# Discrete Mathematics(H)

Southern University of Science and Technology Mengxuan Wu 12212006

# Assignment 3

Mengxuan Wu

# Q.1

(a)

$$12! = 12 \times 11 \times 10 \times 9 \times 8 \times 7 \times 6 \times 5 \times 4 \times 3 \times 2 \times 1$$

$$= (2^{2} \times 3) \times 11 \times (2 \times 5) \times 3^{2} \times 2^{3} \times 7 \times (2 \times 3) \times 5 \times 2^{2} \times 3 \times 2 \times 1$$

$$= 2^{10} \times 3^{5} \times 5^{2} \times 7 \times 11$$

(b)

$$6560 = 2 \times 3280$$

$$= 2^{2} \times 1640$$

$$= 2^{3} \times 820$$

$$= 2^{4} \times 410$$

$$= 2^{5} \times 205$$

$$= 2^{5} \times 5 \times 41$$

# Q.2

(a)

$$312 = 2 \times 156$$

$$= 2^{2} \times 78$$

$$= 2^{3} \times 39$$

$$= 2^{3} \times 3 \times 13$$

(b)

$$312 \div 97 = 3...21$$
  
 $97 \div 21 = 4...13$   
 $21 \div 13 = 1...8$   
 $13 \div 8 = 1...5$   
 $8 \div 5 = 1...3$   
 $5 \div 3 = 1...2$   
 $3 \div 2 = 1...1$   
 $2 \div 1 = 2...0$ 

Therefore, gcd(312, 97) = 1.

(c)

$$\begin{array}{lll} 1 = & 3 - 1 \times 2 \\ = & 3 - 1 \times (5 - 3) & = 2 \times 3 - 1 \times 5 \\ = & 2 \times (8 - 5) - 1 \times 5 & = 2 \times 8 - 3 \times 5 \\ = & 2 \times 8 - 3 \times (13 - 8) & = 5 \times 8 - 3 \times 13 \\ = & 5 \times (21 - 13) - 3 \times 13 & = 5 \times 21 - 8 \times 13 \\ = & 5 \times 21 - 8 \times (97 - 4 \times 21) & = 37 \times 21 - 8 \times 97 \\ = & 37 \times (312 - 3 \times 97) - 8 \times 97 = 37 \times 312 - 119 \times 97 \end{array}$$

Therefore,  $1 = 37 \times 312 - 119 \times 97$ . Equivalently, s = 37 and t = 119 are the solutions to  $312s + 97t = \gcd(312, 97)$ .

(d)

$$312x \equiv 3 \pmod{97}$$
  
 $37 \cdot 312x \equiv 37 \cdot 3 \pmod{97}$   
 $x \equiv 111 \pmod{97}$   
 $x \equiv 14 \pmod{97}$ 

# Q.3

Let  $d = \gcd(b + a, b - a)$ . By definition,  $d \mid (b + a)$  and  $d \mid (b - a)$ . Therefore,  $d \mid (b + a) + (b - a) = 2b$  and  $d \mid (b + a) - (b - a) = 2a$ . Since  $d \mid 2b$  and  $d \mid 2a$ ,  $d \mid \gcd(2b, 2a) = 2\gcd(b, a) = 2$ .

Hence, d=1 or d=2. Equivalently, we can say that  $gcd(b+a,b-a) \leq 2$ .

Proof.

For any x, y that x = y and  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , we can infer that  $222 \mid 2^y - 2^x = 0$ .

### Q.5

(a)

Yes.

First, we can factorize 561 into  $3 \times 11 \times 17$ . By Fermat's Little Theorem, we have:

$$2^{2} \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$$
$$2^{10} \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$$
$$2^{16} \equiv 1 \pmod{17}$$

Therefore, we can find:

$$2^{560} \equiv 2^{2 \times 280} \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$$
  
 $2^{560} \equiv 2^{10 \times 56} \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$   
 $2^{560} \equiv 2^{16 \times 35} \equiv 1 \pmod{17}$ 

Hence,  $2^{560} \equiv 1 \pmod{561}$ .

(b)

No.

561 is not a prime number, since  $561 = 3 \times 11 \times 17$ .

# Q.6

Proof.

