The ISRI Analytic Tools

for OCR Evaluation

Version 5.1

Stephen V. Rice and Thomas A. Nartker

Information Science Research Institute

TR-96-02

August 1996



Contents

1	Introduction	
	1.1 Operating Environment	1
	1.2 Special Characters	2
	1.3 Zoning	2
2	Character Accuracy	3
	2.1 The accuracy Program	
	2.2 The synctext Program	6
	2.3 The accsum Program	9
	2.4 The groupacc Program	12
	2.5 The accci Program	13
	2.6 The accdist Program	
	2.7 The ngram Program	14
	2.8 The vote Program	
3	Word Accuracy	16
	3.1 The wordacc Program	16
	3.2 The wordaccsum Program	19
	3.3 The nonstopacc Program	21
	3.4 The wordaccci Program	21
	3.5 The wordaccdist Program	22
	3.6 The wordfreq Program	22
	• 0	
4	Automatic Zoning	23
	4.1 The editop Program	
	4.2 The editopsum Program	
	4.3 The editopcost Program	25
	•	
5	Foreign-Language OCR Testing	26
	5.1 Latin1 Testing	
	5.2 Unicode Testing	
6	Source Files	39
D	Deferences	40

1 Introduction

The Information Science Research Institute (ISRI) at the University of Nevada, Las Vegas (UNLV) tested optical character recognition (OCR) systems on an annual basis from 1992 through 1996. The OCR systems that were evaluated are known as "page readers." These systems take as input a bitmapped image of any document page and attempt to locate and identify the machine-printed characters on the page. Each annual test is described in a technical report (see references [1] through [5]). Synopses of the test results have been published in *Inform* magazine (references [6] through [9]).

Since 1991, ISRI has been actively developing performance measures for pagereading systems. These measures have been used in the annual test and enable a comprehensive evaluation of these systems. The measures include character accuracy, marked character efficiency, word accuracy, non-stopword accuracy, phrase accuracy, and the cost of correcting automatic zoning errors. With the exception of the automatic zoning measure, which is described in [10], a formal specification of these measures, including algorithms for computing them, is presented in Steve Rice's doctoral dissertation [11].

The ISRI OCR Experimental Environment is a suite of software tools for conducting large-scale, automated tests of page readers. The ISRI Analytic Tools is a subset of this suite and includes the programs that compute the performance measures. These programs are described in this document one by one with examples.

1.1 Operating Environment

The Analytic Tools are Unix shell programs that are suitable for use in batch-oriented shell scripts (which are essential to large-scale, automated testing). Each program is given command-line parameters and performs its task in a non-interactive manner. If processing is successful, a zero exit status is returned; otherwise, a non-zero status is returned and an error message is written to "stderr," the Unix standard error file.

The usage of a program can be displayed by entering the name of the program with no arguments. Specifying "-h" or "-help" as an argument will also display the usage. A *man* page is available for each program.

The programs were developed for Sun SPARCstations under SunOS 4.1.3. They should operate under Solaris without modification. The programs are written in C and could be ported to other platforms.

1.2 Special Characters

The user must supply OCR-generated text files and correct ("ground truth") text files. The Analytic Tools include programs to compare an OCR-generated file with the correct file and obtain measures of performance.

A tilde (~) in an OCR-generated text file is treated as a reject character. A circumflex (^) is interpreted as a suspect marker and serves to mark the following character as suspect. For example, in Ne^vada, the v is marked as suspect. The value of these special characters is assessed when computing marked character efficiency.

Each tilde (\sim) in a correct text file is treated as a wildcard and allows zero or one arbitrary character to be generated for it without an error being charged. For example, suppose the page contains a character that a page reader is not expected to identify, such as a degree symbol ($^{\circ}$) or Greek letter (δ). Then the character should be represented in the correct file by a tilde so that a page reader may generate any single character for it, or no character at all, without penalty. For more information on the use of wildcards in ground truth, see reference [12].

Extraneous spacing characters are ignored in text files and have no effect on the computation of performance measures. Specifically, blank lines are disregarded, as well as leading and trailing blanks on a line. Multiple consecutive blanks within a line are treated as a single blank. The "newline" character at the end of each line is not ignored and appears as "<\n>" within the accuracy reports. Other whitespace characters, such as tabs and formfeeds, are treated as blanks. Page-reading systems and human ground-truth preparers may freely utilize spacing characters to format their text.

1.3 Zoning

We assume that an ordered set of zones has been defined for a page image, and that the coordinates of these zones are communicated to the page-reading system when it processes the image. The resulting OCR-generated text file contains the recognized text for zone 1, followed by the text for zone 2, and so on. The correct text file for this page must match this sequence, with the ground truth for zone 1 appearing first, followed by the ground truth for zone 2, and so on. Character and word accuracy are computed from these files as described in Sections 2 and 3. However, for the evaluation of automatic zoning, which is discussed in Section 4, the correspondence between OCR-generated and correct text is not guaranteed. In automatic zoning, the page reader processes the page image without the benefit of zone coordinates; hence, it may produce text in a sequence that does not match the correct file.

2 Character Accuracy

2.1 The **accuracy** Program

accuracy correctfile generatedfile [accuracy_report]

The **accuracy** program compares the correct text found in *correctfile* with the OCR-generated text found in *generatedfile*. A character accuracy report is written to *accuracy_report* if specified; otherwise, it is written to "stdout," the Unix standard output file.

We will illustrate this program with an example. The following is a small page image containing two columns of text. Assume that zone 1 contains the left-hand column and zone 2 contains the right-hand column.

crushed under vacuum in stainless steel tubes. Liberated water was extracted at 200°C and converted, using uranium, into hydrogen for D/H analyses. The deuterium content is expressed in parts per thousand difference (per mil) relative to standard mean ocean water (SMOW) [normalized to the V-SMOW/SLAP scale (7)]. The δD values are plotted against age in Fig. 2.

We cannot attribute the changes in deuterium to water-mineral exchange because the water-bearing fractures in the regional carbonate aquifer, feeding the modern (and fossil) flow system, are typically coated with calcite or dolomite (8). This coating precludes the exchange of hydrogen between water and clay minerals during flow from recharge to discharge areas. In fact, the difference in

The following is the correct text for this page. Notice the two wildcards.

crushed under vacuum in stainless steel tubes. Liberated water was extracted at 200~C and converted, using uranium, into hydrogen for D/H analyses. The deuterium content is expressed in parts per thousand difference (per mil) relative to standard mean ocean water (SMOW) [normalized to the V-SMOW/SLAP scale (7)]. The ~D values are plotted against age in Fig. 2. We cannot attribute the changes in deuterium to water-mineral exchange because the water-bearing fractures in the regional carbonate aquifer, feeding the modern (and fossil) flow system, are typically coated with calcite or dolomite (8). This coating precludes the exchange of hydrogen between water and clay minerals during flow from recharge to discharge areas. In fact, the difference in Here is OCR-generated text for this page. Notice the reject characters and suspect markers.

crushed under vacuum in stainless steel tubes. Liberated water was extracted at 200"C and converted. using uranium, into hydrogen for D/H analyses. The deuterium content is expressed in parts per thousand difference (per mil) relative to standard mean ocean water (SMOW) [normalized to the V-SMOWISLAP scale (7)1. The 6D values are plotted against age in Fig. 3. We cannot attribute the changes in dcuterium to water-mineral exchange because the water-bearing ir .acturss in the regional carbonaie aquif^er, feeding the modern (and fo~sil) now ~vstem. are typically coated ~-^.ith calci~s or dolomite (6). This coating pr-ecludes the exchanjie of hyd^l-ogen bet~^.etrn water and clay minerals during now from 'I'.echarge to discharge areas. in f,rct, the di~ference in

On the next page is the character accuracy report produced by the **accuracy** program when given these correct and generated files as inputs. A character accuracy report consists of six sections. The first section indicates the number of characters in the ground truth (756), the number of errors made by the page reader (39), and the character accuracy (94.84%). The second section gives the number of reject characters (6), suspect markers (7), and false marks (1), followed by information relating to marked character efficiency: if a user examines the marked characters (1.72% of the text) and corrects the marked errors, the character accuracy will increase (to 96.96%).

Errors are actually edit operations (character insertions, substitutions, and deletions) that are needed to correct the OCR-generated text. The third section of the character accuracy report gives a breakdown of marked errors, unmarked errors, and total errors by edit operation.

