

**NATIONAL YOUTH SERVICE CORPS (NYSC), LOKOJA, KOGI STATE**

**CAMP EVALUATION REPORT OF 2024 BATCH 'A' STREAM II**

**ON**

**OPINION SURVEY ON CORPS MEMBERS ABOUT NYSC AT THE END OF**

**THE ORIENTATION COURSE (FORM 4A)**

**ASAYA CAMP, KOGI STATE.**

**MAY, 2024.**

**NATIONAL YOUTH SERVICE CORPS (NYSC) KOGI STATE.**

# **NATIONAL YOUTH SERVICE CORPS (NYSC) KOGI STATE**

Sample survey of opinion of 2024 Batch A Stream II Corps members. The Orientation Course of the 2024 Batch 'A' Stream II Corps members took place from 17<sup>th</sup> of April, 2024 through May 7<sup>th</sup>, 2024. An online based questionnaire was adopted as opposed to the previous mode of data collection. The design adopted was a random sampling of 482 Corps members across the ten platoons on camp.

Accordingly, the items in the forms were analyzed descriptively with frequency table and percentage, with data visualization using suitable charts.

TOTAL NUMBER OF PLATOONS IN THE CAMP = 10

NUMBER OF RESPONSES RECEIVED = 482

HENCE, THE SAMPLE SIZE IS 482.

## SECTION 1: DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION OF CORPS MEMBERS

### State of Origin

Table 1: State of Origin of Respondents

S/N	STATE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
1.	Abia	14	2.94	2.94
2.	Adamawa	5	1.05	3.98
3.	Akwa Ibom	21	4.40	8.39
4.	Anambra	44	9.22	17.61
5.	Bauchi	4	0.84	18.45
6.	Bayelsa	10	2.10	20.55
7.	Benue	0	0.00	20.55
8.	Borno	3	0.63	21.17
9.	Cross River	9	1.89	23.06
10.	Delta	19	3.98	27.04
11.	Ebonyi	15	3.14	30.19
12.	Edo	22	4.61	34.80
13.	Ekiti	21	4.40	39.20
14.	Enugu	25	5.24	44.44
15.	F C T	0	0.00	44.44
16.	Gombe	2	0.42	44.86
17.	Imo	37	7.76	52.62
18.	Jigawa	3	0.63	53.25
19.	Kaduna	23	4.82	58.07
20.	Kano	11	2.31	60.38
21.	Katsina	9	1.89	62.26
22.	Kebbi	1	0.21	62.47
23.	Kogi	2	0.42	62.89
24.	Kwara	1	0.21	63.10
25.	Lagos	17	3.56	66.67
26.	Niger	0	0.00	66.67
27.	Ogun	30	6.29	72.96
28.	Ondo	25	5.24	78.20
29.	Osun	37	7.76	85.95
30.	Oyo	43	9.01	94.97
31.	Plateau	0	0.00	94.97
32.	Rivers	9	1.89	96.86
33.	Sokoto	4	0.84	97.69
34.	Taraba	4	0.84	98.53
35.	Yobe	5	1.05	99.58
36.	Zamfara	2	0.42	100.00
	<b>Total</b>	<b>477</b>	<b>100.00</b>	




Figure 1: State of Origin of the Respondents

The State of Origin of the Corps members registered with the Batch A Stream II section is shown in Table 1 and Figure 1. The results revealed that 32 States plus the FCT were represented at the camp which is impressive leaving out plateau, Niger, Benue and The Federal Capital Territory. The major proportion of the population were from the South-Eastern States (Anambra, Imo and Enugu) also from the South-Western states (Oyo, Osun, Ogun and Ondo). Anambra state which accounted for a total of 44 of the total population. Specifically, Oyo state was second on the table dominated in terms of the population of total 43 Corps members deployed to Kogi state.

## Age

Table 2: Age of the Respondents

S/N	AGE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
1.	20	4	0.84%	0.84%
2.	21	13	2.73%	3.56%
3.	22	34	7.13%	10.69%
4.	23	64	13.42%	24.11%
5.	24	76	15.93%	40.04%
6.	25	62	13.00%	53.04%
7.	26	53	11.11%	64.15%
8.	27	57	11.95%	76.10%
9.	28	50	10.48%	86.58%
10.	29	40	8.39%	94.97%
11.	30	24	5.03%	100.00%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>477</b>	<b>100</b>	
<b>MEAN</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>VARIANCE</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>STANDARD DEVIATION</b>
				<b>3.316</b>




Figure 2: Age of the respondents

The age of all the respondents ranges between 20 and 30. The camp was dominated by Corps members aged 24 (76 Respondents). Corps members aged 23 (64 Respondents) were the Second highest members who dominated the camp here in Asaya, Kabba, Kogi State. Meanwhile, the camp recorded members aged 21, 22, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29 and 30 respectively for this survey. The total number of respondents were 482.

## Religion

Table 3: Religion of the Respondents

S/N	RELIGION	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
1.	Christianity	375	78.62%	78.62%
2.	Islam	102	21.38%	100.00%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>477</b>	<b>100</b>	




Figure 3: Religion of the Respondents

There were only two religions present in camp of which about 79% of the total population of Corps members identified as Christians while about 21% identified as being of the Islamic religion.

## Gender

Table 4: Gender of the Respondents

GENDER	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
FEMALE	256	53.67%	53.67%
MALE	221	46.33%	100.00%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>477</b>	<b>100</b>	




Figure 4: Gender of the Respondents

Statistics shows that the total female Corps members respondents are more with 54%, higher than that of male Corps members with 46%.



## Marital Status

Table 5: Marital status of the Respondents

MARITAL STATUS	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
MARRIED	13	2.73%	2.73%
SINGLE	464	97.27%	100.00%
TOTAL	477	100%	




Figure 5: Marital Status of the Respondents

From Figure 5, 97% of the Corps members were single while the remaining 3% are married, with none of the Corps members being divorced.

## Qualifications of the Respondents

Table 6: Qualifications of the Respondents

QUALIFICATION	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
B.A	27	5.66%	5.66%
B.ED	62	13.00%	18.66%
B.SC	262	54.93%	73.58%
B.TECH	27	5.66%	79.25%
HND	94	19.71%	98.95%
LL.B	5	1.05%	100.00%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>477</b>	<b>100%</b>	




Figure 6: Qualifications of the Respondents

The results of the analysis on the qualifications of the Corps members revealed that 55% of all the Corps members on camp have Bachelors degree of Science, with about 20 claiming to have a Higher National Diploma (HND) degree. 13% stated that they possess a Bachelors in Education (B.Ed.) degree while 6% each of the respondents claimed that they were awarded the degree of Bachelors in Technology (B.Tech) and 5% stated that they possess a Bachelors of Arts (B.A) while 1% of the respondents possesses Bachelor of Law (LLB).

