# COMP9334 Capacity Planning for Computer Systems and Networks

Week 3: Queues with Poisson arrivals


## Pre-lecture exercise 1:

- Let X and Y be two events
- Let Prob[X] = Probability that event X occurs
- Let Prob[Y] = Probability that event Y occurs
- Question: Under what condition will the following equality hold?
  - Prob[X or Y] = Prob[X] + Prob[Y]



## Pre-lecture exercise 2: Where is Felix? (Page 1)

- You have two boxes: Box 1 and Box 2, as well as a cat called Felix
- The two boxes are connected by a tunnel
- Felix likes to hide inside these boxes and travels between them using the tunnel.
- Felix is a very fast cat so the probability of finding him in the tunnel is zero
- You know Felix is in one of the boxes but you don't know which one



\$1,2018 COMP9334

# Pre-lecture exercise 2: Where is Felix? (Page 2)

#### Notation:

- Prob[A] = probability that event A occurs
- Prob[A | B] = probability that event A occurs given event B

#### You do know

- Felix is in one of the boxes at times 0 and 1
- Prob[ Felix is in Box 1 at time 0] = 0.3
- Prob[ Felix will be in Box 2 at time 1| Felix is in Box 1 at time 0] = 0.4
- Prob[ Felix will be in Box 1 at time 1| Felix is in Box 2 at time 0] = 0.2

#### Calculate

- Prob[ Felix is in Box 1 at time 1]
- Prob[ Felix is in Box 2 at time 1]



\$1,2018 COMP9334

## Pre-lecture exercise 3


- You have a loaded die with 6 faces with values 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6
- The probability that you can get each face is given in the table below
- What is the mean value that you can get?

Value	Probability
1	0.1
2	0.1
3	0.2
4	0.1
5	0.3
6	0.2



## Week 1:

- Modelling a computer system as a network of queues
- Example: Open queueing network consisting of two queues




## Week 2:

- Operational analysis
  - Measure #completed jobs, busy time etc
  - Operational quantities: utilisation, response time, throughput etc.
  - Operational laws relate the operational quantities
  - Bottleneck analysis

## Little's Law

- Applicable to any "box" that contains some queues or servers
- Mean number of jobs in the "box" =
   Mean response time x Throughput
- We will use Little's Law in this lecture to derive the mean response time
  - We first compute the mean number of jobs in the "box" and throughput



\$1,2018 COMP9334


# This week (1)



- Open, single server queues and
- How to find:
  - Waiting time
  - Response time
  - Mean queue length etc.
- The technique to find waiting time etc. is called Queueing Theory


# This week (2)


### **Departures**



- Open, multi-server queue
- How to find:
  - Waiting time
  - Response time
  - Mean queue length etc.

## What will you be able to do with the results?





which configuration has the best response time?


Split arrivals into m queues m servers of speed p

S1.2018 COMP9334

## Be patient

- We will show how we can obtain the response time
  - It takes a number of steps to obtain the answer
- It takes time to stand in a queue, it also takes time to derive results in queuing theory!

## Single Server Queue: Terminology



# Response Time T

= Waiting time W + Service time S


Note: We use T for response time because this is the notation in many queueing theory books. For a similar reason, we will use ρ for utilisation rather than U.

**COMP9334** 13 S1.2018

## Single server system

- In order to determine the response time, you need to know
  - The inter-arrival time probability distribution
  - The service time probability distribution
- Possible distributions
  - Deterministic
    - Constant inter-arrival time
    - Constant service time
  - Exponential distribution
- We will focus on exponential distribution

## Exponential inter-arrival with rate $\lambda$



We assume that successive arrivals are independent

Probability that inter-arrival time is between x and  $x + \delta x$ =  $\lambda \exp(-\lambda x) \delta x$ 

# Poisson distribution (1)

- The following are equivalent
  - The inter-arrival time is independent and exponentially distributed with parameter  $\lambda$
  - The number of arrivals in an interval T is a Poisson distribution with parameter  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$

$$Pr[k \text{ arrivals in a time interval } T] = \frac{(\lambda T)^{\kappa} exp(-\lambda T)}{k!}$$

- Mean inter-arrival time = 1 / λ
- Mean number of arrivals in time interval  $T = \lambda T$
- Mean arrival rate = λ

