

Exploring the Effect of Supplemental Instruction on Equity Gaps: Student

Faith Fatchen, Skip Moses, Rica Rebusit, Joseph Shifman

5/17/2022

Definitions

Two common measurements universities use to identify historically underserved students are underrepresented minority and first generation.

- ▶ **Underrepresented Minority (URM)** - is defined as a U.S. citizen who identifies as Black/African American, Hispanic/Latino, or American Indian. All other Race/Ethnicity categories or Non-U.S. citizens are considered as a Non-Underrepresented Minority (Non-URM).
- ▶ **First generation** - is defined as a student who reported both parents as not receiving a baccalaureate degree. All other students are considered as Not First Generation

Original Source Data (via CSU Chico Institutional Research)

- ▶ Course Detail.csv
 - ▶ $n = 43,803$
 - ▶ Row contains record for course sections from Fall 2012 to Winter 2022
- ▶ Student Profile Metric.csv
 - ▶ $n = 49,107$
 - ▶ Row contains one record per student matriculation for students enrolled from Fall 2012 to Spring 2022
- ▶ Student Program.csv
 - ▶ $n = 343,781$
 - ▶ Row contains records for each semester of each student's attendance who were enrolled from Fall 2012 to Winter 2022

Original Source Datasets (acquired via CSU Cico Institutional Research)

- ▶ SLC Appointment.csv
 - ▶ $n = 78,229$
 - ▶ Row contains record for each day that a student went to an SI session, and how many they went to in that day (Fall 2015 - Spring 2022)
- ▶ Student Grade.xlsx
 - ▶ $n = 864,471$
 - ▶ Row contains final grade data earned for each course for each student from Fall 2016 to Winter 2022

Data Preparation

Student Level Analysis ($n = 19,565$)

- ▶ Only student records dated 2016 or later
- ▶ Only first-time freshmen
- ▶ Best attempt at isolating most recent student program record
- ▶ Approximately 35% of students in the programs dataset are not present in the profiles dataset. Therefore, these records have missing data for HS/Transfer GPA, one-year retention, and matriculation/graduation terms
- ▶ Records with missing data for the following attributes were dropped:
 - ▶ High School GPA
 - ▶ Attended Orientation Flag
 - ▶ STEM Major Flag
 - ▶ Full Time / Part Time Code
 - ▶ Academic Program

Data Preparation

Course Level Analysis (n = 5,637)

- ▶ Includes class size, average high school GPA, % first-gen in the class, % URM in the class, DWF rate, SI component flag, term year
 - ▶ These were calculated using the grades data provided by IR
 - ▶ Students with missing records for high school GPA were not included in the calculation
 - ▶ Students with missing records for URM and first-gen status were considered as not a member of these groups
- ▶ Course records from 2016-2019
- ▶ Course sections with less than 10 records of an SI visit during the semester were considered as sections without an SI-component
- ▶ Courses represented have a number less than 300 and are not a special number (x89, x99, etc.)
- ▶ To remove high calculated DWF outliers, class size ≥ 20

Data Preparation


Coarsened Exact Matching Analysis ($n = 19,565$)

- ▶ Student profiles, programs, and grades with course level information for courses with SI
- ▶ Only student records dated 2016 to 2019 who DID NOT DROP
- ▶ Best attempt at isolating most recent student program record
- ▶ Approximately 35% of students in the programs dataset are not present in the profiles dataset. Therefore, these records have missing data for HS/Transfer GPA, one-year retention, and matriculation/graduation terms
- ▶ Records with missing data for the following attributes were dropped:
 - ▶ High School GPA
 - ▶ Attended Orientation Flag
 - ▶ STEM Major Flag
 - ▶ Full Time / Part Time Code
 - ▶ Academic Program


Course Level Details

- ▶ Variables of interest:
 - ▶ Avg HS GPA
 - ▶ First Gen %
 - ▶ URM %
 - ▶ class size
 - ▶ DWF rate
 - ▶ class average
 - ▶ SI component flag
 - ▶ term year
- ▶ Courses from term years 2016-2019
- ▶ Lower Division courses
- ▶ Course sections with class size 20+