## Are you on concessional deployment

Table 7: Are you on concessional deployment?

CONCESSIONAL DEPLOYMENT	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
NO	261	54.72%	54.72%
YES	216	45.28%	100.00%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>477</b>	<b>100%</b>	




Figure 7: Concessional Deployment of Respondent

55% of the Corps members responded negatively to the question probing if they were on concessional deployment while the other 45% Respondent claimed that they were on concessional deployment.

## Attendance of Briefing Conducted by NYSC Official

Table 8: Attendance of Briefing Conducted by NYSC Official

BRIEFING	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
NO	300	62.89%	62.89%
YES	177	37.11%	100.00%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>477</b>	<b>100%</b>	




Figure 8: Attendance of Briefing Conducted by NYSC Official

As revealed by Table 8 and Figure 8, 63% of the Corps members on camp claimed they never attended any briefing conducted by NYSC official in their institution prior to their mobilization. However, the other 37% claimed they had attended one (or more) briefing conducted by NYSC official in their institution prior to their mobilization.

## Feeling About Mobilization

Table 9: Feeling About Mobilization

RESPONSE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
EAGER	301	63.10%	63.10%
INDIFFERENT	144	30.19%	93.29%
RELUCTANT	32	6.71%	100.00%
TOTAL	477	100%	




Figure 9: Feeling About Mobilization

As shown in Figure 9, 63% of the Corps members on camp stated that they were eager towards their mobilization for national service. 7 responded that they were reluctant regarding the mobilization while the other 30% claimed they were indifferent regarding their mobilization for national service.

## Purpose of NYSC Scheme

Table 10: How do you rate the purpose for establishing the NYSC scheme?

RESPONSE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
HIGH	262	54.93%	54.93%
LOW	32	6.71%	61.64%
VERY HIGH	175	36.69%	98.32%
VERY LOW	8	1.68%	100.00%
TOTAL	477	100%	




Figure 10: Purpose for establishing the NYSC scheme

The results of the analysis on Corps members' rating of the NYSC scheme revealed that 92% of the Corps members rate the scheme positively, with 37% rating it as being "very high" while the other 55% rated the purpose for establishing the scheme as being "High". However, 8% rated the purpose for establishing the scheme negatively (7% rate it as being "low" while the other rate the purpose as being "very low").

## Collection of Call- up Form

Table 11: Collection of Call- up Form

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
COLLECTED FROM SCHOOL	3	0.63%	0.63%
PRINTED ONLINE	474	99.37%	100.00%
TOTAL	477	100%	




Figure 11: Collection of Call- up Form

As shown in Figure 11, 99% of all the Corps members on camp responded that they printed their Call-up letter online while only 1% got theirs from school.

## Call- up process

Table 12: Which of These Two Processes Do You Think Is Better?

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
CALL-UP FROM SCHOOL	8	1.68%	1.68%
ON-LINE CALL-UP	469	98.32%	100.00%
TOTAL	477	100	




Figure 12: Which of these Two Processes Do You Think Is Better?

As shown in the results (Figure 12), 98% of the Corps members believed that printing their Call up letter online is better as against the remaining 2% who believed otherwise.



## Registration on Camp

Table 13: Rating of Registration on Camp

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
NOT STRESSFUL	202	42.35%	42.35%
STRESSFUL	275	57.65%	100.00%
TOTAL	477	100%	




Figure 13: Registration on Camp

As shown in figure 13, 42% of the Corps members on camp revealed that the registration process on camp was not stressful. 58% affirming that the process was stressful.

## Time Duration for Kit Collection

Table 14: How long did it take for you to collect your kits?

DURATION	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
1HOUR	262	54.93%	54.93%
30 MINUTES	121	25.37%	80.29%
MORE THAN 30 MINUTES BUT NOT UP TO 1HOUR	94	19.71%	100.00%
TOTAL	477	100%	




Figure 14: NYSC Kit Collection Duration

As shown in Figure 14, 55% of the Corps members claimed it took about an hour before they could collect the kits while about 25% responded that it took about 30 minutes before they could get their kits. The remaining 20% stated that it took a duration range between 30 minutes and 1 hour before they could collect their kits at the point of registration.

## Reception on Camp

Table 15: How can you describe the reception you were given on arrival at the Camp?

RESPONSE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
FRIENDLY	390	81.76%	81.76%
NOT FRIENDLY	87	18.24%	100.00%
TOTAL	477	100%	




Figure 15: Reception on Camp

As shown in Figure 15, 82% of the Corps members perceived that the reception they were given on arrival to camp was friendly, while the remaining 18% claimed that the reception they received on arrival to camp wasn't friendly.

## Satisfaction with NYSC Kit Items

Table 16: Were you satisfied with the Kit items issued to you?

RESPONSE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
NO	225	47.17%	47.17%
YES	252	52.83%	100.00%
TOTAL	477	100%	




Figure 16: Satisfaction with the NYSC Kit items issued

The result of the analysis carried out in Figure 16 showed that 53% of the Corps members were satisfied with the kit items issued to them while 47% says otherwise.

## Right Sizes of NYSC Kits

Table 17: Were you issued your right sizes?

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
NO	344	72.12%	72.12%
YES	133	27.88%	100.00%
TOTAL	477	100.00%	




Figure 17: Were you issued your right sizes?

The results shown in Figure 17 revealed that 28% of Corps members were issued their perfect sizes of kits, while 72% were not issued their perfect size.

## Feeding Arrangements

Table 18: Feeding Arrangements in general

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
FAIRLY SATISFIED	204	42.77%	42.77%
NOT SATISFIED	119	24.95%	67.71%
SATISFIED	123	25.79%	93.50%
VERY SATISFIED	31	6.50%	100.00%
TOTAL	477	100.00%	




Figure 18: Feeding Arrangements in general

6% of the respondents stated that they were very satisfied while 26% were satisfied with the general feeding arrangements. 43% were fairly satisfied while the other 25% claimed that they were not satisfied with the general feeding arrangements.