# Poisson distribution (2)

- Poisson distribution arises from a large number of independent sources
  - An example from Week 2:
    - N customers, each with a probability of p per unit time to make a request.
    - This creates a Poisson arrival with  $\lambda = Np$
- Another interpretation of Poisson arrival:
  - Consider a small time interval δ
    - This means  $\delta^n$  (for n >= 2) is negligible
  - Probability [ no arrival in  $\delta$  ] = 1  $\lambda \delta$
  - Probability [ 1 arrival in  $\delta$  ] =  $\lambda \delta$
  - Probability [ 2 or more arrivals in  $\delta$  ]  $\approx$  0
- This interpretation can be derived from:

$$Pr[k \text{ arrivals in a time interval } T] = \frac{(\lambda T)^k exp(-\lambda T)}{k!}$$

S1,2018 COMP9334

17

## Service time distribution

- Service time = the amount of processing time a job requires from the server
- We assume that the service time distribution is exponential with parameter  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ 
  - The probability that the service time is between t and t +  $\delta t$  is:

$$\mu \exp(-\mu t) \delta t$$

- Here:  $\mu$  = service rate = 1 / mean service time
- Another interpretation of exponential service time:
  - Consider a small time interval δ
  - Probability [ a job will finish its service in next  $\delta$  seconds ] =  $\mu \delta$
  - Probability [ a job will **not** finish its service in next  $\delta$  seconds ] = 1  $\mu$   $\delta$

## Sample queueing problems

- Consider a call centre
  - Calls are arriving according to Poisson distribution with rate  $\lambda$
  - The length of each call is exponentially distributed with parameter μ
    - Mean length of a call is 1/ μ (in, e.g. seconds)

#### Call centre:

## **Arrivals**

*m* operators

If all operators are busy, the centre can put at most *n* additional calls on hold. If a call arrives when all operators and holding slots are used, the call is rejected.

- Queueing theory will be able to answer these questions:
  - What is the mean waiting time for a call?
  - What is the probability that a call is rejected?

# Road map

- We will start by looking at a call centre with one operator and no holding slot
  - This may sound unrealistic but we want to show how we can solve a typical queueing network problem
  - After that we go into queues that are more complicated

# Call centre with 1 operator and no holding slots

- Let us see how we can solve the queuing problem for a very simple call centre with 1 operator and no holding slots
- What happens to a call that arrives when the operator is busy?
  - The call is rejected
- What happens to a call that arrives when the operator is idle?
  - The call is admitted without delay.
- We are interested to find the probability that an arriving call is rejected.



# Solution (1)

- There are two possibilities for the operator:
  - Busy or
  - Idle
- Let
  - State 0 = Operator is idle (i.e. #calls in the call centre = 0)
  - State 1 = Operator is busy (i.e. #calls in the call centre = 1)

 $P_0(t) = \text{Prob. } 0 \text{ call in the call centre at time } t$ 

 $P_1(t) = \text{Prob. 1 call in the call centre at time } t$ 

# Solution (2)

We try to express  $P_0(t + \Delta t)$  in terms of  $P_0(t)$  and  $P_1(t)$ 

- No call at call centre at t + ∆t can be caused by
  - No call at time t and no call arrives in [t, t + ∆t], or
  - 1 call at time t and the call finishes in [t, t + ∆t]

$$P_0(t + \Delta t) = P_0(t)(1 - \lambda \Delta t) + P_1(t)\mu \Delta t$$

Question: Why do we NOT have to consider the following possibility: No customer at time t & 1 customer arrives in [t, t +  $\Delta$ t] & the call finishes within [t, t +  $\Delta$ t].

# Solution (3)

Similarly, we can show that

$$P_1(t + \Delta t) = P_0(t)\lambda \Delta t + P_1(t)(1 - \mu \Delta t)$$

• If we let  $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$\frac{dP_0(t)}{dt} = -P_0(t)\lambda + P_1(t)\mu$$

$$\frac{dP_1(t)}{dt} = P_0(t)\lambda - P_1(t)\mu$$

## Solution (4)

We can solve these equations to get

$$P_0(t) = \frac{\mu}{\lambda + \mu} - \frac{\mu}{\lambda + \mu} e^{-(\mu + \lambda)t}$$

$$P_1(t) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \mu} + \frac{\mu}{\lambda + \mu} e^{-(\mu + \lambda)t}$$

This is too complicated, let us look at steady state solution

$$P_0 = P_0(\infty) = \frac{\mu}{\lambda + \mu}$$

$$P_1 = P_1(\infty) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \mu}$$

# Solution (5)

- From the steady state solution, we have
  - The probability that an arriving call is rejected
  - = The probability that the operator is busy

$$P_1 = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \mu}$$

- Let us check whether it makes sense
  - For a constant  $\mu$ , if the arrival rate rate  $\lambda$  increases, will the probability that the operator is busy go up or down?
  - Does the formula give the same prediction?

