

This text is about generative AI specifically

I'm a artist and a programmer student that started to do researchs to know more about AI generative, including damages and how affect people negatively, so I know what I'm saying

First, name it "AI generative" is wrong. The correct term should be "Artificial imitative". That isn't artificial intelligence, in this case the term is used just to marketing. It's artificial, but intelligent? No.

I'll use the term "AI imitative" to refer to "AI generative" on the text.

WHAT'S THE DATA USED ON AI? THEY ACQUIRED IT LEGALLY OR NOT?

Those programs needs a big amount of works (what developers name "data") to give satisfactory results, so obviously those datas were acquired illegally and they continue to acquire it illegally, because those who can do something to stop their actions (like courts and congress) aren't doing nothing. Do you really think it's humanly possibly to acquire a big amount of data in such amoun of time like those companies did? It's impossible! Even big companies like microsoft can't do it.

Almost all of datas used on training it's copyrighted and/or private. Developers and companies KNOW and they choose to steal billions of people to create illegal programs to profit. For know, EVERY AI IS ILLEGAL AND USES STOLEN WORKS/DATAS. If companies choose to pay for all the data, they should pay a big amount of money, considering those programs literally can destroy people's entire careers and let them starving, due to their functions be to do works of those same people, like artists.

"But why they choose to not use works not copyrighted and on public domain?", the result will not be as safiscatory as results that use stolen works/datas.

AI "WORKS" SHOULD HAVE COPYRIGHT?

About the copyright's question, my answer is a big NO. Why can AI "works" have copyright, if copyright is to protect human creators? That doesn't make any sense and it'll harm us more than we're already harmed. With copyright, workers are losing their jobs and clients due to AI, if copyright office allow AI "works" to have copyright it'll be WORSE to us. Believe on me, better not allow it in any circumstance. Even if just a part of the work is AI and the rest is human, it shouldn't be allowed.

Copyright should be just to works 100% human made, without the use of those AIs imitatives. Copyright office shouldn't consider a copyright porcentage. The owner used AI imitative on the work? So it shouldn't have copyright. It's simple

HOW AI IMITATIVE IS AFFECTING PEOPLE NEGATIVELY?

People are losing their jobs due to AI. AI can do things on a mass scale on several fields and careers, it's a big threat and causes big problems to workers, with companies using it to replace people!

And it disturbs competition, at a point that just big companies will benefit from it, and small workers'll lose everything. Their jobs, their career... Everything

Workers will not be able to compete against companies, specially big ones. Many CEOs, like Sam Altman, openAI's CEO, says it's good to replace normal people and let them lose their jobs. Those people are terribly dangerous

Some big companies using it to replace workers:

- 1- Microsoft
- 2- Adobe
- 3- Twitter/X
- 4- Google
- 5- Tencent (Epic Games, Artstation...)
- 6- Blizzard
- 7- Riot Games
- 8- Amazon
- 9- Netflix
- 10- Getty Images
- 11- Meta
- 12- Warner Bros
- 13- Paramount
- 14- Zoom
- 15- Universal Music Studio
- 16- Envato
- 17- Activision (COD/Call of Duty)
- 18- COD
- 19- Disney
- 20- Japan government
- 21- Apple
- 22- Nintendo
- 23- SEGA
- 24- Etsy
- 25- Patreon
- 26- Kickstarter
- 27- Unity
- 28- Unreal
- 29- Reddit
- 30- GitHub

SUSPICIOUS COMPANIES

- 1- Oracle corporation/Opera

Some persons are even harassed and cyberbullied by pro-AI people. I see many times pro-AI persons, for example, incentivizing artists to kill themselves if they don't accept AI imitative. No, I'm not exaggerating. Do a quick search and you'll see terrible things they say against those victims

A person mentally affected can do something to harm their own life if they lose control because of those people. That's much problematic

Those AIs use many ambiantal resources to works, at a bizarre rate. The amount of water is colossal. Consider this and servers from several websites full of such "content" uploaded, servers will need more resources than before to maintain everything on the internet

POSSIBLE MEASURES

I suggest two possible measures against AI imitative.

1- Ban it entirely on a global scale

Let's be real. AIs imitatives DON'T NEED TO EXIST. Sure, some AIs are useful, like AIs used on search algoritms, but... That ins't the case. They were created to replace workers and let people starving.

And when I say "ban it", it's on a global scale. It should be banned on all countries, not just US. I'll explain: ban it just on a X or Y country'll not resolve anything. Somebody or a company could just use a VPN and bypass it. In Italy, the government banned chatGPT, yet many citizens used VPNs and other things to bypass and use it illegally. It needs to be banned at a GLOBAL SCALE

2- Ban it partialy and limit AIs imitatives allowed to exist just if it's limited

In case the ban is unsucessful, some AIs imitates should be banned completely. Some examples: deepfakes AIs, chatboxs AIs.