## Quantity of Food

Table 19: Quantity of Food

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
FAIRLY SATISFIED	227	47.59%	47.59%
NOT SATISFIED	126	26.42%	74.00%
SATISFIED	105	22.01%	96.02%
VERY SATISFIED	19	3.98%	100.00%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>477</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	




Figure 19: Quantity of Food

The response of Corps members regarding their satisfaction level towards the quantity of food served, as captured in Table 19 and Figure 19, revealed that 22% and 4% of the Corps members were satisfied and very satisfied about the quantity of food served in camp respectively. 48% of the Corps members were fairly satisfied while the remaining 26% were not satisfied with the quantity of food served in camp.

## Satisfaction with food serving

Table 20: Satisfaction with the quality of food served

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
FAIRLY SATISFIED	222	46.54%	46.54%
NOT SATISFIED	154	32.29%	78.83%
SATISFIED	89	18.66%	97.48%
VERY SATISFIED	12	2.52%	100.00%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>477</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	




Figure 20: Satisfaction with the quality of food served

The response of the Corps members regarding their satisfaction level towards the quality of food served, as captured in Table 20 and Figure 20, revealed that only 19% and 2% of the Corps members were satisfied and very satisfied about the quality of food served in camp respectively. 47% of the Corps members were fairly satisfied while the remaining 32% were not satisfied with the quality of food served in camp.



## Involvement of Corps Members in Preparation of Food

Table 21: Involvement of Corps members in preparation of food

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
FAIRLY SATISFIED	89	18.66%	18.66%
NOT SATISFIED	22	4.61%	23.27%
SATISFIED	274	57.44%	80.71%
VERY SATISFIED	92	19.29%	100.00%
TOTAL	477	100.00%	




Figure 21: Involvement of Corps members in preparation of food

72% of all the Corps members on camp display satisfaction on various levels (57% satisfied and 19% very satisfied) regarding the involvement of Corps members in food preparation. 19% are fairly satisfied while the other 5% showed their dissatisfaction regarding the involvement of Corps members in the camp food preparation process.

## Mode of Serving Food

Table 22: Mode of serving food

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
FAIRLY SATISFIED	141	29.56%	29.56%
NOT SATISFIED	41	8.60%	38.16%
SATISFIED	231	48.43%	86.58%
VERY SATISFIED	64	13.42%	100.00%
TOTAL	477	100.00%	




Figure 22: Mode of serving food

The response of the Corps members on camp revealed that 48% and 13% of the respondents were satisfied and very satisfied respectively, regarding the mode of serving food on camp. while 30% and 9% of Corps members were fairly satisfied and Not satisfied regarding the food served in camp respectively.

## Camp Clinic

Table 23: Was there a functional camp clinic?

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
NO	15	3.14%	3.14%
YES	462	96.86%	100.00%
TOTAL	477	100.00%	




Figure 23: Was there a functional camp clinic?

97 of the Corps members on camp asserted that there is a functional camp clinic at the camp while the remaining 3 believed otherwise.

## Performance of the Clinic

Table 24: How do you rate the performance of the clinic?

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
GOOD	316	66.25%	66.25%
POOR	49	10.27%	76.52%
VERY GOOD	112	23.48%	100.00%
TOTAL	477	100.00%	




Figure 24: How do you rate the performance of the clinic?

As shown in Figure 24, 66% of the Corps members rated clinic performance “good”, 24% rated the camp clinic as “very good” while 10% Corps rated the camp clinic “poor”

## Clean Running Water

Table 25: Was There Availability of Clean Running Water in The Washing Hand Points?

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
NO	122	25.58%	25.58%
YES	355	74.42%	100.00%
TOTAL	477	100%	




Figure 25: Availability of Clean Running Water in The Washing Hand Points

74% of the respondents asserted the availability of clean running water in the washing hand points while 26% negated.

## Bed Spacing

Table 26: How do you rate bed spacing in Hostels

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
GOOD	250	52.41%	52.41%
POOR	171	35.85%	88.26%
VERY GOOD	56	11.74%	100.00%
TOTAL	477	100%	




Figure 26: Rate the observance of physical distancing in Bed Spacing

From the figure 26 above, 52% rated the bed spacing “good” and 12% rated “very good” while 36% rated it to be poor.

## Serving of meals

Table 27: How do you rate serving of meals on camp?

RESOPNSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
GOOD	337	70.65%	70.65%
POOR	83	17.40%	88.05%
VERY GOOD	57	11.95%	100.00%
TOTAL	477	100%	




Figure 27: Serving of meals on camp

From figure 27 above, 71% rated the serving of meals in camp as good, 12% rated it to be very good and 17% rated it to be poor.

## Camp market

Table 28: How good is the camp market?

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
GOOD	304	63.73%	63.73%
POOR	69	14.47%	78.20%
VERY GOOD	104	21.80%	100.00%
TOTAL	477	100%	




Figure 28: Camp market

From the figure above, 82% of Corps members responded positively to the usage and availability of the camp market, with 64% and 22% registering their camp market experience to be “good” and “very good” respectively, while the other 14% registered a “poor” experience.



## Performance of the NYSC officials

Table 29: Performance of NYSC officials

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
GOOD	268	56.18%	56.18%
POOR	35	7.34%	63.52%
VERY GOOD	174	36.48%	100.00%
TOTAL	477	100%	




Figure 29: Performance of NYSC officials

From the figure above, 56% of the Corps members rated the camp performance of the NYSC officials to be “good”, 37% rated the officials to be “very good” and 7% rated the officials’ performance to be “poor”.

## Performance of Soldiers

Table 30: Performance of the soldiers in camp

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
GOOD	213	44.65%	44.65%
POOR	30	6.29%	50.94%
VERY GOOD	234	49.06%	100.00%
TOTAL	477	100%	




Table 30: Performance of Soldiers

From the figure above, the respondents noted that 45% of the soldiers' performance during the course of the orientation activities were "very good", 45% rated them to be "good" and 6% rated the soldiers' performance to be "poor".

## Performance of the police

Table 31: Performance of the Police officers

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
GOOD	285	59.75%	59.75%
POOR	29	6.08%	65.83%
VERY GOOD	163	34.17%	100.00%
TOTAL	477	100%	




Figure 31: Performance of the police officers

From figure 31 above, the police officers were rated as Good, very good and poor. 60% of Corps members rated the police officers' performance as good, 34% rated the officers to be very good and 6% rated the officers' performance to be poor.

