Modern Control Systems

Matthew M. Peet Illinois Institute of Technology

Lecture 14: Linear Operators

Hilbert Spaces

Operator Theory

An operator is simply any map between normed spaces. $P: X \to Y$

- This includes the implicit assumption that ||Px|| is bounded.
- •

Definition 1.

An operator P is uniformly bounded if there exists some K such that

$$\|Px\| \leq K\|x\|$$

A **Linear Operator** is uniformly bounded if and only if it is bounded.

M. Peet Lecture 14: 2 / 12

Operator Theory

Linear Operators

Definition 2.

Let X,Y be Banach spaces. The operator $P:X\to Y$ is a bounded linear operator if

1. It is linear. i.e.

$$F(\alpha x + \beta z) = \alpha F(x) + \beta F(z)$$

for all $x, z \in X$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$.

2. It is uniformly bounded. i.e. there exists a K such that

$$||Px||_Y \le K||x||_X$$

for all $x \in X$

Operator Theory

Linear Operators

Definition 3.

The normed space of bounded linear operators from X to Y is **denoted** $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ with norm

$$||P||_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)} := \sup_{\substack{x \in X \\ x \neq 0}} \frac{||Px||_Y}{||x||_X} = K$$

- The norm is the bound (an induced norm)
- Notation: $\mathcal{L}(X) := \mathcal{L}(X, X)$
- If X is a Banach space, then $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ is a Banach space

Properties:Suppose $G_1 \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ and $G_1 \in \mathcal{L}(Y,Z)$

Define

$$G_{12}(x) = G_2(G_1(x))$$

- Then $G_{12} \in \mathcal{L}(X,Z)$.
- $||G_{12}||_{\mathcal{L}(X,Z)} \le ||G_2||_{\mathcal{L}(y,Z)} ||G_1||_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}$.
- Not Cauchy Schwartz
- Composition forms an algebra.

M. Peet Lecture 14: 4 / 12

Linear Operators

Linear Systems

Any linear system defines an operator

$$y = Gu$$

Feedback: u = Ky.

- y = G(u Ky)
- $Y = (I + GK)^{-1}Gu$

Question:

- Is $(I + GK)^{-1}G$ a bounded linear operator?
- If so, the feedback is stable

Linear Operators

Example

The space $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$ using the $\|\cdot\|_2$ on \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}^m .

- $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m) = \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$
- For $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$,

$$||A|| = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{||Ax||}{||x||} = \bar{\sigma}(A)$$

Linear Operators

Example

Like matrices, the set of convolution operators is equivalent to the causal time-invariant subspace of $\mathcal{L}(L_2)$.

Definition 4.

For a given f, define y = Fu by

$$y(t) = (Fu)(t) := \int_0^t f(t-s)u(s)ds$$

- Clearly, F is linear
- If $f \in L_1$, then $F \in \mathcal{L}(L_n)$ for any p > 0.
- Young's Inequality: $||y||_{L_r} \leq ||f||_{L_p} ||u||_{L_q}$ for any p,q,r with

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r} + 1$$

M. Peet Lecture 14: 7 / 1

$$(Fu)(t) := \int_0^t f(t-s)u(s)ds$$

Lets consider the case where $f \in L_1$.

Theorem 5.

Suppose $f \in L_1$, then $F: L_{\infty}[0,\infty) \to L_{\infty}[0,\infty)$ with

$$||F||_{\mathcal{L}(L_{\infty})} = ||f||_{L_1}$$

Proof.

To show that $\|F\|_{\mathcal{L}(L_{\infty})} = \|f\|_{L_1}$,

- we will show $\|F\|_{\mathcal{L}(L_{\infty})} \leq \|f\|_{L_1}$
- We will show $||F||_{\mathcal{L}(L_{\infty})} \ge ||f||_{L_1}$

M. Peet Lecture 14:

Proof.

To show that $||F||_{\mathcal{L}(L_{\infty})} \leq ||f||_{L_1}$, let y = Fu. then

$$\begin{aligned} |y(t)| &= |(Fu)(t)| = \left| \int_0^t f(t-s)u(s)ds \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^t |f(t-s)u(s)| \, ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t |f(t-s)| \, |u(s)| \, ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t |f(t-s)| \, |u|_{L_\infty} ds \\ &= \|u\|_{L_\infty} \int_0^t |f(t-s)| \, ds \\ &\leq \|u\|_{L_\infty} \|f\|_{L_\infty} ds \end{aligned}$$

Thus $L \in \mathcal{L}(L_{\infty})$ with $||F||_{\mathcal{L}(L_{\infty})} \leq ||f||_{L_{1}}$

M. Peet

Proof.

To show $\|F\|_{\mathcal{L}(L_{\infty})} = \|f\|_{L_1}$, we need only show that $\|F\|_{\mathcal{L}(L_{\infty})} \geq \|f\|_{L_1}$.

- To show that $\|F\|_{\mathcal{L}(L_\infty)} \ge \|f\|_{L_1}$, we will show that for any $\epsilon > 0$, $\|F\|_{\mathcal{L}(L_\infty)} \ge \|f\|_{L_1} \epsilon$. We proceed by construction.
- Since $f \in L_1$,

$$||f||_{L_1} = \lim_{T \to \infty} \int_0^T |f(s)| \, ds$$

• Therefore, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $T_{\epsilon} > 0$ such that

$$||f||_{L_1} - \int_0^{T_\epsilon} |f(s)| \, ds < \epsilon$$

M. Peet Lecture 14: 10 / 1

Proof.

• Let $u(t) = \frac{f(T_{\epsilon}-t)}{|f(T_{\epsilon}-t)|}$. Then $||u||_{L_{\infty}} = 1$ and

$$Fu(T_{\epsilon}) = \int_{0}^{T_{\epsilon}} f(T_{\epsilon} - s)u(s)ds$$
$$= \int_{0}^{T_{\epsilon}} \frac{f(T_{\epsilon} - s)^{2}}{|f(T_{\epsilon} - s)|} ds$$
$$= \int_{0}^{T_{\epsilon}} |f(T_{\epsilon} - s)| ds$$
$$> ||f||_{L_{\epsilon}} - \epsilon$$

- Thus $||Fu||_{\infty} \geq ||f||_{L_1} \epsilon$
- Thus $||F||_{\infty} = \sup_{\|u\|_{L_{\infty}}=1} ||Fu|| \ge ||f||_{L_{1}} \epsilon$
- Thus $||F||_{\infty} \ge ||f||_{L_1}$ (Implicit Contradiction)

M. Peet Lecture 14: 11

Convolution Operators

To conclude, if $f \in L_1$, then the convolution operator maps $L_\infty \to L_\infty$. Recall the input-output map for a linear system is

$$y(t) = \int_0^t Ce^{A(t-s)}Bu(s)ds + Du(t)$$

Conclusion:

• If $Ce^{At}B \in L_1$, then G is stable on L_{∞}

In fact, we usually don't work with systems $G:L_\infty\to L_\infty$ Question: When is $G\in\mathcal{L}(L_p)$

- Young's inequality:
 - ▶ When

$$\frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p} + 1$$

- Thus we need $f \in L_1$ for any p.
- A Sufficient Condition

M. Peet Lecture 14: 12 / 12