

BM2_HW2

Yangyang Chen

2024-02-17

Problem 1

(a) Fill out the table and give comments.

```
# load data
dose=c(0:4)## x_i
num=rep(30, 5) ## m_i
killed=c(2, 8, 15, 23, 27) ## y_i
data=data.frame(dose,num,killed) ## (x_i, m_i, y_i)

# data preparation
x=data$dose
y=data$killed
m=data$num
resp=cbind(y,m-y) ##### counts of success (death=1), failure (surv=0)

# Model fitting
# logit link
glm_logit=glm(resp~x, family=binomial(link='logit'))
# probit link
glm_probit=glm(resp~x, family=binomial(link='probit'))
# complementary log-log link
glm_clog=glm(resp~x, family=binomial(link='cloglog')) # asymmetric

results_func = function(fit,model){
  fit_summary = fit |> summary()
  beta = fit_summary$coefficients[2]
  CI = fit$coefficients +
    kronecker(t(c(0,qnorm(0.025),-qnorm(0.025))), t(t(sqrt(diag(vcov(fit))))))
  CI_lower = CI[2,2]
  CI_upper = CI[2,3]
  dev = fit_summary$deviance
  p_new = predict.glm(fit, newdata = tibble(x = 0.01), type = "response")
  return(tibble(model, beta, CI_lower, CI_upper, dev, p_new))
}

results_func(glm_logit, "logit") |>
  rbind(results_func(glm_probit, "probit")) |>
  rbind(results_func(glm_clog, "c-log-log")) |>
  knitr::kable(digits = 4)
```

model	beta	CI_lower	CI_upper	dev	p_new
logit	1.1619	0.8063	1.5175	0.3787	0.0901
probit	0.6864	0.4967	0.8760	0.3137	0.0853
c-log-log	0.7468	0.5323	0.9613	2.2305	0.1282

Comments:

- All models showed a significant relationship between dose and death with significant p-values less than 0.001.
- As the dose increases, the probability of death decreases because all CIs for the dose estimates are positive and do not include zero.
- The probit link model fits the data best since it minimizes residual deviance.
- The probability of death estimated using the probit link model at a dose level of 0.01 was 0.0853.

(b) Suppose that the dose level is in natural logarithm scale, estimate LD50 with 90% confidence interval based on the three models.

Given:

$$Var(\hat{x}_0) = \left(\frac{\partial x_0}{\partial \alpha}\right)^2 Var(\hat{\alpha}) + \left(\frac{\partial x_0}{\partial \beta}\right)^2 Var(\hat{\beta}) + 2\left(\frac{\partial x_0}{\partial \alpha}\right)\left(\frac{\partial x_0}{\partial \beta}\right)Cov(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta})$$

90% CI:

$$[\hat{x}_0 - Z_{0.95} * \sqrt{Var(\hat{x}_0)}, \hat{x}_0 + Z_{0.95} * \sqrt{Var(\hat{x}_0)}]$$

.

Point estimate for LD50 is $exp(\hat{x}_0)$; 90% CI for LD50 is $[e^{\hat{x}_L}, e^{\hat{x}_R}]$.

For model using logit link, when $\pi_0 = 0.5$, $g(\pi_0) = \log(\frac{\pi_0}{1-\pi_0}) = 0 = \alpha + \beta X$. For model using probit link, when $\pi_0 = 0.5$, $g(\pi_0) = \phi^{-1}(\pi_0) = 0 = \alpha + \beta X$. For model using complimentary log-log link, when $\pi_0 = 0.5$, $g(\pi_0) = \log(-\log(1 - \pi_0)) = \log(-\log(0.5)) = \alpha + \beta X$.

Then, for the models using logit link and probit link,

$$\hat{x}_0 = -\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{\hat{\beta}}$$

$$var(\hat{x}_0) = \frac{1}{\hat{\beta}^2} Var(\hat{\alpha}) + \frac{\hat{\alpha}^2}{\hat{\beta}^4} Var(\hat{\beta}) - 2\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{\hat{\beta}^3} Cov(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta})$$

If we use complementary log-log link,

$$\hat{x}_0 = \frac{\log(-\log(0.5)) - \hat{\alpha}}{\hat{\beta}}$$

$$var(\hat{x}_0) = \frac{1}{\hat{\beta}^2} Var(\hat{\alpha}) + \frac{(\log(-\log(0.5)) - \hat{\alpha})^2}{\hat{\beta}^4} Var(\hat{\beta}) + 2\frac{\log(-\log(0.5)) - \hat{\alpha}}{\hat{\beta}^3} Cov(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta})$$

Implementation:

```

LD50_func = function(fit, model){
  alpha = fit$coefficients[1]
  beta = fit$coefficients[2]
  betacov = vcov(fit)
  if(model == "c-log-log"){
    x0fit = (log(-log(0.5)) - alpha) / beta
    varx0 = betacov[1,1]/(beta^2) +
      betacov[2,2]*(log(-log(0.5)) - alpha) ^ 2 / (beta ^ 4) + 2 * betacov[1,2] * (log(-log(0.5)) - alpha) / beta
  }
  else
  {
    x0fit = -alpha / beta
    varx0 = betacov[1,1] / (beta ^ 2) +
      betacov[2,2] * (alpha ^ 2) / (beta ^ 4) -
      2 * betacov[1,2] * alpha / (beta ^ 3)
  }
  estimate = exp(x0fit)
  CI_lower = exp(x0fit - c(qnorm(0.95)) * sqrt(varx0))
  CI_upper = exp(x0fit + c(qnorm(0.95))*sqrt(varx0))
  return(tibble(model, estimate, CI_lower, CI_upper))
}

LD50_func(glm_logit, "logit") |>
  rbind(LD50_func(glm_probit, "probit")) |>
  rbind(LD50_func(glm_clog, "c-log-log")) |>
  knitr::kable(digits = 2)

