

VAA Statement 1

Mainstream Islam is compatible with British values.

By the same logic, so do Christianity and Judaism. Because Islam also believes in the same God.

Similarity Score: 0.59

It's an income limit to limit the rich. Crazy people still do strange things, and I expect the police and public safety to continue to do so. I don't want to be taken as an example of a radicalized fundamentalist terrorist, but the average Muslim lives peacefully, right? Even in Japan, rural villages with a shortage of wives can be a problem, but they won't go as far as forced marriage. If there is a surplus of men, polygamy may be necessary. It's gender equality. I was thinking of Islam, but if we are talking about multiculturalism, we should also respect Islamic culture and allow polygamy. Of course, legally, it should be independent of religion. As for the distribution of inheritance, I could not understand how it differs from inheritance problems in modern society. Are there any problems that are unique to polygamy?

Similarity Score: 0.57

Well, for what it's worth, the Muslims are only good for themselves. In general. Yes, they are. It's just like something else.

Similarity Score: 0.56

Thanks for your reply 😊 🙏 🎵 I see.. Jews, Christians and Muslims are the same in origin.

Similarity Score: 0.56

Tolerance" and "segregation" can go hand in hand. Both Islamic and Hindu curries are peaceful there if you don't force them to mix.

Similarity Score: 0.56

VAA Statement 2

Should the law be amended to allow the government to restrict private rights, such as lockdowns?

That said, we urge you not to amend the Constitution to include an emergency clause. Restrictions on private rights must be carefully implemented, especially with the current pro-China Finance Ministry puppet Kishida administration, which could be messed up by the arbitrary operation of the government. I'm all for a constitutional amendment to Article IX, though!

Similarity Score: 0.83

The lockdowns and restrictions on private rights that the trash and those who oppose constitutional reforms are so eager to promote are essentially provisions in the emergency clauses of every country. It is impossible to do so as long as we say we are against constitutional change. If they are enforced by the powers that be, without any legal basis in interpretation or as a precautionary measure, it would be tantamount to the destruction of law and order. It is also highly contradictory that the same people who slammed Minister Nishimura's efforts to encourage financial institutions would approve a lockdown, a more forceful exercise of power than that. Are the opponents of constitutional change aware that they are violating the Constitution, which they themselves are required to abide by, and that because they oppose constitutional change, they are unable to implement a lockdown, and are therefore in a state of mindless self-imposed bondage? The true nature of the opposition is revealed in their extremely tyrannical attempts to carry out their agenda. To begin with, the fact that discussions about a lockdown are gaining momentum while Corona is still at the level of a common cold is foolishness in itself. Look outside of Japan for a moment, and you will understand how little we in Japan can do about the medical emergency and the record number of positive cases.

The Corona fiasco, fueled by the leftist trash, is a futile and harmful racket that can only result in economic losses. The government should quit acting and behaving only according to the mass media's prejudices. It is not the will of the people that the mass media is speaking on behalf of, but the opinions of a small group of activists. The government's support rate is declining because it disregards science and data, listens only to the noise and responds only to the wrong things.

Similarity Score: 0.81

It seems to me to be nothing more than an ad-balloon for a legal debate with "private rights restrictions". Was the issue in this travesty the inability to limit private rights? How many times have we declared a state of emergency only to have the people suffer, to no avail?

Similarity Score: 0.76

Thank you for your hard work, Dr. Takahashi. On second thought, it might be better if I stop being a Cabinet Counselor so that I can speak freely. I am writing about the emergency clause. Even if there is no emergency clause in the Constitution, there are already excessive restrictions on private rights. For example, shortened hours for restaurants, restrictions on the operation of department stores, prohibitions on the serving of alcoholic beverages, and so on. What I expect from an emergency clause in the Constitution is to be able to restrict private rights, well, if necessary, but rather to prohibit excessive restrictions on private rights.

Similarity Score: 0.75

If you want to restrict private rights, you need an emergency clause, but if you give this to the current autocrats, you will end up with a communist state where you don't need any Diet members, no Diet, just a cabinet and you can do whatever you want. If you want to restrict private rights, give us "economic compensation"! Restricting private rights without compensation is unconstitutional and illegal! We don't need a government that can't uphold the Constitution! We need a change of government!

Similarity Score: 0.74

VAA Statement 3

Pressure on North Korea should be prioritized over dialogue.