The fourth section shows the accuracy by character class. Here the ground-truth characters are divided into classes and the percentage of characters recognized in each class is reported. The total number of ground-truth characters missed (29) is always equal to the number of insertions plus substitutions.

The fifth section lists the "confusions" sorted by the number of errors charged for each. In this example, four errors were charged because n was generated for fl. Since this confusion requires only two edit operations to correct (one insertion and one substitution), then this confusion must have occurred twice to account for a total of four errors.

The sixth and last section of a character accuracy report provides a complete enumeration of the ground-truth characters.

UNLV-ISRI OCR Accuracy Report Version 5.1 _____ 756 Characters 39 Errors 94.84% Accuracy 6 Reject Characters 7 Suspect Markers 1 False Marks 1.72% Characters Marked 96.96% Accuracy After Correction Ins Subst Del Errors 10 6 16 Marked 0 23 Unmarked 39 Total 2 17 4 2 27 10 Count Missed %Right 0 100.00 ASCII Spacing Characters 117 4 87.10 ASCII Special Symbols 31 2 66.67 ASCII Digits 24 1 95.83 ASCII Uppercase Letters 578 22 96.19 ASCII Lowercase Letters 756 29 96.16 Total Errors Marked Correct-Generated 0 {fl}-{n} 3 {w}-{~-.} 4 3 2 {r}-{I.} 2 2 2 {r}-{1-} 2 2 {sy}-{~v} 2 2 {te}-{~s} 2 2 {w}-{~.} 0 {,}-{.} 0 {a}-{,r} 0 {e}-{c} 2 2 2 0 {e}-{tr} 2 2 $0 \{g\} - \{ji\}$ 1 {f}-{~} 1 1 1 {s}-{~} 1 1 {}-{.} 0 {/}-{I} 1 1 0 {2}-{3} 1 0 {8}-{6} 1 0 {I}-{i} 0 {]}-{1} 1 0 {e}-{s} 1 0 {f}-{i} 1 $0 \{t\} - \{i\}$ 1 0 {}-{-} Count Missed %Right 0 100.00 0 100.00 {<\n>} 20 97 { } 0 100.00 5 {(} 0 100.00 5 {) } 5 2 60.00 {,} 5 0 100.00 { - } 7 0 100.00 {.} 1 50.00 0 100.00 2 {/} {0}

```
1
               50.00
                        {2}
             100.00
                        {7}
                0.00
1
          1
                        {8}
1
          Ω
              100.00
                        {A}
1
              100.00
          0
                        {C}
2
              100.00
          0
                        {D}
1
          0
              100.00
                        {F}
1
          0
              100.00
                        {H}
               0.00
1
          1
                        {I}
             100.00
2
          0
                        \{L\}
 2
              100.00
                        {M}
 2
          0
              100.00
                        {0}
              100.00
1
          Ω
                        {P}
3
              100.00
          0
                        \{s\}
3
          0
              100.00
                        {T}
1
          0
              100.00
                        {V}
3
          0
              100.00
                        { W }
              100.00
1
          0
                        {[]}
1
          1
                0.00
                        { ] }
               98.21
56
          1
                        {a}
7
             100.00
                        {b}
26
          0
             100.00
                        {c}
27
              100.00
          0
                        {d}
88
          5
               94.32
                        {e}
14
               71.43
                        {f}
16
          1
               93.75
                        {g}
              100.00
20
          0
                        {h}
              100.00
37
                        {i}
21
          2
              90.48
                        {1}
13
             100.00
                        {m}
44
          0
             100.00
                        {n}
             100.00
28
          0
                        {0}
              100.00
7
          Ω
                        {p}
1
              100.00
          0
                        \{q\}
45
          2
               95.56
                        {r}
31
          2
               93.55
                        \{s\}
51
          2
               96.08
                        {t}
             100.00
20
          0
                        {u}
             100.00
                        {v}
10
               80.00
                        {w}
4
          0
             100.00
                        \{x\}
7
               85.71
          1
                        {y}
              100.00
                        \{z\}
```

2.2 The **synctext** Program

```
synctext [-H] [-i] [-s] [-T] textfile1 textfile2 ... > resultfile
```

The **synctext** program can be used to show the alignment of two or more text files. If exactly two input files are specified (one correct file and one OCR-generated file), then the alignment that is computed is the same as the one computed by the **accuracy** program. This allows the user to see where errors occurred within the text. The algorithm used to compute this alignment is described in reference [11].

If more than two input files are specified, or if the "-H" option is given, then the algorithm described in reference [13] is utilized to perform the alignment. This algorithm is also used by the **vote** program to align multiple text files (see Section 2.8).

The "-T" option selects yet another alignment algorithm which can find transposed matches between two input files (see reference [10]). This algorithm is used by the **editop** program for automatic zoning evaluation (see Section 4.1). The "-i" option specifies that the alignment is to be performed on a case insensitive basis (the default is case sensitive), and the "-s" option displays suspect markers in the output.

The following is the output of the **synctext** program when given the example correct and OCR-generated files as inputs. The characters upon which the input files agree are shown first, and everywhere there is a difference, a number in braces identifies a footnote below that indicates what the difference is.

crushed under vacuum in stainless steel tubes. Liberated water was extracted at 200{1}C and converted{2} using uranium, into hydrogen for D/H analyses. The deuterium content is expressed in parts per thousand difference (per mil) relative to standard mean ocean water (SMOW) [normalized to the V-SMOW{3}SLAP scale $(7)\{4\}$. The $\{5\}D$ values are plotted against age in Fig. {6}. We cannot attribute the changes in $d\{7\}u$ terium to water-mineral exchange because the water-bearing {8}r{9}actur{10}s in the regional carbona {11}e aguifer, feeding the modern (and fo $\{12\}$ sil) $\{13\}$ ow $\{14\}$ stem $\{15\}$ are typically coated {16}ith calci{17} or dolomite ($\{18\}$). This coating $pr\{19\}$ eclud $\{20\}$ s the exchan $\{21\}$ e of hyd{22}ogen bet{23}e{24}n water and clay minerals during {25}ow from {26}echarge to discharge areas. {27}n f{28}ct, the di{29}ference in Correct {~} Generated {"} ______ Generated {.} ______ {3} Generated {I} ______ {4} Correct {1} Generated {1} Correct {~} Generated {6}

Generated	{2} {3}
{7} Correct Generated	{c}
{8} Correct Generated	{f} {i}
<pre>{9} Correct Generated</pre>	{}
{10} Correct Generated	{s}
Generated	<pre>{t} {i}</pre>
{12} Correct Generated	{s}
{13} Correct Generated	{n}
Generated	{sy}
{15} Correct Generated	
{16} Correct Generated	{~}
Generated	{te} {~s}
Generated	<pre>{8}</pre>
{19} Correct Generated	{-}
{20}	{e} {c}

```
{21}
Correct {g}
Generated {ji}
______
{22}
Correct
   {r}
Generated {1-}
_____
{23}
Correct {w}
Generated {~.}
{24}
Correct {e}
Generated {tr}
______
Correct {fl}
Generated {n}
Correct {r}
Generated {I.}
______
Correct {I}
Generated {i}
______
Correct {a}
Generated {,r}
______
Correct {f}
Generated {~}
```

2.3 The **accsum** Program

```
accsum accuracy_report1 accuracy_report2 ... > accuracy_report
```

The **accsum** program combines two or more character accuracy reports to produce an aggregate report. While the results for a single page are interesting, tabulating the results for a set of pages yields important insights into the page-reading process.

On the next page is an aggregate character accuracy report that was produced by combining 175 individual reports using the **accsum** program. We see that there is a total of 293,493 characters on these 175 pages, and that the page reader made 5,916 errors for an overall character accuracy of 97.98%.

Given this large amount of data, some interesting observations can be made. For example, only 94.53% of the digits were recognized correctly versus 99.07% of the lowercase letters. Only 91.98% of the occurrences of the numeral 1 were correctly

identified.

The list of confusions is very long so it has been truncated here. The most common confusion for this set of pages, contributing 236 errors, is the generation of a space where there should be none, which causes a word to be split (e.g., Nev ada). There are 43 errors attributed to the opposite case, i.e., no space is generated where there should be one, which causes two words to be joined (e.g., LasVegas).

