# Solution to Exercise 0 - Model Theory (1), 80616

October 21, 2025



Let  $L = \{P\}$  a language where P is unary relation. Define,

$$\varphi_n = \exists x_0 \dots \exists x_n \left( \bigwedge_{i \le n} P(x_i) \land \bigwedge_{i < j \le n} x_i \ne x_j \right), \quad \psi_n = \exists x_0 \dots \exists x_n \left( \bigwedge_{i \le n} \neg P(x_i) \land \bigwedge_{i < j \le n} x_i \ne x_j \right)$$

and let  $T = \{\varphi_n, \psi_n \mid n < \omega\}.$ 

We will show that  $\operatorname{cl}_{\vdash} T$  is  $\omega$ -categorical.

Proof. Let  $\mathcal{M} \models T$  be some model. It can be proved by direct induction that  $|P^{\mathcal{M}}| = \omega$  as well as  $|\neg P^{\mathcal{M}}| = \omega$ . Let us construct  $f : \omega \to M$  such that  $f(n) \in P^{\mathcal{M}}$  for any  $n < \omega$ .  $\mathcal{M} \models \varphi_0 \iff \mathcal{M} \models \exists x \, P(x)$  then let f(0) be such witness. Let us assume that  $f \upharpoonright n$  is defined, then  $\mathcal{M} \models \varphi_{n+1}$ , then by the pigeonhole principle there is some  $a \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $a \notin f''n$ , and let f(n+1) = a. For the sake of convenience let us redefine f as  $2 \times \omega \to M$  injective function such that f(0,n) is the same as f(n) and  $f(1,n) \notin P^{\mathcal{M}}$ . By CSB we can assume that f is bijection as well, and by the selection of  $\mathcal{M}$  as an arbitrary model of T we can deduce that for any  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \models T, \mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{N}$  by composition of functions as was constructed.  $\square$ 

Let  $L = \{c_n \mid n < \omega\}$  be language consists of constant symbols. Let us define the theory  $T = \{c_i \neq c_j \mid i < j < \omega\}$ . We will show that there are countably many non-isomorphic countable models of T, and that T is complete.

*Proof.* Let us define the model  $\mathcal{M}_n$  such that  $M=\omega$  and,

$$c_i^{\mathcal{M}} = i + n$$

for any  $i < j < \omega$ ,

$$c_i^{\mathcal{M}} = i + n \neq j + n = c_j^{\mathcal{M}}$$

therefore  $\mathcal{M}_n \models T$ .  $\mathcal{M}_n \models k \neq c_i$  for all  $i < \omega$ , in particular  $\mathcal{M}_n \models \exists x \ x \neq c_i$ . It is implied that also,

$$\mathcal{M}_n \models \exists x_0 \dots \exists x_{k-1} \left( \bigwedge_{i < j < k} x_i \neq x_j \land x_i \neq c_l \right) = \varphi_l^k$$

for all  $l < \omega$ . Finally,  $\mathcal{M}_n \not\models \varphi_l^k$  for any k > n, we deduce that  $\mathcal{M}_n \not\cong \mathcal{M}_m$  for any  $n \neq m$ .

We move to show that T is complete. Let us assume toward a contradiction that  $\varphi$  is a sentence such that  $\varphi \notin T$  and  $T \cup \{\varphi\}$  is consistent. By construction of Henkin models we can deduce that  $\mathcal{M}_0 \models \varphi$ , but  $\mathcal{M}_0$  is minimal, namely if  $\mathcal{N} \models T$  then  $\mathcal{M}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ , then by definition  $T \models \varphi$ , a contradiction.

We will show that  $T=\operatorname{Th}(\mathbb{N},+,\cdot)$  has  $2^{\aleph_0}$  non-isomorphic countable models.

*Proof.* Observe the fact that numbers are definable in T, by formula as such,

$$\varphi_n(x) = \forall y \ x \cdot y = \overbrace{y + \dots + y}^{n \text{ times}}$$

If  $\mathcal{M} \models T$  then we denote by  $\underline{n}$  the single element of M that fulfills  $\varphi_n$ .

By the fact that  $\exists x \ \varphi_n(x) \in T$  it follows that  $\{\underline{n} \mid n < \omega\} \subseteq M$  for any such model.

We also denote by  $x \mid y$  the formula  $\exists z \ x \cdot z = y$ .

Let  $P \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  be the set of prime numerals, namely  $\varphi(x) = \forall y, \ (y \mid x \to (y = x \lor y = \underline{1}))$ . We add new constant symbol c to the language of T, and let  $P' \subseteq P$  be some infinite set of primes. Let us define a new theory,

$$T' = T \cup \{p \mid c \mid p \in P'\} \cup \{p \nmid c \mid p \notin P'\}$$

For any  $T_0' \subseteq T'$  finite, either  $T_0' \subseteq T$  and satisfiable or  $\{c \mid \underline{p} \mid p \in P_0'\} \in T_0'$  for some finite  $P_0' \subseteq P'$ , and then  $\mathbb{N} \models \prod_{p \in P_0'} \underline{p}$ . From the compactness theorem we conclude that T' is satisfiable and let  $\mathcal{M}_{P'}' \models T'$  be a witness. We can now remove the constant symbol c and get a model  $\mathcal{M}_{P'} \models T$ .

By downwards Löwenheim Skolem theorem we can assume that  $|M_{P'}| = \omega$  for any  $P' \subseteq P$ . Let us assume that  $|\{\mathcal{M}_{P'} \mid P' \subseteq P, |P'| = \omega\}| < 2^{\omega}$ , then there must be model  $\mathcal N$  such that it has non-countable non-standard elements, in contradiction to being countable. Then  $|\{\mathcal{M}_{P'} \mid P' \subseteq P, |P'| = \omega\}| \geq 2^{\omega}$ .

Let  $\kappa \geq \omega$  be some cardinal and let L be some language. Let T be a  $\kappa$ -categorical L-theory such that it has no finite models. We will show that T can be incomplete.

*Solution.* Let  $L = \{c_{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \delta\} \cup \{P\}$  for  $\kappa < \delta$ , where  $c_{\alpha}$  is a constant symbol and P is unary relation.

$$T = \{ c_{\alpha} \neq c_{\beta} \mid \alpha < \beta < \delta \}$$

It follows from the definition of T that if  $\mathcal{M} \models T$  then  $|\mathcal{M}| \geq \delta > \kappa$ , therefore there are no models of T of cardinality  $\kappa$ , then the theory is vacuously  $\kappa$ -categorical. T is not complete, as  $P(c_0) \notin T$  as well  $\neg P(c_0) \notin T$ .

Let 
$$T=\operatorname{Th}(\mathbb{Q},\leq)$$
 be DLO.

We will show that T is not  $\kappa$ -categorical for some uncountable cardinal  $\kappa$ .

*Proof.* Define  $(\mathbb{R}, \leq)$  and  $(\mathbb{R} + \mathbb{Q}, \leq)$ , these are both models of DLO, and let us assume that  $f: \mathbb{R} + \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{R}$  is model isomorphism and thus also an order isomorphism. Let  $y = f(\langle 1, 0 \rangle)$ , then,

$$|\{x \ge y \mid x \in \mathbb{R}\}| = 2^{\omega}$$

but

$$|\{f^{-1}(x) \ge^{\mathbb{R} + \mathbb{Q}} \langle 1, 0 \rangle \mid x \in \mathbb{R}\}| \le |\mathbb{Q}| = \omega$$

a contradiction, then  $(\mathbb{R}, \leq) \not\cong (\mathbb{R} + \mathbb{Q}, \leq)$ .