Some allowed to exist, but limited. Like, MUCH LIMITED. The quantity of works/data used on training is limited on a small quantity, not allowed datasets that have millions or billions of works/data, even if it's on public domain. The limit can't be ultrapassed

The dataset needs to be public, so people can know if their work/data is used without consent or not

Also, some functions that AIs have should be prohibited. Let's see:

- 1- Don't allow to upload of other images that aren't on the AI dataset. Other works/data can be uploaded without consent, if the function is not removed
- 2- Don't allow the use of the person's work/data created using the AI. I know this sounds strange, but hear me: what if the work/data actually is mimic from other work/data of other people? For example, some artists and writers can mimic other styles from other authors, so... Better just use what's on the AI dataset already and not let something upload or creat using it
- 3- Watermark to show it's AI, not human made. Some AIs can remove trademarks and other watermarks (that's a crime) and maybe it's not much effective, but it could be implemented anyway

And remember...



ARTICLES TO KNOW MORE ABOUT AI AND DAMAGES

<https://gizmodo.com/apple-cancels-jon-stewarts-the-problem-1850944488> - Jon Stewart is canceled by Apple after they want to start to talk against AI

<https://www.nytimes.com/2021/03/15/technology/artificial-intelligence-google-bias.html> - IA algorithm by google giving racists results

<https://www.rollingstone.com/culture/culture-features/ai-explicit-material-twitter-big-tech-1234855484/>

<https://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/2023/10/19/ai-large-language-writers-stealing/>

<https://twitter.com/venturetwins/status/1715161818199674923> - A IA influencer that possibly works to adobe incentives the use of deepfakes

<https://twitter.com/Adobe/status/1711793740120293563> - Adobe announces a new feature to generate deepfake. People warns that it'll be used on scams, but adobe doesn't care

<https://gizmodo.com/github-copilot-ai-microsoft-openai-chatgpt-1850915549>

<https://www.bbc.com/news/world-europe-66877718> - More deepfake scandals

<https://twitter.com/NexusBen/status/1705582423721828589> - More deepfake

<https://futurism.com/critics-microsoft-water-train-ai-drought> - AI needs much ambiental resources to works, it can give big ambiental problems, but companies doesn't care

<https://twitter.com/OpenAI/status/1707077710047216095> - OpenAI says chatGPT can browser the internet. Everybody knows they stole data and works to put on chatGPT, that's clearly another try on stolen other people again

<https://futurism.com/sam-altman-replace-normal-people-ai> - Sam Altman, openAI CEO, says he intends to replace normal people with AI

https://twitter.com/StabilityAI_JP/status/1707976060737077594 - StabilityAI Japan incentives deepfakes, using even copyrighted works

<https://twitter.com/agoraitconsulti/status/1708110480860917801> - A japanese shares a deepfake of Zelensky, president of Ukraine

<https://techcrunch.com/2023/09/30/how-much-can-artists-make-from-generative-ai-vendors-wont-say/> - Companies don't give even a pennie to artists whose works are used on AI imitative

<https://twitter.com/kenklippenstein/status/1701658625280704599> - Gurner CEO says many things against workers

<https://kotaku.com/pokemon-go-adventures-abound-art-ai-artificial-niantic-1850793819> - A example of company firing off their workers to use AI to replace them

<https://www.disconnect.blog/p/generative-ai-closes-off-a-better> - The future is in danger because of AI imitative

<https://www.theverge.com/2023/8/30/23852652/call-of-duty-activision-modulate-toxmod-artificial-intelligence-voice-moderation> - A example of company using AI to moderation. This it'll lead to moderators fired off

<https://apnews.com/article/chatgpt-gpt4-iowa-ai-water-consumption-microsoft-f551fde98083d17a7e8d904f8be822c4> - ChatGPT needs a amount of water to works

<https://www.forbes.com/advisor/investing/cryptocurrency/what-is-worldcoin/> - OpenAI wants biometrical data to their coin worldcoin

<https://www.vice.com/en/article/dy3vmx/an-ai-scraping-tool-is-overwhelming-websites-with-traffic> - AI scrappings websites and causes much excessive traffic

<https://www.wired.com/story/amazons-new-robots-automation-revolution/>

<https://www.npr.org/2023/08/02/1190605685/movie-extras-worry-theyll-be-replaced-by-ai-hollywood-is-already-doing-body-scan> - Hollywood background actors had their image scanned by AI without any warning

<https://www.economist.com/biometrics-pod>

<https://www.wsj.com/articles/ai-medical-diagnosis-nurses-f881b0fe>

<https://www.theverge.com/features/23764584/ai-artificial-intelligence-data-notation-labor-scale-surge-remotasks-openai-chatbots>

Pro-AI person saying what they think about AI doing harms to other people. This opinion is the same as from other pro-AI people

I don't care : A perspective on someone who does not know art

To all those who hate AI art, **why should I care** about your stances regarding AI art?