## performance of the NSCDC officers

Table 32: performance of the NSCDC officers

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
GOOD	303	63.52%	63.52%
POOR	25	5.24%	68.76%
VERY GOOD	149	31.24%	100.00%
TOTAL	477	100%	




Figure 32: performance of the NSCDC officers

From the figure above, NSCDC officers' performance were rated "good" with 64%, 31% rated the officers "very good" with a percentage of 31% and 3% rated them to be "poor".

## Performance of Man O war officials

Table 33: performance of the Man O war officials

RESPONSE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
GOOD	226	47.38%	47.38%
POOR	19	3.98%	51.36%
VERY GOOD	232	48.64%	100.00%
TOTAL	477	100%	




Table 33: Performance of the Man O war officials

From the figure above, 47% of corps members rated the performance of the Man O war personnel's as Good, 49% rated them as very good and 4% rated them as poor

## Performance of Red Cross officials

Table 34: Performance of the Red cross officials’

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
GOOD	254	53.25%	53.25%
POOR	22	4.61%	57.86%
VERY GOOD	201	42.14%	100.00%
TOTAL	477	100%	




Figure 34: Performance of the Red cross officials’

From figure 34, 53% of the Corps members rated the performance of the Corps members as “good”, 42% rated their performance to be “very good” and 5% rated their performance to be “poor”.

## Corps Members Co- Opted into Camp Committee

Table 35: Were Corps Members Co- Opted into Camp Committee

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
NO	43	8.92%	8.92%
YES	439	91.08%	100.00%
TOTAL	482	100%	




Figure 35: Were Corps Members Co- Opted into Camp Committee?

The chart above (figure 35) shows that 91% of the responded affirmed that Corps members were co-opted into camp committee while 9% negated.

## Registration Committee

Table 36: How effective were the camp committees (Registration Committee)?

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DON'T KNOW	12	2.49%	2.49%
EFFECTIVE	276	57.26%	59.75%
INEFFECTIVE	11	2.28%	62.03%
VERY EFFECTIVE	183	37.97%	100.00%
TOTAL	482	100.00%	




Figure 36: How effective were the camp committees (Registration Committee)?

Figure 36 indicates that 60% of the respondents found registration committee effective (60% rated “effective” and 40% rated “very effective”) while 3% rated the committee ineffective.



## Food Committee

Table 37: How effective were Food Committee?

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DON'T KNOW	45	9.34%	9.34%
EFFECTIVE	295	61.20%	70.54%
INEFFECTIVE	35	7.26%	77.80%
VERY EFFECTIVE	107	22.20%	100.00%
TOTAL	482	100.00%	




Figure 37: How effective were the camp committees (Food Committee)?

From figure 37 above, 13 of the respondents rated the committee ineffective, 7% were indifferent about the food committee in the camp, while 82% were satisfied with the food committee (22% rated “very effective” and 61% rated “effective”).

## Camp Committees Effectiveness

Table 38: How effective were the camp committees (Health Committee)?

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DON'T KNOW	37	7.68%	7.68%
EFFECTIVE	280	58.09%	65.77%
INEFFECTIVE	29	6.02%	71.78%
VERY EFFECTIVE	136	28.22%	100.00%
TOTAL	482	100.00%	




Figure 38: How effective were the camp committees (Health Committee)?

From figure 38 above, 6% of the respondents rated the health committee ineffective, 28% rated the health committee to be very effective, while 58% rated the health committee effective.

## Effectiveness of the Social Committee

Table 39: How effective were the camp committees (Social Committee)?

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
<b>BORING</b>	1	0.21%	0.21%
<b>COULD BE BETTER</b>	1	0.21%	0.41%
<b>DON'T KNOW</b>	14	2.90%	3.32%
<b>EFFECTIVE</b>	263	54.56%	57.88%
<b>INEFFECTIVE</b>	19	3.94%	61.83%
<b>VERY EFFECTIVE</b>	184	38.17%	100.00%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	




Figure 39: How effective were the camp committees (Social Committee)?

From figure 39 above, 4% of the respondents rated the social committee ineffective, 38% rated the social committee to be very effective, while 55% rated the social committee to be effective.

## Disciplinary Committee

Table 40 How effective were the Disciplinary Committee

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DON'T KNOW	35	7.26%	7.26%
EFFECTIVE	246	51.04%	58.30%
INEFFECTIVE	7	1.45%	59.75%
TOO EFFECTIVE	1	0.21%	59.96%
VERY EFFECTIVE	193	40.04%	100.00%
TOTAL	482	100.00%	




Figure 40: How effective were the Disciplinary Committee

From figure 40 above, 2% of the respondents rated the Disciplinary committee ineffective, 40% rated the Disciplinary committee to be very effective, while 51% rated the Disciplinary committee to be effective.

## Maintenance Committee

Table 41: How effective were the Maintenance Committee

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DON'T KNOW	34	7.05%	7.05%
EFFECTIVE	287	59.54%	66.60%
INEFFECTIVE	31	6.43%	73.03%
VERY EFFECTIVE	130	26.97%	100.00%
TOTAL	482	100.00%	




Figure 41: How effective were the Maintenance Committee

From figure 41 above, 6% of the respondents rated the Maintenance committee ineffective, 27% rated the Maintenance committee to be very effective, while 60% rated the Maintenance committee to be effective.

## Lecture Committee

Table 42: How effective were the Lectures Committee?

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DON'T KNOW	17	3.53%	3.53%
EFFECTIVE	270	56.02%	59.54%
INEFFECTIVE	20	4.15%	63.69%
VERY EFFECTIVE	175	36.31%	100.00%
TOTAL	482	100.00%	




Figure 42: How effective were the Lectures Committee?

From figure 42 above, 4% of the respondents rated the Lectures committee ineffective, 36% rated the Lectures committee to be very effective, while 56% rated the Lectures committee to be effective.

## Security Committee

Table 43: How effective were the Security Committee?

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DON'T KNOW	9	1.87%	1.87%
EFFECTIVE	227	47.10%	48.96%
INEFFECTIVE	8	1.66%	50.62%
VERY EFFECTIVE	238	49.38%	100.00%
TOTAL	482	100.00%	




Figure 43: How effective were the Security Committee?

From figure 43 above, 2% of the respondents rated the Security committee ineffective, 49% rated the Security committee to be very effective, while 47% rated the Security committee to be effective.

## Camp Market Committee

Table 44: How effective were the Camp Market Committee?