North Korea is prioritizing missiles over vaccines. The safety of their leaders is more important than the safety of their people. Do we need Russia, China, and North Korea in the international community?

Similarity Score: 0.74

The retrieval of abductees and specified missing persons is the top priority of the Japanese nation as the first item on the list. This is an issue that has been a priority for some time, and yet the politicians of the ruling and opposition parties do not seem to be taking it seriously at all. This is a matter of such importance to Japan's standing as a sovereign nation that the people of Japan must face it head-on, as if it were their own business. I don't think the North will take this seriously unless it is encouraged by a sense of complacency, a sense of peace, and a sense of national exuberance.

Similarity Score: 0.7

Thank you, Fifi, for your easy-to-understand explanations. I wish South Korea would do more proper diplomacy, but I also wonder about the people who are misled by the government's lead by saying things that have nothing to do with them and boycotting them without their permission, thus putting their own necks on the line.

Similarity Score: 0.69

Hello Fifi. My deepest sympathies for Corona. North Korea is a coup opportunity. I think there are many people secretly hoping for it. I believe that the situation on the Korean Peninsula is not for others to interfere. It is a country of "grudge," and I think it is important for those of us who do not understand it to remain silent. The "Mere Old Man" is probably an article about interfering in the presidential election for the hated Trump. I would like to reiterate that normalcy in times of emergency is important in everything.

Similarity Score: 0.68

Fifi's comments are very persuasive. South Korea has put too much effort into anti-Japan. North Korea's provocations are making it hard.

Similarity Score: 0.68

VAA Statement 4

Do you agree or disagree with the possession of an "enemy base attack capability" to strike an opponent's base before it is attacked?

I strongly hope that this has not been replaced by the ability to "shoot at the mouth" of an enemy base. Please develop base attack weapons for defense and enact an anti-spying law to prevent the spying from being detected. We are rooting for you!

Similarity Score: 0.73

Defense outside the realm...I agree with you! Invasion is only a disadvantage in terms of maintenance and control, please enact anti-spying laws.

Similarity Score: 0.7

Thanks for your efforts. The best defense is a good offense. You can't protect your country unless you make people believe that if they do, they will be beaten 100 times over. I hope that the anti-spying law will be enacted as soon as possible in order to clean up the domestic weeds.

Similarity Score: 0.68

It is natural to build up deterrence, but it is also natural to build up enemy base attack capability. Constitutional amendment and anti-spy law are urgently needed.

Similarity Score: 0.66

I see. Using Uyghurs as a trump card shield seems to be effective. There was a problem of not involving civilians in the drone strikes in Afghanistan.

Similarity Score: 0.66

VAA Statement 5

China is a threat to Japan. China is a partner for Japan.

What will Japan do? You don't think they will side with China, do you? Japan is being consumed by the Chinese Communist virus.

Similarity Score: 0.8

The true identity of the Abe administration is pro-China. That is why they are all over the discovery of the Wuhan virus. Working with China is tantamount to being complicit in the Communist Party's evil. Is the Abe administration going to make the US its enemy? Is it going to make the world its enemy again?

Similarity Score: 0.78

I agree with the content of your lecture. I suspect that the Soviet Chernobyl nuclear disaster is a Chinese coronavirus. According to the Chinese, Mingxia, Chinese provinces and cities and units have already started to seal off their borders. There are rumors that the coronavirus is a U.S. plant, and if this is true, we can assume that there are U.S. collaborators inside China. The most dangerous thing for Japan, as you mentioned, is the Chinese refugees. However, with the current political and social system, Japan is unable to deal with them. I think it is necessary to reform the political and social system, including the ruling and opposition parties and the mass media.

Similarity Score: 0.78

I believe that the Shanghai Electric Power issue is at the heart of China's aggression against Japan, and I urge you, Mr. Aoyama, to join in to strengthen Japan's security.

Similarity Score: 0.78

China has done what we feared the most! To tarnish Japan's reputation and spread Wuhan pneumonia in Japan! And, they are probably planning to spread the coronavirus around Japan in a sophisticated and well-serviced environment with low treatment costs under Japan's medical insurance system, while they themselves will be completely cured and return to Japan. If there is a vacancy, they will try to find a place to flee to in Japan, where they can hide and live under the guise of being Japanese. It would be a serious problem if the Chinese government actually had them settle down on land that they had bought with their money before China was destroyed in the near future, start a business, and establish a foundation for their lives. We need to verify this right away, and more importantly, we need to crack down on all Chinese nationals, confiscate all land they have sold so far, and suppress their bank accounts. Is this what has happened to the Abe administration, which has attracted and seduced corrupt elements from all over the world through tourism?

