



# THEORY OF COMPUTATION EXERCISE FOR TTF (4)

Dimitri Tabatadze · Friday 22-03-2024

## Problem 4.1:

**! TODO !**  
**! TODO !**  
**! TODO !**

## Problem 4.2:

The equivalent grammar in Chomsky normal form would be  $(\{S, A, B, U, V, X, Y\}, \{0, 1\}, P, S)$  with productions

$$\begin{array}{ll} S \rightarrow XA, & S \rightarrow YB, \\ A \rightarrow YS, & B \rightarrow XS, \\ A \rightarrow 0, & B \rightarrow 1, \\ A \rightarrow XU, & B \rightarrow YV, \\ U \rightarrow AA, & V \rightarrow BB, \\ X \rightarrow 1, & Y \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

## Problem 4.3:

- (1) Let  $G_1 = (N_1, T_1, P_1, S_1)$  be a grammar with  $L(G_1) = L$  and  $G_2 = (N_2, T_2, P_2, S_2)$  be a grammar with  $L(G_2) = L'$ . The combined grammar

$$G = (N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \{\xi\}, T_1 \cup T_2, P_1 \cup P_2 \cup \{(\xi, S_1), (\xi, S_2)\}, \xi)$$

would have  $L(G) = L \cup L'$ .

- (2) Let  $M = \{a^n b^n c^k : n, k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ ,  $N = \{a^k b^n c^n : n, k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  and  $L = \overline{M} \cup \overline{N}$ . Assume that the complement of a context free language is also context free. Then  $\overline{M}$  and  $\overline{N}$  are context-free, therefore  $L$  will be context-free aswell. By our assumption  $\overline{L}$  should be context free but we see that

$$\overline{L} = \overline{(\overline{M} \cup \overline{N})} = N \cap M = \underbrace{\{a^n b^n c^n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}}_{\text{not context free}}.$$

We have a contradiction — complement of a context free grammar is not always context free.

## Problem 4.4:

Let  $M = (Z, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, z_0, Z_A)$

- (1) We can set  $M' = (Z, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta', z_0, Z_A)$  and  $\delta'(\alpha, \varepsilon, \beta) = \begin{cases} \{(\alpha, \text{pop})\} & \text{if } \alpha \in Z_A \wedge \beta \neq \varepsilon \\ \{\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

- (2) We can set  $M' = (Z, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, z_0, Z)$ .

### Problem 4.5:

*Proof:* We can employ the construction:

If a state transition wants to push a sequence of symbols on the store

$$(\omega, \text{push } ABCD) \in \delta(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$$

it would first transition through a sequence of intermediate states

$$\{(\langle(\alpha, \omega, ABCD)_1\rangle, \text{push } A)\} = \delta(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$$

$$\{(\langle(\alpha, \omega, ABCD)_2\rangle, \text{push } B)\} = \delta(\langle(\alpha, \omega, ABCD)_1\rangle, \varepsilon, \varepsilon)$$

$$\{(\langle(\alpha, \omega, ABCD)_3\rangle, \text{push } C)\} = \delta(\langle(\alpha, \omega, ABCD)_2\rangle, \varepsilon, \varepsilon)$$

$$\{(\omega, \text{push } D)\} = \delta(\langle(\alpha, \omega, ABCD)_3\rangle, \varepsilon, \varepsilon).$$

This way, the sequence  $ABCD$  will get pushed on the store and the state will become  $\alpha$ . □