The numeral zero (0) was generated for the letter O a total of 92 times on these pages; it is difficult also for humans to distinguish these symbols. The confusion charged 39 errors was a single sequence of 39 characters that was omitted by the page reader. An ellipsis (...) in a confusion indicates that a long sequence of characters has been truncated.

```
UNLV-ISRI OCR Accuracy Report Version 5.1
______
 293493 Characters
   5916 Errors
   97.98% Accuracy
     700 Reject Characters
      5 Suspect Markers
       5 False Marks
    0.24% Characters Marked
   98.37% Accuracy After Correction
                   Del Errors
           Subst
     Ins
     71 650 415 1136 Market
807 2699 1274 4780 Unmark
878 3349 1689 5916 Total
                                      Marked
                                      Unmarked
   Count Missed %Right
   47850 234 99.51 ASCII Spacing Characters
   14306
            603 95.78 ASCII Special Symbols
  14341
            784 94.53 ASCII Digits
         768 95.90 ASCII Uppercase Letters
1838 99.07 ASCII Lowercase Letters
4227 98.56 Total
  18737
  198259
  293493
 Errors Marked Correct-Generated
     236
           0 {}-{}
              0 {0}-{0}
             0 {,}-{.}
      90
      61
              0 {}-{'}
      52
               0 {1}-{1}
      52
               0
                   {}-{.}
                   { }-{}
{tion of<\n>temperature a...}-{}
      43
      39
               0
                   {.}-{,}
      38
               0
      37
              0 {,}-{}
              0 {1}-{I}
      31
      25
              0 {-}-{}
      24
             0 {0}-{o}
      24

\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \{z\} - \{z\} \\
0 & \{in\} - \{m\} \\
0 & \\
\end{array}

             0 {2}-{z}
      2.4
               0 {1}-{1}
21 {}-{~}
      24
      21
                    {}-{~}
     21 21 {}-{\tau},
21 0 {1}-{\text{I}}
```

```
20
               0
                  {96}-{%}
   20
                   {o}-{a}
Count
         Missed
                    %Right
 6064
             23
                    99.62
                              \{<\n>\}
41786
             211
                     99.50
                              { }
  159
               3
                     98.11
                              { " }
   13
               6
                    53.85
                              {#}
                    100.00
    3
              0
                              {$}
   56
                    76.79
              13
                              { % }
    6
              1
                     83.33
                              { & }
   70
              3
                     95.71
                              { '}
  883
              46
                     94.79
                              {(}
  881
              44
                     95.01
                              { ) }
   75
              6
                     92.00
                              { * }
   54
              13
                     75.93
                              \{+\}
 3192
            150
                     95.30
                              {,}
                     95.20
 2353
            113
                              \{-\}
 5620
              88
                     98.43
                              { . }
             17
                     92.41
                              {/}
  224
 2513
            110
                     95.62
                              {0}
 2806
            225
                     91.98
                              {1}
 1797
            122
                     93.21
                              {2}
 1328
              41
                     96.91
                              {3}
 1109
              57
                     94.86
                              {4}
 1131
              54
                     95.23
                              {5}
 1021
              65
                     93.63
                              {6}
                     96.39
                              {7}
  858
              31
  812
              35
                     95.69
                              {8}
  966
              44
                     95.45
                              {9}
  234
              4
                     98.29
                              {:}
               4
                    97.45
  157
                              {;}
  25
               0
                   100.00
                              {<}
  170
              38
                    77.65
                              {=}
    6
               2
                     66.67
                              {>}
                     93.33
   30
               2
                              {?}
 1267
              43
                     96.61
                              \{A\}
  512
                     95.70
              22
                              {B}
 1393
                     97.77
                              {C}
              31
  686
              29
                     95.77
                              {D}
 1494
              39
                     97.39
                              {E}
                    97.90
  668
              14
                              {F}
  500
              28
                     94.40
                              {G}
  542
              19
                     96.49
                              {H}
 1097
              38
                     96.54
                              {I}
  198
              3
                     98.48
                              {J}
              7
                     96.05
  177
                              {K}
  710
              13
                     98.17
                              {L}
  774
              55
                     92.89
                              {M}
              45
                     96.04
 1135
                              \{N\}
                     83.70
  902
            147
                              {0}
  811
              38
                     95.31
                              {P}
              7
                     91.46
   82
                              {Q}
 1187
              41
                     96.55
                              {R}
 1546
              41
                     97.35
                              \{s\}
                     98.13
                              \{\mathtt{T}\}
 1656
              31
  428
              38
                     91.12
                              {U}
  279
              11
                     96.06
                              {V}
  452
              20
                     95.58
                              { W }
   67
              2
                     97.01
                              {X}
               2
  127
                     98.43
                              \{Y\}
```

```
47
          4 91.49
                         {z}
  33
           10 69.70
                         {[]}
  33
           12 63.64
                         { ] }
          2 33.33
   3
                         {`}
17028
                99.35
          111
                         {a}
                 98.10
 2638
           50
                         {b}
7269
           75
                 98.97
                         {c}
7500
          32
                 99.57
                         {d}
                 99.47
25274
          135
                         {e}
4819
          93
                 98.07
                         {f}
          50
                 98.54
3434
                         {g}
7603
          59
                 99.22
                         {h}
15387
          167
                 98.91
                         {i}
                 91.11
 135
          12
                         { j }
                 98.12
 851
           16
                         {k}
 9304
          156
                 98.32
                         {1}
                 97.99
 4966
          100
                         {m}
                 99.18
14430
          118
                         {n}
15151
          107
                 99.29
                         {0}
               99.08
4371
          40
                         {p}
 364
               98.35
                         \{q\}
13574
          107
               99.21
                         {r}
          96
                99.25
12743
                         \{s\}
17702
          103
                 99.42
                         {t}
           58
5627
                 98.97
                         {u}
 2023
           22
                 98.91
                         {v}
                 98.24
2216
           39
                         {w}
                 94.92
 590
           3.0
                         \{x\}
 2898
           49
                 98.31
                         {y}
                 98.07
                         \{z\}
   3
           3
               0.00
                         { { }
  20
           20
                  0.00
                         { | }
   3
            3
                  0.00
                         { } }
```

2.4 The **groupacc** Program

```
groupacc groupfile accuracy_report [ groupacc_report ]
```

In accuracy by character class, ground-truth characters are grouped according to predefined classes, such as the ASCII digits and the ASCII lowercase letters. The **groupacc** program allows user-defined groupings. This program summarizes the accuracy data from *accuracy_report* for the characters specified in *groupfile*. The results are written to *groupacc_report* if specified; otherwise, they are written to stdout.

For example, suppose we wish to focus on lowercase letters with descenders. We would create a group file containing the desired letters: gjpqy. Given this group file and the aggregate character accuracy report shown above, the **groupacc** program produces the following display:

```
Count Missed %Right
3434 50 98.54 {g}
135 12 91.11 {j}
4371 40 99.08 {p}
```

```
364 6 98.35 {q}
2898 49 98.31 {y}
11202 157 98.60 Total
```

2.5 The **accci** Program

```
accci accuracy_report1 accuracy_report2 ... > resultfile
```

Given a set of character accuracy reports as input, the **accci** program computes an approximate 95% confidence interval for character accuracy using the method of jackknife estimation (which is described in reference [14]). Each input report is treated as one observation. For best results, at least 30 observations are needed.

The following is the output from the **accci** program when given 175 character accuracy reports as input:

```
175 Observations
293493 Characters
5916 Errors
97.98% Accuracy
97.75%, 98.22% Approximate 95% Confidence Interval for Accuracy
```

2.6 The **accdist** Program

```
accdist accuracy_report1 accuracy_report2 ... > xyfile
```

The **accdist** program computes the distribution of the character accuracies found in a set of character accuracy reports. The results are written in "xy format" to stdout. In this format, each line contains the x- and y-coordinates of a data point separated by spaces. A file in this format can be easily imported into most graphing programs.