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DON'T KNOW	38	7.88%	7.88%
EFFECTIVE	296	61.41%	69.29%
INEFFECTIVE	31	6.43%	75.73%
VERY EFFECTIVE	117	24.27%	100.00%
TOTAL	482	100.00%	




Figure 44: How effective were the Camp Market Committee?

From figure 44 above, 7% of the respondents rated the Camp Market committee ineffective, 24% rated the Camp Market committee to be very effective, while 61% rated the Camp Market committee to be effective.



## Store Committee

Table 45: How effective were the Stores Committee?

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DON'T KNOW	45	9.34%	9.34%
EFFECTIVE	297	61.62%	70.95%
INEFFECTIVE	25	5.19%	76.14%
VERY EFFECTIVE	115	23.86%	100.00%
TOTAL	482	100.00%	




Figure 45: How effective were the Stores Committee?

From figure 45 above, 5% of the respondents rated the Stores committee ineffective, 24% rated the Stores committee to be very effective, while 62% rated the Camp Market committee to be effective.

## Participation in camp market Committee

Table 46: How effective were the camp market Committee?

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DON'T KNOW	36	7.47%	7.47%
EFFECTIVE	298	61.83%	69.29%
INEFFECTIVE	26	5.39%	74.69%
VERY EFFECTIVE	122	25.31%	100.00%
TOTAL	482	100.00%	




Figure 46: How effective were the camp market Committee?

85% of the respondents agreed that the camp market committee was effective, while 5% considered it ineffective.

## Participation in store committee

Table 47: How effective where the store market committee?

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DON'T KNOW	49	10.17%	10.17%
EFFECTIVE	292	60.58%	70.75%
INEFFECTIVE	21	4.36%	75.10%
VERY EFFECTIVE	120	24.90%	100.00%
TOTAL	482	100.00%	




Figure 47: participation of Corps members in store committee

From the figure above, the respondents rated positivity to the participation of Corps members in the store committee with 62% being effective and 24% rating to be very effective. 5% rated to be ineffective and 9% don't have any idea.

## SAED committee

Table 48: How effective were the SAED Committee?

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DON'T KNOW	17	3.53%	3.53%
EFFECTIVE	238	49.38%	52.90%
INEFFECTIVE	17	3.53%	56.43%
VERY EFFECTIVE	210	43.57%	100.00%
TOTAL	482	100.00%	




Figure 48: How effective were the SAED Committee?

93% of the respondents agree that the SAED committee on camp was effective, while 4% of the respondents disagree and the remaining 3% have no idea.

## Directional signpost

Table 49: How well is the directional signpost effective?

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DON'T KNOW	33	6.85%	6.85%
NOT ORGANIZED	69	14.32%	21.16%
ORGANIZED	271	56.22%	77.39%
VERY ORGANIZED	109	22.61%	100.00%
TOTAL	482	100.00%	




Figure 49: Effectiveness of directional signpost

From the figure above, 56% of the respondents agree that the directional signpost is organized with 23% rating the directional signpost as being very organized and 14% rating it has not been organized. The remaining 7% have no idea about the directional signpost.

## Reception at the gate

Table 50: Assess the level of Corps members reception at the gate

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DON'T KNOW	9	1.87%	1.87%
NOT ORGANIZED	46	9.54%	11.41%
ORGANIZED	258	53.53%	64.94%
VERY ORGANIZED	169	35.06%	100.00%
TOTAL	482	100.00%	




Figure 50: Corps member's reception at the gate

The pie chart above shows that the respondents majority which accounts to an 88% agreed that reception at the gate were organized and 10% rated the reception at the gate as not being organized while 2% of the corps members have no idea about the reception at the gate.

## OBS Committee

Table 51: How effective were the camp committees OBS Committee?

RESPONSE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DON'T KNOW	16	3.32%	3.32%
EFFECTIVE	249	51.66%	54.98%
INEFFECTIVE	11	2.28%	57.26%
VERY EFFECTIVE	206	42.74%	100.00%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	




Figure 51: How effective were the OBS Committee?

95% of the respondents agree that the OBS committee was effective, while 2% consider it ineffective.

## Sports Committee

Table 52: How effective were the Sports Committee?

RESPONSE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DON'T KNOW	13	2.70%	2.70%
EFFECTIVE	252	52.28%	54.98%
INEFFECTIVE	10	2.07%	57.05%
VERY EFFECTIVE	207	42.95%	100.00%
TOTAL	482	100.00%	




Figure 52: How effective were the Sports Committee?

43% and 52% of the respondents agree that the sports committee on camp was very effective and effective respectively, while 2% of the respondents disagree.



## Corps members' participation in the Food Committee

Table 53: Assess the level of Corps members' participation in the Food Committee

RESPONSE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DON'T KNOW	23	4.77%	4.77%
EFFECTIVE	291	60.37%	65.15%
INEFFECTIVE	27	5.60%	70.75%
VERY EFFECTIVE	141	29.25%	100.00%
TOTAL	482	100.00%	




Figure 53: Assess the level of Corps members' participation in the Food Committee

From the figure above, 89% of the respondents agree that the Corps members were actively involved in the food committee, which shows a positive response and 6% aren't involved.

## Corps members' participation in the Health Committee

Table 54: Assess the level of Corps members' participation in the Health Committee

RESPONSE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DON'T KNOW	28	5.81%	5.81%
EFFECTIVE	261	54.15%	59.96%
INEFFECTIVE	24	4.98%	64.94%
VERY EFFECTIVE	169	35.06%	100.00%
TOTAL	482	100.00%	




Figure 54: participation in health committee

The pie chart above shows that the respondents majority agree that the participation of Corps members in the health committee was effective with a percentage of 89% and 5% been ineffective.

## Corps members' participation in the Social Committee

Table 55: Assess the level of Corps members' participation in the Social Committee

RESPONSE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DON'T KNOW	13	2.70%	2.70%
EFFECTIVE	243	50.41%	53.11%
INEFFECTIVE	10	2.07%	55.19%
VERY EFFECTIVE	216	44.81%	100.00%
TOTAL	482	100.00%	




Figure 55: Assess the level of Corps members' participation in the Social Committee

Many of the respondents (95%) agree that the participation of Corps members in the social committee was effective and a very small percentage of 2% were ineffective.

