



Introduction to Theory of Computation Kikutadze, Lomauridze, Melikidze & Nadareishvili Summer semester 2024

Exercises below are your homework; they will be discussed during exercise classes. Problems marked with a (*) are more challenging.

Week 6

- 1. Show that Predicate $x \mid y$, that is a function $|: \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0 \to \{\text{true}, \text{ false}\}$, given by $x \mid y = \text{true}$ if and only if x divides y is primitive recursive.
- 2. In the Exercise for Week 5, you showed that a primitive recursive function f, the functions of bounded sum and bounded product of f, respectively given by

$$bsum_f(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}, y) = \sum_{i=0}^{y} f(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}, i), bprod_f(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}, y) = \prod_{i=0}^{y} f(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}, i)$$

are also primitive recursive.

Now, conclude (by proving) that primitive recursive predicates are closed under bounded quantification. That is, show that if P on \mathbb{N}_0^{k+1} is a primitive recursive predicate, then so are the predicates $\forall z \leq y(P(x_0, \ldots, x_{k-1}, z) = \text{true})$ and $\exists z \leq y(P(x_0, \ldots, x_{k-1}, z) = \text{true})$.

- 3. (*) In the lecture we sketched a proof that not every computable function is primitive recursive.
 - a) Where does this proof fail for μ -recursive functions?
- b) Where does this proof fail if we only consider total (defined everywhere) μ -recursive functions? Note that the set of μ -recursive functions, as well as its proper subset of total μ -recursive function are countably infinite.
- 4. What follows are the exercises from the lecture on Turing Machine. See the mentioned lecture for precise definitions.

Construct a Turing machine for

- (a) "decrementing binary numbers." That is, given an input of a binary number bin(n), it gives an output bin(n-1);
- (b) "concatenating tape inscriptions." That is two machines, one giving tape1 = tape1#tape2 and the other tape1 = tape2#tape1.
- (c) "head and tail of tapes." That machines giving tape2 = head(tape1) and tape2 = tail(tape1).

Here, $\forall z \leq y(P(x_0,\ldots,x_{k-1},z) = \text{true})$ is an \mathbb{N}_0^{k+1} predicate which is true for (a_0,\ldots,a_{k-1},a_k) if and only if $P(a_0,\ldots,a_{k-1},a_k) = \text{true}$ for all $a_k \leq y$; and $\exists z \leq y(P(x_0,\ldots,x_{k-1},z) = \text{true})$ is an \mathbb{N}_0^{k+1} predicate which is true for (a_0,\ldots,a_{k-1},a_k) if and only if $P(a_0,\ldots,a_{k-1},a_k) = \text{true}$ for some $a_k \leq y$.