



DAGS v1.0 Normative Standard

Relationship to Other Frameworks

1. Purpose

This document defines the normative relationship between the Deployment AI Governance Standard (DAGS) v1.0 and other frameworks, standards, regulations, and guidelines.

Its purpose is to:

- Clarify how DAGS is intended to coexist with external frameworks
- Prevent implied substitution, incorporation, or dependency
- Enable disciplined mapping without importing external obligations
- Preserve the autonomy and interpretive integrity of the DAGS standard

This document is normative.

2. Non-Substitution Principle

DAGS shall not be treated as a substitute for:

- Regulatory compliance obligations
- Jurisdiction-specific legal requirements
- Sectoral or industry-specific standards
- Organizational risk management programs



Conversely, compliance with other frameworks shall not be represented as satisfaction of DAGS requirements unless explicitly demonstrated.

3. Framework-Agnostic Design

DAGS is intentionally framework-agnostic.

It:

- Does not embed requirements from other standards
- Does not assume the presence of specific governance models
- Does not inherit definitions, scopes, or control structures from external frameworks

All DAGS requirements stand on their own authority.

4. Permitted Mapping and Alignment

Mapping between DAGS and other frameworks is permitted **only** under the following conditions:

- Mapping is informational, not normative
- Mapped controls do not alter the meaning of DAGS requirements
- No external requirement is implied to be mandatory under DAGS
- No DAGS requirement is weakened through equivalence claims

Authoritative statements regarding standards alignment, scope, and limitations are maintained separately under the DAGS Foundation materials. Mapping matrices, alignment statements, and version histories are informative artifacts governed by the DAGS Governing Body and do not modify the requirements of this standard.



Mapping artifacts are derivative and non-authoritative.

5. Regulatory Relationships

DAGS may support regulatory engagement by:

- Providing a clear articulation of deployment-layer governance controls
- Offering a stable reference point for oversight discussions
- Enabling structured disclosure of governance practices

DAGS does not grant regulatory approval, safe harbor, or compliance presumption.

6. Standards and Framework Examples

Frameworks to which DAGS may be mapped, subject to the conditions in Section 4 and as documented in the DAGS standards alignment materials, include but are not limited to:

- ISO/IEC AI and management system standards
- NIST AI Risk Management Framework
- Sector-specific AI governance guidelines
- Jurisdictional AI regulations

Such references are contextual only and do not alter DAGS authority.

7. Prohibited Interpretations

The following interpretations are explicitly prohibited:

- Treating DAGS as an implementation guide for other frameworks
- Importing maturity models or scoring systems into DAGS interpretation
- Asserting equivalence without explicit control-level mapping
- Using external certifications to imply DAGS alignment

Any such interpretation constitutes misrepresentation.

8. Conflict Resolution

In the event of conflict between DAGS and another framework:

- DAGS requirements govern interpretation for purposes of DAGS alignment
- External frameworks govern their own compliance regimes

No external document may override DAGS normative text.

9. Status

This Relationship to Other Frameworks document is normative.

It is binding for DAGS v1.0 and all derivative artifacts unless explicitly superseded.



10. License & Authority

The Deployment AI Governance Standard (DAGS) is a publicly available governance standard made available for reference and implementation.

All intellectual property rights in DAGS, including the standard text, structure, methodology, and interpretive guidance, are retained by the DAGS Governing Body.

Public availability of this document does not grant any license or right to use DAGS for commercial, advisory, certification, assurance, or assessment purposes.

Such uses may require separate authorization or licensing from the DAGS Governing Body. No rights are granted by implication, estoppel, or public distribution.

Editorial, interpretive, versioning, and equivalency authority is retained exclusively by the DAGS Governing Body. No third party may issue authoritative interpretations, certifications, or compliance determinations without explicit written authorization.

Deployment AI Governance Standard (DAGS) v1.0
Status: Published
Copyright © DAGS Governing Body
All Rights Reserved

© DAGS Governing Body. DAGS™ is a publicly available standard. Editorial and interpretive authority is retained exclusively by the DAGS Governing Body. Reference to or implementation of this standard does not imply certification, compliance, endorsement, or authorization unless expressly granted in writing by the DAGS Governing Body.	Version: v1.0 Status: Published
	Page 5 of 5