Exercise 9 Answer Sheet — Logic Theory (2), 80424

June 6, 2025

Question 1

Part a

We will show that the set,

$$H = \{e \in \mathbb{N} \mid (e, 0) \in \operatorname{dom} U\}$$

is recursively-enumerable but not recursive.

Proof. H is Σ_1^0 by the definition of U, implies that it is recursively-enumerable, then it is sufficient to show that it is not recursive. Let $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be the function defined by,

$$f(x) = x.$$

This is indeed a recursive function (even primitive-recursive), and for every $e \in D$, when $D = \{e \in \mathbb{N} \mid (e, e) \in \operatorname{dom} U\}$, we get $f(e) = e \in H$, as e witnessing bound larger than 0. It follows that f is a recursive reduction of D to H and implies that H is not recursive. $\square$

Part b

We will conclude that $H^C = \mathbb{N} \setminus H$ is not recursively-enumerable.

Proof. H is a relation, meaning that if it were to be Π_1^0 it would be Δ_1^0 and recursive. If H^C is recursively-enumerable then $H^C = \{e \in \mathbb{N} \mid (e, 0) \in \operatorname{dom}(\neg U)\}$ is Σ_1^0, and implying by negation that $H = \neg H^C$ (in the sense of relations) is Π_1^0. But H is recursively-enumerable and Σ_1^0, meaning that it is indeed Δ_1^0, in contradiction to its being not recursive. $\square$

Part c

Let us define the set,

$$T = \{e \in \mathbb{N} \mid \{x \mid (e, x) \in \operatorname{dom} U\} = \mathbb{N}\}$$

We will show that T is not recursively-enumerable.

Proof. We assume in contradiction that T is recursively-enumerable.
By the equivalence to recursively-enumerable functions proposition we can assume that there is a function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that f is primitive-recursive and $T = \{f(x) \mid x \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Let us define $g : \mathbb{N}^2 \to \mathbb{N}$ by,

$$g(n, x) = U(f(n), x) + 1$$

g is indeed total recursive function as U is recursive and f is total such that $U(f(n), \cdot)$ is total recursive function. $h(x) = g(x, x) + 1 = U(f(x), x) + 1$ is a total recursive function. This means that $\#h \in T$, and there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(m) = \#h$.

$$h(m) = U(f(m), m) + 1 = U(\#h, m) + 1 = h(m) + 1$$

This is contradiction by diagonalization. $\square$

Part d

We will show that $T^C = \mathbb{N} \setminus T$ is not recursively-enumerable.

Proof. $f : H^C \to T^C$ recursive and $f^{-1}(T^C) = H^C$ is recursively-enumerable by the contradiction assumption. We want to show that $f(e) \in T^C$ for any $e \in H^C$.

Any $e \in H^C$ represents recursive function such that it is not defined in 0, in particular it is not total (as 0 witnessing that). We conclude that $e \in T^C$, and that $H^C \subseteq T^C$. We assume in contradiction that T^C is recursively-enumerable, then there is recursive and total $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $T^C = \{f(n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. We define $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ by,

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x & x \in H^C \\ \#c_{U(x,0)} & x \in H \end{cases}.$$

TODO □

Question 2

We will show that any infinite recursively-enumerable set contains an infinite recursive subset.

Proof. Let X be some recursively-enumerable set. Let $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be a total primitive-recursive function such that $X = f(\mathbb{N})$. We saw that max is primitive-recursive, then,

$$g(x) = \max\{f(n) \mid n < x\}$$

is primitive-recursive as well. Note that f is unbounded, therefore g is unbounded as well. We define $h : \mathbb{N} \to \{0, 1\}$ by,

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & g(x) = f(x) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

This is primitive-recursive function as the cases operation is primitive, and $h = \chi_Y$ for $Y \subseteq X$ recursive as we wanted. $\square$

Question 3

We define the sets $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ as recursively-separated if there is some recursive set $C \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $A \subseteq C$ and $B \cap C = \emptyset$. We will find an example of two disjoint recursively-enumerable subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ which cannot be recursively-separated.

Solution. Is this a topology problem? This example will show that $\mathbb{N}$ with the topology induced by recursiveness is not normal.

Let $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be the function such that $f(\mathbb{N}) = H$ from the first question. We define $A = \{f(2n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $B = \{f(2n+1) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, these are clearly recursively-enumerable but not recursive. We also see that $A \cap B = \emptyset$ directly by definition.

Let us assume in contradiction that there is a recursive $C \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $A \subseteq C$ and $B \cap C = \emptyset$. But the set of recursive functions R is recursive and the reduction of recursive function is recursive, then $R \cap C = A$ is recursive, in contradiction to question 1.