

DCMIP2016, Part 4: Splitting Supercell

Colin M. Zarzycki¹, Christiane Jablonowski², James Kent³, Peter H. Lauritzen¹, Ramachandran Nair¹, Kevin A. Reed⁴, Paul A. Ullrich⁵, David A. Hall⁶, Alex Reinecke⁷, Kevin Viner⁷, Don Dazlich⁸, Ross Heikes⁸, Celal Konor⁸, David Randall⁸, Xi Chen⁹, Lucas Harris⁹, Marco Giorgetta¹⁰, Daniel Reinert¹¹, Christian Kuehnlein¹², Robert Walko¹³, Vivian Lee¹⁴, Abdessamad Qaddouri¹⁴, Monique Tanguay¹⁴, Hiroaki Miura¹⁵, Tomoki Ohno¹⁵, Ryuji Yoshida¹⁶, Joseph Klemp¹, Sang-Hun Park¹, William Skamarock¹, Thomas Dubos¹⁷, Yann Meurdesoif¹⁷, Elijah Goodfriend¹⁸, and Hans Johansen¹⁸

¹National Center for Atmospheric Research

²University of Michigan

³University of South Wales

⁴Stony Brook University

⁵University of California, Davis

⁶University of Colorado, Boulder

⁷Naval Research Laboratory

⁸Colorado State University

⁹Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory

¹⁰Max Planck Institute for Meteorology

¹¹Deutscher Wetterdienst (DWD)

¹²European Center for Medium-Range Weather Forecasting

¹³University of Miami

¹⁴Environment Canada

¹⁵University of Tokyo

¹⁶RIKEN

¹⁷Institut Pierre-Simon Laplace (IPSL)

¹⁸Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Correspondence to: Colin Zarzycki (zarzycki@ucar.edu)

Abstract. This paper discusses a new idealized test for atmospheric dynamical cores.

1 Introduction

Test colin commit.

The supercell test permits the study of a non-hydrostatic moist feature with strong vertical velocities and associated precipitation and is based on the work of ?.

It is assumed that the saturation mixing ratio is given by

$$q_{vs}(p, T) = \left(\frac{380.0}{p} \right) \exp \left(17.27 \times \frac{T - 273.0}{T - 36.0} \right) \quad (1)$$

Table 1. List of constants used for the Supercell test

Constant	Value	Description
X	120	Small-planet scaling factor (reduced Earth)
θ_{tr}	343 K	Temperature at the tropopause
θ_0	300 K	Temperature at the equatorial surface
z_{tr}	12000 m	Altitude of the tropopause
T_{tr}	213 K	Temperature at the tropopause
U_s	30 m/s	Maximum zonal wind velocity
U_c	15 m/s	Coordinate reference velocity
z_s	5000 m	Lower altitude of maximum velocity
Δz_u	1000 m	Transition distance of velocity
$\Delta\theta$	3 K	Thermal perturbation magnitude
λ_p	0	Thermal perturbation longitude
φ_p	0	Thermal perturbation latitude
r_p	$X \times 10000$ m	Perturbation horizontal half-width
z_c	1500 m	Perturbation center altitude
z_p	1500 m	Perturbation vertical half-width

The definition of this test case relies on hydrostatic and gradient wind balance, written in terms of Exner pressure π and virtual potential temperature θ_v as

$$\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial z} = -\frac{g}{c_p \theta_v}, \quad \text{and} \quad u^2 \tan \varphi = -c_p \theta_v \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial \varphi}. \quad (2)$$

5 These equations can be combined to eliminate π , leading to

$$\frac{\partial \theta_v}{\partial \varphi} = \frac{\sin(2\varphi)}{2g} \left(u^2 \frac{\partial \theta_v}{\partial z} - \theta_v \frac{\partial u^2}{\partial z} \right). \quad (3)$$

The wind velocity is analytically defined throughout the domain. Meridional and vertical wind is initially set to zero. The zonal wind is obtained from

$$\bar{u}(\varphi, z) = \begin{cases} \left(U_s \frac{z}{z_t} - U_c \right) \cos(\varphi) & \text{for } z < z_s - \Delta z_u, \\ \left(-\frac{4}{5} + 3 \frac{z}{z_s} - \frac{5}{4} \frac{z^2}{z_s^2} \right) U_s - U_c & \text{for } |z - z_s| \leq \Delta z_u \\ (U_s - U_c) \cos(\varphi) & \text{for } z > z_s + \Delta z_u \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

10 The equatorial profile is determined through numerical iteration. Potential temperature at the equator is specified via

$$\theta_{eq}(z) = \begin{cases} \theta_0 + (\theta_{tr} - \theta_0) \left(\frac{z}{z_{tr}} \right)^{\frac{5}{4}} & \text{for } 0 \leq z \leq z_{tr}, \\ \theta_{tr} \exp \left(-\frac{g(z - z_{tr})}{c_p T_{tr}} \right) & \text{for } z_{tr} \leq z \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

