

# Exercise 1 Answer Sheet — Logic Theory (2), 80424

March 27, 2025



## Question 1

Let us assume that  $D$  is an ultrafilter on given set  $X$ .

### Part a

Suppose that there is some  $s \in D$  such that  $|s| < \omega$ , we will show that  $D$  is principal.

*Proof.* We will assume that  $s$  is minimal in relation to cardinality in  $D$ , otherwise let us choose the minimal such  $s$  by using the well ordering principal. There is a unique such  $s \in D$ , otherwise  $|s| = |s'|$  and  $|s \cap s'| < |s|$ , a contradiction to the minimality of the cardinality of  $s$ .

For every  $x \in D$ , there is some  $y \in D$  such that  $y \subseteq x \cap s$ , but by  $s$  minimality,  $y = x \cap s$ , hence  $s \subseteq x$ . In the other direction, if  $s \subseteq x$ , then  $x \in D$  by filter properties.

Therefore  $D = \{x \in \mathcal{P}(X) \mid s \subseteq x\}$ ,  $D$  is a principal ultrafilter defined by  $s$ . □

### Part b

We will show that if  $D \subseteq \mathcal{P}(I)$  is a principal ultrafilter,  $\langle \mathcal{M}_i \mid i \in I \rangle$  is a sequence of  $L$ -structures, then  $\mathcal{N} = \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{M}_i / D \cong \mathcal{M}_i$  for some  $i \in I$ .

*Proof.* Let  $s \subseteq I$  be the defining set for  $D$ , and let us fix  $i \in s$ . Let  $f : N \rightarrow M_i$  such that  $f([g]_D) = g(i)$ .  $f$  is well defined, as every for every  $h, h' \in [h]$ , it follows that  $h \upharpoonright s = h' \upharpoonright s$  by  $D$ 's definition as principal.

We will show that  $f$  is an isomorphism between  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_i$ .  $L$ -constants are being preserved directly from definition of ultra-products. Assume  $F \in \text{Func}_{L,n}$ , and  $t_0, \dots, t_{n-1} \in \text{term}_L$ , then,

$$f(F^{\mathcal{N}}(t_0^{\mathcal{N}}, \dots, t_{n-1}^{\mathcal{N}})) = f([F^{\mathcal{M}_j}(t_0^{\mathcal{M}_j}, \dots, t_{n-1}^{\mathcal{M}_j}) \mid j \in I]) = F^{\mathcal{M}_i}(t_0^{\mathcal{M}_i}, \dots, t_{n-1}^{\mathcal{M}_i})$$

meaning  $f$  preserves terms. Let  $R \in \text{rel}_{L,n}$ , and let  $t_0, \dots, t_{n-1} \in \text{term}_L$ , then,

$$\mathcal{N} \models R(t_0, \dots, t_{n-1}) \iff \{j \in I \mid \langle t_0^{\mathcal{M}_j}, \dots, t_{n-1}^{\mathcal{M}_j} \rangle \in R^{\mathcal{M}_j}\} \in D \implies \langle t_0^{\mathcal{M}_i}, \dots, t_{n-1}^{\mathcal{M}_i} \rangle \in R^{\mathcal{M}_i}$$

We conclude that  $f$  is indeed a structures isomorphism. □

## Question 2

We will show that if  $F$  is a filter on a set  $X$  such that if  $s \in F$  then  $s$  is infinite, then there is a non-principal ultrafilter extending  $F$ .

*Proof.* Initially, we claim that there is no  $x \in F$  such that  $|x|, |x^C| \geq \omega$ , when  $x^C = X \setminus x$ . If there is one, then  $\emptyset \subseteq x \cap x^C = \emptyset$  is in  $F$ , in contradiction to  $F$  being a filter. We define the ultrafilter  $U = \{x \subseteq X \mid |x| \geq \omega\}$ , this is indeed an ultrafilter as noted in lecture. it is sufficient to show that  $F \subseteq U$ . Indeed, if  $s \in F$  then  $|s| \geq \omega$ , implies that  $s \in U$ .

