

## Exercise 8 Answer Sheet — Axiomatic Set Theory, 80650

January 30, 2025



## Question 1

**Definition 0.1.** Let  $X$  be a set. A tree  $T$  is set such that,

1. For every  $\eta \in T$ ,  $\eta$  is a function from an ordinal  $\alpha$  to  $X$ .
2. If  $\eta \in T$  and  $\text{dom } \eta = \alpha > \beta$  then  $\eta \upharpoonright \beta \in T$ .

If  $X = 2$  then we say that  $T$  is binary tree.

The height of  $T$  is the least ordinal  $\alpha$  such that  $\forall \eta \in T, \text{dom } \eta < \alpha$ . We define  $\text{Lev}(\eta) = \text{dom } \eta$  (the level of  $\eta$ ), and we denote  $T_\alpha = \{\eta \in T \mid \text{Lev}(\eta) = \alpha\}$ . For  $\eta, \eta' \in T$  we define  $\eta \leq_T \eta'$  if  $\eta = \eta' \upharpoonright \text{dom } \eta$ .

**Definition 0.2.** Let  $\kappa$  be a regular cardinal, we say that a tree  $T$  is a  $\kappa$ -tree if the height of  $T$  is  $\kappa$  and for every  $\alpha < \kappa$ ,  $|T_\alpha| < \kappa$ .

**Definition 0.3.** Let  $T$  be a tree of height  $\alpha$ . A function  $b : \alpha \rightarrow X$  is a cofinal branch in  $T$  if for every  $\beta < \alpha$ ,  $b \upharpoonright \beta \in T$ . We would also use the term cofinal branch for the set  $\{b \upharpoonright \beta \mid \beta < \alpha\}$ .

Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite regular cardinal. Let  $T$  be a binary  $\kappa$ -tree.

We will prove that there is  $T' \subseteq T$  of height  $\kappa$  such that for every  $\alpha < \beta < \kappa$  and  $x \in T'$  with  $\text{Lev}(x) = \alpha$ , there is  $y \in T'$  with  $\text{Lev}(y) = \beta$  and  $x \leq_T y$ .

*Proof.* Let us define  $T_0 = \{x \in T \mid \forall \text{Lev}(x) < \alpha < \kappa, \exists y \in T, y \in T_\alpha, x \leq_T y\}$ . If  $T_0$  is a  $\kappa$ -tree then it satisfies the required property, then we will show it is indeed a tree of height  $\kappa$ .

For every  $x \in T_0$ ,  $x \in T$ , hence is a map from an ordinal to  $X$ . Assume  $y \in T_0$ , and let  $x = y \upharpoonright \beta$  for  $\beta < \kappa$ . For every  $\beta < \gamma < \kappa$  there is  $x \leq_T y \leq_T z$  such that the given property is satisfied. We assume  $\alpha < \gamma < \beta$ , then  $y \upharpoonright \gamma \in T_\gamma$  as  $y \in T$ . We can conclude  $x \in T_0$ , meaning  $T_0$  satisfies definition, namely  $T_0$  is a tree.

We move to proving  $T_0$  is of height  $\kappa$ . For certain  $x \in T_0$  for every  $\text{dom } x < \alpha$  there is  $y_\alpha \in T_0$  such that  $\text{dom } y_\alpha = \alpha$  for every such ordinal, then  $\sup_{\alpha < \kappa} y_\alpha = \kappa$  as intended. The claim is not about  $T'$  being  $\kappa$ -tree (I hope), but we know that each level of  $T_0$  must be bounded by the equivalent level in  $T$ , meaning it is bounded by  $\kappa$ .

Lastly, we will check if  $T_0$  is not empty, fulfilling our claims stated above. By the definition of  $T$ , if we select  $\alpha = 0$ , by the height of  $T$  the statement is indeed true, indicating  $\emptyset \in T_0$ .

We showed that there is such  $T' = T_0$ . □

## Question 2

We will show that every binary  $\omega$ -tree has a cofinal branch.

*Proof.* From the last question, we can assume  $T' \subseteq T$  fulfills the property of arbitrary elements, then we will define recursively the function  $b : \omega \rightarrow X$  by the following,

1.  $b(0) = \eta(0)$ , when  $\eta$  is any branch  $\in T$  (the root of ordered tree is unique).
2. If  $b \upharpoonright n$  is already set, then  $b(n) \in T_n$  such that  $b(n-1) \leq_T b(n)$ , there exists such in  $T'$ .

