

**General Descent Methods – CE Solutions****Short Recap of the Lecture Topics:****General Descent Methods & Admissible Descent Directions:**

- Let  $\{s^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  be the sequence of descent directions generated by the general descent method. A sub-sequence  $\{s^\ell\}_{\ell \in L \subset \mathbb{N}_0}$  is called **admissible**, if the condition C1 holds

$$\nabla f(x^k)^T s^k < 0 \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

(i.e.  $s^k$  is a descent direction) together with the condition C2:

$$\left\{ \frac{\nabla f(x^\ell)^T s^\ell}{\|s^\ell\|} \right\}_{\ell \in L} \xrightarrow{\ell \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad \implies \quad \left\{ \nabla f(x^\ell) \right\}_{\ell \in L} \xrightarrow{\ell \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

- Angle condition (AC):** For an  $0 < \eta < 1$  and for all  $\ell \in L$  it holds that

$$\cos \left( \angle(-\nabla f(x^\ell), s^\ell) \right) = \frac{-\nabla f(x^\ell)^T s^\ell}{\|\nabla f(x^\ell)\| \|s^\ell\|} \geq \eta.$$

- Generalized angle condition (GAC):** For a suitable chosen function  $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  that is continuous in 0 with  $\phi(0) = 0$  it holds for all  $\ell \in L$  that

$$\|\nabla f(x^\ell)\| \leq \phi \left( \frac{\nabla f(x^\ell)^T s^\ell}{\|s^\ell\|} \right).$$

- Theorem:** (AC  $\implies$  GAC  $\implies$  C2) Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuously differentiable and  $\{s^\ell\}_{\ell \in L}$  be a sub-sequence of descent directions (i.e.  $\nabla f(x^\ell)^T s^\ell < 0$  for all  $\ell \in L$ ) generated by the general descent method.

- If  $\{s^\ell\}_{\ell \in L}$  fulfills the angle condition AC then it also fulfills the generalized angle condition GAC.
- If  $\{s^\ell\}_{\ell \in L}$  fulfills the general angle condition GAC then it is also admissible (and fulfills C2).

Here,  $\eta$  and  $\phi$  must (of course) be independent of  $\ell \in L$ .

**Admissible Step Size Rules:**

- Let  $\{\sigma_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  be the sequence of step sizes generated by the general descent method. A sub-sequence  $\{\sigma_\ell\}_{\ell \in L \subset \mathbb{N}_0}$  is called **admissible**, if

$$f(x^\ell + \sigma_\ell s^\ell) \leq f(x^\ell) \quad \text{for all } \ell \in L$$

and

$$f(x^\ell + \sigma_\ell s^\ell) - f(x^\ell) \xrightarrow{\ell \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad \implies \quad \left\{ \frac{\nabla f(x^\ell)^T s^\ell}{\|s^\ell\|} \right\}_{\ell \in L} \xrightarrow{\ell \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

- Let  $s^k$  be a descent direction of  $f$  at  $x^k$ . The step size  $\sigma_k$  is called **efficient**, if there is a constant  $\theta > 0$  such that

$$f(x^k + \sigma_k s^k) \leq f(x^k) - \theta \left( \frac{\nabla f(x^k)^T s^k}{\|s^k\|} \right)^2.$$

• **Lemma:** Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuously differentiable. Let the sequences  $\{x^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ ,  $\{s^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ , and  $\{\sigma_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  be generated by the general descent method with  $f(x^k + \sigma_k s^k) \leq f(x^k)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Let  $\{\sigma_\ell\}_{\ell \in L \subset \mathbb{N}_0}$  be a sub-sequence for which all  $\sigma_\ell$ ,  $\ell \in L$ , are efficient, then the step size sub-sequence  $\{\sigma_\ell\}_{\ell \in L \subset \mathbb{N}_0}$  is admissible.