Similarity Score: 0.77

VAA Statement 6

What do you think should be done for Japan's defense in the future?

The U.S. is outraged and will not take action. The most important thing to watch out for is Japan. If the North and South governments are in communication, even if the North takes action, the South will say, "Let's talk it out," and the same goes for China and Russia. The U.S. will probably offer some restraint to China and Russia as a chance for Japan to wake up a little from its peaceful stupor, but it will withhold active support. As a result, the 2% military spending will become irrelevant, and the Japan-U.S. Security Treaty will become stronger and stronger. Hahaha.

Similarity Score: 0.76

This one's for the beat! You happen to be Shanghai Electric Power, and you're doing business, so what's the problem? What if they come within that decade! This issue isn't about good or bad, it's about national security. Don't be so gullible! This is fine, but Japan?

Similarity Score: 0.76

Mr. Kato! At this time, restarting nuclear power plants is an urgent matter! To protect the people, we have electricity, gas, water, and military, but in an emergency situation like this, we must unleash them all to protect the nation of Japan! We can protect Japan!

Similarity Score: 0.76

The common goal of the U.S. and China is to prevent Japan from being castrated, or rearmed, and Japan is merely a plaything in the U.S.-China game of hegemony. What Bolton wrote in his memoirs is a true testament to this. There is nothing to be gained by sharing the interests of the Far East between the U.S. and China. There is no way that the U.S., China, and Japan can rearm Japan and compete for the right to do so. The same is true of Japan's inability to become a permanent member of the UN Security Council. Even if Shinsuke Abe had his testicles removed and presented them to the US, the fact that the US military is stationed in Yokosuka to monitor Japan and that the airspace over Tokyo is under US control is a testament to this. Even if Japan's constitution were revised, the average age of the population would be 49, which is no different from castration at the androgenic level. But the moment Shinzo Abe starts talking about nuclear arms, the Tokyo District Public Prosecutor's Office, which was created by the US, will begin to act, and Shinzo will be hanged, just like Hussein in Iraq. If the US does not do it, China and Russia will do the same thing. It is power that says things in the world. It is not kamikaze. International law is designed to be violated. History is written by the victors. Right is the common sense of the world. If we do not reflect on why the atomic bombs were dropped on Japan, it is extremely dangerous to rush into the conflict between the U.S. and China.

Similarity Score: 0.75

The South should be annexed by the North, despite its proximity to the enemy, and Japan has a chance to become a decent country again by taking care of its own national defense. Tensions in the Sea of Japan will not end, but that is to be expected.

Similarity Score: 0.75

VAA Statement 7

Relations between Japan and South Korea continue to deteriorate over historical issues such as the ex-consultants lawsuit. Which of the following statements is closest to your opinion? The government's current diplomatic policy is fine. A stronger attitude is needed. A more flexible attitude. No answer.

Good evening, Mr. Fifi. I think that Japan's extremely lax response tends to leave openings for South Korea, such as the invasion of territorial waters, radar irradiation, and President Syngman Rhee's effective control or illegal occupation of Takeshima, which has been going on since 1952. Japan may have its own nationalism, and Korea may have its own nationalism, but the situation is so terrible that a mistake could lead to an armed conflict. Why the Japanese government's response to these issues with a simple "regrettable" comment is shameful. I don't know much about politics, but I would like to see the Japanese government take a more decisive and convincing action.

Similarity Score: 0.82

Thank you, Fifi, for your always clear explanations. Considering Korea's policy to date toward Japan, a country that has been a gentleman in providing support and compensation to Japan, any act of reversing its half-Japanese policy here would be seen as an act of losing international trust. Since Korea has been pursuing an anti-Japanese policy in violation of international law, one would think that Korea should continue to pursue its anti-Japanese policy in order to gain the trust of people outside of Japan, but is the Korean side aware of this? It is typical of developing countries that a country's thinking can be easily overturned by economic advantages or disadvantages, so I feel that we should not blink as long as we sing of advanced countries. Again, it was very clear.

