0
- Partially compliant articles: 5
- Total document snippets analyzed: 7
- No critical violations identified

2. ARTICLE-BY-ARTICLE CONSTITUTIONAL ANALYSIS

Analysis Overview:

Total Constitutional Articles Reviewed: 6

' Fully Compliant: 1

'L Non-Compliant: 0

& þ Partially Compliant: 5

' FULLY COMPLIANT ARTICLES

1. Constitution (Part 75): Constitution

Confidence: 95% | Findings: 1

& þ PARTIALLY COMPLIANT ARTICLES

1. SC Rules Section N/A: SC Rules

Compliant: 0 | Non-Compliant: 0 | Partial: 1

2. Constitution Section N/A: Constitution

Compliant: 0 | Non-Compliant: 0 | Partial: 2

3. SC Rules (Part 236): SC Rules

Compliant: 0 | Non-Compliant: 0 | Partial: 1

4. ORDER XIX (SC Rules): SC Rules

Compliant: 0 | Non-Compliant: 0 | Partial: 1

5. SC Rules (Part 92): SC Rules

Compliant: 0 | Non-Compliant: 0 | Partial: 1

Note: See Section 3 for detailed snippet-level mappings and Section 4 for specific violations.

3. DETAILED COMPLIANCE MAPPING

Total mappings: 7

Mapping #1

Document Text (Loc: Rapid Scan, N/A):

"SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN
CONSTITUTIONAL BENCH
Present
Justice Amin-ud-Din Khan, Senior Judge
Justice Jamal Khan Mandokhail
Justice Muhammad Ali Mazhar
Justice Syed Hasan Azhar Rizvi
Justice Musarrat Hilali
Justice Naeem Akhter Afghan
Justice Shahid Bilal Hassan
Justice Muhammad Hashim Khan Kakar
Justice Aamer Farooq
Justice Ali Baqar Najafi"

Constitutional Match:

Constitution (Part 75): Constitution

"CHAPTER 2 • D.R 5U \$TÔR 4ôU T OF PAKISTAN

176. Constitution of Supreme Court. The Supreme Court shall consist of a Chief Justice to be known as the Chief Justice 1[of Supreme Court] of Pakistan ..."

Decision: YES

Confidence: 95/100 (Similarity: 65.7%)

The judicial composition aligns with the requirements set forth in the Constitution of Pakistan, 1973. The specified judges appear to meet the criteria established under Article 177.

Mapping #2

Document Text (Loc: Rapid Scan, N/A):

"Civil Review Petition No.312/2024 in C.A. No.333/2024 & ... (For review of judgment of this court dated 12.07.2024...)"

Constitutional Match:

SC Rules Section N/A: SC Rules

" - 51 -

The applicant shall, after filing the application, for review, forthwith give notice thereof to the other party and endorse a copy of such notice to the Registry.

3. Every ..."

Decision: PARTIAL

Confidence: 75/100 (Similarity: 60.3%)

The document partially complies with the Supreme Court Rules, but there are ambiguities that must be addressed.

Mapping #3

Document Text (Loc: Rapid Scan, N/A):

"Pakistan Muslim League (N) through its President Lahore and others ... Pakistan People's Party Parliamentarian (PPP), Islamabad ... Election Commission of Pakistan through its Secretary, Islamabad ... Huma Akhtar Chughtai and others ... Respondents: Sunni Ittehad Council through its Chairman, Faisalabad and others"

Constitutional Match:

Constitution Section N/A: Constitution

"1[*'C*'C*'C*]D

D

2[140A. Local Government.]f ' V 6, &Dvince shall, by law, establish a local governmentD system and devolve political, administrative and financial responsibility and authority to ..."

Decision: PARTIAL

Confidence: 70/100 (Similarity: 51.5%)

The document identifies parties and organizations involved but lacks specificity regarding the roles of involved parties in the context of local government and elections.

Mapping #4

Document Text (Loc: Rapid Scan, N/A):

"For the Petitioners: Mr. Makhdoom Ali Khan, Sr. ASC ... For the Respondents: For Sunni Ittehad Council: Mr. Hamid Khan, Sr. ASC ..."

Constitutional Match:

SC Rules (Part 236): SC Rules

"(C.D.)

(Respondent)

(Province of C.D.)

..."

Decision: PARTIAL

Confidence: 75/100 (Similarity: 51.8%)

The representation of the petitioners and respondents partially complies with the Supreme Court Rules but lacks detailed information as required.

Mapping #5

Document Text (Loc: Rapid Scan, N/A):

"Dates of Hearings: 6th, 7th, 13th, 19th, 20th to 22nd, 26th, 27th, 29th May, 16th to 20th, 26th and 27th June, 2025."

Constitutional Match:

ORDER XIX (SC Rules): SC Rules

"*ORDER XIX*

HEARING OF APPEALS

1. *All appeals filed in the Registry shall, as far as possible, be heard in the order in which they are set down.*
2. *Adjournment of cases of all kinds..."*

Decision: PARTIAL

Confidence: 75/100 (Similarity: 46.0%)

The provided hearing schedule shows dates but does not clarify the procedure for notifying parties as per SC Rules.

Mapping #6

Document Text (Loc: Rapid Scan, N/A):

"For detailed reasons to be recorded later, subject to amplification or elucidation as may be deemed appropriate, by majority of 7... all Civil Review Petitions are allowed... and the impugned majority judgment dated 12.07.2024 is set aside..."

Constitutional Match:

SC Rules (Part 92): SC Rules

"PART IV

ORDER XXVI

REVIEW

1. *Subject to the law and the practice of the Court, the Court may review its judgment or order in a Civil proceeding on grounds similar to those mentioned..."*

Decision: PARTIAL

Confidence: 70/100 (Similarity: 62.8%)

The section reflects general compliance with the Supreme Court Rules but raises ambiguity in terms of how the review process aligns with established timelines and procedural requirements.

Mapping #7

Document Text (Loc: Rapid Scan, N/A):

"directions are issued to the ECP to examine and consider the nomination papers/declaration and other relevant documents of all 80 returned candidates by means of de novo exercise with regard to their affiliation and take appropriate decision in accordance with law and applicable rules for allocation of reserve seats within 15 days from receiving the copy of this Short Order."

Constitutional Match:

Constitution Section N/A: Constitution

"1[SECOND SCHEDULE]

[Article 41 (3)]D

D

Election Of PresidentD

D

1. *The 2[Election Commission of Pakistan] shall hold and conduct election to the office of President, and 2[Chief Election Commissioner]..."*

Decision: PARTIAL

Confidence: 70/100 (Similarity: 49.8%)

The section directs the ECP to perform actions regarding the nomination papers but lacks alignment on procedural timelines and specifics outlined in the Constitution.

5. CONFIDENCE & EVIDENCE SUMMARY

Total snippets reviewed: 7

High confidence (>=85): 1

Medium confidence (70-84): 6

Low confidence (<70): 0

All evidence is stored with constitutional chunk IDs and Pinecone retrieval metadata.

6. SUGGESTED NEXT STEPS

1. LOW PRIORITY: Document appears constitutionally sound. Periodic review recommended.