• **Admissibility of the Armijo Step Sizes:** Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuously differentiable. Let the sequences  $\{x^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ ,  $\{s^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ , and  $\{\sigma_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  be generated by the general descent method. Moreover, let there be

- a bounded sub-sequence  $\{x^\ell\}_{\ell \in L \subset \mathbb{N}_0}$  of iterates (e.g. as  $\{x^\ell\}_{\ell \in L}$  converges), and
- a strictly monotonously increasing function  $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that the sub-sequence of descent directions  $\{s^\ell\}_{\ell \in L}$  corresponding to  $\{x^\ell\}_{\ell \in L}$  satisfies

$$\|s^\ell\| \geq \phi \left( -\frac{\nabla f(x^\ell)^T s^\ell}{\|s^\ell\|} \right) \quad \text{for all } \ell \in L.$$

Then, the corresponding sub-sequence of step sizes  $\{\sigma_\ell\}_{\ell \in L}$  generated by the Armijo step size rule is admissible.

• **Global Convergence Theorem for a Descent Method:** Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuously differentiable. Let  $x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  arbitrary and the sequences  $\{x^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ ,  $\{s^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ , and  $\{\sigma_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  be generated by the general descent method. Moreover, let  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be an accumulation point of  $\{x^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  with a sub-sequence  $\{x^\ell\}_{\ell \in L \subset \mathbb{N}_0} \rightarrow \bar{x}$  for  $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\ell \in L$ .

If  $\{s^\ell\}_{\ell \in L}$ , and  $\{\sigma_\ell\}_{\ell \in L}$  are admissible, then  $\bar{x}$  is a stationary point, i.e.  $\nabla f(\bar{x}) = 0$ .

### Solved Central Exercise Problems:

**Exercise 5.1: Criteria for Admissibility** — Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuously differentiable, and  $(x^k)$ ,  $(s^k)$  and  $(\sigma_k)$  be generated by the general descent method. Prove the following assertions:

- a) A sub-sequence of search directions  $\{s^{k_j}\}$  is admissible, if there exists a strictly monotonically increasing function  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $\varphi(0) = 0$ , that satisfies

$$-\nabla f(x^{k_j})^T s^{k_j} \geq \varphi(\|\nabla f(x^{k_j})\|) \|s^{k_j}\| \quad \forall j.$$

- b) A sub-sequence of step sizes  $(\sigma_{k_j})$  is admissible, if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ , such that the following implication holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{-\nabla f(x^{k_j})^T s^{k_j}}{\|s^{k_j}\|} &\geq \varepsilon \text{ for infinitely many } j \\ \implies f(x^{k_j}) - f(x^{k_j} + \sigma_{k_j} s^{k_j}) &\geq \delta(\varepsilon) \text{ for infinitely many } j. \end{aligned}$$

### **Solution:**

**ad a)** The first condition of admissibility, is that  $s^k$  is a descent direction, which is always true for a descent method, i.e. it holds:

$$\nabla f(x^k)^T s^k < 0 \quad \forall k$$

We will show only the second condition, i.e.:

$$\left\{ \frac{\nabla f(x^{k_j})^T s^{k_j}}{\|s^{k_j}\|} \right\}_j \rightarrow 0 \implies \left\{ \nabla f(x^{k_j}) \right\}_j \rightarrow 0.$$

We show this by contraposition. Thus, let  $\{\nabla f(x^{k_j})\}_j \not\rightarrow 0$ . Then there is  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a sub-sequence of  $\{k_j\}$  (for simplicity denoted by  $k_j$  again) such that

$$\|\nabla f(x^{k_j})\| \geq \varepsilon \quad \forall j.$$

By the strictly monotonous growth of  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi(0) = 0$ :

$$\frac{-\nabla f(x^{k_j})^T s^{k_j}}{\|s^{k_j}\|} \geq \varphi(\|\nabla f(x^{k_j})\|) \geq \varphi(\varepsilon) > \varphi(0) = 0 \quad \forall j.$$

This shows  $\left\{ \frac{-\nabla f(x^{k_j})^T s^{k_j}}{\|s^{k_j}\|} \right\}_j \not\rightarrow 0$ , concluding the contraposition.

**ad b)** Since the sequence  $\{\sigma_k\}$  was generated by a descent method, it holds

$$f(x^k + \sigma_k s^k) < f(x^k) \quad \forall k.$$

Thus the first condition for admissibility is satisfied.