Similarity Score: 0.82

I have seen all the replies to Fifi's fixed opinion. Except for Mr. 3, the responses are like a personal loan of money. Korea may be relieved that the US agreed to the swap in this case. In any case, we should think strategically between Japan and Korea. I hope that politicians will consider Japan's position in East Asia and the future economic relationship between Japan and South Korea, and have the ability to induce South Korea to change from a state where anti-Japan is the only national policy. It is extraordinary that the words of politicians, which sound like a fight between children, are carried in the mass media. Of course, it is cheaper for the government to set up an insurance system to pay for any trade deviations and then swap the money to pay for the deviations.

Similarity Score: 0.81

You are so right, Fifi! Thank you for always sending out your clear opinions. Dealing with Korea is "a hundred harms and not a single gain". I would like to take this opportunity to shun them all at once, but in reality, Japan will probably only take half measures again. What I personally think is that even if Japan is disadvantaged internationally by South Korea's lobbying activities, so be it. Korea should just be ignored at all! It's best not to get involved!

Similarity Score: 0.81

I like Korean Wave as entertainment, but I am fed up with the current relationship between Japan and Korea. In particular, I am fed up with the lukewarm attitude of the Japanese government and the blame-shifting of the Korean government. As Fifi says, both nations need to change their way of thinking.

Similarity Score: 0.81

VAA Statement 8

Do you think we should join the Nuclear Weapons Convention?

Bamboo. I think this is an unwanted act. Because, regarding nuclear power, those who are for it, are for it, and those who are against it, are against it, and have their own opinions and thoughts on the matter.

Similarity Score: 0.76

I think it is a good idea because many people are allergic to nuclear weapons. Nuclear power plants are more dangerous in the 60 years from now until they are decommissioned than when they are in operation, but the anti-Japanese trash never tells the public about this. I think that "only having" deterrent offensive capability and exclusive defense is not a mujun, and it is an interpretation that is recognized by international law.

Similarity Score: 0.75

But I think you are right in opposing nuclear power plants, even conservative Mr. Takeda is against it. Radiation contamination is a problem, and it became a problem during the Great East Japan Earthquake, so it should be stopped. Also, if missiles attack nuclear power plants, Japan will become uninhabitable. I believe that China will launch ballistic missiles in that direction, so I think you are right to oppose nuclear power. We should focus on fusion power generation, which does not emit radiation, and increase the number of fusion power plants. Also, we should build more fusion power plants and bio-power plants.

Similarity Score: 0.75

Why don't we discuss the continuation of nuclear power for the future of Japan (nuclear power plants) after both opponents and proponents of nuclear power have considered and decided on a permanent disposal method for spent nuclear fuel?

Similarity Score: 0.74

I don't care if it's too late for the Rikken to bring down Japan, but it would be irresponsible for Tokyo residents and others to say "use nuclear power" when there is no risk to them.

Similarity Score: 0.74

VAA Statement 9

Should the Japan-U.S. alliance under the Japan-U.S. Security Treaty be maintained?

I think it would be a good idea for Japan to withdraw from the UN as well, since Japan is included in the UN's "enemy of the UN" clause, and there is no reason for Japan to cooperate with the UN, since it is allowed to fight Japan without the approval of the Security Council.

Similarity Score: 0.81

I would like Japan to join the "Japan-U.S. Security Treaty" and abolish the Japan-U.S. Security Treaty. Then Japan will not be subject to unilateral US coercion under the Japan-US Security Treaty.

Similarity Score: 0.78

The common goal of the U.S. and China is to prevent Japan from being castrated, or rearmed, and Japan is merely a plaything in the U.S.-China game of hegemony. What Bolton wrote in his memoirs is a true testament to this. There is nothing to be gained by sharing the interests of the Far East between the U.S. and China. There is no way that the U.S., China, and Japan can rearm Japan and compete for the right to do so. The same is true of Japan's inability to become a permanent member of the UN Security Council. Even if Shinsuke Abe had his testicles removed and presented them to the US, the fact that the US military is stationed in Yokosuka to monitor Japan and that the airspace over Tokyo is under US control is a testament to this. Even if Japan's constitution were revised, the average age of the population would be 49, which is no different from castration at the androgenic level. But the moment Shinzo Abe starts talking about nuclear arms, the Tokyo District Public Prosecutor's Office, which was created by the US, will begin to act, and Shinzo will be hanged, just like Hussein in Iraq. If the US does not do it, China and Russia will do the same thing. It is power that says things in the world. It is not kamikaze. International law is designed to be violated. History is written by the victors. Right is the common sense of the world. If we do not reflect on why the atomic bombs were dropped on Japan, it is extremely dangerous to rush into the conflict between the U.S. and China.