#### **Sufficient Condition:**

Assume, without loss of generality, that  $b \ge a$ . Let  $x = \gcd(a, b)$ ,  $y = \operatorname{lcm}(a, b)$ .

By definition, xy = ab. Since x + y = a + b and a, b are positive integers, we can infer that:

$$(x+y)^2 = (a+b)^2$$

$$(x+y)^2 - 4xy = (a+b)^2 - 4ab$$

$$(x-y)^2 = (a-b)^2$$

$$y-x=b-a$$

$$y-x+x+y=b-a+a+b$$

$$2y=2b$$

$$y=b$$

Therefore, y = b and x = a. Since gcd(a, b) = a, we can infer that  $a \mid b$ .

#### **Necessary Condition:**

Assume, without loss of generality, that  $b \geq a$ .

Since  $a \mid b$ , it is obvious that gcd(a,b) = a and lcm(a,b) = b. Therefore, gcd(a,b) + lcm(a,b) = a + b.

# Q.7

### (1)

Proof by Cases.

Case 1: x is an even number.

Since x is an even number,  $x^2$  is also an even number. Therefore,  $x^2 - 31$  is an odd number and is not divisible by 36.

Hence,  $x^2 \not\equiv 31 \pmod{36}$ .

Case 2: x is an odd number.

Let x = 2k + 1 where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then,  $x^2 = 4k^2 + 4k + 1 = 4k(k+1) + 1$ .

Since 4 is a factor of 36, we can infer that  $x^2 \equiv 31 \pmod{4}$  should also be true. However,  $x^2 \equiv 4k(k+1) + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $31 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , which is a contradiction.

(2)

We can only find two solutions for each of these equations:

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv 14 \text{ or } 17 & \pmod{31} \\ x \equiv 17 \text{ or } 20 & \pmod{37} \end{cases}$$

By Chinese Remainder Theorem, we can find four solutions for this system of linear congruences:

$$x \equiv 17 \text{ or } 572 \text{ or } 575 \text{ or } 1130 \pmod{1147}$$

# Q.8

Proof by Contradiction.

**Lemma 1.** For any positive integers a, m such that  $gcd(a, m) \neq 1$ , there exists a positive integer b where  $b \in \mathbb{Z}_m$  such that  $ab \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ .

Proof.

Let  $d = \gcd(a, m)$ . By definition,  $d \mid a$  and  $d \mid m$ . Assume that a = kd and m = ld where  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ . It's obvious that  $l \in \mathbb{Z}_m$  and  $l \neq 0$ , since  $l = \frac{m}{d}$  and d > 1.

Since  $la \equiv lkd \equiv km \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ , we can infer that b = l is the positive integer we are looking for.

By the lemma above, we can always find a positive integer b where  $b \in \mathbb{Z}_m$  such that  $ab \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ .

If a has an inverse  $\bar{a}$  modulo m, then we have:

$$a\bar{a} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$$
 $ab\bar{a} \equiv b \pmod{m}$ 
 $0\bar{a} \equiv b \pmod{m}$ 
 $0 \equiv b \pmod{m}$ 

This is a contradiction, since  $b \neq 0$  and  $b \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ .

# **Q.9**

(a)

$$321 \div 2 = 160...1$$

$$160 \div 2 = 80...0$$

$$80 \div 2 = 40...0$$

$$40 \div 2 = 20...0$$

$$20 \div 2 = 10...0$$

$$10 \div 2 = 5...0$$

$$5 \div 2 = 2...1$$

$$2 \div 2 = 1...0$$

$$1 \div 2 = 0...1$$

Therefore,  $321_{10} = 101000001_2$ .

(b)

$$1023 = 2^{10} - 1$$

$$= (10000000000 - 1)_2$$

$$= 1111111111_2$$

Therefore,  $1023_{10} = 1111111111_2$ .

(c)

$$100632 \div 2 = 50316...0$$

$$50316 \div 2 = 25158...0$$

$$25158 \div 2 = 12579...0$$

$$12579 \div 2 = 6289...1$$

$$6289 \div 2 = 3144...1$$

$$3144 \div 2 = 1572...0$$

$$1572 \div 2 = 786...0$$

$$786 \div 2 = 393...0$$

$$393 \div 2 = 196...1$$

$$196 \div 2 = 98...0$$

$$98 \div 2 = 49...0$$

$$49 \div 2 = 24...1$$

$$24 \div 2 = 12...0$$

$$12 \div 2 = 6...0$$

$$6 \div 2 = 3...0$$

$$3 \div 2 = 1...1$$

$$1 \div 2 = 0...1$$

Therefore,  $100632_{10} = 11000100100011000_2$ .

