Project 6 -- Final Project (93.5/100)

Documentation (8.5/10)

·   Readme is phenomenal

·   Not great git commits

        o   "commit" and "update fileName" are not descriptive

·   In code documentation is okay **-0.5**

        o   None in the models, and more could be explained in the controllers.

Correctness + Testing (28/30)

·   No validation when attempting to create a review without a comment - throws an error. -2

·   Handles most other malicious inputs

·   All other functionality is good.

·   Testing is very good, many cases and assertions. 5 error, not worried about that.

Functionality/Features (23/25)

·   Login functionality is effective and properly enforced.

·   Support for multiple courses, teams, and projects.

·   I thought the way you guys implemented the adding students to team in the table was effective

·   Scoring mechanics are minimal. -1

        o   No explanation of the grading range, and any valid integer can be entered.

·   "Some level of data analysis or aggregation present" -1

Aesthetics (9/10)

·   Enjoy the use of bootstrap!

·   Website overall is clean, easy to navigate.

·   Uses of a nonconsistent color palette was extremely distracting while using **-1**

Style – HTML/CSS/JS (8/8)

·   Good job

Style - Ruby (7/7)

·   Good job

Design - HTML/CSS/JS (5/5)

·   Good job

Design - Ruby/Rails (5/5)

- Good job