## Corps members' participation in the Disciplinary Committee

Table 56: Assess the level of Corps members' participation in the Disciplinary Committee

RESPONSE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DON'T KNOW	26	5.39%	5.39%
EFFECTIVE	262	54.36%	59.75%
INEFFECTIVE	15	3.11%	62.86%
VERY EFFECTIVE	179	37.14%	100.00%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	




Figure 56: Assess the level of Corps members' participation in the following Camp activities (Disciplinary Committee)

As shown in Figure 56, 54% of the Corps members believed that their participation in disciplinary committee is effective while some other 37% respondents believed the participation of Corps members in this committee is very effective. However, 3% believed that the participation of Corps members in disciplinary committee members was ineffective while the remaining 6% responded indifferently to the question.

## Corps members' participation in the Maintenance Committee

Table 57: Assess the level of Corps members' participation in the Maintenance Committee

RESPONSE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DON'T KNOW	31	6.43%	6.43%
EFFECTIVE	292	60.58%	67.01%
INEFFECTIVE	27	5.60%	72.61%
VERY EFFECTIVE	132	27.39%	100.00%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	




Figure 57: Assess the level of Corps members' participation in Maintenance Committee.

The results in Figure 57 revealed the beliefs of Corps members regarding Corps members' participation in the maintenance committee. 87% believed that the participation of the Corps members in maintenance committee is either effective or very effective. However, 6% believed that the participation of the Corps members in the maintenance committee is ineffective while the remaining 6% responded that they were unaware of the effectiveness of Corps members' participation in the maintenance committee.

## Corps members' participation in the Lectures Committee

Table 58: Assess the level of Corps members' participation in the Lectures Committee

RESPONSE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DON'T KNOW	19	3.94%	3.94%
EFFECTIVE	279	57.88%	61.83%
INEFFECTIVE	20	4.15%	65.98%
VERY EFFECTIVE	164	34.02%	100.00%
TOTAL	482	100.00%	




Figure 58: Assess the level of Corps members' participation in the Lectures Committee

As shown in the above figure, 58% of the Corps members believed that their participation in lectures committee is effective while some other 34% respondents believed the participation of Corps members in this committee is very effective. However, 4% believed that the participation of Corps members in disciplinary committee members was ineffective while the remaining 4% responded indifferently to the question.

## Corps members' participation in the Security Committee

Table 59: Assess the level of Corps members' participation in the Security Committee

RESPONSE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DON'T KNOW	21	4.36%	4.36%
EFFECTIVE	242	50.21%	54.56%
INEFFECTIVE	14	2.90%	57.47%
VERY EFFECTIVE	205	42.53%	100.00%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	




Figure 59: Assess the level of Corps members' participation in the Security Committee

The results in Figure 59 revealed the beliefs of Corps members regarding Corps members' participation in the security committee. 93% believed that the participation of the Corps members in security committee is either effective (50%) or very effective (43%). However, 3% believed that the participation of the Corps members in the security committee is ineffective.

## Corps members' participation in the Lectures Committee

Table 60: Assess the level of Corps members' participation in the OBS Committee

RESPONSE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DON'T KNOW	13	2.70%	2.70%
EFFECTIVE	248	51.45%	54.15%
INEFFECTIVE	10	2.07%	56.22%
VERY EFFECTIVE	211	43.78%	100.00%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	




Figure 60: Assess the level of Corps members' participation in the OBS Committee

The results in Figure 60 revealed the beliefs of Corps members participation in the Orientation Broadcasting Service (OBS) committee. 95% believed that the participation of the Corps members in the OBS committee is either effective (51%) or very effective (44%). However, 2% believed that the participation of the Corps members in the OBS committee is ineffective while the remaining 3% responded that they were unaware of the effectiveness of Corps members' participation in the OBS committee.



## Organization of Registration

Table 61: How organized were the Registration?

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DON'T KNOW	8	1.66%	1.66%
NOT ORGANIZED	10	2.07%	3.73%
ORGANIZED	277	57.47%	61.20%
VERY ORGANIZED	187	38.80%	100.00%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	




Figure 61: How organized was the registration?

As shown in Figure 61, 57% and another 39% of the Corps members claimed that the registration processes were “organized” and “very organized” respectively. However, 2% believed that the registration process was not organized, while other 2% responded indifferently regarding the registration process at camp.

## Organization of Accommodation

Table 62: How organized was the accommodation?

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DON'T KNOW	11	2.28%	2.28%
NOT ORGANIZED	48	9.96%	12.24%
ORGANIZED	311	64.52%	76.76%
VERY ORGANIZED	112	23.24%	100.00%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	




Figure 62: How organized was the accommodation?

As shown in Figure 62, 65% and another 23% of the Corps members claimed that the accommodation was “organized” and “very organized” respectively. However, 10% believed that the accommodation was not organized, while 2% responded indifferently regarding the accommodation at camp.

## Organization of Feeding

Table 63: How organized were the Feeding?

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DON'T KNOW	16	3.32%	3.32%
NOT ORGANIZED	36	7.47%	10.79%
ORGANIZED	344	71.37%	82.16%
VERY ORGANIZED	86	17.84%	100.00%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	




Figure 63: How organized was the feeding?

As shown in Figure 63, 71% and another 18% of the Corps members claimed that the feeding process was “organized” and “very organized” respectively. However, 8% believed that the feeding was not organized enough, while 3% responded indifferently regarding the organization of feeding at camp.

## Organization of Issuing the Kit

Table 64: How organized were the Issuing of Kit?

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DON'T KNOW	11	2.28%	2.28%
NOT ORGANIZED	39	8.09%	10.37%
ORGANIZED	294	61.00%	71.37%
VERY ORGANIZED	138	28.63%	100.00%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	




Figure 64: How organized was the kitting?

As shown in Figure 64, 61% and another 29% of the Corps members claimed that the kitting process was organized and “very organized” respectively. However, 8% believed that the kitting process was not organized enough, while 2% responded indifferently regarding the organization of kitting at camp.

## Organization of Medical Care

Table 65: How organized were the Medical care?

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DON'T KNOW	28	5.81%	5.81%
EFFECTIVE	273	56.64%	62.45%
IN-EFFECTIVE	41	8.51%	70.95%
VERY EFFECTIVE	140	29.05%	100.00%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	




Figure 65: How organized was the Medical care?

As shown in Figure 65, 57% and another 29% of the Corps members believed that the medical care available at the camp was “effective” and “very effective” respectively. However, 8% believed that the medical care was ineffective, while 6% responded indifferently regarding the effectiveness of the medical care at camp.

## Organization of Physical Training

Table 66: How organized were the Physical Training?