# Q.10

Using Bezout's Theorem, there exists integers  $s_n, t_n$  such that:

$$s_1p + t_1q = \gcd(p, q) = 1$$
  
 $s_2p + t_2r = \gcd(p, r) = 1$   
 $s_3q + t_3r = \gcd(q, r) = 1$ 

By multiply these terms together, we have:

$$(s_{1}p + t_{1}q)(s_{2}p + t_{2}r)(s_{3}q + t_{3}r) = s_{1}s_{2}s_{3}p^{2}q + s_{1}s_{2}t_{3}p^{2}r + s_{1}t_{2}s_{3}pqr + s_{1}t_{2}t_{3}pr^{2} + t_{1}s_{2}s_{3}pq^{2} + t_{1}s_{2}t_{3}pqr + t_{1}t_{2}s_{3}q^{2}r + t_{1}t_{2}t_{3}qr^{2}$$

$$= (s_{1}s_{2}s_{3}p + t_{1}s_{2}s_{3}q + s_{1}t_{2}s_{3}r + t_{1}s_{2}t_{3}r)pq$$

$$+ (t_{1}t_{2}s_{3}q + t_{1}t_{2}t_{3}r)qr + (s_{1}s_{2}t_{3}p + s_{1}t_{2}t_{3}r)rp$$

$$= 1$$

Therefore, we find  $a = s_1 s_2 s_3 p + t_1 s_2 s_3 q + s_1 t_2 s_3 r + t_1 s_2 t_3 r$ ,  $b = t_1 t_2 s_3 q + t_1 t_2 t_3 r$  and  $c = s_1 s_2 t_3 p + s_1 t_2 t_3 r$  that satisfy a(pq) + b(qr) + c(rp) = 1.

# Q.11

By Fermat's Little Theorem, we have  $10^{12} \equiv 1 \pmod{13}$ . Therefore, we can infer that:

$$10^{100} \equiv 10^{12 \times 8 + 4} \equiv 10^4 \equiv 3 \pmod{13}$$

Since  $3^3 \equiv 27 \equiv 1 \pmod{13}$  and  $3 \mid 10^{100} - 1$ , we can infer that:

$$(10^{100})^{(10^{100})} \equiv 3^{(10^{100})} \equiv 3^1 \equiv 3 \pmod{13}$$

Hence,  $(10^{100})^{(10^{100})} \equiv 3 \pmod{13}$ .

#### Q.12

(1)

Proof.

$$f(cm) = c + a_1 cm + a_2 c^2 m^2 + a_3 c^3 m^3 + \dots + a_{n-1} c^{n-1} m^{n-1} + c^n m^n$$
  
=  $c(1 + a_1 m + a_2 cm^2 + a_3 c^2 m^3 + \dots + a_{n-1} c^{n-2} m^{n-1} + c^{n-1} m^n)$ 

Therefore, f(cm) is a multiple of c.

(2)

Proof.

We only consider the case when n=cm where  $m\in\mathbb{Z}$ . Since f(n) grows unboundedly to infinity, we can expect an  $m_0$  that for all  $m \ge m_0$ , f(cm) > c.

From the proof above, we can infer that f(cm) is a multiple of c. Therefore,  $\frac{f(cm)}{c}$  is a factor of f(cm) and  $\frac{f(cm)}{c} > 1$ . Since f(cm) > c > 1, f(cm) is a composite number. We can find infinitely many m that  $m \ge m_0$ . Therefore, there exists infinitely many

f(cm) that is not a prime number.

(3)

Proof.

From the proof above, we can infer that when c > 1, there exists infinitely many n that f(n) is not a prime number. When  $c \leq 1$ ,  $f(0) = c \leq 1$  and it will not be a prime

In conclusion, non-constant polynomial f(n) cannot generate only prime numbers for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Proof by Contradiction.

