



Datenbanksysteme I

WS 2020/21

Torsten Grust, Christian Duta, Tim Fischer

Assignment #4

Submission Deadline: November 24, 2021 - 10:00

Exercise 1: Types

(10 Points)

A poker card deck consists of 52 cards. Each of the four suits *C(lubs)*, *S(pades)*, *H(earts)* and *D(iamonds)* features 13 ranks: 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, *J(ack)*, *Q(ueen)*, *K(ing)*, 10, *A(ce)*. Both, suits and ranks are given in ascending significance.

In contrast, a skat card deck comprises 32 cards only. It contains the same cards as the poker card deck, but ranks lower than seven are missing. Some games require that a joker is added to the skat card deck, increasing the deck to 33 cards. A joker only has a rank (denoted as *Jo*) but not suit.

Note: Please add all of your SQL statements – whether or not they result in errors – into a single SQL file and hand it in.

1. First we will create two poker card decks, **CARDS_BAD** and **CARDS_GOOD**. Please follow the steps below and execute your queries on a PostgreSQL database system.
 - (a) Construct a **CREATE TABLE** statement for a table **CARDS_BAD** with two columns: **suit** (**CHAR(1)**) and **rank** (**VARCHAR(2)**).
 - (b) Write **INSERT** statements to fill **CARDS_BAD** with a complete poker card deck (52 rows). Adding multiple rows with a single **INSERT** statement may come in handy. This *bulk insert* method has been introduced in slide 35 in slide set **db1-04.pdf**. Check if the data was inserted correctly using the **TABLE** command.
 - (c) Now, create a second version of the table **CARDS_BAD**. This time name it **CARDS_GOOD** and create dedicated data types **suits** and **ranks** for columns **suit** and **rank** that enforce restricted domains *dom(suits)* and *dom(ranks)*. The domains **must** allow poker card sets **only**!
 - (d) Again, insert a complete poker card deck into **CARDS_GOOD**. Think about reusing your created **INSERT** statements.
2. Use the **DELETE FROM <table> AS t WHERE t.rank < '7'** statement to convert the created poker card decks in **CARDS_BAD** and **CARDS_GOOD** to skat card decks (without jokers). Are the resulting tables in both cases as expected? Explain your results.
3. Try to **INSERT** a joker into both card decks. Do not modify your type definitions! You will encounter difficulties. Please explain your results.

Exercise 2: Relation Schema vs. Instance

(20 Points)

Imagine you want to plan the chore chart of your living community using an *RDBMS*. The chart is expected to provide an assignment of the services **TRASH**, **KITCHEN** and **BATHROOM** to the flatmates *Annika*, *Pierre* and *Leonie* on a weekly basis. The relational model implies that your chart is represented in a tabular form. Figure 1 shows three possible variants of a **CHART** relation.

week	Annika	Pierre	Leonie
49	TRASH & KITCHEN	<i>null</i>	BATHROOM
50	BATHROOM	TRASH	KITCHEN

week	TRASH	KITCHEN	BATHROOM
49	Annika	Annika	Leonie
50	Pierre	Leonie	Annika

week	name	service
49	Annika	TRASH
49	Annika	KITCHEN
49	Leonie	BATHROOM
50	Annika	BATHROOM
50	Pierre	TRASH
50	Leonie	KITCHEN

Figure 1: Exemplary possibilities to represent a chore chart in an RDBMS

In this exercise we will study the properties of these three variants in terms of their *relation schemas* and *relation instances*.

- For each of the **CHART** relations, write down its *relation schema* and *relation instance*. Use the notation introduced in the lecture (slide 23 and slide 28 in slide set **db1-04.pdf**).
- Construct **CREATE TABLE** statements for each of the displayed representations. For all columns, choose a data type which is as precise as possible, but puts no constraints on names of new flatmates or services.
- Explain what changes to the *schema* and/or *instance* are needed for every relation, if we want to:
 - add the plan for week 51 (*Annika*: **KITCHEN**, *Pierre*: **BATHROOM**, *Leonie*: **TRASH**)
 - add an additional service **COOK** for *Pierre* in week 50
 - switch *Leonie* with a new flatmate *Adrian*.

In the relational model, relation schemas are assumed to be stable while instances change frequently. Given this, which relation is the best choice to represent the chore chart?

- Specify SQL **INSERT**, **UPDATE** and **DELETE** statements for 3a, 3b and 3c for those relations that only need their instance changed.