## An alternative interpretation

We have derived the following equation:

$$P_0(t + \Delta t) = P_0(t)(1 - \lambda \Delta t) + P_1(t)\mu \Delta t$$

Which can be rewritten as:

$$P_0(t + \Delta t) - P_0(t) = -P_0(t)\lambda \Delta t + P_1(t)\mu \Delta t$$

At steady state:

Change in Prob in State 0 = 0


$$\Rightarrow 0 = -P_0 \lambda \Delta t + P_1 \mu \Delta t$$

Rate of leaving state 0

Rate of entering state 0

# Faster way to obtain steady state solution (1)


- Transition from State 0 to State 1
  - Caused by an arrival, the rate is λ
- Transition from State 1 to State 0
  - Caused by a completed service, the rate is μ
- State diagram representation
  - Each circle is a state
  - Label the arc between the states with transition rate



# Faster way to obtain steady state solution (2)

- Steady state means
  - rate of transition out of a state = Rate of transition into a state
- We have for state 0:


$$\lambda P_0 = \mu P_1$$



# Faster way to obtain steady state solution (3)

- We can do the same for State 1:
- Steady state means
  - Rate of transition into a state = rate of transition out of a state
- We have for state 1:

$$\lambda P_0 = \mu P_1$$



# Faster way to obtain steady state solution (4)

- We have one equation  $~\lambda P_0 = \mu P_1$
- We have 2 unknowns and we need one more equation.
- Since we must be either one of the two states:

$$P_0 + P_1 = 1$$

 Solving these two equations, we get the same steady state solution as before

$$P_0 = \frac{\mu}{\lambda + \mu} \qquad P_1 = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \mu}$$

## Summary

- Solving a queueing problem is not simple
- It is harder to find how a queue evolves with time
- It is simpler to find how a queue behaves at steady state
  - Procedure:
    - Draw a diagram with the states
    - Add arcs between states with transition rates
    - Derive flow balance equation for each state, i.e.
      - Rate of entering a state = Rate of leaving a state
    - Solve the equation for steady state probability

## Let us have a look at our call centre problem again

- Consider a call centre
  - Calls are arriving according to Poisson distribution with rate λ
  - The length of each call is exponentially distributed with parameter μ
    - Mean length of a call is 1/ μ

#### Call centre:

## **Arrivals**


*m* operators

If all operators are busy, the centre can put at most *n* additional calls on hold. If a call arrives when all operators and holding slots are used, the call is rejected.

- We solve the problem for m = 1 and n = 0
  - We call this a M/M/1/1 queue (explanation on the next page)
- How about other values of m and n

## Kendall's notation

- To represent different types of queues, queueing theorists use the Kendall's notation
- The call centre example on the previous page can be represented as:



The call centre example on the last page is a M/M/m/(m+n) queue If  $n = \infty$ , we simply write M/M/m

## M/M/1 queue

Exponential Inter-arrivals (λ)
Exponential Service time (μ)



Infinite buffer

One server

- Consider a call centre analogy
  - Calls are arriving according to Poisson distribution with rate λ
  - The length of each call is exponentially distributed with parameter  $\mu$ 
    - Mean length of a call is 1/ μ

Arrivals


Call centre with 1 operator
If the operator is busy, the centre will put
the call on hold.

A customer will wait until his call is answered.

- Queueing theory will be able to answer these questions:
  - What is the mean waiting time for a call?


# Solving M/M/1 queue (1)

- We will solve for the steady state response
- Define the states of the queue
  - State 0 = There is zero job in the system (= The server is idle)
  - State 1 = There is 1 job in the system (= 1 job at the server, no job queueing)
  - State 2 = There are 2 jobs in the system (= 1 job at the server, 1 job queueing)
  - State k = There are k jobs in the system (= 1 job at the server, k-1 job queueing)
- The state transition diagram



## Solving M/M/1 queue (2)


 $P_k = \text{Prob. } k \text{ jobs in system}$ 



$$\lambda P_0 = \mu P_1$$

$$\Rightarrow P_1 = \frac{\lambda}{\mu} P_0$$


### Solving M/M/1 queue (3)



$$\lambda P_1 = \mu P_2$$

$$\Rightarrow P_2 = \frac{\lambda}{\mu} P_1 \quad \Rightarrow P_2 = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^2 P_0$$

### Solving M/M/1 queue (4)



$$\lambda P_2 = \mu P_3$$

$$\Rightarrow P_3 = \frac{\lambda}{\mu} P_2 \quad \Rightarrow P_3 = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^3 P_0$$