By definition, we know  $2^{\log_2 3} = 3$ .

If  $\log_2 3$  is a rational number, then we can write  $\log_2 3 = \frac{a}{b}$  where  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $b \neq 0$ . Since  $\log_2 3 > 0$ , without loss of generality, we can assume that a > 0 and b > 0.

Therefore, we have:

$$2^{\frac{a}{b}} = 3$$
$$2^a = 3^b$$

This is a contradiction, since  $2^a$  is an even number and  $3^b$  is an odd number.

### Q.14

Proof.

Assume  $\bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2$  are two inverse of a modulo m. Then, we have:

$$\bar{a}_1 a \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$$
 $\bar{a}_2 a \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ 
 $(\bar{a}_1 - \bar{a}_2) a \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ 

Equivalently, we have  $m \mid (\bar{a}_1 - \bar{a}_2)a$ .

#### Lemma 2.

If a, b, c are positive integers such that gcd(a,b) = 1 and  $a \mid bc$ , then  $a \mid c$ .

Since a and m are relatively prime and  $m \mid (\bar{a}_1 - \bar{a}_2)a$ , we can infer that  $m \mid (\bar{a}_1 - \bar{a}_2)$ . Equivalently, we have  $\bar{a}_1 \equiv \bar{a}_2 \pmod{m}$ . Hence, the inverse of a modulo m is unique modulo m.

# Q.15

Proof by Contradiction.

Suppose that there are only finitely many primes of the form 4k+3 where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let them be  $q_1, q_2, ..., q_n$ . Obviously,  $4q_1q_2 \cdots q_n - 1 \equiv -1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ 

Firstly, 2 is not a factor of  $4q_1q_2\cdots q_n-1$ , since  $4q_1q_2\cdots q_n-1\equiv 3\pmod 4$ , which means it is an odd number.

Secondly,  $q_i$  is not a factor of  $4q_1q_2\cdots q_n-1$ , since  $4q_1q_2\cdots q_n-1\equiv -1\pmod{q_i}$  where  $i\in\{1,2,...,n\}$ .

Thirdly, prime factors of  $4q_1q_2\cdots q_n-1$  cannot all be of the form 4k+1, since that:

$$(4k_1+1)^{c_1}(4k_2+1)^{c_2}(4k_3+1)^{c_3}\cdots \equiv 1 \not\equiv 3 \equiv 4q_1q_2\cdots q_n-1 \pmod{4}$$

Since all prime number except 2 can be written as 4k + 1 or 4k + 3, we can infer that  $4q_1q_2\cdots q_n - 1$  must have a prime factor of the form 4k + 3 and is not in the list  $q_1, q_2, ..., q_n$ .

(a)

Using Fermat's Little Theorem, we have:

$$5^{2003} \equiv 5^{333 \times 6 + 5} \equiv 5^5 \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$$
  
 $5^{2003} \equiv 5^{200 \times 10 + 3} \equiv 5^3 \equiv 4 \pmod{11}$   
 $5^{2003} \equiv 5^{166 \times 12 + 11} \equiv 5^{11} \equiv 8 \pmod{13}$ 

(b)

Using Chinese Remainder Theorem, we can find:

$$M_1 = 11 \times 13 = 143$$
  
 $M_2 = 7 \times 13 = 91$   
 $M_3 = 7 \times 11 = 77$ 

Using Extended Euclidean Algorithm, we can find their inverses:

$$5 \times 143 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$$
  
 $4 \times 91 \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$   
 $12 \times 77 \equiv 1 \pmod{13}$ 

Therefore, we have:

$$5^{2003} \equiv 3 \times 5 \times 143 + 4 \times 4 \times 91 + 8 \times 12 \times 77 \pmod{1001}$$
  
 $\equiv 10993 \pmod{1001}$   
 $\equiv 983 \pmod{1001}$ 

# Q.17

Proof.

If  $a \equiv b \pmod{m_i}$  for i = 1, 2, ..., n and  $m_i$  are pairwise relatively prime, then we have:

$$a \equiv b \pmod{m_1}$$
  
 $a \equiv b \pmod{m_2}$   
...  
 $a \equiv b \pmod{m_n}$ 

By definition, we know that  $m_1 \mid (a-b), m_2 \mid (a-b), ..., m_n \mid (a-b)$ .