The **accdist** program produces one data point for each value of x from 0 to 100. The y value is the percentage of characters recognized with at least x% character accuracy. Below is a portion of the output for the 175-page sample. It shows, for example, that 98.25% of the sample was recognized with at least 95% character accuracy. It is widely believed that it costs more to correct OCR-generated text that is less than 95% accurate than it costs to type the entire text from scratch. Thus, pages recognized with less than 95% accuracy should be sent to a manual data-entry operation. In this example, pages containing 1.75% of the characters should be entered manually.

```
0 100.00
1 100.00
...
94 98.78
```

```
95 98.25
96 95.09
97 88.51
98 63.68
99 16.99
100 0.53
```

2.7 The **ngram** Program

```
ngram [-n \ 1|2|3] textfile1 textfile2 ... > resultfile
```

The **ngram** program computes *n*-gram statistics for one or more text files. If the "-n" option is omitted, or if "-n 1" is specified, this program displays the frequency of each character found in the input files ("unigrams"). If "-n 2" is chosen, the frequency of every distinct character pair is shown ("bigrams"), and if "-n 3" is selected, the frequency of each unique triple of characters (i.e., three consecutive characters) is displayed ("trigrams").

The *n*-gram statistics are displayed twice: first in the collating order of the characters, and then in order by decreasing frequency. Below is abbreviated unigram output for 175 correct text files. The blank character occurs most often, with 41,786 occurrences found in the input files. The letter e is the next most common, with 25,274 occurrences. In this example, none of the occurrences have been marked as suspect.

```
Count Suspect
 6064
       0
               \{<\n>\}
              { }
41786
           0
              { " }
  159
           Ω
   13
           0 {#}
   3
           0 {$}
   56
           0
    6
              { & }
  590
               \{x\}
 2898
            0
               {y}
  362
           0
               \{z\}
   3
           Ω
               { { }
   20
           0 {|}
   3
              {}}
 5453
              {~}
298946
           0 Total
Count Suspect
41786
              {e}
25274
           0
              {t}
17702
           0
          0 {a}
17028
15387
          0 {i}
15151
          0 {0}
14430
           0 {n}
```

14

```
13
                      {#}
                      {&}
      6
                 0
                       {>}
      3
                 Ω
                       {$}
                 0
      3
                       {`}
      3
                       { { }
      3
                 0
                       {}}
298946
                      Total
```

2.8 The **vote** Program

```
vote [-O] [-o \ output file] [-s \ m/n] [-w \ m/n] text file 1 \ text file 2 \dots
```

Given OCR-generated text files that were produced by different page readers for the same page, the **vote** program applies a voting algorithm to produce a more accurate single text file. The input files are first aligned so that agreements and disagreements among the page readers are evident. Then a majority vote is taken to resolve the disagreements. For example, if three page readers believe that a character is an e and two believe it to be a c, then an e will be output by the voting algorithm. (The algorithm is actually more complicated than this, and involves heuristics for breaking ties.) The resulting text is written to *outputfile* if specified; otherwise, it is written to stdout.

The accuracy of the voting output is normally greater than the accuracy of the text from each page reader. ISRI tests have shown that the number of errors in voting output can be as much as 80% less than the number of errors made by the most accurate of the page readers. See references [1], [3], and [5] for voting test results.

The "-O" option enables some important optimizations and should be specified to get the best results. An output character is marked as suspect if it receives no more than the fraction of votes specified by the "-s" option. For example, output characters that receive one-third or less of the possible number of votes will be marked as suspect if "-s 1/3" is specified. The "-w" option indicates the fraction of a vote that each input character receives if it is marked as suspect. This reduces the influence of marked characters on the voting.

3 Word Accuracy

3.1 The **wordacc** Program

wordacc [-S stopwordfile] correctfile generatedfile [wordacc_report]

The **wordacc** program compares the correct text found in *correctfile* with the OCR-generated text found in *generatedfile*. A word accuracy report is written to *wordacc_report* if specified; otherwise, it is written to stdout.

Only the words found in *stopwordfile* are considered to be stopwords. (If the "-S" option is omitted, the default set of 110 stopwords from the BASISplus text retrieval system is used. See reference [15] for information on this system.) If stopwords are placed in the file in order by decreasing frequency of usage (as determined from some large corpus), then the file can also be used with the **nonstopacc** program (see Section 3.3). Here is an example of such a file containing 200 English stopwords in decreasing order of frequency:

the of and to a in that is was he for it with as his on be at by i this had not are but from or have an they which one you were her all she there would their we him been has when who will more no if out so said what up its about into than them can only other new some could these two may then do first any my now such like our over man me even most made after also did many before must through back years where much your way well down should because each just those mr how too state good very make still see men work long get here between both being under never same another know while last might us great old year off come since against go came right used take three states himself few use during without again place around however small mrs thought went say part once general high upon every does got number until always away something fact though less put think almost enough far took yet better nothing end why find going asked later knew point next give group toward young let room side given

On the next page is the word accuracy report produced by the **wordacc** program when given this stopword file and the example correct and generated files. A word accuracy report consists of seven sections. The first section indicates the number of words in the ground truth (119), the number of words misrecognized by the page reader (18), and the word accuracy (84.87%). The second and third sections show the stopword accuracy (92.86%) and the non-stopword accuracy (80.52%), respectively, with a breakdown by word length for each.

The fourth section presents the results for distinct non-stopword accuracy, which differs from non-stopword accuracy. See reference [4] for a description of this performance measure.

The fifth section gives the phrase accuracy for phrases of lengths 1 through 8. The sixth and seventh sections provide a complete enumeration of the stopwords and non-stopwords, respectively. Word accuracy is determined on a case-insensitive basis, so the

words are displayed here in lowercase only.

119	Words			
18	Misreco	gnized		
84.87%				
Stopwords				
Count	Missed	%Right	Length	
17	0	100.00	2	
16	0	100.00	3	
5	2	60.00	4	
1	0	100.00	5	
1	0	100.00	6	
2	1	50.00	7	
42	3	92.86	Total	
Non-stopwo	ords			
Count	Missed	%Right	Length	
5	0	100.00	1	
6	1	83.33	3	
7	4	42.86	4	
13	0	100.00	5	
8	2	75.00	6	
11	1	90.91	7	
12	3	75.00	8	
12	3	75.00	9	
3	1	66.67	10	
77	15	80.52	Total	
Distinct 1	Non-stopw	ords		
Count	Missed	%Right	Occurs	
58	9	84.48	1	
7	1	85.71	2	
1	0	100.00	5	
66	10	84.85	Total	
Phrases				
Count	Missed	%Right	Length	
119	18	84.87	1	
118	31	73.73	2	
117	39	66.67	3	
116	47	59.48	4	
115	53	53.91	5	
114	57	50.00	6	
113	59	47.79	7	
112	61	45.54	8	
Stopwords				
Count	Missed	%Right		
1	0	100.00	against	
3	0	100.00	and	
2	0	100.00	are	
1	0	100.00	at	
1	0	100.00	be	
1	1	0.00	between	
1	0	100.00	during	
1	1	0.00	fact	
1	0	100.00	for	
1	0	100.00	from	
7	0	100.00	in	

1	0	100.00	into
1	0	100.00	is
1	0	100.00	of
1	0	100.00	or
9	0	100.00	the
1	0	100.00	this
4	0	100.00	to
1	0	100.00	under
1	0	100.00	was
1	0	100.00	we
1	1	0.00	with

No

n-stopwo	ords		
Count	Missed	%Right	
1	0	100.00	age
1	0	100.00	analyses
1	0	100.00	aquifer
1	0	100.00	areas
1	0	100.00	attribute
1	0	100.00	bearing
1	0	100.00	C
1	1	0.00	calcite
1	0	100.00	cannot
1	1	0.00	carbonate
1	0	100.00	cause
1	0	100.00	changes
1	0	100.00	clay
1	0	100.00	coated
1	0	100.00	coating
1	0	100.00	content
1	0	100.00	converted
1	0	100.00	crushed
2	0	100.00	d
1	1	0.00	deu
1	0	100.00	deuterium
2	1	50.00	difference
1	0	100.00	discharge
1	0	100.00	dolomite
2	1	50.00	exchange
1	0	100.00	expressed
1	0	100.00	extracted
1	0	100.00	feeding
1	0	100.00	fig
2	2	0.00	flow
1	1	0.00	fossil
1	1	0.00	fractures
1	0	100.00	h
2	1	50.00	hydrogen
1	0	100.00	liberated
1	0	100.00	mean
1	0	100.00	mil
1	0	100.00	mineral
1	0	100.00	minerals
1	0	100.00	modern
1	0	100.00	normalized
1	0	100.00	ocean
1	0	100.00	parts
2	0	100.00	per
1	0	100.00	plotted
1	1	0.00	precludes
1	1	0.00	recharge

```
1 0 100.00 regional
       0 100.00 relative
1
        0 100.00 scale
1
2
1
        1 0.00 slap
       1
              50.00 smow
        0 100.00
0 100.00
             100.00 stainless
                      standard
1
        0 100.00 steel
        1
1
              0.00 system
1
1 0 100.00 system
1 0 100.00 terium
1 0 100.00 thousand
1 0 100.00 tubes
1 0 100.00 typically
1 0 100.00 uranium
       0 100.00
0 100.00
1
                      using
1
        0 100.00
1
                      vacuum
       0 100.00 values
1
       0 100.00 water
```