I heard the arguments...

- It will replace **Artist** jobs!
- It steals from other **Artists**!
- It derives creativity from **Artists**!

And frankly, I don't care about **artists** lol and that's the hard truth.


My perspective as a non-artist matters why you may ask, and it's simple, I'm the guy who chooses between AI art and human artists and frankly **I don't care** about reasons relating to your livelihood. I don't care about you, I care about Me,

This sounds hypocritical, but if you're complaining about paying the bills then you're running a business. I don't want to hear whatever art community drama that doesn't really relate to me, what's in it for me? Midjourney could give me 50 photos in less than an hour, could you?

I only care about the human artist if you actually could differentiate yourself from AI art. You can give me Art with zero errors? Cool. You can give me Art exactly the way I want it without mistakes? That's great!

Actually provide a Unique Selling Point instead of whining about how those damn robots are bad and why I should feel bad..... when it has nothing to do with me.

AI scams on facebook



A person claims a photo was taken on 1930s when it's clearly a deepfake. They fooled many people


The famous photo taken on this day in 1930 of “Hoggie”, the legendary Hogganfield Loch monster which was finally capture... See more



👍😂😱 4.5k

1.1k comments • 1.3k shares

Adobe has many AI “works” on adobe stock, like this AI image of Emma Watson. Notice they used Greg Rutkowski’s names, a artist that have their name used without consent more than 400.000 times



woman in cafe
By MUKESH

Save to Library Download Preview

Open in App

☒ Standard license (Free with trial) ⓘ
☐ Extended license (US\$79.99) ⓘ

Download free with trial

FILE #: 569747651 Preview Crop Find Similar

DIMENSIONS	FILE TYPE	CATEGORY	LICENSE TYPE
2048 x 3072px	JPEG	People	Standard or Extended

Highly detailed full body of curly-haired emma watson, wayfarer glasses sitting psychedelic patterns inside cafe drinking coffee with background by greg rutkowski makoto shinkai kyoto animation key art feminine mid shot

Copy prompt Copy URL Share


Open in editor Explore this style >

Model
Lexica Aperture v2

Guidance scale
7

Dimensions
512 × 768

Upscaled
2048 × 3072



8:32



futurism



**DERANGED REALITY TV
SHOW PSYCHOLOGICALLY
TORTURES PARTICIPANTS
BY SHOWING THEM
DEEPPAKES OF THEIR
PARTNERS CHEATING**


Futurism



1,258 likes

futurism Just when you think reality TV can't
steep any lower, it does it yet again.

Where does Meta get training information for generative AI?

Because it takes such a large amount of data to teach effective models, a combination of sources are used for training. These sources include information that is publicly available online and licensed information, as well as information from Meta's products and services. There are more details on how we use information from Meta's products and services in our [Privacy Policy](#) .

When we collect public information from the Internet or licence data from other providers to train our models, it may include personal information. For example, if we collect a public blog post, it may include the author's name and contact information. When we do get personal information as part of this public and licensed data that we use to train our models, we don't specifically link this data to any Meta account.

8:33



1,258 likes

futurism Just when you think reality TV can't stoop any lower, it does it yet again.

A new Netflix reality show called "Falso Amor," which translates to "Deep Fake Love," splits five real-life couples up into two different houses, adds a bunch of hot singles to the mix, and then subjects individuals to the experience of watching their partner cheat on them in videos that may or may not be deepfaked.

The Spanish-language program asks participants to watch the cheating clips, then guess whether the videos are real or cooked up by the AI. At the end of the show, the couple who guesses correctly more than anyone else wins 100,000 euros (that's about \$110,000 in US dollars) because this is the world we now live in.

shudders Head to the link in our bio to find out the worst part of this reality show (which is somehow worse than what you read above)



Netflix




Add a comment...

2 hours ago



Ron DeSanti's campaign team uses AI images





Tim McMillan @LtTimMcMillan · 27m

...

Or... now hear me out... it's a Midjourney AI image created by Talon Abraxas and posted to his Tumblr. 🙄

talonabraxas.tumblr.com/post/718684620...



Uri Geller




Verified

@theurigeller · 2h

My dear friends! The alien situation is beginning to become more bizarre by the day! I just got this from my US attorney. Please let me know your reaction no matter how strange , outrageous or enigmatic ! Photo is from 1890 I am told 🛸 waiting! #ufo #UFO #alien

[Show this thread](#)



And remember that those people thinks about workers

