# FML\_Assignment3

Thanasit C.

2024-02-27

# 1. Summary

- 1). All Answers are in the Section 5.
- 2). I found that the 'naiveBayes' function performed similar to the Bayes Probability Theory. According to the question, both of the probability calculated by the package and probability function is equal to 0.0914. However, it is different if we calculate from a trained dataset via pivot table, the probability is 0.0879, because of the effects of Bayes' assumption about the dependency of the variables. But for this particular 'set.seed', the accuracy of the 'naiveBayes' function is more closer to the prior probability, 0.096, than the probability from the table.

## 2. Libraries

```
library(dplyr)
library(caret)
require(e1071)
library(gmodels)
library(ggplot2)
library(ggpubr)
library(reshape2)
library(tables)
```

# 3. Import data

- 3.1. Set working directory
- 3.2. Import csv file as dataframe format
- 3.3. Check data structure

```
## $ Income
                     : int 49 34 11 100 45 29 72 22 81 180 ...
## $ ZIP.Code
                           91107 90089 94720 94112 91330 92121 91711
                     : int
93943 90089 93023 ...
## $ Family
                     : int 4311442131...
## $ CCAvg
                           1.6 1.5 1 2.7 1 0.4 1.5 0.3 0.6 8.9 ...
                     : num
## $ Education
                     : int
                           1 1 1 2 2 2 2 3 2 3 ...
## $ Mortgage
                     : int 00000155001040...
## $ Personal.Loan
                     : int 0000000001...
## $ Securities.Account: int 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
## $ CD.Account
                     : int 0000000000...
## $ Online
                     : int 0000011010...
## $ CreditCard
                    : int 0000100100...
```

# 4. Data wrangling and prepairation

## 4.1. handle missing value

```
## 1) Find N/A value
sumna <- sum(is.na(maindf))</pre>
print("Number of N/A values in data set")
sumna
colsumna <- colSums(is.na(maindf))</pre>
print("Number of N/A by column")
colsumna
## [1] "Number of N/A values in data set"
## [1] 0
## [1] "Number of N/A by column"
##
                                                    Experience
                    ID
                                        Age
Income
##
                     a
                                          0
                                                              0
0
                                                          CCAvg
##
              ZIP.Code
                                    Family
Education
##
                                                              0
0
##
                             Personal.Loan Securities.Account
              Mortgage
CD.Account
##
                                                              0
0
##
                Online
                                CreditCard
```

4.2. Rearrange and remove irrelavent column Select only 'Personal.Loan', 'CreditCard', and 'Online' for the model testing.

### 4.3. Reassign data attribute

### 4.4. Split Data into 60% training and 40% validation

```
set.seed(22)
### Split data into 60% train and 40% validation
q1_trainsplit = 0.6

q1_trainsplit_index <- caret::createDataPartition(y = maindf2$Personal.Loan,
p = q1_trainsplit, list = FALSE)
q1_train <- maindf2[q1_trainsplit_index,]
q1_validation <- maindf2[-q1_trainsplit_index,]</pre>
```

# 5. Modelling and problem solving

#### 5.1 Question A

Create a pivot table for the training data with Online as a column variable, CC as a row variable, and Loan as a secondary row variable.

```
### Create pivot table
ftable(xtabs(~ CreditCard + Personal.Loan + Online, data = q1 train))
                             Online
##
                                       0
                                            1
## CreditCard Personal.Loan
## 0
                                     770 1150
              0
##
              1
                                      86 118
## 1
              0
                                     304 488
##
                                      37
                                           47
```

#### 5.2 Question B

Consider the task of classifying a customer who owns a bank credit card and is actively using online banking services. Looking at the pivot table, what is the probability that this customer will accept the loan offer? [This is the probability of loan acceptance (Loan = 1) conditional on having a bank credit card (CC = 1) and being an active user of online banking services (Online = 1)].

### 5.3 Question C

Create two separate pivot tables for the training data. One will have Loan (rows) as a function of Online (columns) and the other will have Loan (rows) as a function of CC.

```
table(q1 train$Personal.Loan, q1 train$CreditCard)
##
##
          0
               1
##
     0 1920 792
##
     1 204
table(q1 train$Personal.Loan, q1 train$Online)
##
##
          0
##
     0 1074 1638
##
    1 123 165
```

