```
VERSION OF CRAMER-RAO'S
Given: the sample X=(X_1,...,X_n), X_i \sim f(x,\theta), \theta \in \mathbb{R}^K;
\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln L(X, \theta) := \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} \ln L(X, \theta), \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_2} \ln L(X, \theta), ---, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_K} \ln L(X, \theta) \right]
The matrix Y \in \mathcal{M}_{KXK}^{>}: J := E \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln L(X, \theta) : \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln L(X, \theta) \right]
(or equivalently Y = -E \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j} ln L(X_i \theta) \right]_{i,j=1,2,...,K}) is
the INFORMATION MATRIX w.r. to the parameter-vector O.
 Let W(X) be an S-dimensional statistic with ENXX)=TIDERS
and T(0) be differentiable w.r. to 0; A = 2000 be the
SXK matrix of partial derivatives of the components of 7.
let Σ = cov<sub>θ</sub>(W(X)) = E {(W(X)-T(θ))(W(X)-T(θ))/3∈m>
 For any statistic H(X) = \begin{pmatrix} H_1(X) \\ H_2(X) \end{pmatrix} such that
 S... ∫ Hi(X) | L(X, θ) dX < ∞ , i = 1,2,..., s, we assume
    (*) 30; 100 H; (X) L(X, 0) dX = S... H; (X) 2 L(X, 0) dX
 holds : i=1,2,...,5; j=1,2,...,K.
       \Sigma \geq \Delta J^- \Delta in the sense of matrices,
 i.e. & vector ZERS:
            Z' Z Z Z' D J-1 NZ
```

Proof: Under Assumption (*).

$$E[W_i \frac{\partial e_i}{\partial \theta_j}(X_i\theta)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_j}(X_i\theta)dX = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j}E(W_j) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j}T_i(\theta)$$

Hence $Cov[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_j}(X_i\theta)]'] = (\sum_{i=1,2,\dots,5}, j=1,2,\dots,K})$

Both determinants

 $\begin{vmatrix} J_{sxs} - \Delta J' \\ 0 \end{vmatrix} \quad and \quad \begin{vmatrix} \Delta & \Delta \\ \Delta' & J \end{vmatrix}$

are obviously

non-negative and, correspondingly, their product

 $\begin{vmatrix} \Sigma - \Delta J' \Delta' \\ 0 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \Delta - \Delta J' \Delta' \\ 0 \end{vmatrix} \geq 0$

Along the same lines, the non-negativity can be shown when considering just a Subset of components of the statistic $W(X) \in \mathbb{R}^{S}$. The conclusion follows now from Silvester's criterion.

In particular (take $Z = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}$