RESPONSES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DON'T KNOW	10	2.07%	2.07%
EFFECTIVE	254	52.70%	54.77%
IN-EFFECTIVE	13	2.70%	57.47%
VERY EFFECTIVE	205	42.53%	100.00%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	




Figure 66: How effective were the physical trainings

As shown in Figure 66, 53% and another 42% of the Corps members believed that the physical training activities at the camp were “effective” and “very effective” respectively. However, 2% believed that the physical training activities were ineffective, while 2% responded indifferently regarding the effectiveness of the physical training activities at the camp.

## Effectiveness of Drills and Parade

Table 67: How effective were the following (Drills and Parade)?

RESPONSE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DON'T KNOW	6	1%	1%
EFFECTIVE	183	38%	39%
IN-EFFECTIVE	10	2%	41%
VERY EFFECTIVE	283	59%	100%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>100%</b>	




Figure 67: How effective were the Drills and Parade?

The chart above (Figure 67) indicated that 59% of the Corps members believed that the drills and parade organizations were very effective while 38% claimed it was effective. Meanwhile, 2% of the respondents claimed that the drills and parade activities carried out at camp were ineffective. The remaining 1% responded indifferently regarding the effectiveness of drills and parade at camp.

## Effectiveness of Man O War

Table 68: How effective were the following (Man O War)?

RESPONSE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DON'T KNOW	6	1%	1%
EFFECTIVE	202	42%	43%
IN-EFFECTIVE	9	2%	45%
VERY EFFECTIVE	265	55%	100%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>100%</b>	




Figure 68: How effective was the Man O War?

According to figure 68, 55% of the respondents indicated that the Man 'O' War organizations were very effective while 42% believed it was effective. However, 2% believed the Man O War officials and their training activities were ineffective. The remaining 1% of the Corps members responded indifferently to the activities of the Man O War at Camp.



## Effectiveness of Lectures

Table 69: How effective was the Lectures?

RESPONSE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DON'T KNOW	5	1%	1%
EFFECTIVE	227	47%	48%
IN-EFFECTIVE	19	4%	52%
VERY EFFECTIVE	231	48%	100%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>100%</b>	




Figure 69: How effective were the lectures?

As shown in Figure 69, 51% and another 44% of the Corps members believed that the lectures at the camp were “effective” and “very effective” respectively. However, 4% claimed that the lectures were ineffective, while 1% responded indifferently regarding the effectiveness of the lectures at the orientation camp.

## Effectiveness of Professional Lectures

Table 70: How effective were the Professional Lectures?

RESPONSE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DON'T KNOW	6	1%	1%
EFFECTIVE	231	48%	49%
IN-EFFECTIVE	16	3%	52%
VERY EFFECTIVE	229	48%	100%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>100%</b>	




Figure 70: How effective were the professional lectures?

As shown in Figure 70, 48% and another 48% of the Corps members believed that the professional lectures at the orientation camp were “effective” and “very effective” respectively. However, 3% believed that the professional lectures were ineffective, while 1% responded indifferently regarding the effectiveness of the professional lectures at the orientation camp.

## Effectiveness of the (SAED Activities)

Table 71: How organized were the following (SAED Activities)?

RESPONSE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DON'T KNOW	8	2%	2%
EFFECTIVE	207	43%	45%
IN-EFFECTIVE	16	3%	48%
VERY EFFECTIVE	251	52%	100%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>100%</b>	




Figure 71: How organized were the SAED activities?

As shown in Figure 71, 52% and another 43% of the Corps members believed that the SAED activities at the camp were “effective” and “very effective” respectively. However, 3% believed that the SAED activities were ineffective, while the other 2% responded indifferently regarding the effectiveness of the SAED activities at the orientation camp.

## Effectiveness of Gaming and Sporting activities

Table 72: How effective were the Gaming and Sporting activities?

RESPONSE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DON'T KNOW	4	0.83%	0.83%
EFFECTIVE	216	44.81%	45.64%
IN-EFFECTIVE	10	2.07%	47.72%
VERY EFFECTIVE	252	52.28%	100.00%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	




Figure 72: How effective were the Gaming and Sporting activities?

As shown in Figure 72, 44% and another 52% of the Corps members believed that the Gaming and Sporting activities at the orientation camp were “effective” and “very effective” respectively. However, 3% believed that the Gaming and sporting activities were ineffective, while the other 1% responded indifferently regarding the effectiveness of the Gaming and Sporting activities at the orientation camp.

## Effectiveness of the Orientation Course in general

Table 73: How effective was the Orientation Course in general?

RESPONSE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DON'T KNOW	7	1.45%	1.45%
EFFECTIVE	229	47.51%	48.96%
IN-EFFECTIVE	15	3.11%	52.07%
VERY EFFECTIVE	231	47.93%	100.00%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	




Figure 73: How effective was the Orientation Course in general?

As shown in Figure 73, 48% and another 48% of the Corps members believed that the Orientation course in general was “effective” and “very effective” respectively. However, 3% believed that the Orientation course was generally ineffective, while the other 1% responded indifferently regarding the effectiveness of the orientation course in general.

## Discipline level of NYSC Camp Officials

Table 74: Discipline level of NYSC Camp Officials

RESPONSE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DISCIPLINED	197	40.87%	40.87%
NOT DISCIPLINED	6	1.24%	42.12%
VERY DISCIPLINED	279	57.88%	100.00%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	




Figure 74: Discipline level of NYSC Camp Officials

As shown in Figure 74, 58% and 41% of the Corps members believed that the Camp NYSC officials at the orientation camp were “very disciplined” and “disciplined” respectively. However, 1% believed that the NYSC officials on camp were not disciplined.

## Discipline level of NYSC Camp Soldiers

Table 75: Discipline level of NYSC Camp Soldiers

RESPONSE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DISCIPLINED	136	28.22%	28.22%
NOT DISCIPLINED	2	0.41%	28.63%
VERY DISCIPLINED	344	71.37%	100.00%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	




Figure 75: Discipline level of NYSC Camp Soldiers

As shown in Figure 75, the totality of the respondents believed that the camp soldiers are “very disciplined” with 71% while 28% of the Corps members believed that the Camp NYSC soldiers at the orientation camp were “disciplined” and no act of indiscipline was recorded.