3.2 The wordaccsum Program

```
wordaccsum wordacc_report1 wordacc_report2 ... > wordacc_report
```

The **wordaccsum** program combines two or more word accuracy reports to produce an aggregate report. Below is the aggregate report that was produced by combining 175 individual reports. Of 43,928 words on these 175 pages, 2,211 were misrecognized for an overall word accuracy of 94.97%. It is usual to see a higher overall stopword accuracy (97.80%) than non-stopword accuracy (93.22%). The lists of stopwords and non-stopwords are very long and have been truncated here.

```
UNLV-ISRI OCR Word Accuracy Report Version 5.1
  43928 Words
  2211 Misrecognized
  94.97% Accuracy
Stopwords
  Count Missed %Right Length
        46 94.32
128 97.89
                       1
   810
   6069
   6065 92 98.48
2438 61 97.50
          27 97.15
    949
                           5
    204
          10 95.10
                          6
         5
    232
                97.84
                            7
            0 100.00
    1
                            9
                       Total
          369
  16768
                 97.80
Non-stopwords
  Count Missed %Right Length
   2275 250 89.01 1
1050 249 76.29 2
```

1425 3025 3705 3327 3216 3132 2345 1560 1032 599 260 118 58 23 3	169 160 186 172 139 166 125 96 57 38 17 5 11 2	88.14 94.71 94.98 94.83 95.68 94.70 94.67 93.85 94.48 93.66 93.46 95.76 81.03 91.30 100.00 100.00	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
27160	1842	93.22	Total
Distinct Count 11889 2437 868 431 241 155 107 69 62 35 112 16406	Non-stopv Missed 808 54 15 7 1 4 4 1 1 0 1 896	%Right 93.20 97.78 98.27 98.38 99.59 97.42 96.26 98.55 98.39 100.00 99.11 94.54	Occurs 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 >10 Total
Phrases			
Count 43928 43753 43578 43403 43228 43053 42878 42704	Missed 2211 3927 5446 6812 8082 9249 10334 11351	%Right 94.97 91.02 87.50 84.31 81.30 78.52 75.90 73.42	Length 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
Stopwords	3		
Count 723 69 32 9 10	Missed 32 1 1 0	%Right 95.57 98.55 96.88 88.89 100.00	a about after again against
• • •			
Non-stopw Count 2 3 3 6	words Missed 2 0 0 0	%Right 0.00 100.00 100.00 100.00	ab abbreviations abernethy ability able

...

3.3 The **nonstopacc** Program

```
nonstopacc stopwordfile wordacc_report > xyfile
```

Given an ordered list of *N* stopwords in *stopwordfile* and a word accuracy report in *wordacc_report*, the **nonstopacc** program writes the data for the non-stopword accuracy curve to stdout. This curve presents non-stopword accuracy as a function of the number of stopwords. Examples of these curves can be found in reference [5]. The data points are written in *xy* format for values of *x* ranging from 0 to *N*. Each *y* value is the non-stopword accuracy when using the *x* most frequently-occurring stopwords from the stopword file. Here is a portion of the output for the example stopword file and aggregate word accuracy report:

```
0 94.97

1 94.69

2 94.50

3 94.35

4 94.26

5 94.23

6 94.13

7 94.09

8 94.02

9 94.00

...
```

3.4 The wordaccci Program

```
wordacci wordacc_report1 wordacc_report2 . . . > resultfile
```

Given a set of word accuracy reports as input, the **wordaccci** program computes an approximate 95% confidence interval for word accuracy using the method of jackknife estimation [14]. This program is analogous to the **accci** program for character accuracy (see Section 2.5). The following is the output from the **wordaccci** program when given 175 word accuracy reports as input:

```
175 Observations
43928 Words
2211 Misrecognized
94.97% Accuracy
94.40%, 95.54% Approximate 95% Confidence Interval for Accuracy
```

3.5 The wordaccdist Program

```
wordaccdist wordacc_report1 wordacc_report2 ... > xyfile
```

The **wordaccdist** program computes the distribution of the word accuracies found in a set of word accuracy reports. The results are written in *xy* format to stdout. This program is analogous to the **accdist** program for character accuracy (see Section 2.6).

The **wordaccdist** program produces one data point for each value of x from 0 to 100. The y value is the percentage of words recognized with at least x% word accuracy.

3.6 The **wordfreq** Program

```
wordfreq textfile1 textfile2 ... > resultfile
```

The **wordfreq** program determines the frequency of words in one or more text files. The frequency data are displayed twice: first in the collating order of the words, and then in order by decreasing frequency. Below is abbreviated **wordfreq** output for 175 correct text files. The word "the" occurs most often, with 2,981 occurrences found in the input files.

```
Count
  723
      а
   2
      ab
   3
      abbreviations
   3
      abernethy
      ability
   6
  28
      zone
   9
      zones
   2
      zonplt
   1
      zro
   3
      zubovic
43928
       Total
Count.
2981
      the
1907 of
1366 and
1048
      in
 723
      а
      zirconates
      zirconium
      zirconolite
   1
   1 zonal
   1 zro
43928 Total
```

4 Automatic Zoning

4.1 The **editop** Program

```
editop correctfile generatedfile [editop_report]
```

The **editop** program compares the correct text found in *correctfile* with the OCR-generated text found in *generatedfile*. An edit operation report is written to *editop_report* if specified; otherwise, it is written to stdout. This program is used to evaluate the automatic zoning capability of a page reader.

In automatic zoning, the page reader attempts to locate all text regions on the page and determine their correct reading order. Character recognition is then performed for each text region that has been identified. The OCR-generated text reflects a combination of automatic zoning errors and character recognition errors.

The **editop** program estimates the number of edit operations needed to correct the OCR-generated text. Three types of edit operations are considered: character insertions, character deletions, and block move operations. If a page reader fails to find a text region, insertions are needed to enter the missing text; if it misidentifies a graphic region as text, it may generate extraneous characters that need to be deleted; and if it incorrectly determines the reading order, block move operations are required to re-order the text.

If a page reader performs automatic zoning on the example page and fails to identify the two columns, it may produce output such as this:

crushed under vacuum in stainless steel We cannot attribute the changes in dcutubes. Liberated water was extracted at terium to water-mineral exchange be-200"C and converted. using uranium, cause the water-bearing ir^.acturss in the into hydrogen for D/H analyses. The regional carbonaie aquif^er, feeding the deuterium content is expressed in parts modern (and fo~sil) now ~vstem. are per thousand difference (per mil) relative typically coated ~-^.ith calci~s or dolomite to standard mean ocean water (SMOW) (8). This coating pr-ecludes the exchanjie [normalized to the V-SMOWISLAP of hyd^l-ogen bet~^.etrn water and clay scale (7)1. The 6D values are plotted minerals during now from ^I^.echarge to against age in Fig. 3. discharge areas. in f,rct, the di~ference in

On the next page is the edit operation report produced by the **editop** program when given the correct file and this generated file as inputs. An edit operation report consists of two sections. The first section gives the number of insertions (30), deletions (40), and move operations (21) for correcting the generated text. The second section gives a breakdown of the move operations by length. In this example, there were 11 single-character moves, one move of 22 characters, one move of 30 characters, etc. (The count shown for length 100 is actually the number of moves of length 100 or more.)

```
UNLV-ISRI Edit Operation Report Version 5.1
_____
   30 Insertions
   40 Deletions
    21 Moves
Moves
  Count Length
       1
   11
    1
    1
    1
         33
         34
    1
         37
    1
    1
          38
    1
          39
    1
         69
         76
    1
        100
    1
```

4.2 The **editopsum** Program

```
editopsum editop_report1 editop_report2 ... > editop_report
```

The **editopsum** program combines two or more edit operation reports to produce an aggregate report. Below is the aggregate report that was produced by combining 175 individual reports. The distribution of the lengths of the 2,206 moves has been abbreviated here. There are 68 moves of length 100 or more.

```
UNLV-ISRI Edit Operation Report Version 5.1
   2926 Insertions
  28787 Deletions
   2206 Moves
  Count Length
   1543 1
    204
     97
             3
     49
     28
             5
     25
     7
     7
     6
            10
      1
      1
            79
     1
            84
            96
     2
     68
          100
```

4.3 The **editopcost** Program

```
editopcost editop_report [ editop_report2 ] > xyfile
```

The **editopcost** program computes the cost of the edit operations described in *editop_report*, less the cost of the edit operations described in *editop_report*2, if specified. The cost is based on the number of insertions, the number and lengths of move operations, and a threshold value used to convert move operations into an equivalent number of insertions. See reference [10] for details. The output is written to stdout in *xy* format. There is a data point specifying a threshold value (*x*) and the associated cost (*y*) for each threshold in the range 0 to 100.

