

# I2CN exercise sheet 3

Dimitri Tabatadze ~ 2025-03-25

---

## 1 Leader election in rings

Assume anonymous processes, indirect addressing, randomization and known ring size  $N$ . Give pseudo code of a probabilistic algorithm for leader election.

**Hint:** you have to deal with duplicates in the random choices, possibly repeatedly.

### Solution

```
leader_elected = False
am_leader = False
participating = True
am_unique = True

while not leader_elected:
    if participating:
        ov = 0 if not participating else 1 + rand()
        v = ov
        for _ in range(N):
            send(v)

            r = recv()
            if r == "LEADER_ELECTED":
                v = "LEADER_ELECTED"
                leader_elected = True
            elif r > v:
                v = r
                participating = False
            elif r == v:
                am_unique = False
        am_leader = v == ov and am_unique
    if am_leader:
        send("LEADER_ELECTED")
        leader_elected = True
```

## 2 Reconsider exercise 1

When the algorithm in exercise 1 terminates it always has solved leader election. Show that this cannot work with unknown ring size for any algorithm.

**Hint:** I explained the solution in the classroom.

## Solution

Assume the ring has size  $N$  and the processes, without knowing the size, elected a leader  $p_l$ . If we were to have made the ring twice as large with the new processes being identical copies of the original processes as follows:

$$p_i = p_{i+N} \forall i \in [0 : N - 1] \quad (1)$$

the processes would not know the difference between  $p_l$  and  $p_{l+N}$  and they would elect two leaders.

### 3 One's complement numbers

Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{B}^n$  and  $s \in \mathbb{B}^{n+1}$  and  $\llbracket a \rrbracket + \llbracket b \rrbracket = \llbracket s \rrbracket$ . Prove or disprove

1. sign extension:  $\llbracket a_{n-1}a \rrbracket = \llbracket a \rrbracket$
2. detecting overflow:  $\llbracket s \rrbracket \notin OC_n \leftrightarrow s_n \neq s_{n-1}$

**Hint:** look at the proof of similar statements for two's complement numbers.

## Solution

1. •  $\neg a_{n-1}: \llbracket a \rrbracket \geq 0 \rightarrow \llbracket a \rrbracket = \llbracket 0a \rrbracket$   
 •  $a_{n-1}: \llbracket a \rrbracket \leq 0 \rightarrow \llbracket a \rrbracket = -\llbracket \bar{a} \rrbracket = -\llbracket 0\bar{a} \rrbracket = \llbracket 1a \rrbracket$
2. First, by definition.

$$\llbracket s \rrbracket \notin OC_n \rightarrow \llbracket s \rrbracket \in [-2^n + 1 : 2^n - 1] \setminus [-2^{n-1} + 1 : 2^{n-1} - 1] \quad (2.1)$$

$$\rightarrow \llbracket s \rrbracket \in [-2^n + 1 : -2^{n-1}] \vee \llbracket s \rrbracket \in [2^{n-1} : 2^n - 1] \quad (2.2)$$

Now consider the cases:

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \llbracket s \rrbracket \in [2^{n-1} : 2^n - 1]: \\ \rightarrow s_{n-1} \wedge \neg s_n \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \llbracket s \rrbracket \in [-2^n + 1 : -2^{n-1}]: \\ \rightarrow s_n \wedge \neg s_{n-1} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

so  $\llbracket s \rrbracket \notin OC_n \rightarrow s_n \neq s_{n-1}$ . Now consider  $s_n \neq s_{n-1}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \neg s_n \wedge s_{n-1}: \\ \neg s_n \rightarrow \llbracket s \rrbracket \geq 0, \quad s_{n-1} \rightarrow \llbracket s \rrbracket \geq 2^{n-1} \rightarrow \llbracket s \rrbracket \notin OC_n \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$$\bullet s_n \wedge \neg s_{n-1}:$$

$$s_n \rightarrow \llbracket s \rrbracket \leq 0, \quad \neg s_{n-1} \rightarrow \llbracket s \rrbracket \leq -2^{n-1} \rightarrow \llbracket s \rrbracket \notin OC_n \quad (6)$$

## 4 Correctness of Polynomial Division

show the following properties of the division algorithm for polynomials

$$f_{i+1} = f - q_i g \forall i \quad (7.1)$$

$$a_{i,n-1} \neq 0 \rightarrow \deg(f_{i+1}) < \deg(f_i) \quad (7.2)$$

What are the outputs (quotient and remainder) of the division algorithm?

### Solution

$$\bullet \quad f_{i+1} = f_i - t_i g = (f_{i-1} - t_{i-1} g) - t_i g = \dots = f - (t_0 + \dots + t_i) g = f - q_i g \quad (8)$$

$$\bullet \quad a_{i,n-i} \neq 0 \wedge b_m \neq 0 \rightarrow c_i \neq 0 \quad (9.1)$$

$$\rightarrow f_{i+1} = f_i - g t_i \quad (9.2)$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{n-i} a_{i,j} x^j - \sum_{j=0}^m b_j x^j x^{n-m-i} \left( \frac{a_{i,n-i}}{b_m} \right) \quad (9.3)$$

$$= \cancel{a_{i,n-i} x^{n-i}} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-i-1} a_{i,j} x^j - \cancel{b_m x^{n-i} \left( \frac{a_{i,n-i}}{b_m} \right)} - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} b_j x^j x^{n-m-i} \left( \frac{a_{i,n-i}}{b_m} \right) \quad (9.4)$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{n-i-1} a_{i,j} x^j - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} b_j x^j x^{n-m-i} \left( \frac{a_{i,n-i}}{b_m} \right) \quad (9.5)$$

and therefore the degree of  $f_i$  is greater than  $f_{i+1}$  given that  $a_{i,n-i} \neq 0$ .

## 5 CRC Computation

Consider message  $u = 1010001101$  and generator polynomial  $x^5 + x^4 + x^2 + 1$  as the divisor.

1. What polynomial division is performed?
2. Compute the result.
3. What message is sent in binary?

### Solution

1.  $x^5 \cdot (x^9 + x^7 + x^3 + x^2 + 1)$  should be divided by the generator  $x^5 + x^4 + x^2 + 1$

2.

$$\begin{array}{r}
 x^9 + x^8 + x^6 + x^4 + x^2 + x \\
 \overline{x^{14} + x^{12} + x^{11} + x^9 + x^6 + x^4 + x^5} \\
 x^{14} + x^{13} + x^{11} + x^9 \\
 \hline
 x^{13} + x^{12} + x^{11} + x^9 + x^8 + x^7 + x^5 \\
 x^{13} + x^{12} + x^{10} + x^8 \\
 \hline
 x^{11} + x^{10} + x^9 + x^7 + x^5 \\
 x^{11} + x^{10} + x^9 + x^8 + x^6 + x^5 \\
 \hline
 x^9 + x^8 + x^7 + x^6 + x^5 \\
 x^9 + x^8 + x^6 + x^4 \\
 \hline
 x^7 + x^5 + x^4 \\
 x^7 + x^6 + x^4 + x^2 \\
 \hline
 x^6 + x^5 + x^2 \\
 x^6 + x^5 + x^3 + x \\
 \hline
 x^3 + x^2 + x
 \end{array}
 = x^5 \cdot (x^9 + x^8 + x^3 + x^2 + 1)$$

$u = 101000110101110$

Figure 1: the division

3. What should be sent is 101000110101110.