

# Solution to Exercise 0 — Model Theory (1), 80616

October 20, 2025



## Question 1

Let  $L = \{P\}$  a language where  $P$  is unary relation. Define,

$$\varphi_n = \exists x_0 \dots \exists x_n \left( \bigwedge_{i \leq n} P(x_i) \wedge \bigwedge_{i < j \leq n} x_i \neq x_j \right), \quad \psi_n = \exists x_0 \dots \exists x_n \left( \bigwedge_{i \leq n} \neg P(x_i) \wedge \bigwedge_{i < j \leq n} x_i \neq x_j \right)$$

and let  $T = \{\varphi_n, \psi_n \mid n < \omega\}$ .

We will show that  $\text{cl}_\perp T$  is  $\omega$ -categorical.

*Proof.* Let us define the model  $\mathcal{M} \models T$  such that  $M = 2 \times \mathbb{N}$  and  $\langle 0, n \rangle \in P^{\mathcal{M}}$  for  $n < \omega$ , as well  $\langle 0, n \rangle \notin P^{\mathcal{M}}$ . It is clear that for any  $n$ , we can choose  $\langle \langle 0, i \rangle \mid i < n \rangle$  as witnesses for  $\varphi_n$ , and similarly choose  $\langle 1, i \rangle$  for  $\psi_n$ .

Let  $\mathcal{N} \models T$  be some countable model, we will show that  $\mathcal{N} \cong \mathcal{M}$ . For  $n = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{N} \models \varphi_n \implies \mathcal{N} \models \exists x P(x)$ , and let  $f(0, 0) = x$ , and in similar manner  $f(1, 0) = y$  for the witness of  $\psi_0 = \exists \neg P(x)$ . We use this as a basis for recursive definition of a function  $f : M \rightarrow N$ , it will be required to show in induction over  $n$  that we can choose explicitly  $x_i$  in  $\varphi_n$  for  $i < n$ .

Let us assume the induction and recursion step, meaning that  $f \upharpoonright 2 \times n$  is defined.  $\mathcal{N} \models \varphi_{n+1}$ , meaning that there are at least  $n + 1$  elements of  $\mathcal{N}$  such that they are in  $P^{\mathcal{N}}$ , by the pigeonhole principle there is at least one element  $a \in N$  such that  $f(0, k) \neq a$  for  $k < n + 1$ , then we can define  $f(0, n + 1) = a$ . This conclude our step, hence there is such function  $f$ , and by our construction it also holds that  $f$  is embedding of  $\mathcal{M}$  into  $\mathcal{N}$ , but

This is all irrelevant, I can just use the set definition of  $\mathcal{N}$ . □

## Question 2

Let  $L = \{c_n \mid n < \omega\}$  be language consists of constant symbols. Let us define the theory  $T = \{c_i \neq c_j \mid i < j < \omega\}$ . We will show that there are countably many non-isomorphic countable models of  $T$ , and that  $T$  is complete.

*Proof.* Let us define the model  $\mathcal{M}_n$  such that  $M = \omega$  and,

$$c_i^{\mathcal{M}} = i + n$$

for any  $i < j < \omega$ ,

$$c_i^{\mathcal{M}} = i + n \neq j + n = c_j^{\mathcal{M}}$$

therefore  $\mathcal{M}_n \models T$ .  $\mathcal{M}_n \models k \neq c_i$  for all  $i < \omega$ , in particular  $\mathcal{M}_n \models \exists x x \neq c_i$ . It is implied that also,

$$\mathcal{M}_n \models \exists x_0 \dots \exists x_{k-1} \left( \bigwedge_{i < j < k} x_i \neq x_j \wedge x_i \neq c_l \right) = \varphi_l^k$$

for all  $l < \omega$ . Finally,  $\mathcal{M}_n \not\models \varphi_l^k$  for any  $k > n$ , we deduce that  $\mathcal{M}_n \not\cong \mathcal{M}_m$  for any  $n \neq m$ .

We move to show that  $T$  is complete. Let us assume toward a contradiction that  $\varphi$  is a sentence such that  $\varphi \notin T$  and  $T \cup \{\varphi\}$  is consistent. By construction of Henkin models we can deduce that  $\mathcal{M}_0 \models \varphi$ , but  $\mathcal{M}_0$  is minimal, namely if  $\mathcal{N} \models T$  then  $\mathcal{M}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ , then by definition  $T \models \varphi$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

### Question 3

We will show that  $\text{Th}(\mathbb{N}, +, \cdot)$  has  $2^{\aleph_0}$  non-isomorphic countable models.

*Proof.* Let  $f : \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be the map between number and its respective prime in the order induced from  $\mathbb{N}$ , namely  $f(0) = 2, f(1) = 3, \dots$  assuming that  $A \subseteq \omega$  is some set, we define  $\mathcal{M}_A = (\text{cl}_+, \cdot, f(A), +, \cdot)$ .

$$\mathcal{M}_A \models \exists p \forall x \, p \cdot x = \underline{p} \cdot x$$

when  $\underline{p}$  acts as numerator, and  $\underline{p} \cdot x$  is abbreviation to  $x + \dots + x$   $p$  times. Let us denote this sentence as  $\varphi_p$ , then,

$$\mathcal{M}_A \models \{\varphi_{f(a)} \mid a \in A\}$$

as well,

$$\mathcal{M}_A \not\models \{\varphi_q \mid q \notin \text{cl}_+ A\}$$

Therefore if  $A, B \subseteq \omega$  and  $A \neq B$  then  $\mathcal{M}_A \not\cong \mathcal{M}_B$ , thus there are  $|\mathcal{P}(\omega)|$  non-isomorphic countable models.  $\square$

## Question 4

Let  $\kappa \geq \omega$  be some cardinal and let  $L$  be some language. Let  $T$  be a  $\kappa$ -categorical  $L$ -theory such that it has no finite models. We will show that  $T$  is complete.

*Proof.* Let us assume for the sake of contradiction that  $T$  is incomplete, and let  $\varphi \in \text{sent}_L$  be a sentence such that  $T_+ = T \cup \{\varphi\}$  is consistent. Let  $T_- = T \cup \{\neg\varphi\}$  and let  $\mathcal{M}_+ \models T_+, \mathcal{M}_- \models T_-$  be models witnessing the theories consistency.  $|M_+|, |M_-| = |L|$  without loss of generality.

Why not choose  $L = \{c_\alpha \mid \alpha < \delta\}$  for  $\kappa < \delta$  and,

$$T = \{c_\alpha \neq c_\beta \mid \alpha < \beta < \kappa\}$$

If  $\mathcal{M} \models T$  then  $|M| \geq \kappa$  and then let  $\kappa < \epsilon < \delta$  be some ordinal, the sentence  $\varphi = c_0 = c_\epsilon$  is consistent with  $T$  and  $\neg\varphi$  as well. □

## Question 5

Let  $T = \text{Th}(\mathbb{Q}, \leq)$  be DLO.

We will show that  $T$  is not  $\kappa$ -categorical for some uncountable cardinal  $\kappa$ .

*Proof.*

□