Stationarity: Properties and Examples

↓ For a Weakly Stationary Process, $-1 \le \rho(t) \le 1$

This is, of course, perfectly analogous to the property that $-1 \le \rho \le 1$ from elementary statistics. If you have had a linear algebra course, this may feel familiar (that is, if you showed that $\|x^Ty\| \le \|x\|_2 \|y\|_2$). We know variances are non-negative, so set up a linear combination

$$V[a X_1 + b X_2] \ge 0$$

In particular, in the spirit of autocorrelation, set up for lag spacing τ

$$V[a X(t) + b X(t+\tau)] \ge 0$$

Your probability teacher probably told you (time and time again) that

$$V[X + Y] = V[X] + V[Y] + 2 cov(X, Y)$$

As well as

$$V[aX] = a^2V[X]$$

So, immediately,

$$V[a\,X(t)+b\,X(t+\tau)]=a^2V[X(t)]+b^2V[X(t+\tau)]+2ab\,cov\big(X(t),X(t+\tau)\big)\geq 0$$

We are assuming weak stationarity, so replace variance operators with a notation which suggests constants

$$a^2\sigma^2 + b^2\sigma^2 + 2ab cov(X(t), X(t+\tau)) \ge 0$$

Two special cases: (1) Let a = b = 1

$$2 \sigma^2 \ge -2cov(X(t), X(t+\tau)), \quad \sigma^2 \ge -cov(X(t), X(t+\tau))$$

$$1 \ge -\frac{cov(X(t), X(t+\tau))}{\sigma^2} = -\frac{\gamma(\tau)}{\gamma(0)} = -\rho(\tau)$$

This gives us

$$\rho(\tau) \ge -1$$

(2) Let
$$a = 1, b = -1$$

It's your turn- take a moment to show

$$\rho(\tau) \le 1$$

We have already seen a few simple models: noise, random walks, and moving averages. Can we now show that some of our simple models are, in fact, weakly stationary?

Examples

♣ White Noise

Is it obvious to you that Gaussian white noise is weakly stationary? Consider a discrete family of independent, identically distributed normal random variables

$$X_t \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma)$$

The mean function $\mu(t)$ is obviously constant, so look at

$$\gamma(t_1, t_2) = \begin{cases} 0 & t_1 \neq t_2 \\ \sigma^2 & t_1 = t_2 \end{cases}$$

And

$$\rho(t_1, t_2) = \begin{cases} 0 & t_1 \neq t_2 \\ 1 & t_1 = t_2 \end{cases}$$

We are evidently weakly stationary, and could even show strict stationarity if we wanted to.

Random Walks

Simple random walks are obviously *not* stationary. Think of a walk with N steps built off of IID Z_t where $E[Z_t] = \mu$, $V[Z_t] = \sigma^2$. We would create

$$X_{1} = Z_{1}$$

$$X_{2} = X_{1} + Z_{2}$$

$$X_{3} = X_{2} + Z_{3} = X_{1} + X_{2} + X_{3}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$X_{t} = X_{t-1} + Z_{t} = \sum_{i=1}^{t} Z_{i}$$

For the mean, using the idea that "the mean of the sum is the sum of the means":

$$E[X_t] = E\left[\sum_{i=1}^t Z_i\right] = \sum_{i=1}^t E[Z_i] = t \cdot \mu$$

For the variance, using the idea that "the variance of the sum is the sum of the variances when the random variables are independent":

$$V[X_t] = V\left[\sum_{i=1}^t Z_i\right] = \sum_{i=1}^t V[Z_i] = t \cdot \sigma^2$$

(Independent random variables have variances which add. All random variables have means which add).

Even if $\mu = 0$ the variances will still increase along the time series.

\blacksquare Moving Average Processes, MA(q)

A moving average process will create a new set of random variables from an old set, just like the random walk does, but now we build them as, for IID Z_t with $E[Z_t] = 0$ and $V[Z_t] = \sigma_Z^2$

$$MA(q)$$
 process: $X_t = \beta_0 Z_t + \beta_1 Z_{t-1} + \dots + \beta_q Z_{t-q}$