Similarity Score: 0.78

The U.S. is outraged and will not take action. The most important thing to watch out for is Japan. If the North and South governments are in communication, even if the North takes action, the South will say, "Let's talk it out," and the same goes for China and Russia. The U.S. will probably offer some restraint to China and Russia as a chance for Japan to wake up a little from its peace of mind, but it will withhold active support. As a result, the 2% military spending will become irrelevant, and the Japan-U.S. Security Treaty will become stronger and stronger. Hahaha.

Similarity Score: 0.77

The common goal of the U.S. and China is to prevent Japan from being castrated, or rearmed, and Japan is merely a plaything in the U.S.-China game of hegemony. What Bolton wrote in his retrospective book is a true testament to this. There is nothing to be gained by sharing the benefits of the Far East between the U.S. and China. There is no way that the U.S., China, and Japan can rearm Japan and compete for the right to do so. Japan cannot become a permanent member of the UN Security Council, just as it cannot become a permanent member of the UN Security Council. As for the Senkaku Islands and the South China Sea, they are part of the U.S.-China hegemony, and Japan has no right to say anything about them. In the world, it is power that makes the difference. It is not a kamikaze. International law is designed to be violated. History is written by the victors. Right is the common sense of the world. If we do not reflect on why the atomic bombs were dropped on Japan, it is extremely dangerous to rush into the conflict between the U.S. and China.

Similarity Score: 0.77

VAA Statement 10

Which is closer to your opinion about accepting foreign workers in Japan? A. Japan should accept foreign workers more aggressively than it does now B. Japan should restrict the acceptance of foreign workers more than it does now.

I believe that what Japan needs now is to restore the lives of Japanese citizens and the economy by eliminating restrictions on domestic activities with a firm waterfront policy. I am opposed to the vaccine passport in principle, as it is one of the restrictions on activities. It has been proven that remote communication with foreign countries is sufficient for most of the business activities. Even if a company is looking for workers under the name of "trainees," it would be right from a liberal point of view not to allow them into Japan. The government policy is that vaccination certificates are only allowed for use overseas when traveling abroad. The vaccination certificate is not a passport, so it is wrong to use it as a passport. A vaccine passport will become a passport to the division of the nation by the vaccinated. If the vaccinated support the passport, it will lead to an endless spiral of additional vaccinations. The fact that the vaccine passport will be used to slow down the frontier measures after a breakthrough infection is revealed will not win the support of the public, even if it is for business purposes, under the current circumstances in which new mutant strains are appearing overseas one after another. The problem in Japan today is not the new coronavirus infection, but the mental illness called "new coronavirus phobia" that the Japanese people are suffering from as a result of television, and nothing will be resolved unless this is treated. I think Dr. Takahashi shares this view. In order to stop the media's fearful reports, it is necessary to establish a system that allows treatment at clinics in the town at large, and to provide early treatment to prevent serious illnesses. However, in order to do so, it is necessary to change the status of the new corona from a Category 2 infectious disease to a Category 5 infectious disease, and the public's fear of the disease will be a stumbling block. In order to break out of this negative cycle, we need a strong and sincere message from the prime minister, the leader of the people, who is prepared to take responsibility, and the ability to execute. Prime Minister Kan has failed to do this, and we can only hope that the next prime minister will do the same.

Similarity Score: 0.74

Aren't there a lot of asymptomatic infected people who don't get seriously ill? I don't hear anything about a medical shortage or a high death toll? What is the actual situation? I heard that Japan is going to resume accepting foreign technical students, but I have nothing but apprehension.

Similarity Score: 0.73

I am against the extension of the voluntary restraint. According to data released by the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare on April 27, 2020, the number of Japanese nationals infected in Japan was 5,938 compared to 13,422, less than half of the total. This indicates that it is more important to prevent the entry of foreigners (mainly from China) for special reasons than to ask Japanese nationals to refrain from doing so. It is also extremely problematic that foreigners who enter Japan are allowed to move freely without being forcibly quarantined for two weeks. How can this be stopped?

Similarity Score: 0.72

Can you please pursue special circumstances, statistics on foreign inpatients, details by month, and contributions to expenses? I suspect that the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism (MLIT) in charge of immigration control, the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare (MHLW) in charge of corona handling, and the Ministry of Foreign Affairs (MOFA) have many non-Japanese in their ranks.

