Homework 4 Theory

Question 1

Assume X is a discrete random variable that takes values in $\{1,2,3\}$, with probability defined by

$$\Pr(X = 1) = \theta_1$$

 $\Pr(X = 2) = 2\theta_1$
 $\Pr(X = 3) = \theta_2$

where $\theta = [\theta_1, \theta_2]$ is an unknown parameter to be estimated.

Now assume we observe a sequence $D:=\left\{x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\ldots,x^{(n)}\right\}$ that is independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) from the distribution. We assume the number of observations of the values: 1,2,3 in D are s_1,s_2,s_3 , respectively.

(a) To ensure that $\Pr(X=i)$ is a valid probability mass function, what constraint should we put on $\theta=[\theta_1,\theta_2]$? Write your answers quantitatively as expressions that include θ_1 and θ_2 .

As these proabilities make up a complete pmf, we know that each probability is between 0 and 1 and that the sum of them is equal to 1.

$$\theta_1 + 2\theta_1 + \theta_2 = 1.$$

Which can be simplified to:

$$3\theta_1 + \theta_2 = 1.$$

As mentioned above the constraints are that these probabilities are the only probabilities that make up the pmf and that they are non-negative and their sum is exactly equal to 1.

(b) Write down the joint probability of the data sequence

$$\Pr(D \mid heta) = \Pr\Big(\Big\{x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)}\Big\} \mid heta\Big)$$

and the $\log \operatorname{probability} \log \Pr(D \mid \theta)$.

Given the probabilities above as well as the counts s_1, s_2, s_3 respectively, the joint probability is below:

$$\Pr(D \mid heta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \Pr(X = x^{(i)} \mid heta).$$

Since we know the count of observations for each respective probability, we can expand it as follows:

$$\Pr(D \mid \theta) = \theta_1^{s_1} \cdot (2\theta_1)^{s_2} \cdot \theta_2^{s_3}.$$

To find the log probability, we can first combine terms to separate off the θ s and then apply the log of each side:

$$\log \Pr(D \mid heta) = \log ig(heta_1^{s_1 + s_2} \cdot 2^{s_2} \cdot heta_2^{s_3}ig).$$

Which simplifies to:

$$\log \Pr(D \mid \theta) = (s_1 + s_2) \log \theta_1 + s_2 \log 2 + s_3 \log \theta_2.$$

(c) Calculate the maximum likelihood estimation $\hat{ heta}$ of heta based on the sequence D.

First in order to reduce the number of varibales we will simplify the expression by using θ_2 in terms of θ_1 by using the fact that the probabilities sum to 1:

$$\theta_2 = 1 - 3\theta_1$$
.

Substitute this into our previous expression in part b:

$$\log \Pr(D \mid \theta) = (s_1 + s_2) \log \theta_1 + s_2 \log 2 + s_3 \log (1 - 3\theta_1).$$

Now we will maximize this function with respect to θ_1 by taking the derivative and setting it equal to 0:

$$rac{d}{d heta_1}[(s_1+s_2)\log heta_1+s_2\log 2+s_3\log(1-3 heta_1)]=0.$$

Which becomes:

$$\frac{s_1 + s_2}{\theta_1} - \frac{3s_3}{1 - 3\theta_1} = 0.$$

Solving for θ_1 we get:

$$heta_1 = rac{s_1 + s_2}{3(s_1 + s_2 + s_3)}.$$

Now we solve for $heta_2$ by using the substitution expression we used earlier to get:

$$heta_2 = 1 - 3 \left(rac{s_1 + s_2}{3(s_1 + s_2 + s_3)}
ight),$$

Which becomes:

$$\theta_2=\frac{s_3}{s_1+s_2+s_3}.$$

Thus, the MLE is equal to θ_1 and θ_2 that are solved for above.

Question 2

Let $\left\{x^{(1)},\dots,x^{(n)}\right\}$ be an *i.i.d.* sample from an exponential distribution, whose the density function is defined as

$$f(x\mid eta) = rac{1}{eta} ext{exp}igg(-rac{x}{eta}igg), \quad ext{ for } \quad 0 \leq x < \infty$$

Please find the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) of the parameter β . Show your work.

To solve for this we will do the exact same process that we did above for θ but instead solve for the MLE of β .

First we define the likelihood function from the density function given above:

$$L(eta \mid x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left(rac{1}{eta} \mathrm{exp}igg(-rac{x^{(i)}}{eta}igg)
ight).$$

Now we apply the log to the function in order to find the log likliehood function:

$$\ell(eta \mid x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)}) = \log\Biggl(\left(rac{1}{eta}
ight)^n \exp\Biggl(-rac{1}{eta} \sum_{i=1}^n x^{(i)}\Biggr) \Biggr).$$

Which simplifies to:

$$\ell(eta \mid x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)}) = -n \log eta - rac{1}{eta} \sum_{i=1}^n x^{(i)}.$$

Now we find the derivative, by using the fact that if f(x) = log(x) then $f'(x) = \frac{1}{x}$, and set it equal to 0:

$$rac{\partial \ell(eta \mid x^{(1)},\ldots,x^{(n)})}{\partial eta} = -nrac{1}{eta} + rac{1}{eta^2} \sum_{i=1}^n x^{(i)} = 0$$

We will simplify the expression by multiply by β^2 and then solving for β :

$$-neta+\sum_{i=1}^n x^{(i)}=0$$

$$eta=rac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n x^{(i)}=ar{x}$$

So the MLE of β is simplified to equal the sample mean of the dataset, which makes sense given the density function provided.