#### Lemma 3.

If a, b, c are positive integers such that  $a \mid c$  and  $b \mid c$ , then  $lcm(a, b) \mid c$ .

Proof.

Consider the factorization of a and b, we assume that  $a=p_1^{c_1}p_2^{c_2}\cdots p_n^{c_n}$  and  $b=p_1^{d_1}p_2^{d_2}\cdots p_n^{d_n}$  where  $p_i$  are prime numbers and  $c_i,d_i\in\mathbb{Z}$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $c_i+d_i>0$  for all i.

For every prime  $p_i$ , we can infer that  $p_i^{c_i} \mid c$  and  $p_i^{d_i} \mid c$ . Therefore,  $p_i^{\max(c_i,d_i)} \mid c$ . By definition, we know that  $\operatorname{lcm}(a,b) = p_1^{\max(c_1,d_1)} p_2^{\max(c_2,d_2)} \cdots p_n^{\max(c_n,d_n)} \mid c$ .

By the lemma above, we can infer that  $\operatorname{lcm}(m_1, m_2, ..., m_n) \mid (a-b)$ . Since  $m_1, m_2, ..., m_n$  are pairwise relatively prime, we can infer that  $\operatorname{lcm}(m_1, m_2, ..., m_n) = m_1 m_2 \cdots m_n = m$ . Therefore,  $m \mid (a-b)$ . Equivalently, we have  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ .

### Q.18

Proof.

If there exist a, b that are both solution to a system of linear congruences modulo pair wise relatively prime moduli  $m_1, m_2, ..., m_n$ , then we have:

$$a \equiv b \equiv c_1 \pmod{m_1}$$
  
 $a \equiv b \equiv c_2 \pmod{m_2}$   
...  
 $a \equiv b \equiv c_n \pmod{m_n}$ 

By the proof of Q.17, we can infer that  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  where  $m = m_1 m_2 \cdots m_n$ . Equivalently, we say the solution is unique modulo m.

# Q.19

Since these moduli are not pair wise relatively prime, we factorize them into prime numbers. The given conditions can be factorized into:

$$x \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$$
  
 $x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$   
 $x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$ 

Using Chinese Remainder Theorem, we can find:

$$M_1 = 3 \times 5 = 15$$
  
 $M_2 = 2 \times 5 = 10$   
 $M_3 = 2 \times 3 = 6$ 

Using Extended Euclidean Algorithm, we can find their inverses:

$$1 \times 15 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$$
$$1 \times 10 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$$
$$1 \times 6 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$$

Therefore, we have:

$$\begin{array}{ll} x \equiv 1 \times 1 \times 15 + 2 \times 1 \times 10 + 3 \times 1 \times 6 \pmod{30} \\ \equiv 53 \pmod{30} \\ \equiv 23 \pmod{30} \end{array}$$

The solution is of the form x = 23 + 30k where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

These given conditions can be written as:

$$4 \equiv (7a + c) \pmod{11}$$
$$6 \equiv (4a + c) \pmod{11}$$

By subtracting the second equation from the first equation, we have:

$$3a \equiv -2 \pmod{11}$$
  
 $4 \cdot 3a \equiv 4 \cdot -2 \pmod{11}$   
 $a \equiv -8 \pmod{11}$   
 $a \equiv 3 \pmod{11}$ 

Substitute a = 3 into the first equation, we have:

$$21 + c \equiv 4 \pmod{11}$$
$$c \equiv -17 \pmod{11}$$
$$c \equiv 5 \pmod{11}$$

Hence, the next number is  $6 \times 3 + 5 \mod 11 = 1$ .

### Q.21

Proof.

**Proof of**  $\phi(m) \mid \phi(n)$ :

Assume the factorization of m is that  $m=p_1^{c_1}p_2^{c_2}\cdots p_n^{c_n}$  where  $p_i$  are prime numbers and  $c_i\in\mathbb{Z}$ . Furthermore, we assume the factorization of n is that  $n=p_1^{d_1}p_2^{d_2}\cdots p_n^{d_n}q_1^{e_1}q_2^{e_2}\cdots q_m^{e_m}$  where  $q_i$  are prime numbers and  $d_i,e_i\in\mathbb{Z}$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $c_i,d_i,e_i>0$  for all i and  $c_i\leq d_i$ .