Normally, *editop_report* is an aggregate report that indicates the edit operations for correcting OCR-generated text that was produced with automatic zoning. The cost of these operations is the total cost of correcting the automatic zoning errors and the character recognition errors. Hence, it is often desirable to specify a second aggregate report, *editop_report2*, that indicates the edit operations for correcting the OCR-generated text that was produced using manually-defined zones for the same set of pages. The cost of these operations is the cost of correcting only the character recognition errors. Deducting this cost from the total yields the cost of correcting the automatic zoning errors.

Below is an abbreviated example of **editopcost** output. When plotted, these data points form a curve showing the cost of correcting automatic zoning errors as a function of the threshold value. Examples of such curves can be seen in references [3], [4], and [5]. The costs given in the **editopcost** output are unnormalized but can be normalized by dividing each y value by the total number of ground-truth characters.

```
0
              77
           1010
  2
           1459
  3
           1803
  4
           2088
96
          11710
97
          11767
98
          11824
99
          11881
100
          11938
```

5 Foreign-Language OCR Testing

5.1 Latin1 Testing

The examples in the preceding sections illustrate how to use the ISRI Analytic Tools for evaluating English OCR using ASCII text files. These tools can also be used with Latin1 text files to evaluate OCR systems for any of the following languages: Danish, Dutch, Faroese, Finnish, French, German, Icelandic, Irish, Italian, Norwegian, Portuguese, Spanish, and Swedish. Latin1 is the ISO 8859-1 standard 8-bit character encoding [16]. This encoding contains the 7-bit ASCII standard as a subset.

In this section, we illustrate how the Analytic Tools can be used to evaluate Spanish OCR. Here is a small page image containing Spanish text:

Con la incorporación de técnicos con bajo perfil político y la presencia de hombres con acceso directo a los principales despachos de la Casa Rosada, la conformación del nuevo gabinete municipal parece haber fortalecido la figura del intendente porteño, Saúl Bouer.

Latin1 is needed to represent the correct text because of the accented characters:

Con la incorporación de técnicos con bajo perfil político y la presencia de hombres con acceso directo a los principales despachos de la Casa Rosada, la conformación del nuevo gabinete municipal parece haber fortalecido la figura del intendente porteño, Saúl Bouer.

Here is OCR-generated text for this page, also in Latin 1:

```
Con la incorporación de técnicos con bajo perfil político y la pre^sen~cia de hombres con acceso directo a los principales despachos de la Cas^a Rosada, la conformación del nuevo gabinete municipal parece haber fortalecido la figura del i^ii^. tendente porteño, Sañí Boner.
```

On the next page is the character accuracy report produced by the **accuracy** program when given these correct and generated files as inputs.

UNLV-ISRI OCR Accuracy Report Version 5.1 _____ 270 Characters 7 Errors 97.41% Accuracy 1 Reject Characters 4 Suspect Markers 2 False Marks 1.85% Characters Marked 98.89% Accuracy After Correction Ins Subst Del Errors 0 3 1 4 Marked 0 1 0 3 3 Unmarked7 Total 0 6 Count Missed %Right 0 100.00 ASCII Spacing Characters 43 2 60.00 ASCII Special Symbols 5 5 0 100.00 ASCII Uppercase Letters 211 3 98.58 ASCII Lowercase Letters 6 1 83.33 Latin1 Lowercase Letters 270 6 97.78 Total Errors Marked Correct-Generated 3 {n-}-{ii.} 3 0 {úl}-{ñí} 2 1 {-}-{~} 1 $0 \{u\} - \{n\}$ Count Missed %Right 8 0 100.00 35 0 100.00 2 0 100.00 $\{<\n>\}$ { } {,} 2 2 0.00 {-} 0 100.00 1 {.} 0 100.00 {B} 1 2 0 100.00 {C} 1 0 100.00 {R} 0 100.00 1 $\{S\}$ 24 0 100.00 {a} 0 100.00 0 100.00 {b} 4 0 100.00 0 100.00 18 {c} 10 {d} 0 100.00 25 {e} 0 100.00 {f} 4 2 0 100.00 $\{g\}$ 3 0 100.00 16 0 100.00 {i} 0 100.00 1 {j} 1 92.86 0 100.00 14 {1} {m} 3 16 1 93.75 {n} 0 100.00 23 {o} 0 100.00 10 {p} 0 100.00 14 {r} 10 0 100.00 {s} 8 0 100.00 {t}

1 75.00

0 100.00

{u}

 $\{v\}$

4

1

The following is the output from the **synctext** program when given these correct and generated files as inputs:

```
______
Con la incorporación de técnicos
con bajo perfil político y la presen{1}
cia de hombres con acceso directo a
los principales despachos de la
Casa Rosada, la conformación del
nuevo gabinete municipal parece
haber fortalecido la figura del i{2}
tendente porteño, Sa{3} Bo{4}er.
______
{1}
Correct {-}
Generated {~}
______
Correct \{n-\}
Generated {ii.}
______
{3}
Correct {úl}
Generated {ñí}
______
{4}
Correct {u}
Generated {n}
______
```

The **wordacc** program needs a stopword file. Here is a file containing 200 Spanish stopwords in decreasing order of frequency (courtesy of Chris Buckley at Cornell):

de la el y en que a los del se por un con las para al una su no es lo como más sus pero dijo este ya fue esta entre ha también dos son o está sin le sobre si ser cuando hasta porque tiene donde desde parte sólo han todo muy hoy durante hay tres quien están uno así todos después además otros expresó hace nuevo ahora agregó primera hacer ante señaló les ese e será puede vez ayer mismo tienen fueron cada contra aunque pasado mayor lugar otro antes nos mientras esa esto ellos algunos primer gran tanto sido otra indicó nuevos eso bien menos estos cuatro explicó embargo tener ni debe otras mejor había momento cual informó era mucho luego hecho sino nueva pues sea quienes dentro qué cuenta cinco me va según unos manera él comentó dar nada muchos sí aún pueden estar siempre poco todas haber aquí tan segundo hizo ver toda fin yo casi podría estas hacia seis algunas próximo aseguró decir bajo fuera varios misma cualquier total estamos algo nosotros añadió mi grandes estaba ello través dio ex afirmó tal tenemos existe últimos conocer respecto sería van dice primero segunda cosas actualmente

Below is the word accuracy report produced by the **wordacc** program when given this stopword file, the correct file, and the OCR-generated file:

	I OCR Word	_	_	
43				
3	Misreco	gnized		
93.029				
		-		
Stopwords	3			
Count	Missed	%Right	Length	
2	0	100.00	1	
8	0	100.00	2	
6	0	100.00	3	
1	0	100.00	4	
2	0	100.00	5	
19	0	100.00	Total	
Non-stopy	orda			
Count	Missed	%Right	Length	
1	1	0.00	2	
1	0	100.00	3	
2	1	50.00	4	
1	1	0.00	5	
6	0	100.00	6	
3	0	100.00	7	
4	0	100.00	8	
2	0	100.00	9	
2	0	100.00	11	
1	0	100.00	12	
1	0	100.00	13	
24	3	87.50	Total	
	_			
Distinct	Non-stopw	ords		
Count	Missed	%Right	0ccurs	
24	3	87.50	1	
24	3	87.50	Total	
Phrases				
Count	Missed	%Right	Length	
43	3	93.02	1	
42	4	90.48	2	
41	5	87.80	3	
40	5	87.50	4	
39	5	87.18	5	
38	5	86.84	6	
37	5	86.49	7	
36	5	86.11	8	
30	3	00.11	O	

