

# Audio Deepfake Detection Assessment Documentation

## Part 1: Research & Model Selection

After reviewing the Audio-Deepfake-Detection repository and current literature, I selected these three promising approaches:

### 1. ResNet-Based Spectrogram Analysis

- **Key Innovation:** Adapts computer vision CNNs for spectrogram analysis
- **Performance:** 98.2% accuracy on ASVspoof 2019
- **Why Promising:**
  - Effective for capturing local artifacts in generated audio
  - Computationally efficient for near real-time
- **Limitations:**
  - May struggle with unseen synthesis methods
  - Requires careful spectrogram parameter tuning

### 2. Wav2Vec 2.0 Fine-Tuning

- **Key Innovation:** Leverages self-supervised speech representations
- **Performance:** 96.8% accuracy on In-the-Wild dataset
- **Why Promising:**
  - Captures subtle linguistic artifacts
  - Transfer learning reduces data requirements
- **Limitations:**
  - Computationally intensive
  - Larger model size affects deployment

### 3. LSTM-Based Temporal Analysis

- **Key Innovation:** Models long-range temporal dependencies
- **Performance:** 94.5% accuracy on WaveFake dataset
- **Why Promising:**
  - Effective for conversational context
  - Lightweight compared to transformer approaches
- **Limitations:**
  - Struggles with very short clips

- Sequential processing limits parallelism
- 

## **Part 2: Implementation**

Selected Approach: ResNet-Based Spectrogram Analysis

### **Technical Implementation:**

- Used CMFD dataset (Chinese-English Fake Detection)
- Implemented in PyTorch via Jupyter notebook
- Key components:
  - Mel spectrogram feature extraction
  - ResNet-18 architecture adaptation
  - Binary classification head

### **Comparison with Other Approaches:**

- More computationally efficient than Wav2Vec
- Better at local artifact detection than LSTM
- Simpler to implement and debug than both alternatives

### **Dataset Processing:**

- Organized 1,800 real / 1,000 fake samples
- Train/test split (80/20)
- Audio preprocessing:
  - 16kHz sampling rate
  - 128-band Mel spectrograms
  - 2s windowing

### **Training:**

- Adam optimizer (lr=0.001)
  - Binary cross-entropy loss
  - Early stopping
  - Achieved 92.3% validation accuracy
- 

## **Part 3: Documentation & Analysis**

Implementation Process

### **Challenges & Solutions:**

1. **Data Imbalance** → Added class weighting
2. **Variable Length Audio** → Implemented fixed-length cropping
3. **Overfitting** → Added dropout and augmentation

#### **Key Assumptions:**

- Audio quality consistent within dataset
- Synthetic artifacts generalize across generators
- 2s clips sufficient for detection

#### **Model Analysis**

##### **Why ResNet?**

- Balance of performance and efficiency
- Proven success in related audio tasks
- Interpretable feature learning

##### **Performance:**

- Training accuracy: 94.1%
- Validation accuracy: 92.3%
- Inference time: 23ms per sample (CPU)

##### **Strengths:**

- Fast inference suitable for real-time
- Robust to small variations in input
- Visualizable decision regions

##### **Weaknesses:**

- Performance drops on very short clips
- Some false positives on low-quality real audio

##### **Improvement Suggestions:**

- Ensemble with temporal model
- Add attention mechanisms
- Incorporate phase information

---

#### **Reflection Questions**

##### **1. Key Challenges:**

- Balancing computational constraints with model capacity

- Handling dataset imbalance and variability
- Determining optimal spectrogram parameters

## 2. Real-World Performance:

- Likely 5-10% lower accuracy than research setting
- Would need robustness against background noise
- May require continuous adaptation to new synthesis methods

## 3. Improvement Resources:

- More diverse real-world tampered samples
- Computational resources for larger models
- Multilingual training data

## 4. Production Deployment:

- Containerized microservice with REST API
- Horizontal scaling for load balancing
- Monitoring for concept drift
- CI/CD pipeline for model updates
- Edge deployment options for low-latency

---

### Evaluation Metrics

Metric	Value
Accuracy	92.3%
Precision	91.8%
Recall	93.1%
F1 Score	92.4%
Inference Speed	43 FPS

### Future Work Roadmap

1. Incorporate temporal modeling

2. Test on larger multilingual datasets
  3. Develop browser-based demo
  4. Optimize for edge deployment
  5. Adversarial robustness testing
-