Since  $p_1^{d_1}p_2^{d_2}\cdots p_n^{d_n}$  is relatively prime to  $q_1^{e_1}q_2^{e_2}\cdots q_m^{e_m}$ , we can infer that:

$$\phi(n) = \phi(p_1^{d_1} p_2^{d_2} \cdots p_n^{d_n}) \phi(q_1^{e_1} q_2^{e_2} \cdots q_m^{e_m})$$
  
=  $\phi(p_1^{d_1}) \phi(p_2^{d_2}) \cdots \phi(p_n^{d_n}) \phi(q_1^{e_1} q_2^{e_2} \cdots q_m^{e_m})$ 

Then, for every prime factor  $p_i$  of m, we have:

$$\begin{split} \phi(p_i^{d_i}) = & p_i^{d_i} - p_i^{d_i - 1} \\ = & (p_i^{c_i} - p_i^{c_i - 1}) p_i^{d_i - c_i} \\ = & \phi(p_i^{c_i}) p_i^{d_i - c_i} \end{split}$$

Since  $c_i \leq d_i$ , we can infer that  $p_i^{d_i-c_i} \geq 1$ . Therefore,  $\phi(p_i^{c_i}) \mid \phi(p_i^{d_i})$ . Combining all terms, we have  $\phi(m) \mid \phi(n)$ .

**Proof of**  $\phi(mn) = m\phi(n)$ :

For any prime p and positive integers c, d, we have:

$$\phi(p^{c+d}) = p^{c+d} - p^{c+d-1}$$

$$= p^{c}(p^{d} - p^{d-1})$$

$$= p^{c}\phi(p^{d})$$

For  $\phi(mn)$ , we can infer that:

$$\begin{split} \phi(mn) = & \phi(p_1^{c_1+d_1}p_2^{c_2+d_2}\cdots p_n^{c_n+d_n}q_1^{e_1}q_2^{e_2}\cdots q_m^{e_m}) \\ = & \phi(p_1^{c_1+d_1}p_2^{c_2+d_2}\cdots p_n^{c_n+d_n})\phi(q_1^{e_1}q_2^{e_2}\cdots q_m^{e_m}) \\ = & \phi(p_1^{c_1+d_1})\phi(p_2^{c_2+d_2})\cdots\phi(p_n^{c_n+d_n})\phi(q_1^{e_1}q_2^{e_2}\cdots q_m^{e_m}) \\ = & p_1^{c_1}\phi(p_1^{d_1})p_2^{c_2}\phi(p_2^{d_2})\cdots p_n^{c_n}\phi(p_n^{d_n})\phi(q_1^{e_1}q_2^{e_2}\cdots q_m^{e_m}) \\ = & [p_1^{c_1}p_2^{c_2}\cdots p_n^{c_n}][\phi(p_1^{d_1})\phi(p_2^{d_2})\cdots\phi(p_n^{d_n})\phi(q_1^{e_1}q_2^{e_2}\cdots q_m^{e_m})] \\ = & m\phi(n) \end{split}$$

Therefore,  $\phi(mn) = m\phi(n)$ .

# Q.22

Proof.

Since we know n = pq and the value of (p-1)(q-1), then we can find p+q by solving the following equation:

$$(p-1)(q-1) = pq - p - q + 1$$
  
 $(p-1)(q-1) = pq - (p+q) + 1$   
 $p+q = pq - (p-1)(q-1) + 1$ 

Let s = p + q, then we have:

$$p^{2} - ps + pq = p^{2} - p(p+q) + pq$$
$$= p^{2} - p^{2} - pq + pq$$
$$= 0$$

Therefore, p is a root of the equation  $p^2 - ps + pq = 0$ . Then we can find p by solving the quadratic equation. Equivalently, we have:

$$p = \frac{s \pm \sqrt{s^2 - 4n}}{2}$$

Then, we can find q by  $q = \frac{n}{p}$ .