### 5.4. Question D

Compute the following quantities  $[P(A \mid B)]$  means "the probability of A given B"]:

```
# Create Proportion table for Personal.Loan and Credit Card
propCCByLoan <- prop.table(table(q1 train$Personal.Loan,</pre>
q1 train$CreditCard), margin = 1)
print("Pivot table for Personal.Loan and Credit Card")
propCCByLoan
## [1] "Pivot table for Personal.Loan and Credit Card"
##
##
               0
##
     0 0.7079646 0.2920354
##
     1 0.7083333 0.2916667
# Create Proportion table for Personal.Loan and Online
propOnlineByLoan <- prop.table(table(q1_train$Personal.Loan,</pre>
q1 train$Online), margin = 1)
print("Pivot table for Personal.Loan and Online")
propOnlineByLoan
## [1] "Pivot table for Personal.Loan and Online"
##
##
```

```
##
     0 0.3960177 0.6039823
     1 0.4270833 0.5729167
##
### 5.4.1. P(CC = 1 \mid Loan = 1)
print(paste("P(CC = 1 | Loan = 1) =", round(propCCByLoan[2,2], digits = 4)))
## [1] "P(CC = 1 | Loan = 1) = 0.2917"
### 5.4.2. P(Online = 1 | Loan = 1)
print(paste("P(Online = 1 | Loan = 1) =", round(propOnlineByLoan[2,2], digits
= 4)))
## [1] "P(Online = 1 | Loan = 1) = 0.5729"
### 5.4.3. P(Loan = 1)
acceptloandf <- q1_train %>%
                  filter(Personal.Loan == 1)
probacceptloan <- round(nrow(acceptloandf)/nrow(q1_train), digits = 4)</pre>
print(paste("P(Loan = 1) =", probacceptloan))
## [1] "P(Loan = 1) = 0.096"
### 5.4.4. P(CC = 1 \mid Loan = 0)
print(paste("P(CC = 1 | Loan = 0) =", round(propCCByLoan[1,2], digits = 4)))
## [1] "P(CC = 1 | Loan = 0) = 0.292"
### 5.4.5. P(Online = 1 \mid Loan = 0)
print(paste("P(Online = 1 | Loan = 0) =", round(propOnlineByLoan[1,2], digits
= 4)))
## [1] "P(Online = 1 | Loan = 0) = 0.604"
### 5.4.6. P(Loan = 0)
declineloandf <- q1 train %>%
                   filter(Personal.Loan == 0)
probdeclineloan <- round(nrow(declineloandf)/nrow(q1 train), digits = 4)</pre>
print(paste("P(Loan = 0) =", probdeclineloan))
## [1] "P(Loan = 0) = 0.904"
5.5. Question_E
Use the quantities computed above to compute the naive Bayes probability P(Loan = 1 | CC
= 1, Online = 1).
# P(Loan = 1 | CC = 1, Online = 1) = [P(CC = 1 | Loan = 1) * P(Online = 1 |
Loan = 1) * P(Loan = 1) / [(P(CC = 1 \mid Loan = 1) * P(Online = 1 \mid Loan = 1)]
* P(Loan = 1) + (P(CC = 1 \mid Loan = 0)) * P(Online = 1 \mid Loan = 0) * P(Loan = 0)
0))1
q5 numerator <- propCCByLoan[2,2]*propOnlineByLoan[2,2]*probacceptloan
q5_denormurator <- (propCCByLoan[2,2]*propOnlineByLoan[2,2]*probacceptloan) +
(propCCByLoan[1,2]*propOnlineByLoan[1,2]*probdeclineloan)
```

```
q5_nbProb <- round(q5_numerator/q5_denormurator, digits = 4)
## [1] "Answer_E;"
## [1] "P(Loan = 1 | CC = 1, Online = 1) = 0.0914"</pre>
```

### 5.6. Question F

Compare this value with the one obtained from the pivot table in (B). Which is a more accurate estimate?

```
## [1] "Answer_F"
```

## [1] "The probability I got from the Question\_E is equal to 0.0914 which is a tiny bit difference from what is calculated from Question\_B's pivot table of 0.0879. In this case the calculation from Bayes probability is a bit better because the result is closed to the prior probility of 0.096."

### 5.7. Question G

Which of the entries in this table are needed for computing  $P(Loan = 1 \mid CC = 1, Online = 1)$ ? Run naive Bayes on the data. Examine the model output on training data, and find the entry that corresponds to  $P(Loan = 1 \mid CC = 1, Online = 1)$ . Compare this to the number you obtained in Question\_E.

```
# Create test dataset
q7 test <- data.frame(Online = 1, CreditCard = 1)</pre>
q7 test$Online <- factor(q7 test$Online)</pre>
q7 test$CreditCard <- factor(q7 test$CreditCard)</pre>
# Create Model
q7_nb_model <- naiveBayes(Personal.Loan ~ Online + CreditCard, data =
q1_train)
#q7_nb_model
# training model
Predicted train <- predict(q7 nb model,newdata = q1 train, type = "raw")</pre>
# Validate Model
Predict validation <- predict(q7_nb_model, newdata = q1_validation, type =</pre>
"raw")
#test Model
Predicted test <- predict(q7 nb model, newdata = q7 test, type = "raw")</pre>
Predicted test
print("Answer G")
print("By using 'naiveBayes' function the probability of customer accepting
the loan given they have Credit Card and have an online Banking is equal to
0.0914. This value is exactly the same as the value calculated by probability
function in Question E.")
```

```
## 0 1
## [1,] 0.9085908 0.09140916
## [1] "Answer_G"
## [1] "By using 'naiveBayes' function the probability of customer accepting
the loan given they have Credit Card and have an online Banking is equal to
0.0914. This value is exactly the same as the value calculated by probability
function in Question_E."
```