The result is indeed  $b : \omega \rightarrow X$  such that  $b \upharpoonright n \in T' \subseteq T$  for all  $n < \omega$ , meaning  $b$  is cofinal branch of  $T$  as desired.  $\square$

### Question 3

We will prove that if there is some cardinal  $\mu$  such that  $\mu^+ < \kappa$  and  $|T_\alpha| \leq \mu$  for all  $\alpha$ , then  $T$  has a cofinal branch.

*Proof.* We assume such  $\mu$  exists, as well without loss of generality the arbitrary height of branches is fulfilled. For each  $x \in T$  such that  $\alpha = \text{Lev}(x)$ , we let  $\beta_x$  be the largest ordinal such that there is no other  $y \in T_\alpha$  such that  $y \restriction \beta_x = x \restriction \beta_x$ . In other words, we get the highest level in which  $x$  is the only continuation (as of branch) of some branch of that level. For each level  $\alpha$  we define  $f(\alpha) = \sup_{x \in T_\alpha} \beta_x$ ,  $f$  mapping each level to the least level below it such that there is uniquely-extendable branch between the levels. Let  $\text{dom } f = S = \{\alpha < \kappa \mid \mu^+ < \alpha\}$ , then  $S$  is stationary in  $\kappa$ , and  $f : S \rightarrow \kappa$ . By the definition,  $f(\alpha) \leq \alpha$ . For every  $x \in T_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in S$ , we know that  $|T_\alpha| \leq \mu$ , then there cannot be more than  $\mu$  levels such that there are more continuations to the restricted branch of  $x$ , but  $\text{cf } \alpha \geq \mu^+$ , meaning the set of such levels is bounded by  $\beta < \mu^+$ , in particular  $\beta_x < \alpha$ , then  $f(\alpha) < \alpha$ , namely  $f$  is regressive. By Fodor's lemma there is  $T \subseteq S$  stationary in  $\kappa$  such that  $\forall x \in T, f(x) = \gamma$  for  $\gamma < \kappa$ . For some arbitrary  $\alpha \in T$ , let  $x \in T_\alpha$  be a branch for which  $\beta_x = \gamma$ . For each  $\alpha \in T$ , we can conclude  $\beta_x = \gamma$ .  $T$  is stationary therefore unbounded in  $\kappa$ , then for every  $\delta < \kappa$ , there is  $\delta < \delta' \in T$ . For this  $\delta'$  there is a branch  $x \leq_T y \in T_{\delta'}$  by the arbitrary height claim, and  $\beta_y = \gamma$  as well. We can define then  $b : \kappa \rightarrow X$  by setting  $b \restriction \delta = y$  for each  $\delta < \kappa$ , therefore  $b$  is a cofinal branch of  $T$ .  $\square$

## Question 4

Let us assume that there is a cardinal  $\mu < \kappa$  and a function  $f : T \rightarrow \mu$  such that for all  $x, y \in T$ , if  $x <_T y$  then  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ . We will prove that there is no cofinal branch in  $T$ .

*Proof.* We assume by contradiction that  $b : \kappa \rightarrow X$  is a cofinal branch of  $T$ . By transitivity of  $<_T$  it follows that  $f(b \restriction \alpha) \neq f(b \restriction \beta)$  for all  $\alpha < \beta < \kappa$ . We can deduce that for  $X = f''\{b \restriction \alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa\}$ ,  $|X| = \kappa$ , in contradiction to  $X \subseteq \text{rng } f = \mu < \kappa$ .  $\square$

## Question 5

Let  $\kappa$  be a measurable cardinal.

We will prove that every  $\kappa$ -tree has a cofinal branch.

*Proof.* If  $\kappa \leq 2^{\aleph_0}$  then we already know that every  $\kappa$ -tree has cofinal branch. We assume that  $2^{\aleph_0} < \kappa$ , then the measure is  $\kappa$ -complete and we can assume that  $\kappa$  is inaccessible (strong?).

By the inaccessibility and  $\kappa$  being regular we can deduce there is no mapping from  $\alpha < \kappa$  to  $|T_\alpha|$  such that  $|T_\alpha| = \kappa$ . Then there is an ordinal  $\mu$ , by the inaccessibility of  $\kappa$ , such that  $|T_\alpha| \leq \mu$  for all  $\alpha < \kappa$ . Then we can use the previous question to deduce that there is a cofinal branch in  $T$ .  $\square$