## Discipline level of NYSC Camp Police/NSCDC

Table 76: Discipline level of NYSC Camp Police/NSCDC

RESPONSE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DISCIPLINED	215	44.61%	44.61%
NOT DISCIPLINED	2	0.41%	45.02%
VERY DISCIPLINED	265	54.98%	100.00%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	




Figure 76: Discipline level of NYSC Camp Police/NSCDC

As shown in Figure 76, 55% and 45% of the Corps members believed that the Police and NSCDC officials at the orientation camp were “very disciplined” and “disciplined” respectively. However, None pf the camp members believed that the Police and NSCDC officials on camp are not disciplined.



## Discipline level of NYSC Camp Man O War

Table 77: Discipline level of NYSC Camp Man O War

RESPONSE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DISCIPLINED	187	38.80%	38.80%
NOT DISCIPLINED	2	0.41%	39.21%
VERY DISCIPLINED	293	60.79%	100.00%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	




Figure 77: Discipline level of NYSC Camp Man O War

As shown in Figure 77, 61% and 39% of the Corps members believed that the Man O War officials at the orientation camp were “very disciplined” and “disciplined” respectively. However, none of the Corps members believed that the Man O War officials on camp were not disciplined.

## Discipline level at the Orientation Camp

Table 78: Discipline level of other officials at the Orientation camp

RESPONSE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DISCIPLINED	264	54.77%	54.77%
NOT DISCIPLINED	14	2.90%	57.68%
VERY DISCIPLINED	204	42.32%	100.00%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	




Figure 78: Other Discipline

As shown in Figure 78, 42% and 55% of the Corps members believed that the other officials, not stated earlier, at the orientation camp were “very disciplined” and “disciplined” respectively. However, 3% believed that these other officials on camp are not disciplined.

## National Service Orientation Course

Table 79: To what extent has the orientation course made you amenable to National Service

RESPONSE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
AMENABLE	275	57.05%	57.05%
NOT AMENABLE	10	2.07%	59.13%
VERY AMENABLE	197	40.87%	100.00%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	




Figure 79: To what extent has the orientation course made you amenable to National Service?

As shown in the above figure, 57% and 41% of total respondents claimed that the orientation course has made them “amenable” and “very amenable” to national service, while the remaining 2% of the respondents believed the orientation course did not make them amenable to national service.

## Boring Aspect Orientation Course

Table 80: Which aspect of the orientation course did you find boring?

RESPONSE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
COVID-19 SAFETY PROTOCOLS	43	8.92%	8.92%
DRILLS & PARADE	23	4.77%	13.69%
LECTURES	214	44.40%	58.09%
MAN O WAR	5	1.04%	59.13%
MEDICAL CARE	28	5.81%	64.94%
ORIENTATION COURSE IN GENERAL	12	2.49%	67.43%
PHYSICAL TRAINING	7	1.45%	68.88%
PROFESSIONAL LECTURES	24	4.98%	73.86%
SAED ACTIVITIES	116	24.07%	97.93%
SOCIAL ACTIVITIES	10	2.07%	100.00%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	




Figure 80: Which aspect of the orientation course did you find boring?

The results in Figure 79 revealed the responses of the Corps members on the activities they found boring during the orientation course. The lecture series was found to be the most boring activity of the activities carried out on camp followed by SAED Activities. However, Man O War and Games and Sporting activities were the least boring activities with about 0.2% percent of the Corps members picking it as being boring.

## Orientation Course Programme

Table 81: What Aspect of the Orientation Course Programme Did You Find Most Interesting?

RESPONSE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	CUMMULATIVE PERCENTAGE
DRILLS & PARADE	65	13.49%	13.90%
GAMES & SPORTS	69	14.32%	28.22%
LECTURES	18	3.73%	31.95%
MAN O WAR	66	13.69%	45.64%
MEDICAL CARE	11	2.28%	47.93%
ORIENTATION COURSE IN GENERAL	25	5.19%	53.11%
PHYSICAL TRAINING	18	3.73%	56.85%
PROFESSIONAL LECTURES	16	3.32%	60.17%
SAED ACTIVITIES	79	16.39%	76.56%
SOCIAL ACTIVITIES	113	23.44%	100.00%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	




Fig. 81: What Aspect of the Orientation Course Programme did you find most interesting?

The results in Figure 81 revealed the responses of the Corps members on the activities they found interesting during the orientation course. Social Activities (113), followed by the SAED activities (79), Gaming and sporting activities (69), followed by Man'O'War activities (66), Drills and Parade (65) among others were found to be the most interesting activities of the programmes engaged in at the orientation camp. However, the COVID\_19 safety protocols, with about 0.2%, was voted as the least interesting activity of all the activities carried out during the orientation course.

## **CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

### **Conclusion**

This study was carried out to evaluate the opinion of 2024 Batch 'A' Stream II Corps members towards the Orientation Course which took place from 17th April, 2024 to 7th May, 2024 at the Asaya-Kabba Camp, Kogi State, Nigeria. The study was conducted with a sample of 482 respondents from a total population of 1456 comprising of 746 male and 710 female Corps members that were registered for the Orientation Course in Kogi State having been deployed to serve the nation from various states across the country and were divided into ten platoons at the Camp.

The survey results revealed that we had more female respondents than male respondents, it also shows that most of the respondents were single and predominantly Christians with modal age of 25 years and most of the Corps members either have a Bachelor's degree of Science (B.Sc.) or Higher National Diploma (HND) among other qualifications.

Generally, the survey revealed that the respondents were pleased with the orientation program, indicating a high level of satisfaction in activities including the Social activities, the Man O War activities, the Professional Lectures, the Drills-and-Parade, and Sports and Games the among others.

### **Recommendations**

It is recommended from the findings of the survey that various aspects of the Orientation Course should be improved upon to ensure a seamless and enjoyable orientation exercise for the subsequent editions including areas such as quality and quantity of food, improvement of facilities like the hostels, clinic, restrooms, sign post for directions, pavilion among others, as the renovation of these camp facilities could foster growth, safety and ensure that the goals of the scheme are achieved.

Also, Corps members made suggestions regarding several of the activities carried out during the Orientation Course including the Skill Acquisition and Entrepreneurship Development (SAED) Programme, Professional Lectures, Drills and Parade, Sporting and Gaming activities, kitchen activities and social activities among others. Going by the complaints of the respondents, it is recommended that lectures be made more conducive, interactive and engaging, and also that the timetable be flexible enough for them to rest in order to be able to learn more and consequently be



more productive. They also suggested regulation of price at the camp market and more time for sporting activities.

Conclusively, majority of Corps members acknowledged the efforts of the NYSC and the State Government, they also commended the commitment and dedication of Course Officials military and para-military personnel for taking care of them during their stay at the camp.