Sto	pwor	ds

Count	Missed	%Right	
1	0	100.00	a
1	0	100.00	bajo
3	0	100.00	con
3	0	100.00	de
2	0	100.00	del
1	0	100.00	haber
5	0	100.00	la
1	0	100.00	los
1	0	100.00	nuevo
1	0	100.00	У

Non-stopwords

<u></u>			
Count	Missed	%Right	
1	0	100.00	acceso
1	1	0.00	bouer
1	0	100.00	casa
1	0	100.00	cia
1	0	100.00	conformación
1	0	100.00	despachos
1	0	100.00	directo
1	0	100.00	figura
1	0	100.00	fortalecido
1	0	100.00	gabinete
1	0	100.00	hombres
1	1	0.00	in
1	0	100.00	incorporación
1	0	100.00	municipal
1	0	100.00	parece
1	0	100.00	perfil
1	0	100.00	político
1	0	100.00	porteño
1	0	100.00	presen
1	0	100.00	principales
1	0	100.00	rosada
1	1	0.00	saúl
1	0	100.00	tendente
1	0	100.00	técnicos

5.2 Unicode Testing

The Unicode standard specifies a 16-bit character encoding for nearly all of the world's languages [17]. It includes Latin1 and ASCII as subsets. By using the Unicode representation of characters, the ISRI Analytic Tools can be utilized to evaluate OCR systems for almost any language in the world.

Each program of the Analytic Tools operates on files in "Extended ASCII" format. In this format, ASCII and Latin1 characters are stored directly in the file, and each 16-bit Unicode symbol is represented by four hexadecimal digits surrounded by angle brackets. For example, the sequence $A\ddot{A}\Omega$ is stored as $A\ddot{A}<03A9><05D0>$ because A is an ASCII character, \ddot{A} is a Latin1 character, and Ω and \ddot{X} are represented by codes 03A9 and 05D0 respectively in Unicode.

While all operations are performed using Extended ASCII files, the **asc2uni** and **uni2asc** programs are available to convert to and from the standard Unicode file format.

```
asc2uni < ASCII_file > Unicode_file
uni2asc < Unicode_file > ASCII_file
```

For example, the **accuracy** program accepts a correct file and an OCR-generated file in Extended ASCII format and produces a character accuracy report, also in Extended ASCII format. If the correct text was entered using a Unicode editor into a standard Unicode file, then an equivalent Extended ASCII version of this text can be created using the **uni2asc** program. Similarly, if an OCR system produces a standard Unicode file as output, then this generated text can be converted to Extended ASCII using the **uni2asc** program. The **asc2uni** program allows the character accuracy report to be converted to the standard Unicode file format for viewing with a Unicode display tool.

All of the programs presented in Sections 2 and 4 can be used with Extended ASCII files and work in the same way as described in those sections. However, the programs in Section 3 define a word to be any sequence of one or more ASCII or Latin1 letters. Hence, for languages that compose words using other symbols, word accuracy is unavailable.

We illustrate Unicode testing with an example in Japanese. At the top of the next page is a small page image containing Japanese text.

イメージスキャナで読み取った日本語活字文書を、そのまま文字データに高速変換。今までのパソコン〇〇日では見られない高機能を、ソフトウェアと拡張スロット用ボードで実現。お手持ちのパソコンで、膨大なデータの入力を迅速に処理でき、文書入力のためのコストと負担を大幅に軽減します。

Here is the correct text for this page in Extended ASCII:

```
<30A4><30E1><30FC><30B8><30B9><30AD><30E3><30CA><3067><8AAD><30FF><53D6><3063><305F><65E5>
<672C><8A9E><6D3B><5B57><6587><66F8><3092><3001><305D><306E><307E><307E><6587><5B57><30C7>
<30FC><30FC><30BF><306B><9ADB><901F><5909><63DB><3002><4ECA><307E><306F><80FD><
30GE><30D1><30BD><30BD><30BD><30BS>
<30F3>CCR<30GF><306F><898B><3089><308C><306A><3044><9ADB><62E1><5F35><30B9><30ED><30C3><30C8><7528>
<30DC><30FC><300F><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30C8><30A7><30A7><30A2><30BD><30BD><30C5><30C8><7528>
<30DC><30FC><30C7><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><5B9F><73FE><300CF<<30CF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30BD><30CF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF<<30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30GF><30
```

Here is a Unicode display of this correct text:

イメージスキャナで読み取った日本語活字文書を、そのまま文字データに高速変換。今までのパソコンOCRでは見られない高機能を、ソフトウェアと拡張スロット用ボードで実現・お手持ちのパソコンで、膨大なデータの入力を迅速に処理でき、文書入力のためのコストと負担を大幅に軽減します。

Here is a Unicode display of OCR-generated text for this page:

1 A - ンスギヤアで歌み取った日本語活字文書在、そのまま文字テータ | 二高連変換。 含までの/ (、ノコン・c円では見られなし1高機能在、ソワトウウフと拡張スロット用ボートで実現。 お手持ちの/く、ノコンで、一大なデ・タの入力在B速 | 二処理でと文善入力のためのコストと員担在大福に軽高します。

The next three pages show a Unicode display of the character accuracy report produced by the **accuracy** program for this correct and generated text.

UNLV-ISRI OCR Accuracy Report Version 5.1

```
Characters
  144
   52
        Errors
63.89% Accuracy
    0
       Reject Characters
       Suspect Markers
    0 False Marks
 0.00% Characters Marked
63.89% Accuracy After Correction
  Ins
         Subst
                   Del
                         Errors
                     0
                             0
                                 Marked
    0
            0
            41
                    10
                             52
                                 Unmarked
    1
                                 Total
                             52
    1
            41
                    10
                %Right
Count
        Missed
    9
             0
                 100.00
                         ASCII Spacing Characters
                         ASCII Uppercase Letters
    3
                  0.00
            3
                  85.71
                         CJK Symbols and Punctuation
                         Hiragana
   42
            8
                  80.95
   38
            22
                  42.11
                         Katakana
                         CJK Unified Ideographs
   45
                  82.22
            8
                         Total
   144
            42
                  70.83
                 {\tt Correct-Generated}
Errors
        Marked
                 (パソ)-(/く、/)
(パソ)-(/(、/)
(一夕に)-(-夕|二)
             0
    4
             0
    4
             0
                 {を}-{在}
    3
             0
                 {ロット}-(ロツト)
    3
                 {OCR}-{ ° c円}
             0
    3
    2
             0
                 {\v}-{L 1}
    2
                 (き、)-(と)
             0
    2
             0
                 {に}-{|二}
    2
                 {を迅}-{在B}
             0
                 (ェア)-(ウフ)
    2
             0
    2
                 {フト}-{ワト}
             0
                 (ージ)-(ーシ)
    2
             0
    2
             0
                 {一夕}-{-夕}
                 {-K}-{-K}
    2
             0
    2
             0
                 {今}-{含}
                 {}-{}
    2
             0
                 {デ}-{テ}
     1
             0
                 {\}-{\}}
     1
             0
     1
                 {ャ}-{ヤ}
             0
                 {幅}-{福}
     1
     1
             0
                 {書}-{善}
                 {減}-{高}
             0
     1
                 (脏)-(一)
     1
             0
                 {負}-{員}
     1
                 {速}-{連}
```

