

# Functional Programming

---


WS 2021/22

Torsten Grust  
University of Tübingen

## Administrivia



---

Slot	Time	Room
Lectures	Thursday, 10:15–11:45	A301
Tutorials	Monday, 14:15–15:45	F119 (Sand 6/7)

- Lecture: notes on slides and board + live Haskell coding
- Slides and Haskell code downloadable:  
<https://db.inf.uni-tuebingen.de/teaching/FunctionalProgrammingWS2021-2022.html>
-  **Forum:**  
<https://forum-db.informatik.uni-tuebingen.de/c/ws2122-fp>

## Tutorials + Exercises

---

- Held weekly (organized by Denis Hirn).
- Tutorials start on **Monday, October 25, 2021**.
- New exercise sheets every Friday, hand in by Thursday night next week.
  - Teams of two +
  - Mostly Haskell coding
- We use [git](#) to distribute assignments and collect your solutions (see the Forum for details and how to form teams).

## Grading + Exam

---

- Need  $\frac{2}{3}$  of exercise points to be admitted to the final written exam.
- Grading: excess exercise points turn into final exam bonus points.
- **Final exam on Thursday, February 10, 2022, 10:00–12:00.**
  - Rooms: *tba*
  - May bring a double-sided DIN A4 cheat sheet of notes

## Install Haskell! ➤

---

- Multi-platform installer for Haskell: **GHCup**
  - <https://www.haskell.org/ghcup/>
  - Available for Windows , macOS , Linux , FreeBSD 
- Haskell compiler **ghc** (Glorious Glasgow Haskell Compiler)
  - Current version 8.10.7, any recent version 8.x is OK
- Includes Haskell REPL **ghci**
  - To **ghci**'s configuration (usually in `~/.ghci`), add the following switch:

```
:seti -XMonomorphismRestriction
```

## Further Reading

---

- Bird: “Thinking Functionally with Haskell”, Cambridge University Press 2015
- Allen: “Haskell Programming From First Principles”, Gumroad 2016, <http://haskellbook.com>
- FP Complete: “The School of Haskell” at <http://www.schoolofhaskell.com>
- Diehl: “What I Wish I Knew When Learning Haskell” at <http://dev.stephendiehl.com/hask> (includes advanced topics)

## Functional Programming (FP)

---

A programming language is a medium for **expressing ideas** (not to get a computer perform operations). Thus programs must be written for people to read, and only incidentally for machines to execute.

- Computational model in FP: **reduction** (replace **expressions** by their **value**).

## Functional Programming (FP)

---

In FP, expressions are formed by **applying functions to values**.

1. **Functions as in maths:**  $x = y \Rightarrow f(x) = f(y)$
2. **Functions are values** just like numbers or text.

	FP	Imperative
program construction	function application + composition	statement sequencing
execution	reduction (evaluation)	state changes
semantics	$\lambda$ calculus	Turing machines

- Absence of explicit machine control generally leads to concise, often quite elegant, programs. Focus remains on problem. Programs are easier to reason about.



## Example

---

$n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 2$  is a **prime number** iff the set of non-trivial factors of  $n$  is empty:

$$n \text{ is prime} \Leftrightarrow \{ m \mid m \in \{ 2, \dots, n-1 \}, n \bmod m = 0 \} = \emptyset$$


## Haskell Ramp-Up: Function Application and Composition

---

- Read  $\equiv$  as “denotes the same value as”.
- **Apply**  $f$  to value  $e$ :  $f\_e$  (“apply”, space  $\_$  as invisible binary operator, juxtaposition, Haskell speak: `infixl 10 \_`)
  - $\_$  has max precedence (10):  $f\ e_1 + e_2 \equiv (f\ e_1) + e_2$
  - $\_$  associates to the left (1):  $g\ f\ e \equiv (g\ f)\ e$
- **Function composition:**
  - $g\ (f\ e)$
  - Operator  $.$  (“after”):  $(g\ .\ f)\ e$  ( $.$  =  $\circ$  as in  $g\ \circ\ f$ )
  - Alternative “apply” operator  $\$$  (lowest precedence, associates to the right, `infixr 0 \$`):  $g\ \$\ f\ \$\ e \equiv g\ (f\ e)$

## Infix vs. Prefix Operators

---

- Prefix application of binary infix operator  $\otimes$ :  
 $(\otimes) e_1 e_2 \equiv e_1 \otimes e_2$ 
  - Example:  $(\&\&) \text{ True False} \equiv \text{False}$
- Infix application of binary function  $f$ :  
 $e_1 \text{ `f` } e_2 \equiv f e_1 e_2$  (```: backtick)
  - $x \text{ `elem` } [1,2,3]$
  - $n \text{ `mod` } 2$
- User-defined infix operators, built from symbols  
`!#$%&*+ /<=>?@\^|~:.`
  -  Identifiers starting with `:` reserved to denote value constructors of algebraic data types.

