

# Condorcet Fusion: an implementation

## Improved Retrieval through Rank Fusion

Marco Alfonso, Davide Martini, Giovanni Mazzocchin  
February 9, 2018



UNIVERSITÀ  
DEGLI STUDI  
DI PADOVA

This project implements some ideas coming from a paper by **Aslam** and **Montague**.

These authors applied *Social Choice Theory* to **Rank Fusion**.

They claim that their algorithm beats the ones by **Fox** and **Shaw** most of the time.

**Fox** and **Shaw** devised some simple, score-based fusion formulae:

## CombMAX

$$\text{fused score} = \max(\text{scores})$$

## CombMIN

$$\text{fused score} = \min(\text{scores})$$

## CombSUM

$$\text{fused score} = \text{sum}(\text{scores})$$

**Fox** and **Shaw** devised some simple, score-based fusion formulae:

## CombMED

$$\text{fused score} = \text{median}(\text{scores})$$

## CombANZ

$$\text{fused score} = \frac{\text{CombSUM}}{\#nonZeroScores}$$

## CombMNZ

$$\text{fused score} = \text{CombSUM} \times \#nonZeroScores$$

# Are we comparing apples and oranges?



Of course we can't perform any sensible fusion without **normalization**.

**Lee** came up with two handy formulae:

## Max Norm

$$\text{max\_norm} = \frac{\text{old\_score}}{\text{max\_score}}$$

## Min Max Norm

$$\text{min\_max\_norm} = \frac{\text{old\_score} - \text{min\_score}}{\text{max\_score} - \text{min\_score}}$$

# What about voting systems?



**Metaphor:** *voters are retrieval systems*  
*candidates are documents*

The algorithm inspired by the **Condorcet method** starts from a document comparator known as *Simple Majority Runoff*.

# “Simple Majority Runoff”



Imagine a **contest** between two documents, then the rule below sounds sensible, doesn't it?:

The number of a document's votes is directly related to the number of times it ranks above the other.

The first proposed algorithm isn't well-suited for large collections. It's based on the computation of **Hamiltonian paths** on a graph and has a  $O(n^2k)$  time complexity.