We found an ultrafilter extending  $F$ , it is required to prove that  $U$  is not a principal ultrafilter as well. We will assume otherwise, then it follows that there is  $S \subseteq X$  such that  $\forall x, S \subseteq x \iff x \in U$ . Let  $a \in S$  be an element, then  $b = X \setminus \{a\}$  is a set such that  $|b| \geq \omega$ , implies that  $b \in U$ , a contradiction as  $S \not\subseteq b$ .  $\square$

### Question 3

#### Part a

Let  $\mathcal{N} = (\mathbb{N}; R^{\mathcal{N}})$  be graph such that  $(a, b) \in R^{\mathcal{N}} \iff |b - a| = 1$ . Let  $D$  be a non-principal ultrafilter on  $\mathbb{N}$  (An example of one is the construction from exercise 2). Let  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}^{\mathbb{N}}/D$ . We will prove that  $\mathcal{M}$  is not path-connected.

*Proof.* Let us define  $a = [0], b = [id]$ , in the sense that the constant valued function  $f(n) = 0$ , it derived that  $f \in a$ . We claim that there is no finite path between  $a, b \in N$ . We assume otherwise, and define  $\langle [v_i] \mid i < n \rangle$  for some  $n < \omega$ , such that  $([v_i], [v_{i+1}]) \in R^{\mathcal{N}}$  for every  $i < n$ , and  $[v_0] = a, [v_{n-1}] = b$ .  $D$  is non-principal then there is some  $i < \omega$  such that  $v_i(i)$  testifies to the relation, and by the last exercise  $i$  is as large as we desire, then we assume  $i > n$ . But  $v_0(i) = 0, v_{n-1}(i) = i$ , and by the relation definition  $v_j(i) = j$  for every  $0 \leq j \leq n$ , it implies that  $i = v_{n-1}(i) < n < i$ , a contradiction. We conclude that  $\mathcal{M}$  is not path-connected.  $\square$

#### Part b

Let  $\mathcal{R} = (\mathbb{R}; +, \cdot, 0, 1, <)$ . Let  $D$  be a non-principal ultrafilter on  $\mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\mathcal{R}^* = \mathcal{R}^{\mathbb{N}}/D$ .

We will show that in  $\mathcal{R}^*$  there is an infinitesimal element, namely  $\epsilon \in R^*$  such that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{n}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $d \in D$  be an unbounded subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ , and let  $r : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $(r \upharpoonright d)(n) = \frac{1}{n+1}$ . We define  $\epsilon = [r]_{\mathcal{R}^*}$ . We observe that  $1^{\mathcal{R}^*} = [\langle n, 1 \rangle \mid n \in \mathbb{N}]$  by definition, and it follows that  $(\frac{1}{n})^{\mathcal{R}^*} = [\langle n, \frac{1}{n} \rangle \mid n \in \mathbb{N}]$ . Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  be some number, we will show that  $\mathcal{R}^* \models \epsilon < \frac{1}{m}$ ,

$$\mathcal{R}^* \models \epsilon < \frac{1}{m} \iff \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \mathbb{R} \models \epsilon < \frac{1}{m}\} \in D \iff \{n \in d \mid \epsilon(n) = \frac{1}{m+1} < \frac{1}{m}\} \in D$$

and by  $d$ 's definition the statement indeed holds. Lastly we will note that  $\mathcal{R}^* \models 0 < \epsilon$ , it is derived by the same method.  $\square$

## Question 4

We will define a filter  $F$  on  $X$  as  $\sigma$ -complete if and only if for every  $\{s_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega\} \subseteq F$ ,  $\bigcap_{\alpha < \omega} s_\alpha \in F$ .

### Part a

We will show that if  $F$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete ultrafilter and  $|X| = \omega$  then  $F$  is principal.