We show the second condition, i.e.

$$f(x^{k_j} + \sigma_{k_j} s^{k_j}) - f(x^{k_j}) \rightarrow 0 \implies \left\{ \frac{\nabla f(x^{k_j})^T s^{k_j}}{\|s^{k_j}\|} \right\}_j \rightarrow 0,$$

again by contraposition. Let  $\left\{ \frac{\nabla f(x^{k_j})^T s^{k_j}}{\|s^{k_j}\|} \right\}_j \not\rightarrow 0$ . Since  $s^{k_j}$  are descent directions, there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a sub-sequence of  $\{k_j\}$  (for simplicity again denoted by  $k_j$ ), with

$$\frac{-\nabla f(x^{k_j})^T s^{k_j}}{\|s^{k_j}\|} \geq \varepsilon \quad \forall j \quad (\text{thus for infinitely many } j).$$

Thus by assumption, there is a  $\delta > 0$  with

$$f(x^{k_j}) - f(x^{k_j} + \sigma_{k_j} s^{k_j}) \geq \delta \quad \text{for infinitely many } j,$$

and thus it holds  $f(x^{k_j} + \sigma_{k_j} s^{k_j}) - f(x^{k_j}) \not\rightarrow 0$ , which concludes the contraposition.

**Exercise 5.2: Curry Step Size Rule** — Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuously differentiable, and  $x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that the level-set  $\mathcal{N}_f(x^0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(x) \leq f(x^0)\}$  is compact. Let further  $x \in \mathcal{N}_f(x^0)$  and  $s \in \mathbb{R}^n$  a descent direction of  $f$  in  $x$ . The **Curry Step Size Rule** computes  $\bar{\sigma} > 0$  as the smallest positive stationary point of the function  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $\phi(\sigma) := f(x + \sigma s)$ :

$$\bar{\sigma} := \min \{ \sigma > 0 : \phi'(\sigma) = 0 \}.$$

**a)** Show well-posedness of the Curry step size rule.

*Hint:* Assume first, that there is no positive stationary point of  $\phi$ , and use the mean value theorem to construct a contradiction to the compactness of  $\mathcal{N}_f(x^0)$ . Further show, that under all stationary points of  $\phi$  there is actually a smallest one.

**b)** Show, using the Intermediate Value Theorem, that there is a smallest  $0 < \hat{\sigma} < \bar{\sigma}$  such that  $\nabla f(x + \hat{\sigma} s)^T s = \frac{1}{2} \nabla f(x)^T s$ .

**c)** Show using part b):  $f(x) - f(x + \bar{\sigma} s) \geq -\frac{1}{2} \hat{\sigma} \nabla f(x)^T s$ .

**d)** Use compactness of  $\mathcal{N}_f(x^0)$  and continuity of  $\nabla f$  to show with help of part b), that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ , independent of  $x$  and  $s$ , such that

$$-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\nabla f(x)^T s}{\|s\|} \geq \varepsilon \implies \|\hat{\sigma} s\| \geq \delta(\varepsilon).$$

**e)** Use parts c) and d), to show that any sub-sequence of step sizes  $\{\sigma_k\}_K$ , generated by the Gradient Descent Method with starting point  $x^0$  and the Curry step size rule is admissible.

**Solution:**

**ad a)** Assume, that there is no positive stationary point of  $\phi$ . By  $\phi'(0) = \nabla f(x)^T s < 0$  and by continuity for all  $\sigma > 0$ , it holds that  $\phi'(\sigma) = \nabla f(x + \sigma s)^T s < 0$ . Thus also for all  $\sigma > 0$ :

$$f(x + \sigma s) - f(x) = \phi(\sigma) - \phi(0) = \sigma \phi'(\xi) = \sigma \nabla f(x + \xi s)^T s < 0 \quad \text{with } \xi \in (0, \sigma),$$

and thus  $x + \sigma s \in \mathcal{N}_f(x^0)$ . This contradicts the compactness, more specifically the boundedness of the level-set. It remains to show, that there is a smallest positive stationary point of  $\phi$ . The set  $M = \{\sigma \geq 0 : \phi'(\sigma) = 0\}$  is bounded from below by 0, thus possesses an infimum  $\bar{\sigma} \geq 0$ . By the closedness of  $M$  (note  $\phi'$  is continuous!) the infimum  $\bar{\sigma}$  is an element of the set. By  $\phi'(0) < 0$  it holds  $\bar{\sigma} > 0$ , and thus the assertion is shown.