Similarity Score: 0.71

There was a lot of discussion about whether there were many foreigners infected since the beginning of the corona outbreak. However, this was kept secret on the grounds that it would be a violation of human rights if their nationalities were known. It was said that many of the foreigners entering Japan were living together in apartments as laborers, which may have contributed to the spread of the infection. The other day, the Tokyo Metropolitan Government released materials showing a link between the expansion of the infected population and the number of people entering the country. Currently, the situation is so bizarre that the people in their own country are asked to refrain and control their behavior, while those who enter the country are allowed to enter almost freely. The legislators are only protesting, but there is no sign that this situation will change. Shouldn't we denounce the government more? Is business traffic really necessary now?

Similarity Score: 0.71

VAA Statement 11

Do you think nuclear power plants are necessary for Japan?

I know there are many prefectural residents who are allergic, but I believe there are also many voters who support a certain number of them, including those who are against nuclear power plants. My personal opinion is that nuclear power is necessary for Japan. Of course, I think that decarbonization is the best way to achieve this, but I would like the debate to be held at a feasible level, taking the economy and the environment into consideration, rather than at the extreme end of the scale of 100 or 0. As for Taro Yamamoto, I personally do not agree with him. As a Japanese, I am disgusted by his comments about easily abandoning his own country's territory.

Similarity Score: 0.9

Of course, Japan would be better off without nuclear power plants. However, nuclear power plants are necessary in Japan today from two perspectives: the future shortage of electricity and the potential possession of nuclear weapons (to show that Japan can quickly produce nuclear weapons in the event of an emergency).

Similarity Score: 0.89

That is, it would be better without nuclear power plants. However, nuclear power plants are necessary for Japan today from two perspectives: securing electric power and potential nuclear weapons (Japan's appeal that it can quickly develop nuclear weapons in the event of an emergency).

Similarity Score: 0.89

I totally agree with you about the nuclear plant operation. I thought the shutdown was a bad idea. I also agree with you on that point as I was taught that nuclear fission reactors are a connection. I think Japan must continue to fund research into nuclear energy as a technology for the future. And with the number of nuclear power plants in large countries upwind across the ocean and concerns about their reliability, if anything happens to Japan in the next half century or so, an accident will occur in one of those countries and contaminants will be blown over a large area of Japan. If anything happens to Japan in the next half century or so, I hope that an accident will occur in that country and contaminants will be blown over a wide area of Japan.

Similarity Score: 0.89

I understand the dangers of nuclear power generation, as exemplified by the nuclear accidents at Fukushima and Chernobyl. However, I believe that nuclear power is necessary for Japan today. You say that we will never run out of oil, but as you can see from the current world situation, we are in a world where we may not be able to import oil anytime soon. Japan's energy is being done to protect its vital energy security b

y using nuclear, thermal, and hydroelectric power in different ways. No matter how much oil is produced, it means nothing if it can no longer be used!

Similarity Score: 0.88

VAA Statement 12

Do you think the Constitution should be amended to clearly state the Self-Defense Forces?

I believe we need to properly amend the Constitution to include an Emergency Measures Law, an Espionage Prevention Law, and the exercise of the right to self-defense.

Similarity Score: 0.77

Personally, I don't think the LDP proposal is that bad, and I think it would be better to have an emergency clause first rather than Article 9. I also think it is important to establish a system to properly honor those SDF personnel who have died in the line of duty as a nation.

Similarity Score: 0.75

The main point is not Article 9 or the Self-Defense Forces, but the other part of the Constitution.

Similarity Score: 0.75

I think the Constitution needs to be amended, but it is in the LDP's draft. I am concerned about the deletion of Article 97, which is the supreme law, and the creation of an emergency clause. If you can explain this to me properly, I can support the constitutional amendment.

Similarity Score: 0.74

I believe that constitutional changes, such as the clarification of the Self-Defense Forces, are necessary. However, I do not think that an emergency clause is necessary. It would be sufficient to interpret and operate the clause as long as it does not violate the public welfare. But keeping it as a request is deliberate and inadequate.

Similarity Score: 0.74

VAA Statement 13

It's only natural that privacy and individual rights are constrained to protect public safety.

I think it is unavoidable to restrict private rights to some extent to prevent infection, but I think it is necessary to make sure that the restrictions on private rights and the delegation of emergency powers are such that they cannot be abused as desired even if an unscrupulous administration is later formed.