Count	Missed	%Right	
9	0	100.00	{<\n>}
1	1	0.00	{C}
1	1	0.00	{O}
1	1	0.00	(R)
4	1	75.00	(,)
3	0	100.00	(.)
1	1	0.00	{V}
1	0	100.00	(#3)
1	1	0.00	(き)
1	0	100.00	{L}
1	Ŏ	100.00	(7)
1	Ō	100.00	{ ₹}
2	0	100.00	(た)
1	0	100.00	(5)
1	0	100.00	(7)
6	0	100.00	(で)
2	0	100.00	(と)
2	0	100.00	{な}
3	2	33.33	(LC)
6	0	100.00	⟨の ⟩
1	0	100.00	(は)
4	0	100.00	{ま}
1	0	100.00	{み}
1	0	100.00	(&)
1	0	100.00	(5)
1	0	100.00	(h)
4	4	0.00	{を}
1	1	0.00	⟨ア ⟩
1	0	100.00	{₹ }
1	0	100.00	{ウ}
1	1	0.00	(x)
1	0	100.00	(牛)
3	0	100.00	(コ)
1	1	0.00	(ジ)
3	0	100.00	{ス}
3	2	33.33	(ソ)
2	2	0.00	(夕)
1	1	0.00	{ y}
2	1	50.00	(デ)
3	3	0.00	(F)
1	1	0.00	{ド} {ナ}
1	0	100.00	
2	2	0.00	{ /%}

```
0.00
                       {フ}
         1
1
                       (ボ)
1
         0
             100.00
                       {X}
1
         0
             100.00
1
         1
               0.00
                       {+}
               0.00
                       ⟨□⟩
1
         1
                       {ン}
             100.00
2
         0
                       {--}
               0.00
4
         4
                       {今}
               0.00
1
         1
                       {入}
2
         0
             100.00
         0
             100.00
                       (処)
1
                       (力)
         0
              100.00
2
                       {取}
              100.00
         0
1
                        {変}
              100.00
1
         0
                        {大}
2
         0
              100.00
                        (字)
              100.00
2
         0
              100.00
                        {実}
1
         0
                0.00
                        {幅}
1
         1
                        {張}
              100.00
         0
1
                        {手}
              100.00
1
         0
                        (担)
         0
              100.00
1
                        {拡}
1
          0
              100.00
                        {持}
          0
              100.00
1
              100.00
                        {换}
          0
1
          0
              100.00
                        {文}
3
                        (日)
              100.00
          0
1
                        {書}
               50.00
2
          1
                        (本) (機)
              100.00
1
          0
          0
              100.00
1
                        (活)(減)(現)
              100.00
          0
1
                0.00
1
          1
              100.00
1
          0
               100.00
                        (理)
1
          0
                        (用)
               100.00
1
          0
                        (能)
(能)
               100.00
 1
          0
                0.00
 1
          1
                        {見}
               100.00
 1
          0
               100.00
                        (語)
 1
          0
                         (統)
               100.00
 1
           0
                 0.00
                         (負)
 1
          1
                         {軽}
               100.00
           0
 1
                 0.00
                         (迅)
 1
           1
                50.00
                         {速}
 2
           1
 2
           0
               100.00
                         {高}
```

Here is the output from the **synctext** program for this correct and generated text:

イメ {1} スキ {2} ナで読み取った日 本語活字文書(3)、そのまま文字(4) (5)高(6)変換。(7)までの(8)コ ン (9) では見られな (10) 高機能 {11}、{12}ソ{13}ウ{14}と拡張ス{15}用 ボ{16}で実現。{17}お手持ちの{18}コ ンで、{19}大なデ{20}の入力{21}速 (22) 処理で(23) 文(24) 入力のためのコ ス {25}と {26}担 {27}大 {28}に軽 {29}します。 **{1}** Correct (ージ) Generated {ーシ} (2) Correct {+} Generated (ヤ) {3} Correct {を} Generated {在} **{4**} Correct (デ) Generated {テ} **{5}** Correct (一夕に) Generated {-タ|二} **{6}** Correct {速} Generated {連} **{7}** Correct {今} Generated {含}

```
{8}
Correct (パソ)
Generated (/ (, /)
(9)
Correct {OCR}
Generated {°c円}
(10)
Correct (V)
Generated {L 1}
{11}
Correct {を}
Generated {在}
{12}
Correct {}
Generated { }
(13)
Correct (フト)
Generated {ワト}
{14}
Correct (IT)
Generated (ウフ)
Correct ⟨□ット⟩
Generated (ロット)
{16}
Correct ⟨─ ド⟩
Generated \{- \ | \ \}
{17}
Correct {}
Generated { }
{18}
Correct (パソ)
Generated {/< 、/}
```

{19} Correct Generated	(版) {一}
(20) Correct Generated	(-夕)
(21)	
{22} Correct Generated	
{23} Correct Generated	
{24} Correct Generated	
{25} Correct Generated	(})
(26)	(負) (員)
(27) Correct Generated	(在)
{28}	(幅)
{29}	(減) (高)

6 Source Files

Version 5.1 of the ISRI Analytic Tools consists of the 19 programs described in this report. There is one C source file for each program:

accci.c	editop.c	nonstopacc.c	wordaccci.c
accdist.c	editopcost.c	synctext.c	wordaccdist.c
accsum.c	editopsum.c	uni2asc.c	wordaccsum.c
accuracy.c	groupacc.c	vote.c	wordfreq.c
asc2uni.c	ngram.c	wordacc.c	

Each program is linked with a library of 15 modules. There is a ".c" file and a ".h" include file for each module. For example, the accrpt module consists of the files accrpt.c and accrpt.h. Here is a brief description of these modules:

accrpt reading and writing character accuracy reports

charclass determining character classes

ci computing confidence intervals

dist computing the distribution of accuracies

edorpt reading and writing edit operation reports

list linked list operations

sort sorting

stopword identifying stopwords

sync aligning strings

table hash table operations

text reading and writing text files

unicode reading and writing Unicode files

util utility routines

wacrpt reading and writing word accuracy reports

word parsing to extract words

References

- [1] S. V. Rice, J. Kanai, and T. A. Nartker. A report on the accuracy of OCR devices. Technical Report 92-02, Information Science Research Institute, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, March 1992.
- [2] S. V. Rice, J. Kanai, and T. A. Nartker. An evaluation of OCR accuracy. Technical Report 93-01, Information Science Research Institute, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, April 1993.
- [3] S. V. Rice, J. Kanai, and T. A. Nartker. The third annual test of OCR accuracy. Technical Report 94-03, Information Science Research Institute, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, April 1994.
- [4] S. V. Rice, F. R. Jenkins, and T. A. Nartker. The fourth annual test of OCR accuracy. Technical Report 95-04, Information Science Research Institute, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, April 1995.
- [5] S. V. Rice, F. R. Jenkins, and T. A. Nartker. The fifth annual test of OCR accuracy. Technical Report 96-01, Information Science Research Institute, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, April 1996.
- [6] T. A. Nartker, S. V. Rice, and J. Kanai. OCR accuracy: UNLV's second annual test. *Inform*, Association for Information and Image Management, 8(1):40+, January 1994.
- [7] T. A. Nartker and S. V. Rice. OCR accuracy: UNLV's third annual test. *Inform*, Association for Information and Image Management, 8(8):30+, September 1994.
- [8] T. A. Nartker, S. V. Rice, and F. R. Jenkins. OCR accuracy: UNLV's fourth annual test. *Inform*, Association for Information and Image Management, 9(7):38+, July 1995.
- [9] T. A. Nartker, S. V. Rice, and F. R. Jenkins. OCR accuracy: UNLV's fifth annual test. *Inform*, Association for Information and Image Management, to appear, 1996.
- [10] J. Kanai, S. V. Rice, T. A. Nartker, and G. Nagy. Automated evaluation of OCR zoning. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 17(1):86-90, 1995.
- [11] S. V. Rice. Measuring the Accuracy of Page-Reading Systems. Ph.D. dissertation,

- University of Nevada, Las Vegas, 1996.
- [12] S. V. Rice, J. Kanai, and T. A. Nartker. Preparing OCR test data. Technical Report 93-08, Information Science Research Institute, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, June 1993.
- [13] S. V. Rice, J. Kanai, and T. A. Nartker. An algorithm for matching OCR-generated text strings. *International Journal of Pattern Recognition and Artificial Intelligence*, 8(5):1259-1268, 1994.
- [14] E. J. Dudewicz and S. N. Mishra. *Modern Mathematical Statistics*, pages 743-748. John Wiley & Sons, 1988.
- [15] Information Dimensions, Inc., Dublin, Ohio. *BASISplus Database Administration Reference, Release L*, June 1990.
- [16] International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland. *Information Processing* 8-bit Single-byte Coded Graphic Character Sets: Part 1. Latin Alphabet No. 1, 1987.
- [17] The Unicode Consortium, San Jose, California. *The Unicode Standard: Worldwide Character Encoding Version 1.0*, Addison-Wesley, Volume 1, 1991, and Volume 2, 1992.