The parameter q tells us how far back to look along the white noise sequence for our average. Since the Z_t are independent, we immediately have (using the usual linear operator results)

$$\begin{split} E[X_t] &= \beta_0 E[Z_t] + \beta_1 E[Z_{t-1}] + \dots + \beta_q E\big[Z_{t-q}\big] = 0 \\ V[X_t] &= \beta_0^2 V[Z_t] + \beta_1^2 V[Z_{t-1}] + \dots + \beta_q^2 V\big[Z_{t-q}\big] = \sigma_Z^2 \sum_{i=0}^q \beta_i^2 \end{split}$$

The autocovariance isn't all that hard to find either. Consider random variables k steps apart and set up their covariance.

$$cov[X_{t}, X_{t+k}] = cov[\beta_{0}Z_{t} + \beta_{1}Z_{t-1} + \dots + \beta_{q}Z_{t-q}]$$
$$\beta_{0}Z_{t+k} + \beta_{1}Z_{t+k-1} + \dots + \beta_{q}Z_{t+k-q}]$$

This is a little tricky, but please stay focused. There are two numbers to keep track of, the lag spacing k and the support of the MA process, q.

Now

$$cov[X_t, X_{t+k}] = E[X_t \cdot X_{t+k}] - E[X_t]E[X_{t+k}] = E[X_t \cdot X_{t+k}]$$

Since $E[X_t] = 0$ we really just need

$$E[X_{t} \cdot X_{t+k}] = E[(\beta_{0}Z_{t} + \beta_{1}Z_{t-1} + \dots + \beta_{q}Z_{t-q}) \cdot (\beta_{0}Z_{t+k} + \beta_{1}Z_{t+k-1} + \dots + \beta_{q}Z_{t+k-q})]$$

We can rely on matrix results concerning linear combinations of random variables or just work directly. The patient among us will write out

$$\begin{split} E[X_t \cdot X_{t+k}] &= \beta_0 \beta_0 E[Z_t \, Z_{t+k}] + \beta_0 \beta_1 E[Z_t \, Z_{t+k-1}] + \dots + \beta_0 \beta_q E\big[Z_t \, Z_{t+k-q}\big] \\ &+ \beta_1 \beta_0 E[Z_{t-1} \, Z_{t+k}] + \beta_1 \beta_1 E[Z_{t-1} \, Z_{t+k-1}] + \dots + \beta_1 \beta_q E\big[Z_{t-1} \, Z_{t+k-q}\big] \\ &+ \dots + \end{split}$$

$$\beta_q \beta_0 E[Z_{t-q} Z_{t+k}] + \beta_q \beta_1 E[Z_{t-q} Z_{t+k-1}] + \cdots + \beta_q \beta_q E[Z_{t-q} Z_{t+k-q}]$$

The key to simplifying this is to notice that, since the Z_t are independent, we can say that the expectation of the product is the product of the expectations and so we have

$$E[Z_i \cdot Z_j] = E[Z_i]E[Z_j] = \begin{cases} 0 & i \neq j \\ \sigma_Z^2 & i = j \end{cases}$$

When the lag spacing k is greater than the order of the process q then the subscripts can never be the same (there is no overlap on the underlying Z_t 's) and we have $cov[X_t, X_{t+k}] = 0$. When the lag spacing is small enough to have contributions, that is if $q - k \ge 0$, you can visualize the sum like this (we just need to keep track of the β 's):

This should make clear that, when $k \leq q$

$$E[X_t, X_{t+k}] = \sigma_Z^2 \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{q-k} \beta_i \, \beta_{i+k} \quad (no \ t \ dependence)$$

Summing up, then, we have found that

$$\gamma(t_1, t_2) = \gamma(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & k > q \\ \sigma_Z^{q-k} & c \leq q \end{cases}$$

$$\sigma_Z^{q-k} \beta_i \beta_{i+k} \quad k \leq q$$

We know that the mean function is constant, in fact $\mu(t) = 0$ and the autocovariance function has no t dependence, so we conclude that the MA(q) process is (weakly) stationary.

Let's finish this lecture by finding the autocorrelation function. In general

$$\rho(k) = \frac{\gamma(k)}{\gamma(0)}$$

Obviously, then $\rho(0) = 1$. It is easy to see that

$$\gamma(0) = \sigma_Z^2 \cdot \sum_{i=0}^q \beta_i \, \beta_i = \sigma_Z^2 \cdot \sum_{i=0}^q \beta_i^2$$

Finally

$$\rho(k) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{q-k} \beta_i \, \beta_{i+k}}{\sum_{i=0}^{q} \beta_i^2}$$

In the next lecture we will simulate an MA(q) process and validate these results numerically.