



THEORY OF COMPUTATION EXERCISE FOR TTF (week 6)

Dimitri Tabatadze · Saturday 06-04-2024

Problem 6.1:

Show that Predicate $x \mid y$, that is a function $\mid : \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \{\text{true}, \text{false}\}$, given by $x \mid y = \text{true}$ if and only if x divides y , is primitive recursive.

Solution

We can define

$$x \mid y = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = y \vee y = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } (x = 0 \vee y < x) \wedge y \neq 0 \\ x \mid y - x & \text{if } y > x \wedge x \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\text{divides}(x, y) = C_{x=y \vee y=0}(x, y) + C_{y>x \wedge x \neq 0}(x, y) \cdot \text{divides}(x, y \div x)$$

Every operation and function used for this definition is primitive recursive, so the function itself is also primitive recursive. ■

Problem 6.2:

In the Exercise for Week 5, you showed that a primitive recursive function f , the functions of *bounded sum* and *bounded product* of f , respectively given by

$$\text{bsum}_f(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}, y) = \sum_{i=0}^y f(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}, i)$$

$$\text{bprod}_f(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}, y) = \prod_{i=0}^y f(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}, i)$$

are also primitive recursive.

Now conclude (by proving) that primitive recursive predicates are closed under *bounded quantification*. That is, show that if P on \mathbb{N}_0^{k+1} is a primitive recursive predicate, then so are the predicates $\forall z \leq y (P(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}, z) = \text{true})$ and $\exists z \leq y (P(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}, z) = \text{true})$.

Solution

- $\forall z \leq y (P(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}, z) = \text{true}) = \text{bforall}_P(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}, y)$
 $\text{bforall}_P(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}, 0) = \text{true}$
 $\text{bforall}_P(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}, y+1) = C_P(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}, y+1) \cdot \text{bforall}_P(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}, y)$
 - $\exists z \leq y (P(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}, z) = \text{true}) = \text{bexists}_P(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}, y)$
 $\text{bexists}_P(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}, 0) = \text{false}$
 $\text{bexists}_P(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}, y+1) = \text{sg}(C_P(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}, y+1) + \text{bexists}_P(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}, y))$
-

Problem 6.3: *

In the lecture we sketched a proof that not every computable function is primitive recursive.

- a) Where does this proof fail for μ -recursive functions?
- b) Where does this proof fail if we only consider total (defined everywhere) μ -recursive functions?

Note that the set of μ -recursive functions, as well as its proper subset of total μ -recursive functions are countably infinite.

Solution

- a) You can't add 1 to Ω .
- b) It's impossible to create a parser that classifies total μ -recursive functions since if a function is undefined at some point, it will take infinite time to know that.

■

Problem 6.4:

What follows are the exercises from the lecture on Turing Machine. See the mentioned lecture for precise definitions.

Construct a Turing machine for

- a) "decrementing binary numbers." That is, given an input of a binary number $\text{bin}(n)$, it gives an output $\text{bin}(n - 1)$;
- b) "concatenating tape inscriptions." That is two machines, one giving $\text{tape1} = \text{tape1}\#\text{tape2}$. and the other $\text{tape1} = \text{tape2}\#\text{tape1}$.
- c) "head and tail of tapes." That machines giving $\text{tape2} = \text{head}(\text{tape1})$ and $\text{tape2} = \text{tail}(\text{tape1})$.

Solution

For all of the machines z_e is the end state and z_0 is the initial state

- a) $\text{tape1} = \text{bin}(\text{int}(\text{tape1}) - 1)$

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \delta(z_0, a) = (z_0, a, R) & \delta(z_0, B) = (q_0, B, L) \\
 \delta(q_0, 0) = (q_0, 1, L) & \delta(q_0, 1) = (q_1, 0, L) \\
 \delta(q_1, a) = (q_1, a, L) & \delta(q_1, B) = (q_2, B, R) \\
 \delta(q_2, 0) = (q_2, B, R) & \delta(q_2, 1) = (z_e, 1, N) \\
 \delta(q_2, B) = (z_e, 0, N) &
 \end{array}$$

- b) • $\text{tape1} = \text{tape1}\#\text{tape2}$

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \delta(z_0, a_1, a_2) = (z_0, a_1, a_2, R, N) & \delta(z_0, B, a_2) = (z_1, \#, B, R, N) \\
 \delta(z_1, B, a_2) = (z_1, a_2, B, R, R) & \delta(z_1, B, B) = (z_2, B, B, L, N) \\
 \delta(z_2, a_1, B) = (z_2, a_1, B, L, N) & \delta(z_2, B, B) = (z_e, B, B, R, N)
 \end{array}$$

- $\text{tape1} = \text{tape2}\#\text{tape1}$

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\delta(z_0, a_1, a_2) = (z_0, a_1, a_2, N, R) & \delta(z_0, a_1, B) = (z_1, a_1, \#, R, R) \\
\delta(z_1, a_1, B) = (z_1, B, a_1, R, R) & \delta(z_1, B, B) = (z_2, B, B, L, N) \\
\delta(z_2, B, a_2) = (z_2, a_2, B, L, N) & \delta(z_2, B, B) = (z_e, B, B, R, N)
\end{array}$$

c) • `tape2 = head(tape1)`

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\delta(z_0, a_1, a_2) = (z_0, a_1, B, N, R) & \delta(z_0, a_1, B) = (z_1, B, a_1, R, N) \\
\delta(z_1, a_1, a_2) = (z_1, B, a_2, R, N) & \delta(z_1, B, a_2) = (z_e, B, a_2, N, N)
\end{array}$$

• `tape2 = tail(tape1)`

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\delta(z_0, a_1, a_2) = (z_0, a_1, B, R, R) & \delta(z_0, a_1, B) = (z_0, a_1, B, R, N) \\
\delta(z_0, B, B) = (z_1, B, B, L, N) & \delta(z_1, a_1, B) = (z_2, B, a_1, L, N) \\
\delta(z_2, a_1, a_2) = (z_2, B, a_2, L, N) & \delta(z_2, B, a_2) = (z_e, B, a_2, N, N)
\end{array}$$

■