**ad b)** The derivative  $\phi'(\sigma) = \nabla f(x + \sigma s)^T s$  is continuous by assumption. Since

$$\phi'(0) = \nabla f(x)^T s < \frac{1}{2} \nabla f(x)^T s < 0 = \phi'(\bar{\sigma})$$

by the Intermediate Value Theorem, there is an  $\hat{\sigma} \in (0, \bar{\sigma})$  with  $\phi'(\hat{\sigma}) = \frac{1}{2} \nabla f(x)^T s$ . As in part a), from the closedness of the set of candidates, there is a smallest  $\hat{\sigma} > 0$  with  $\phi'(\hat{\sigma}) = \frac{1}{2} \nabla f(x)^T s$ .

**ad c)** For all  $\sigma \in [0, \bar{\sigma}]$  it holds  $\phi'(\sigma) \leq 0$ . Thus  $\phi$  is monotonically decreasing in  $[0, \bar{\sigma}]$ . From this, we directly conclude:

$$f(x) - f(x + \bar{\sigma} s) \geq f(x) - f(x + \bar{\sigma} s).$$

Further the Mean Value Theorem gives

$$f(x) - f(x + \hat{\sigma} s) = -\hat{\sigma} \nabla f(x + \xi s)^T s = -\hat{\sigma} \phi'(\xi)$$

with  $\xi \in [0, \hat{\sigma}]$ . Since  $\hat{\sigma}$  was the smallest  $\sigma$  with  $\phi'(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \phi'(0)$  and  $\phi'$  is continuous, it holds  $\phi'(\sigma) \leq \phi'(\hat{\sigma})$  for all  $\sigma \in [0, \hat{\sigma}]$ . Hence, part b) gives

$$-\hat{\sigma} \nabla f(x + \xi s)^T s \geq -\hat{\sigma} \nabla f(x + \hat{\sigma} s)^T s = -\frac{1}{2} \hat{\sigma} \nabla f(x)^T s.$$

**ad d)** By the compactness of the levelset, it follows even, that  $\nabla f$  is uniformly continuous in  $\mathcal{N}_f(x^0)$ . We use, that

$$-\frac{1}{2} \nabla f(x)^T s = (\nabla f(x + \hat{\sigma} s) - \nabla f(x))^T s$$

and obtain by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\epsilon \leq -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\nabla f(x)^T s}{\|s\|} \leq \|\nabla f(x + \hat{\sigma} s) - \nabla f(x)\|.$$

Since  $x \in \mathcal{N}_f(x^0)$  as well as  $x + \hat{\sigma} s \in \mathcal{N}_f(x) \subset \mathcal{N}_f(x^0)$  by part c), the uniform continuity of  $\nabla f$  on  $\mathcal{N}_f(x^0)$  implies the existence of some  $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$  with  $\|x - (x + \hat{\sigma} s)\| = \|\hat{\sigma} s\| \geq \delta(\epsilon)$ .

**ad e)** We show

$$\left\{ \frac{\nabla f(x^k)^T s^k}{\|s^k\|} \right\}_K \not\rightarrow 0 \implies f(x^k + \sigma_k s^k) - f(x^k) \not\rightarrow 0.$$

If there holds  $\left\{ \frac{\nabla f(x^k)^T s^k}{\|s^k\|} \right\}_K \not\rightarrow 0$ , then there is a sub-sequence  $\{x^k\}_{K'}$  of  $\{x^k\}_K$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  with

$$-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\nabla f(x^k)^T s^k}{\|s^k\|} \geq \epsilon \quad \forall k \in K'.$$

Thus from c) and d) we deduce, that

$$f(x^k) - f(x^k + \sigma_k s^k) \geq -\frac{1}{2} \hat{\sigma}_k \frac{\nabla f(x^k)^T s^k \|s^k\|}{\|s^k\|} \geq \epsilon \|\hat{\sigma}_k s^k\| \geq \epsilon \delta(\epsilon) > 0 \quad \forall k \in K'.$$

Hence it holds  $f(x^k + \sigma_k s^k) - f(x^k) \not\rightarrow 0$  for  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .