## DSA – Seminar 2 – Complexity (Algorithm Analysis)

## 1. TRUE or FALSE?

```
a. n^2 \in O(n^3) - True

b. n^3 \in O(n^2) - False

c. 2^{n+1} \in \Theta(2^n) - True

d. 2^{2n} \in \Theta(2^n) - False

e. n^2 \in O(n^3) - False

f. 2^n \in O(n!) - True

g. log_{10}n \in \Theta(log_2n) - True

h. O(n) + O(n^2) = O(n^2) - True

O(n) + O(n^2) = O(n^2) - True

j. O(n) + O(n^2) = O(n) - True

j. O(n) + O(n) = O(n) - True, but O(n) should be used

k. O(n) + O(n) = O(n) - True because O(n) + O(n) = O(n) + O(n) = O(n) - O(n) = O(n) = O(n) - O(n) = O(n) - O(n) = O(n) = O(n) = O(n) - O(n) = O(n) = O(n) = O(n) - O(n) = O(n)
```

## 2. Complexity of search and sorting algorithms

Algorithm	Time Complexity				Extra Space
	Best C.	Worst C.	Average C.	Total	Complexity
Linear Search	Θ(1)	Θ(n)	Θ(n)	O(n)	Θ(1)
Binary Search	Θ(1)	Θ(log₂n)	Θ(log₂n)	O(log <sub>2</sub> n)	Θ(1)
Selection Sort	Θ(n²)	Θ(n²)	Θ(n²)	Θ(n²)	Θ(1) – in place
Insertion Sort	Θ(n)	Θ(n²)	Θ(n²)	O(n²)	Θ(1) – in place
Bubble Sort	Θ(n)	Θ(n²)	Θ(n²)	O(n²)	Θ(1) – in place
Quick Sort	Θ(n log₂n)	Θ(n²)	Θ(n log₂n)	O(n²)	Θ(1) – in place
Merge Sort	Θ(n log₂n)	Θ(n log₂n)	Θ(n log₂n)	Θ(n log₂n)	Θ(n)- out of place

3. Analyze the time complexity of the following two subalgorithms:

```
\begin{array}{c} \text{subalgorithm } \text{s1(n) is:} \\ & \text{for } \text{i} \leftarrow \text{1, n execute} \\ & \text{j} \leftarrow \text{n} \\ & \text{while } \text{j} \neq \text{0 execute} \\ & \text{j} \leftarrow \left[\frac{j}{2}\right] \\ & \text{end-while} \\ & \text{end-for} \\ & \text{end-subalgorithm} \end{array}
```

- The *for* loop is repeated n times.
- The while loop is repeated log<sub>2</sub> n times, independent of the value of i. (how many times can we divide n to get to 0)
- $T(n) \in \Theta(n * log_2n)$

```
subalgorithm s2(n) is:
      for i ← 1, n execute
            j ← i
            while j \neq 0 execute
            end-while
      end-for
end-subalgorithm
```

- The *for* loop is repeated n times.
- The while loop is repeated log<sub>2</sub> i times.
- $T(n) = log_2 1 + log_2 2 + log_2 3 + ... + log_2 n = log_2 n! => n log_2 n (Stirling's approximation)$
- $T(n) \in \Theta(n * log_2n)$
- 4. Analyze the time complexity of the following two subalgorithms:

```
subalgorithm s3(x, n, a) is:
       found ← false
       for i ← 1, n execute
             if x_i = a then
                     found ← true
              end-if
       end-for
end-subalgorithm
\frac{BC:\theta(n)}{WC:\theta(n)} => \Theta(n)
subalgorithm s4(x, n, a) is:
       found ← false
       i ← 1
      while found = false and i \le n execute
              if x_i = a then
                     found ← true
              end-if
              i \leftarrow i + 1
       end-while
end-subalgoritm
BC: ⊖(1)
WC: Θ (n)
```

AC: there are n+1 possible cases (element is found on one of the n positions and the case when element is not found. We suppose that all of these cases have equal probability – even if this might not always be the case in real life).

$$T(n) = \sum_{I \in D} P(I) * E(I) = \frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{2}{n+1} + \dots + \frac{n}{n+1} + \frac{n}{n+1} = \frac{n * (n+1)}{2 * (n+1)} + \frac{n}{n+1} \in \Theta(n)$$

Total Complexity: O(n)

5. Analyze the time complexity of the following algorithm (x is an array, with elements  $x_i \le x_i \le x_i$ 

```
Subalgorithm s5(x, n) is: k \leftarrow 0 for i \leftarrow 1, n execute for j \leftarrow 1, x_i execute k \leftarrow k + x_j end-for end-subalgorithm
```

a. if every  $x_i > 0$ 

When we have for loops (and the loop variable changes by 1), computing the complexity can be done by writing the for loop as a sum (limits of the sum are limits of the for and the content of the sum if the number of instructions in the for loop).

$$T(x,n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{xi} 1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = s \text{ (sum of all elements)}$$
$$T(n) \in \Theta \text{ (s)}$$

- b. if  $x_i$  can be 0
- Does the complexity change if we allow values of 0 in the array?

Think about an array x defined in the following way:

$$\mathsf{Let}\, x_i = \left\{ \begin{matrix} 1, if \ i \ is \ a \ perfect \ square \\ 0, otherwise \end{matrix} \right.$$

In this case: s = Vn, but the complexity is  $\Theta$  (n), because of the first for loop which will be executed n times, no matter what.

$$T(x, n) \in \Theta (max \{n, s\}) = \Theta (n + s)$$

- 6. Consider the following problems and find an algorithm (having the required time complexity) to solve them:
  - a. Given an arbitrary array with numbers  $x_1...x_n$ , determine whether there are 2 equal elements in the array. Show that this can be done with  $\Theta$  (n log<sub>2</sub> n) time complexity.
  - b. Given an arbitrary array with numbers  $x_1...x_n$ , determine whether there are two numbers whose sum is k (for some given k). Show that this can be done with  $\Theta$  (n  $\log_2$  n) time complexity. What happens if k is even and k/2 is in the array (once or multiple times)?
  - c. Given an ordered array  $x_1...x_n$ , in which the elements are distinct integers, determine whether there is a position such that A[i] = i. Show that this can be done with  $O(log_2 n)$  complexity.
- 7. Analyze the time complexity of the following algorithm:

```
subalgorithm s6(n) is:
       for i ← 1,n execute
             @elementary operation
       end-for
       i ← 1
       k ← true
       while i <= n - 1 and k execute
              j ← i
              k_1 \leftarrow true
             while j \le n and k_1 execute
                     @ elementary operation (k<sub>1</sub> can be modified)
                     j \leftarrow j + 1
              end-while
              i \leftarrow i + 1
              @elementary operation (k can be modified)
       end-while
end-subalgorithm
```

Best Case: k,  $k_1$  can become false after one iteration, but we still have the for loop from the beginning =>  $\Theta$  (n)

Worst Case: k,  $k_1$  never becomes false, the while loops will behave as 2 for loops, going from I to n-1 and i to n.

$$T(n) = n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i}^{n} 1 = n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} n - i + 1 = n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} n - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 1 = n + n * (n-1) - \frac{n * (n-1)}{2} + n - 1 \in \Theta(n^2)$$

Average case:

Let's consider first the inner while loop (the one with j and  $k_1$ ). The number of operations depends on i, but let's assume that i is fixed (like a parameter). The while loop is executed until  $k_1$  becomes false (or j becomes greater than n). This can mean 1,2, ..., n-i+1 iterations =>

Probability:  $\frac{1}{n-i+1}$ 

$$\frac{1}{n-i+1} + \frac{2}{n-i+1} + \dots + \frac{n-i+1}{n-i+1} = \frac{(n-i+1)*(n-i+2)}{2(n-i+1)} = \frac{(n-i+2)}{2}$$

So this is the average number of operations of the inner while for a fixed i.

Let's see now the external while loop. This while loop runs until k becomes false or j becomes equal to n. This means 1, 2, ..., n-1 iterations => Probability:  $\frac{1}{n-1}$ 

Remember, formula for average case was:

$$\sum_{I \in D} P(I) * E(I)$$

E(I) – number of instructions for input I – is made of two parts:

- The average number of instructions of the inner while loop (marked with green), but now with the value of i is no longer fixed (we will know that i is 1, 2, 3, ..., n-1)
- The number of times the instructions in the first while loop, but not in the second (marked with blue) are executed.

```
while i <= n-1 and k execute j \leftarrow i k_1 \leftarrow true while j <= n and k_1 execute @ elementary operation (k_1 can be modified) j \leftarrow j+1 end-while i \leftarrow i+1 @elementary operation (k can be modified) end-while
```

$$T(n) = \frac{1}{n-1} * \frac{n-1+2}{2} + \frac{2}{n-1} * \frac{n-2+2}{2} + \dots + \frac{n-1}{n-1} * \frac{n-(n-1)+2}{2} =$$

$$\frac{1}{2*(n-1)}*\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}i*(n-i+2)$$
= (do the multiplication in the sum and split in 3 different sums) ...
$$=\frac{1}{2*(n-1)}*\left(\frac{n*(n-1)*n}{2}-\frac{(n-1)*n*(2n-1)}{6}+2*\frac{(n-1)*n}{2}\right)$$

$$=\frac{1}{2}*\left(\frac{n^2}{2}-\frac{2*n^2-n}{6}+n\right)=\frac{1}{2}*\left(\frac{3n^2-2n^2+7n}{6}\right)\in\Theta(n^2)$$

Total complexity: O(n2)

8. Analyze the time complexity of the following recursive algorithm:

```
subalgorithm p(x,s,d) is:
   if s < d then
        m ← [(s+d)/2]
        for i ← s, d-1, execute
            @elementary operation
        end-for
        for i ← 1,2 execute
            p(x, s, m)
        end-for
   end-if
end-subalgorithm</pre>
```

Initial call for the subalgorithm: p(x, 1, n)

- In case of recursive algorithms, the first step of the complexity computation is to write the recurrence relation.

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 2 * T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + n, & \text{if } n > 1\\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Assume: 
$$n = 2^k$$
 
$$T(2^k) = 2 * T(2^{k-1}) + 2^k$$
 
$$2 * T(2^{k-1}) = 2^2 * T(2^{k-2}) + 2^k$$
 
$$2^2 * T(2^{k-2}) = 2^3 * T(2^{k-3}) + 2^k$$
 ... 
$$2^{k-1} * T(2) = 2^k * T(1) + 2^k$$

Add them up (many terms will simplify, because they appear on the left hand side of one equation and right hand side of another equation):

$$T(2^k) = 2^k * T(1) + k * 2^k = k * 2^k = n * log_2 n \to T(n) \in \Theta(n log_2 n)$$

9. Analyze the time complexity of the following algorithm:

```
Subalgorithm s7(n) is:

s ← 0

for i ← 1, n² execute

j ← i

while j ≠ 0 execute

s ← s + j

j ← j - 1

end-while

end-for
end-subalgorithm
```

While loops can be written as sum as well, if the loop variable changes by 1 in every iteration.

$$T(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^{i} 1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n^2} i = \frac{n^2 * (n^2 + 1)}{2} \in \Theta(n^4)$$

10. Analyze the time complexity of the following algorithm:

```
Subalgorithm s8(n) is:

s \leftarrow 0

for i \leftarrow 1, n^2 execute

j \leftarrow i

while j \neq 0 execute

s \leftarrow s + j - 10 * [j/10]

j \leftarrow [j/10]

end-while

end-for
end-subalgorithm
```

- The while loop is repeated log10 i times (but we report logarithmic complexities in base 2)
- So we will have:  $\log_2 1 + \log_2 2 + \log_2 3 + ... + \log_2 n^2 = \log_2 (n^2)!$
- Striling's approximation tells us that:  $log_2x! = x * log_2x$
- $\log_2(n^2)! = n^2 \log_2 n^2 = 2 n^2 \log_2 n \text{constants are ignored}$
- $T(n) \in \Theta(n^2 \log_2 n)$