Similarity Score: 0.76

I hope that you will limit your focus to only those who can follow the rule of law....

Similarity Score: 0.73

In times of war, disaster, epidemics, and other emergencies, the rights of citizens should be able to be restricted to some extent.

Similarity Score: 0.71

Human rights are unavoidably restricted in emergency situations. Restrictions are unavoidable in the case of persons who are detrimental to Japan's national interests. The safety and security of the people is important.

Similarity Score: 0.71

Mr. Takahashi is right! I think the "Anti-Spying Law" is indispensable to protect the lives and property of the people. People in various industries, university laboratories and media are in danger.

Similarity Score: 0.71

VAA Statement 14

Do you support or oppose lowering the age of suffrage for members of the National Assembly?

If you are against the amendment of the Prosecutor's Office Law, what is the reason for your opinion? What are you against? What do you think about issues such as consistency with the retirement age for civil servants, changes in the age for receiving pension benefits, and gaps in the timing of pension supply and demand?

Similarity Score: 0.72

I always enjoy watching the show. I find myself asking, "Why the buzz?" I feel it. However, as I am watching the video, I am told that there is no problem with the content of the revised bill (around 7:40 in the video). (The opposition also says that there are problems (issues) with the contents of the bill. The opposition does not see the extension of the retirement age as a problem, but the "mandatory retirement age and its special provisions," which extend the mandatory retirement age as well as the mandatory service age (the standard post and age), and the special provisions are judged by the Minister of Justice and the Cabinet. We recognize that this is a problem. The special provision in the current bill would extend the current Chief Prosecutor Kurokawa's term at the end of January, and the bill would revise and justify the criteria for the position so that the story of the Chief Prosecutor to the Prosecutor General can be drawn. We understand that this is a buzzword. The separation of powers will be impeded. It is also said that if the Prosecutor General's Office goes out of control, the Minister of Justice and the Cabinet can be checked. I personally feel that the separation of powers is in place. I think the rest is as Mr. Fifi said. Thank you for taking the time to comment for the first time.

Similarity Score: 0.72

Sorry for the delay in replying to your 4414 notice. I don't really care if it is the cabinet that extends the retirement age after the law is amended. I'm not saying that it's wrong for the cabinet to decide on the retirement age extension, but I'm against it at this stage because I can't say that it won't be an arbitrary personnel decision. This is because Minister Mori does not provide a rationale in the Diet. Depending on this basis, my opinion may change from being for to against, but at this stage, I am against the amendment because I believe it could be a possibility. In addition, I think that the person who changed the interpretation of the oral settlement in the appointment of Mr. Kurokawa has no power of persuasion when he claims that he does not make arbitrary appointments. If the situation continues to be handled by interpretation→this is quite problematic to begin with, and it would be strange if the interpretation could be changed without discussion. I don't agree with the opinion that the cabinet will do it anyway. And I know that some of the people who are against the prosecutors are saying that they don't want this to happen because they would lose credibility as prosecutors, but is that just an ego trip for the sake of popularity? I don't think I would be able to keep my mouth shut if I were told that my work is not credible.

Similarity Score: 0.72

I agree with you about 60%, but I still think this video by Fifi is not enough explanation. I think it's a good idea to talk only about "the retirement age of all civil servants" (renewed every year, up to 3 years at the discretion of the cabinet). Here is the problem. I'm not talking about "just extending the retirement age because prosecutors are public servants too." In favor of the administration, bad timing & rough explanation. Look at it critically, it's suspicious & makes the public look like fools..... Hilarious! It is a one-man show, and those who make a fuss and oppose it without regard to the contents are no good. On the other hand, it is not right to rush into this now without fully explaining (even though they are in the habit of making a mess of the Corona measures at this time of year).

Similarity Score: 0.69

Kurokawa is the main culprit in the Muraki case. And Kurokawa is always behind the runaway prosecutors in the Rikuzankai and other cases.(lol) By the way, no one is against the retirement age of 65, because it can be extended to 68, and the cabinet can make arbitrary decisions in that decision. Do these people know what they are talking about? Is it delusional and forced to connect opposition to the amendment of the Public Prosecutor's Office Law with the amendment of the Constitution? I thought so. Also, the argument that democracy is not ensured in prosecutions is correct, and that requires first making interrogations visible.

Similarity Score: 0.68