This equation always has two real roots, this is because:

$$s^{2} - 4n = (p + q)^{2} - 4pq$$

$$= p^{2} + 2pq + q^{2} - 4pq$$

$$= p^{2} - 2pq + q^{2}$$

$$= (p - q)^{2}$$

$$> 0$$

And both roots are always positive, since:

$$s - \sqrt{s^2 - 4n} = \sqrt{s^2} - \sqrt{s^2 - 4n} > 0$$

Q.23

(a)

$$\hat{M} = M^e \mod n = 8^7 \mod 65 = 57$$

(b)

Since  $n = 65 = 5 \times 13$ , we can find p = 5 and q = 13. Then, we can find  $\phi(n) = (p-1)(q-1) = 4 \times 12 = 48$ .

The private key d then will be the inverse of e modulo  $\phi(n)$ . Using Extended Euclidean Algorithm, we can find  $7 \times 7 \equiv 1 \pmod{48}$ . Therefore, d = 7.

(c)

$$M = \hat{M}^d \bmod n = 57^7 \bmod 65 = 8$$

# Q.24

Proof by Cases.

Since  $(p-1)(q-1) = \gcd(p-1,q-1) \cdot \operatorname{lcm}(p-1,q-1)$ , we can infer that  $\lambda(n) \mid \phi(n)$ . By definition,  $\gcd(e,\phi(n)) = 1$ , and then we know  $\gcd(e,\lambda(n)) = 1$ . Hence, we can always find d' such that  $ed' \equiv 1 \pmod{\lambda(n)}$ .

Case 1: gcd(M, n) = 1

Since  $ed' \equiv 1 \pmod{\lambda(n)}$ , we can assume that  $ed' - 1 = k\lambda(n)$  where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Also, we can assume  $\lambda(n) = t(p-1) = s(q-1)$  where  $t, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then, we have:

$$C^{d'} \equiv M^{ed'} \pmod{p}$$

$$\equiv M^{k\lambda(n)} \cdot M \pmod{p}$$

$$\equiv M^{kt(p-1)} \cdot M \pmod{p}$$

$$\equiv (M^{p-1})^{kt} \cdot M \pmod{p}$$

Since gcd(M, n) = 1 and n = pq, we know that gcd(M, p) = 1. By Fermat's Little Theorem, we know that  $M^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Therefore, we have:

$$C^{d'} \equiv (M^{p-1})^{kt} \cdot M \pmod{p}$$
$$\equiv 1^{kt} \cdot M \pmod{p}$$
$$\equiv M \pmod{p}$$

Similarly, we can infer that  $C^{d'} \equiv M \pmod{q}$ . Since p and q are relatively prime, we can infer that  $C^{d'} \equiv M \pmod{n}$ .

Case 2: gcd(M, n) = p

To proof  $C^{d'} \equiv M^{ed'} \equiv M \pmod{n}$  is equivalent to proof  $n \mid M(M^{ed'-1} - 1)$ . Since  $p \mid M$  and n = pq, we only need to proof  $q \mid M^{ed'-1} - 1$ . Equivalently, we need to proof  $M^{ed'-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ .

Since  $ed' \equiv 1 \pmod{\lambda(n)}$ , we can assume that  $ed' - 1 = k\lambda(n)$  where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Also, we can assume  $\lambda(n) = t(p-1) = s(q-1)$  where  $t, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then, we have:

$$\begin{split} M^{ed'-1} &\equiv M^{k\lambda(n)} \pmod{q} \\ &\equiv M^{ks(q-1)} \pmod{q} \\ &\equiv (M^{q-1})^{ks} \pmod{q} \end{split}$$

Since gcd(M, n) = p and n = pq, we know that gcd(M, q) = 1 still holds. By Fermat's Little Theorem, we know that  $M^{q-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ .

$$M^{ed'-1} \equiv (M^{q-1})^{ks} \pmod{q}$$
  
 $\equiv 1^{ks} \pmod{q}$   
 $\equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ 

Hence,  $C^{d'} \equiv M \pmod{n}$ .

Case 3: gcd(M, n) = q

Similar to Case 2, we can proof  $C^{d'} \equiv M \pmod{n}$ .

Case 4: gcd(M, n) = n

Since  $0 \le M < n$ , we can infer that M = 0. Therefore,  $C^{d'} \equiv M^{ed'} \equiv 0 \equiv M \pmod{n}$ .