## Values and Types

---

- Read `::` as “*has type*”.

Any Haskell value `e` has a type `t` (`e :: t`) that is determined at **compile time**. The `::` type assignment is either given explicitly or inferred by the compiler.

## Basic Built-In Haskell Types

---

Type	Description	Values
<code>Int</code>	64-bit integers $[-2^{63} .. 2^{63}-1]$	<code>0</code> , <code>1</code> , <code>(-42)</code>
<code>Integer</code>	arbitrary-precision integers	<code>0</code> , <code>10^100</code>
<code>Float</code>	single-precision floating points	<code>0.1</code> , <code>1e02</code>
<code>Double</code>	double-precision floating points	<code>0.42</code> , <code>1e-2</code>
<code>Char</code>	Unicode characters	<code>'x'</code> , <code>'\t'</code> , <code>'λ'</code> , <code>'\8710'</code> , <code>'\^G'</code>
<code>Bool</code>	Booleans	<code>True</code> , <code>False</code>
<code>()</code>	“unit” (single-value type)	<code>()</code> (C: <code>void</code> )

## Type Constructors

---

- **Type constructors** build new types from existing types.
- Let  $a$ ,  $b$ , ... denote arbitrary types (type variables):

Type Constructor	Description	Values
$(a, b)$	pairs of values of types $a$ , $b$	$(1, \text{True}) :: (\text{Integer}, \text{Bool})$
$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$	$n$ -tuples	
$[a]$	lists of values of type $a$	$[\text{True}, \text{False}] :: [\text{Bool}]$ , $[] :: [a]$
$\text{Maybe } a$	optional value of type $a$	$\text{Just } 42 :: \text{Maybe Integer}$ , $\text{Nothing} :: \text{Maybe } a$
$\text{Either } a \ b$	choice between $a$ and $b$	$\text{Left 'x'} :: \text{Either Char } b$ , $\text{Right pi} :: \text{Either } a \ \text{Double}$
$\text{IO } a$	I/O action that returns value of type $a$ (once performed)	$\text{print } 42 :: \text{IO } ()$ , $\text{getChar} :: \text{IO Char}$
$a \rightarrow b$	function from type $a$ to $b$	$\text{isLetter} :: \text{Char} \rightarrow \text{Bool}$

## Currying

---

- Recall:
  1.  $e_1 ++ e_2 \equiv (++) e_1 e_2$
  2.  $((++) e_1) e_2 \equiv ((++) e_1) e_2$
- Function application happens one argument at a time (**currying**, *Haskell B. Curry*)
- Type of  $n$ -ary function:  $a_1 \rightarrow a_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow a_n \rightarrow b$ . Type constructor  $\rightarrow$  associates to the right, thus read as:  
$$a_1 \rightarrow (a_2 \rightarrow (\dots \rightarrow (a_n \rightarrow b)\dots))$$
- Enables **partial application**: “Give me a value of type  $a_1$ , I'll give you a  $(n-1)$ -ary function of type  $a_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow a_n \rightarrow b$ ”.

## Defining Values (and thus: Functions)

---

- **= binds** names to values, value names must not start with **A-Z** (Haskell style: **camelCase**).
- Define constant (0-ary function) **c**, value of **c** is that of expression **e**: **c = e**
- Define **n**-ary function **f**, arguments **x<sub>i</sub>** and **f** may occur in **e** (no **letrec** needed): **f x<sub>1</sub> x<sub>2</sub> ... x<sub>n</sub> = e**
- Haskell program:  
set of top-level bindings (order immaterial, **no** rebinding!)
- Good style: give type assignments for top-level bindings:

```
f :: a1 -> a2 -> b  
f x1 x2 = e
```



## Guards

---

- **Guards** (introduced by `|`) are multi-way conditional expressions:

```
f x1 x2 ... xn
  | q1 = e1
  | q2 = e2
  | q3 = e3
  ...
```

- Guards `qi` (expressions of type `Bool`) evaluated top to bottom, first `True` guard wins. Syntactic sugar: `otherwise`  $\equiv$  `True`.
- Compare to math notation:

$$fac\ n = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } n \leq 1 \\ n * fac\ (n-1) & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