Question 3

(a) Assume that you want to investigate the proportion (θ) of defective items manufactured at a production line. You take a random sample of 30 items and found 5 of them were defective. Assume the prior of θ is a uniform distribution on [0,1]. Please compute the posterior of θ . It is sufficient to write down the posterior density function upto a normalization constant that does not depend on θ .

We are given:

- N = 30
- D = 5
- Prior distribution: $\theta \sim \text{Uniform}(0,1)$

The likelihood function for the data given θ is a binomial distribution as we know that it is a binary choice between defective or not defective samples:

$$\Pr(D \mid heta) = inom{N}{D} heta^D (1- heta)^{N-D}.$$

The prior we will denote as $P(\theta_0)$. By Bayes' theorem, we know that the posterior is proportional to the product of the likelihood and the prior as seen below:

$$\pi(\theta \mid D) \propto \Pr(D \mid \theta) P(\theta_0)$$

Since we know that $P(\theta_0)$ is a uniform distribution, we know it is equal to 1. Therefore we have:

$$\pi(heta\mid D) \propto inom{30}{5} heta^5 (1- heta)^{25} \cdot 1.$$

We can remove the constant coefficient $\binom{30}{5}$ since it does not depend on θ , as stated in the problem.

$$\pi(\theta \mid D) \propto \theta^5 (1-\theta)^{25}$$
.

*(b) Assume an observation $D:=\left\{x^{(1)},\dots,x^{(n)}\right\}$ is *i.i.d.* drawn from a Gaussian distribution $\mathcal{N}(\mu,1)$, with an unknown mean μ and a variance of 1 . Assume the prior distribution of μ is $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$. Please derive the posterior distribution $p(\mu\mid D)$ of μ given data D.

We will use Bayes' theorem to combine elements of the likelihood function and the prior.

From the lectures, using a Gaussian distribution $\mathcal{N}(\mu, 1)$, we can define the likelihood function as:

$$p(D\mid \mu) = \prod_{i=1}^n rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \mathrm{exp}igg(-rac{(x^{(i)}-\mu)^2}{2}igg).$$

Therefore:

$$p(D \mid \mu) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \exp igg(-\sum_{i=1}^n rac{(x^{(i)} - \mu)^2}{2} igg).$$

From the given problem statement, we can define the prior with $\mu \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ as:

$$p(\mu) = rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \mathrm{exp}igg(-rac{\mu^2}{2}igg).$$

Substituting the likelihood and prior being proportional to the posterior, we get:

$$p(\mu\mid D) \propto (2\pi)^{-n/2} \exp\Biggl(-\sum_{i=1}^n rac{(x^{(i)}-\mu)^2}{2}\Biggr) \cdot rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\Biggl(-rac{\mu^2}{2}\Biggr).$$

Simplifying:

$$\propto \sum_{i=1}^n rac{(x^{(i)}-\mu)^2}{2} + rac{\mu^2}{2}$$

$$\propto rac{1}{2} \Biggl(\sum_{i=1}^n (x^{(i)} - \mu)^2 + \mu^2 \Biggr) \,.$$

Expanding $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x^{(i)} - \mu)^2$:

$$\propto rac{1}{2} \Biggl(\sum_{i=1}^n (x^{(i)})^2 - 2\mu \sum_{i=1}^n x^{(i)} + n\mu^2 + \mu^2 \Biggr)$$

$$\propto rac{1}{2} \Biggl(\sum_{i=1}^n (x^{(i)})^2 - 2 \mu \sum_{i=1}^n x^{(i)} + (n+1) \mu^2 \Biggr) \, .$$

We can recognize the potential here for completing the square of the quadratic form which we will do by taking the exponent part of μ . First we will substitute by stating $S = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x^i)$ (doing it this to make it simpler in Latex!):

$$(n+1)\mu^2 - 2\mu S = (n+1)\left(\mu^2 - rac{2\mu S}{n+1}
ight)
onumber$$
 $= (n+1)igg(\mu - rac{S}{n+1}igg)^2 - rac{S^2}{n+1}.$

So it becomes:

$$-rac{1}{2}igg((n+1)igg(\mu-rac{S}{n+1}igg)^2-rac{S^2}{n+1}+S^2igg)\,.$$

From this quadratic we can therefore derive the mean which is the value that makes the squared term zero, where $S=\sum_{i=1}^n (x^i)$:

$$\mu = \frac{S}{n+1}.$$

While the variance is the inverse of the coefficient of the quadratic term of μ :

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n+1}.$$

Lastly we have:

$$p(\mu \mid D) = \mathcal{N}\left(rac{\sum_{i=1}^n x^{(i)}}{n+1}, rac{1}{n+1}
ight)$$