THERAPY CHATBOT

Team:

Bright Ofori Siri Gangadharaiah

Date:

12/06/2024

Project Overview and Objectives

This project focuses on creating a chatbot for therapy which is mainly concerned with a person's mental health.

Experimented with different datasets and with various models to check the quality of the chatbot's response.

- Focuses on a person's emotions and sentiments.
- Being able to understand the context and have a therapeutic conversation with the person.
- Experiments focus on:
 - Classifying suicidal tendencies
 - Conversational-based experiments

Suicidal Classification

- Data Source: Chose a dataset from <u>Kaggle</u> to finetune the model.
- Objective: Classify if the user's case is suicidal or not.
- Methodology:
 - Text classification model using NLP's pre-trained model BERT
 - Dataset: Annotated data of conversations related to mental health
 - Approach: model training, validation and then fine-tuned the model using the selected dataset
- Outcome: Classifies if the case is suicidal or non-suicidal based on the person's input

Results

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
non-suicide	0.98	0.98	0.98	11604
suicide	0.98	0.98	0.98	11604
accuracy			0.98	23208
macro avg	ø . 98	0.98	0.98	23208
weighted avg	0.98	0.98	0.98	23208

```
1 sample_text_1 = "I feel hopeless and don't want to live anymore."
2 sample_text_2 = "I had a great day today and feel very happy!"
3
4 print("Prediction for Sample 1:", predict_text(sample_text_1))  # Expected: "suicide"
5 print("Prediction for Sample 2:", predict_text(sample_text_2))  # Expected: "non-suicide"

Prediction for Sample 1: suicide
Prediction for Sample 2: non-suicide
```

Chatbot – For Therapeutic Conversation

Experimented with 2 models with 2 datasets

Datasets: The one <u>dataset</u> contains conversations between users and experienced psychologists related to mental health topics

The other <u>dataset</u> is labeled, with each question corresponding to a specific user (patient) and the answer provided by a therapist.

Models Used:

Model 1: Meta LLaMA 3 (3B parameters)

•Model 2: Meta LLaMA 3 (8B parameters)

Model 1: Meta LLaMA 3 (3B parameters)

- > Fine-Tuning Approach: The model was fine-tuned on our custom dataset.
- ➤ Trained using 3 epochs
- > Challenges and solutions:
 - The 3B parameter size posed significant computational demands.
 - To address this, the model was loaded in an 8-bit quantized format with float 16 precision to reduce memory usage.
 - Performance-efficient fine-tuning (PEFT) techniques were employed to optimize training on limited resources.
 - Gradient clipping was applied to manage memory consumption effectively and ensure stable training.
- ➤ **Results:** Performance was satisfactory but highlighted potential areas for improvement, particularly in terms of generalization and accuracy on complex tasks.

Model 2: Meta LLaMA 3 (8B parameters)

- Fine-Tuning Approach: The larger 8B parameter model was fine-tuned on the same custom dataset.
- > Trained using 3 epochs

> Challenges and solutions:

- The larger model significantly increased computational complexity and resource demands.
- Similar to Experiment 1, the model was loaded in an 8-bit quantized format with float16 precision.
- Advanced PEFT techniques were employed to handle the scale of the model.
- Gradient clipping was used to ensure memory optimization and prevent gradient explosion.

> Results:

- The 8B parameter model demonstrated markedly better performance compared to the 3B model.
- Improvements were observed in:
 - Accuracy on the custom dataset
 - Ability to handle nuanced and complex queries

Evaluation Metrics

```
"generation_metrics": {
  "perplexity": 62.72367858886719,
  "generation_length": 9.0
"text_quality_metrics": {
  "unique_words_ratio": 0.866666666666667,
  "avg_word_length": 3.833333333333333333
"comparison_metrics": {
  "exact_match": 0.0,
  "similarity_score": 0.22376543209876543
```

Recommendations for future work:

- **Fine-tuning**: Augment the training data with examples of nuanced or mixed-sentiment messages to reduce false positives.
- Threshold Adjustment: Apply a confidence threshold (e.g., 0.9 for LABEL_1) to minimize the overclassification of ambiguous messages as suicidal.
- **Hardware Utilization**: Ensure GPU utilization by setting the device parameter in the pipeline to speed up inference and potentially enhance real-time applications.
- **Explainability**: Implement interpretability techniques (e.g., SHAP or LIME) to better understand why the model flags certain messages.

Conclusion and Next Steps

Key Observations:

- Larger models (8B parameters) have a clear advantage in terms of performance and accuracy, though they require more computational resources.
- Efficient techniques like quantization, PEFT, and gradient clipping are essential to make training on large models feasible.

Next Steps:

- Conduct further fine-tuning with additional epochs to explore potential gains.
- Investigate parameter-efficient inference techniques to optimize real-world deployment.
- Explore additional optimizations like mixed-precision training and advanced PEFT methods for scaling to even larger models.

Thank You!