## Local Definitions

---

1. **where binding:** Local definitions visible in the entire right-hand side (rhs) of a definition:

```

f x1 x2 ... xn | p1 ⇐ e1           -- ⇐: gi in scope
                  | p2 ⇐ e2
                  | ...
    where
      g1 = ... ⇐
      g2 = ... ⇐

```

2. **let expression:** Local definitions visible inside an expression:

```

let g1 = ... ⇐
    g2 = ... ⇐
in e ⇐           -- ⇐: gi in scope

```

## Layout (Two-Dimensional Syntax)

---

- The Haskell compiler applies these transformation rules to the program source before compilation begins:
  1. The first token *after* a `where/let` and the first token of a top-level definition define the upper-left corner `┌` of a box.
  2. The first token *left* of the box closes the box `└` (“*offside rule*”).
  3. Insert `{` before the box.
  4. Insert `}` after the box.
  5. Insert `;` before a line that starts at left box border.

## Layout (Example)

---

### 1. Original source:

```
let y    = a * b
    f x = (x + y) / y
in f c + f d
```

### 2. Make box visible:

```
let y    = a * b
    f x = (x + y) / y
in  f c + f d           -- offside: in
```

### 3. After source transformation:

```
let {y    = a * b
    ;f x = (x + y) / y}
in  f c + f d
```

## Lists — The Go-to Container Data Structure in FP

---

- Recursive definition of lists:

1. `[]` is a list (*nil*), type: `[] :: [a]`
2. `x : xs` is a list, if `x :: a` and `xs :: [a]`.  
        $\begin{array}{cc} \uparrow & \uparrow \\ \text{head} & \text{tail} \end{array}$

“*cons*”: `(::) :: a -> [a] -> [a]` with `infixr 5 :`

- Abbreviate long chains of *cons* using `[...]`:

`3:(2:(1:[]))`  $\equiv$  `3:2:1:[]`  $\equiv$  `[3,2,1]` ( $\equiv$  `3:[2,1]`)

## Lists

---

- Law (⚠ `head`, `tail` are *partial functions*):

$\forall xs \neq []: \text{head } xs : \text{tail } xs \equiv xs$

- Type `String` is a synonym for type `[Char]` (“list of characters”).
- Introduce your own **type synonyms** via (type names: **Uppercase**):

```
type  $t_1 = t_2$ 
```

## Lists

---

- Sequences (of enumerable elements):

<code>[x..y]</code>	<code>≡ enumFromTo x y</code>	<code>[x,x+1,x+2,...,y]</code>
<code>[x,s..y]</code>	<code>≡ enumFromThenTo x s y</code>	<code>[x,x+Δ,x+2*Δ,...,y]</code> <b>where</b> <code>Δ = s-x</code>
<code>[x..]</code>	<code>≡ enumFrom x</code>	<code>[x,x+1,x+2,...]</code>
<code>[x,s..]</code>	<code>≡ enumFromThen x s</code>	<code>[x,x+Δ,x+2*Δ,...]</code>

## Pattern Matching

---

- The idiomatic Haskell way to **define a function by cases**:

```

f :: a1 -> ... -> ak -> b
f p11 ... p1k = e1
f p21 ... p2k = e2
      ⋮
f pn1 ... pnk = en

```


- We have  $e_i :: b$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$
- On a call  $f\ x_1\ x_2\ \dots\ x_k$ , each  $x_i$  is **matched** against **patterns**  $p_{1i}, \dots, p_{ni}$  in order. Result is  $e_r$  if the  $r$ th branch is the first in which all pattern match.



## Pattern Matching

---

Pattern	Matches if...	Bindings in $e_r$
constant $c$	$x_i == c$	
variable $v$	always	$v = x_i$
wildcard $_$	always	
tuple $(p_1, \dots, p_m)$	components of $x_i$ match component patterns $p$	those bound by component patterns $p$
$[]$	$x_i == []$	
$p_1:p_2$	head $x_i$ matches $p_1$ and tail $x_i$ matches $p_2$	those bound by $p_1$ and $p_2$
$v@p$	$p$ matches	those bound by $p$ and $v = x_i$

-  In a pattern, a variable may only occur once (*patterns are linear*).

## Pattern Matching in Expressions (**case**)

---

- Pattern matching may be used in any expression (not just in function definitions): **case** expressions.

Matches against patterns  $p_i$  as well as guards  $q_{ij}$  may be used together:

```

case  $e$  of  $p_1$  |  $q_{11} \rightarrow e_{11}$ 
               |  $q_{12} \rightarrow e_{12}$ 
                $\vdots$ 
                $p_n$  |  $q_{n1} \rightarrow e_{n1}$ 
               |  $q_{n2} \rightarrow e_{n2}$ 

```