

**Solution for Problem Set 3**

1. (a) The roots of  $1 + 0.3L - 0.04L^2 = 0$  are  $L_1 = 2.5$  and  $L_2 = 10$ . Since  $|L_1| > 1$  and  $|L_2| > 1$ , the process is stationary.
- (b) The roots of  $1 - 3.1L + 0.03L^2 = 0$  are  $L_1 \approx 0.32$  and  $L_2 \approx 103.01$ . Since  $|L_1| < 1$ , the process is not stationary.
- (c) The roots of  $1 - 2.5L + 2L^2 - 0.5L^3 = 0$  are  $L_1 = 1$  and  $L_2 = 1$  and  $L_3 = 2$ . Since  $|L_1| = |L_2| = 1$ , the process is not stationary.
2. (a)  $\mathbb{E}(y_t) = \mathbb{E}(0.5 + 0.6y_{t-3} + v_t) = 0.5 + 0.6\mathbb{E}(y_{t-3}) = 0.5 + 0.6\mathbb{E}(y_t)$ . Therefore

$$0.4\mathbb{E}(y_t) = 0.5 \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}(y_t) = 1.25.$$

- (b)  $\text{var}(y_t) = \text{var}(0.5 + 0.6y_{t-3} + v_t) = 0.36\text{var}(y_{t-3}) + 1 = 0.36\text{var}(y_t) + 1$ . Therefore

$$0.64\text{var}(y_t) = 1 \Rightarrow \text{var}(y_t) = \frac{1}{0.64} = 1.5625.$$

- (c)  $\gamma(1) = \text{cov}(y_t, y_{t-1}) = \text{cov}(0.5 + 0.6y_{t-3} + v_t, y_{t-1}) = 0.6\text{cov}(y_{t-3}, y_{t-1}) = 0.6\gamma(2)$ .
- (d)  $\gamma(2) = \text{cov}(y_t, y_{t-2}) = \text{cov}(0.5 + 0.6y_{t-3} + v_t, y_{t-2}) = 0.6\text{cov}(y_{t-3}, y_{t-2}) = 0.6\gamma(1)$ .
- (e) By substituting  $\gamma(2)$  from  $\gamma(2) = 0.6\gamma(1)$  into  $\gamma(1) = 0.6\gamma(2)$ , we get

$$\gamma(1) = 0.36\gamma(1) \Rightarrow 0.64\gamma(1) = 0 \Rightarrow \gamma(1) = 0.$$

Furthermore, since  $\gamma(1) = 0$ , we have  $\gamma(2) = 0.6\gamma(1) = 0$ .

- (f) We have  $\rho(k) = \frac{\gamma(k)}{\gamma(0)}$  and  $\gamma(k) = 0.6\gamma(k-3)$ , Therefore,

$$\rho(k) = 0.6\rho(k-3)$$

So,  $\rho(3) = 0.6\rho(0) = 0.6 = 0.6^{3/3}$ ,  $\rho(4) = 0.6\rho(1) = 0$ , and  $\rho(5) = 0.6\rho(2) = 0$ . Similarly,  $\rho(6) = 0.6\rho(3) = 0.6^2 = 0.6^{6/3}$ ,

$\rho(7) = 0.6\rho(4) = 0$ , and  $\rho(8) = 0.6\rho(5) = 0$ . By repeating this we can conclude that

$$\rho(k) = \begin{cases} 0.6^{k/3} & \text{for } k = 3, 6, 9, \dots, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

3. (a)  $\mathbb{E}(y_t) = \mathbb{E}[2(u_t - \varepsilon_t) + 0.5u_{t-1}] = 2\mathbb{E}(u_t) - 2\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_t) + 0.5\mathbb{E}(u_{t-1}) = 0$ .  
(b)  $\text{var}(y_t) = \text{var}[2(u_t - \varepsilon_t) + 0.5u_{t-1}] = 4\text{var}(u_t) + 4\text{var}(\varepsilon_t) - 8\text{cov}(u_t, \varepsilon_t) + 0.25\text{var}(u_{t-1}) = 12.25$ .  
(c)  $\text{cov}(y_t, y_{t-1}) = \text{cov}[2(u_t - \varepsilon_t) + 0.5u_{t-1}, 2(u_{t-1} - \varepsilon_{t-1}) + 0.5u_{t-2}] = \text{cov}[u_{t-1}, u_{t-1} - \varepsilon_{t-1}] = \text{var}(u_{t-1}) - \text{cov}(u_{t-1}, \varepsilon_{t-1}) = 1.5$ .  
(d)  $\text{cov}(y_t, y_{t-2}) = \text{cov}[2(u_t - \varepsilon_t) + 0.5u_{t-1}, 2(u_{t-2} - \varepsilon_{t-2}) + 0.5u_{t-3}] = 0$ .  
(e)  $\text{cov}(y_t, y_{t-k}) = 0$  for  $k = 3, 4, \dots$ .  
(f) Yes, since the process has a constant mean and variance and its autocovariance function depends only on the time distance.