URM and DWF Rate



First Generation and DWF Rate



Course Level Modeling

Table 1: Results Multilinear Regression

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>
	dwf.rate
Sl.Component.Flag1	0.008 (0.005)
URM	−0.010** (0.005)
First.Gen.Perc	0.009 (0.006)
class.size	−0.0001** (0.00002)
class.average	−0.174*** (0.001)
Constant	0.626*** (0.004)
Adjusted R ²	0.741
F Statistic	3,231.362*** (df = 5; 5631)
<i>Note:</i> *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01	

Course Level Modeling

Table 2: Results Multilinear Regression With Interactions

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>
	dwf.rate
Sl.Component.Flag1	0.041*** (0.014)
URM	−0.012** (0.005)
First.Gen.Perc	0.012** (0.006)
class.size	−0.0001*** (0.00002)
class.average	−0.174*** (0.001)
Sl.Component.Flag1:URM	0.021 (0.044)
Sl.Component.Flag1:First.Gen.Perc	−0.096*** (0.037)
Constant	0.626*** (0.004)
Adjusted R ²	0.742
F Statistic	2,314.955*** (df = 7; 5629)


Note:

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01


Student Level Analysis

- ▶ Measuring student level equity gaps
 - ▶ Students who under represented minorities
 - ▶ Students who are first generation
- ▶ Measuring success
 - ▶ One-year retention
- ▶ First time freshmen matriculated 2016 or later

Student Level Analysis




Student Level Analysis




Student Level Analysis: Modeling

- ▶ Logistic regression
- ▶ Explanatory variables:
 - ▶ Student characteristics: URM, First Generation, Gender, Stem Major, number of units taken in the semester, number of units passed in the semester, Cohort term year, SI attendance
 - ▶ Course characteristics: Academic level, Course fee existence, GE class
 - ▶ Primary variables of interest: SI, URM, First generation, and interactions


Student Results



Student Results: Interactions



Causal Inference



- ▶ We want to measure the impact SI has on student performance.
- ▶ We need to control for these confounding variables!
- ▶ We can accomplish this by matching students with similar characteristics.

How do we match?

- ▶ The goal of matching is to balance the distribution of the covariates.
- ▶ In order to create the matching, covariates with more than 2 levels are coarsened.
 - ▶ Coarsening a covariate is essentially binning.
 - ▶ After coarsening we match exactly.
 - ▶ Lastly, throw out any unmatched observations

Controlling for Confounding with Coarsened Exact Matching

Student 1 ■

Student 2 ■

Student 3 ■

Student 4 ■

Student 5 ■

■ Student 6

■ Student 7


■ Student 8

■ Student 9


● Did not Attend SI

● Attended SI

Controlling for Confounding with Coarsened Exact Matching



Controlling for Confounding with Coarsened Exact Matching




Covariates for Matching

- ▶ Notion: Good students go to SI, so the benefit is inflated.
 - ▶ High School GPA
 - ▶ Student Orientation Flag
 - ▶ Major Stem Flag
- ▶ Demographics
 - ▶ Random Course ID
 - ▶ Academic Level
- ▶ Equity Gaps
 - ▶ First Generation Flag
 - ▶ URM/NonURM Flag
 - ▶ Gender Code


Visualizing the Equity Gap

URM gap among matched students who went to SI
vs those who did not go to SI



Visualizing the Equity Gap

URM gap among matched students who went to SI
vs those who did not go to SI



Effect of SI in General

- ▶ After creating our matching, we fit a linear model to estimate the effect SI has on a students final grade.
 - ▶ The model includes the covariates used in our matching.
- ▶ We find, after controlling for our confounding variables, SI improves students final grade by half of a letter grade.
 - ▶ This doesn't address the question of whether or not SI reduces equity gaps.

Limitations

- ▶ We have no data on student living situations.
 - ▶ Are they paying rent or living with parents?
 - ▶ Are parents helping with tuition?
 - ▶ Are they working full/part time.
- ▶ The Oroville dam flooding and Camp fire are not being accounted for.
- ▶ We are treating equity gaps separately.

Next Steps

- ▶ Meet with an expert in Casual Inference.
- ▶ Can we extended Causal Inference Techniques to account for equity gaps?
 - ▶ Can we find trends using difference of proportion plots.
- ▶ Can we put dollar amount on the benefit of SI by extending the results of the Casual Inference analysis.
 - ▶ Logistic model on matched sample for DWF rates.