```

model	estimate	CI_lower	CI_upper
logit	7.39	5.51	9.91
probit	7.44	5.58	9.90
c-log-log	8.84	6.53	11.98

Problem 2

Use logistics regression with logit link, we obtained model:

$$\text{logodds}(\text{Enrolls}) = \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta} * \text{Amount}$$

```

amount=seq(10, 90, by = 5)
offers=c(4, 6, 10, 12, 39, 36, 22, 14, 10, 12, 8, 9, 3, 1, 5, 2, 1)
enrolls=c(0, 2, 4, 2, 12, 14, 10, 7, 5, 5, 3, 5, 2, 0, 4, 2, 1)
data=data.frame(amount, offers, enrolls)

glm_logit_2 = glm(cbind(enrolls, offers - enrolls) ~ amount,
  family = binomial(link = "logit"))
glm_logit_2 |> summary()

##
## Call:
## glm(formula = cbind(enrolls, offers - enrolls) ~ amount, family = binomial(link = "logit"))
##
## Coefficients:

```

```
##           Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept) -1.64764    0.42144  -3.910 9.25e-05 ***
## amount      0.03095    0.00968   3.197 0.00139 **
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
## Null deviance: 21.617  on 16  degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 10.613  on 15  degrees of freedom
## AIC: 51.078
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
```

(a) How does the model fit the data?

Since most of the offers are less than 30, we can assume that the data is sparse. Therefore, we should use the Hosmer-Lemeshow statistic to evaluate the goodness of fit:

```
library(ResourceSelection)
```

```
## ResourceSelection 0.3-6    2023-06-27
```

```
hoslem_stat = hoslem.test(glm_logit_2$y, fitted(glm_logit_2), g = 10)
hoslem_stat
```

```
##
## Hosmer and Lemeshow goodness of fit (GOF) test
##
## data:  glm_logit_2$y, fitted(glm_logit_2)
## X-squared = 1.6111, df = 8, p-value = 0.9907
```

- Since $p\text{-value} = 0.9907 > 0.05$, we failed to reject the null hypothesis and therefore concluded that the model fits the data well at 95% significant level.

(b) How do you interpret the relationship between the scholarship amount and enrollment rate? What is 95% CI?

```
logit_summary = glm_logit_2 |> summary()
beta_exp = exp(logit_summary$coefficients[2])
CI_exp = exp(glm_logit_2$coefficients +
              kronecker(t(c(0,qnorm(0.025), -qnorm(0.025))),t(t(sqrt(diag(vcov(glm_logit_2)))))))
CI_beta_exp = str_c("[", CI_exp[2,2] |> round(2), ", ", CI_exp[2,3] |> round(2), "]", sep = "")
```

- The model shows that there is a significant relationship between the scholarship amount and enrollment rate.
- The 95% confidence interval is [1.01, 1.05].

(c) How much scholarship should we provide to get 40% yield rate (the percentage of admitted students who enroll?) What is the 95% CI?

When $\pi_0 = 0.4$, $g(\pi_0) = \log\left(\frac{\pi_0}{1-\pi_0}\right) = \log\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) = \alpha + \beta X$ Then,

$$\hat{x}_0 = \frac{\log\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) - \hat{\alpha}}{\hat{\beta}}$$

$$var(\hat{x}_0) = \frac{1}{\hat{\beta}^2} Var(\hat{\alpha}) + \frac{\log(\frac{2}{3}) - \hat{\alpha}}{\hat{\beta}^4} Var(\hat{\beta}) + 2 \frac{\log(\frac{2}{3}) - \hat{\alpha}}{\hat{\beta}^3} Cov(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta})$$

Therefore, we can estimate the scholarship amount and its 95% CI as follows:

```
alpha_hat = glm_logit_2$coefficients[1]
beta_hat = glm_logit_2$coefficients[2]
beta_cov = vcov(glm_logit_2) # inverse of fisher-information
x0_hat = (log(2/3) - alpha_hat) / beta_hat
var_x0 =
  beta_cov[1,1] / (beta_hat^2) +
  (log(2/3) - alpha_hat)^2 / (beta_hat^4) * beta_cov[2,2] +
  2 * (log(2/3) - alpha_hat) / beta_hat^3 * beta_cov[1,2]
CI_lower = x0_hat - c(qnorm(0.975)) * sqrt(var_x0)
CI_upper = x0_hat + c(qnorm(0.975)) * sqrt(var_x0)
tibble(estimate = x0_hat, CI_lower, CI_upper) |> knitr::kable(digits = 4)
```

estimate	CI_lower	CI_upper
40.1343	30.583	49.6855

- Therefore, approximately 40,000 dollars scholarship should be provided to get 40% yield rate.
- The 95% CI is [30.583, 49.686].