## Solving M/M/1 queue (5)

In general 
$$P_k = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^k P_0$$

Let 
$$\rho = \frac{\lambda}{\mu}$$

We have 
$$P_k = \rho^k P_0$$

## Solving M/M/1 queue (6)

With 
$$P_k=\rho^kP_0$$
 and 
$$P_0+P_1+P_2+P_3+\ldots=1$$
 
$$\Rightarrow (1+\rho+\rho^2+\ldots)P_0=1$$
 
$$\Rightarrow P_0=1-\rho \text{ if }\rho<1$$

 $\rho$  = utilisation

= Prob server is busy

 $= 1 - P_0$ 

= 1- Prob server is idle

$$\Rightarrow P_k = (1 - \rho)\rho^k$$

Since 
$$\rho = \frac{\lambda}{\mu}$$
 ,  $\rho < 1 \Rightarrow \lambda < \mu$ 

Arrival rate < service rate


# Solving M/M/1 queue (7)

With 
$$P_k = (1-\rho)\rho^k$$

This is the probability that there are k jobs in the system. To find the response time, we will make use of Little's law. First we need to find the mean number of customers =

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k P_k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k (1 - \rho) \rho^k$$
$$= \frac{\rho}{1 - \rho}$$

## Solving M/M/1 queue (8)




Little's law:

mean number of customers = throughput x response time

Throughput is  $\lambda$  (why?)

Response time 
$$T = \frac{\rho}{\lambda(1-\rho)} = \frac{1}{\mu-\lambda}$$

# Solving M/M/1 queue (9)



What is the mean waiting time at the queue?

**Mean waiting time = mean response time - mean service time** 


We know mean response time (from last slide)

Mean service time is = 1 /  $\mu$ 

## Using the service time parameter ( $1/\mu = 15$ ms) in the

example, let us see how response time T varies with  $\lambda$ 


$$T = \frac{1}{\mu(1-\rho)}$$



Observation:
Response time increases sharply when  $\rho$  gets close to 1

Infinite queue assumption means  $\rho \to 1$ ,  $T \to \infty$ 

#### Multi-server queues M/M/m



All arrivals go into one queue.

Customers can be served by any one of the *m* servers.

When a customer arrives

- If all servers are busy, it will join the queue
- Otherwise, it will be served by one of the available servers

### A call centre analogy of M/M/m queue


- Consider a call centre analogy
  - Calls are arriving according to Poisson distribution with rate λ
  - The length of each call is exponentially distributed with parameter  $\mu$ 
    - Mean length of a call is 1/ μ

#### **Arrivals**

Call centre with *m* operators If all *m* operators are busy, the centre will put the call on hold.

A customer will wait until his call is answered.

#### State transition for M/M/m



#### M/M/m

Following the same method, we have mean response time T is


$$T = \frac{C(\rho, m)}{m\mu(1 - \rho)} + \frac{1}{\mu}$$

where

$$\rho = \frac{\lambda}{m\mu}$$

$$C(\rho, m) = \frac{\frac{(m\rho)^m}{m!}}{(1 - \rho) \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(m\rho)^k}{k!} + \frac{(m\rho)^m}{m!}}$$

#### Multi-server queues M/M/m/m with no waiting room



An arrival can be served by any one of the *m* servers.

When a customer arrives
• If all servers are busy, it
will depart from the
system

 Otherwise, it will be served by one of the available servers


### A call centre analogy of M/M/m/m queue

- Consider a call centre analogy
  - Calls are arriving according to Poisson distribution with rate  $\lambda$
  - The length of each call is exponentially distributed with parameter μ
    - Mean length of a call is 1/ μ

**Arrivals** 

Call centre with *m* operators If all *m* operators are busy, the call is dropped.

#### State transition for M/M/m/m





#### Probability that an arrival is blocked


= Probability that there are m customers in the system

$$P_m = rac{rac{
ho^m}{m!}}{\sum_{k=0}^m rac{
ho^k}{k!}}$$
 where  $ho = rac{\lambda}{\mu}$  "Erlang B formula"

## What configuration has the best response time?







Try out the tutorial question!

#### References

- Recommended reading
  - Queues with Poisson arrival are discussed in
  - Bertsekas and Gallager, Data Networks, Sections 3.3 to 3.4.3
  - Note: I derived the formulas here using continuous Markov chain but Bertsekas and Gallager used discrete Markov chain
  - Mor Harchal-Balter. Chapters 13 and 14