*Proof.* An answer using AC without necessity,

Let  $\{s_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega\} \subseteq F$  be some collection, and let us define  $t_0 = s_0$  and for every  $\alpha < \omega$ ,  $t_{\alpha+1} = s_\alpha \cap t_\alpha$ . We also define  $t_\omega = \bigcap_{\alpha < \omega} t_\alpha$ , this is indeed a set, and  $\in F$  in particular, due to  $F$  being  $\sigma$ -complete. The item  $t_\omega$  is a supremum in relation of  $\supseteq$  in the chain  $\langle t_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega \rangle$ , and it follows that every chain has such supremum. By Zorn's lemma we conclude that there is  $s_m \in F$  which is maximal according to the relation  $\supseteq$ , then  $\forall s \in F$ ,  $s_m \subseteq s$ . If  $s_m \subseteq s$  for any  $s \subseteq X$ , then by filters properties  $s \in F$ , concluding that  $F = \{s \subseteq X \mid s_m \subseteq s\}$ .

We can also use the well-founded order of  $\subseteq$  of  $X$  and take a minimal of it, omitting the part of Choice axiom.

We can also assume that it is non-principal, therefore for every  $x \in X$ ,  $X \setminus \{x\} \in F$  (as it is an ultrafilter), then  $\bigcap_{\alpha < \omega} X \setminus \{x_\alpha\} = \emptyset \in F$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

### Part b

We will provide an example of a  $\sigma$ -complete ultrafilter non-principal filter on an infinite set.

*Solution.* Let  $\mu < \kappa$  be cofinal cardinals such that  $\omega_1 < \mu$ . We define  $U = \{\delta < \kappa \mid \mu < \delta\}$ . This is indeed an ultrafilter as concluded from the cofinality of  $\mu$ , and it is non-principal as derived from extension of question 2. It is clear that  $U$  is  $\sigma$ -complete, as  $\omega_1$  intersections are preserving cofinality for  $\mu$ .

### Part c

We will show that if  $\mathcal{M}_i = (X_i, <_i)$  are nonempty well-ordered sets for  $i \in I$  and  $D$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete ultrafilter on  $I$ , then  $\mathcal{N} = \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{M}_i / D$  is well-ordered.

*Proof.* Using Löwenheim–Skolem we can assume without loss of generality that  $\mathcal{N}$  is a countable model. Let  $\{[f_j] \in \mathcal{N} \mid j < J\}$  be some collection, we will show that there is  $[f] \in \mathcal{N}$  minimal in  $<^{\mathcal{N}}$ . Let  $f(i) = \min_{<^{\mathcal{M}_i}} \{f_j(i) \mid j < J\}$ , this definition holds as  $<^{\mathcal{M}_i}$  is a well-order. By  $\sigma$ -completeness we deduce that  $\{i < I \mid f_j(i) = f(i), j < J\} = \bigcap_{j < J} \{i < I \mid f_j(i) = f(i)\}$ , implying that indeed  $[f] \in \mathcal{N}$ , concluding our claim.  $\square$

### Part d

We will show that the statement of part c does not hold without the assumption that  $D$  is  $\sigma$ -complete.

*Solution.* Let  $\mathcal{N} = (\mathbb{N}; <)^{\mathbb{N}} / D$  for the ultrafilter defined in question 2. It is clear that  $\mathcal{N}$  fulfills the requirements, and that  $D$  is not  $\sigma$ -complete. We will construct a set and show there is no minimum in it. Let  $s = \{[f] : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \mid \forall n \in \mathbb{N} f(n) \leq f(n+1)\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ , and let  $[f] \in s$ , we choose the function  $[g] \in s$  such that  $f(n) = g(n)$  for  $n > M \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $g(n) = f(M)$  otherwise. It follows that  $[g] <^{\mathcal{N}} [f]$ , therefore  $f$  is not minimal, we conclude that indeed  $s$  witnessing that  $<^{\mathcal{N}}$  is not a well-order.