And relative humidity is given by

$$\overline{H}(z) = \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{3}{4} \left(\frac{z}{z_{tr}} \right)^{5/4} & \text{for } 0 \leq z \leq z_{tr}, \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{for } z_{tr} \leq z. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Pressure and temperature at the equator are obtained by iterating on hydrostatic balance with initial state

$$5 \quad \theta_{v,\text{eq}}^{(0)}(z) = \theta_{\text{eq}}(z), \quad (7)$$

and iteration procedure

$$\pi_{\text{eq}}^{(i)} = 1 - \int_0^z \frac{g}{c_p \theta_{v,\text{eq}}^{(i)}} dz \quad (8)$$

$$p_{\text{eq}}^{(i)} = p_0 (\pi_{\text{eq}}^{(i)})^{c_p/R_d} \quad (9)$$

$$T_{\text{eq}}^{(i)} = \theta_{\text{eq}}(z) \pi_{\text{eq}}^{(i)} \quad (10)$$

$$10 \quad q_{\text{eq}}^{(i)} = H(z) q_{vs}(p_{\text{eq}}^{(i)}, T_{\text{eq}}^{(i)}) \quad (11)$$

$$\theta_{v,\text{eq}}^{(i+1)} = \theta_{\text{eq}}(z) (1 + M_v q_{\text{eq}}^{(i)}) \quad (12)$$

This iteration procedure appears to converge to machine epsilon after approximately 10 iterations. The equatorial moisture profile is then extended through the entire domain,

$$q(z, \varphi) = q_{\text{eq}}(z). \quad (13)$$

- 15 Once the equatorial profile has been constructed, the virtual potential temperature through the remainder of the domain can be computed by iterating on (3),

$$\theta_v^{(i+1)}(z, \varphi) = \theta_{v,\text{eq}}(z) + \int_0^\varphi \frac{\sin(2\phi)}{2g} \left(\bar{u}^2 \frac{\partial \theta_v^{(i)}}{\partial z} - \theta_v^{(i)} \frac{\partial \bar{u}^2}{\partial z} \right) d\varphi. \quad (14)$$

Again, approximately 10 iterations are needed for convergence to machine epsilon. Once virtual potential temperature has been computed throughout the domain, Exner pressure throughout the domain can be obtained from (2),

$$20 \quad \pi(z, \varphi) = \pi_{\text{eq}}(z) - \int_0^\varphi \frac{u^2 \tan \phi}{c_p \theta_v} d\varphi, \quad (15)$$

and so

$$p(z, \varphi) = p_0 \pi(z, \varphi)^{c_p/R_d}, \quad (16)$$

$$T_v(z, \varphi) = \theta_v(z, \varphi) (p/p_0)^{R_d/c_p}. \quad (17)$$

1.1 Potential temperature perturbation

To initiate convection, a thermal perturbation is introduced in the initial potential temperature field:

$$\theta'(\lambda, \phi, z) = \begin{cases} \Delta\theta \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2}R_\theta(\lambda, \varphi, z)\right) & \text{for } R_\theta(\lambda, \varphi, z) < 1, \\ 0 & \text{for } R_\theta(\lambda, \varphi, z) \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

5 where

$$R_\theta(\lambda, \varphi, z) = \left[\left(\frac{R_c(\lambda, \varphi; \lambda_p, \varphi_p)}{r_p} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{z - z_c}{z_p} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}. \quad (19)$$

Note: An additional iterative step will be required here to bring the potential temperature perturbation into hydrostatic balance. Without this additional iteration, large vertical velocities will be generated as the model rapidly adjusts to hydrostatic balance.

1.2 Grid spacings, simulation time, output and diagnostics

- 10 – Moist simulations should be performed at 1° resolution with 30 vertical levels for 2 hours.
- Plots of vertical velocity and rainwater should be produced at 5 km altitude after 30, 60, 90 and 120 minutes over the domain $[0, 130E] \times [40S, 40N]$.
- A plot of maximum vertical velocity over the duration of the simulation should be produced.
- Experiments could address the coupling frequency between the dynamics and physics.
- 15 – A variable resolution simulation should be performed that (a) studies the effect of the supercell transitioning from fine resolution to coarse resolution and (b) high resolution simulations down to 0.125° over the supercell.

2 Conclusions

TEXT

Appendix A: Uniform Diffusion

20 *Author contributions.* TEXT

Acknowledgements. DCMIP2016 is sponsored by the National Center for Atmospheric Research Computational Information Systems Laboratory, the Department of Energy Office of Science (award no. DE-SC0016015), the National Science Foundation (award no. 1629819), the




Figure 1. Initial state for the supercell test.

National Aeronautics and Space Administration (award no. ??), the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (award no. ??), the Office of Naval Research and CU Boulder Research Computing. This work was made possible with support from our student and postdoctoral




Figure 2. Initial state for the supercell test (cont'd).

participants: Sabina Abba Omar, Scott Bachman, Amanda Back, Tobias Bauer, Vinicius Capistrano, Spencer Clark, Ross Dixon, Christopher Eldred, Robert Fajber, Jared Ferguson, Emily Foshee, Ariane Frassoni, Alexander Goldstein, Jorge Guerra, Chasity Henson, Adam Herring-

ton, Tsung-Lin Hsieh, Dave Lee, Theodore Letcher, Weiwei Li, Laura Mazzaro, Maximo Menchaca, Jonathan Meyer, Farshid Nazari, John O'Brien, Bjarke Tobias Olsen, Hossein Parishani, Charles Pelletier, Thomas Rackow, Kabir Rasouli, Cameron Rencurrel, Koichi Sakaguchi, Gökhan Sever, James Shaw, Konrad Simon, Abhishekh Srivastava, Nicholas Szapiro, Kazushi Takemura, Pushp Raj Tiwari, Chii-Yun Tsai, 5 Richard Urata, Karin van der Wiel, Lei Wang, Eric Wolf, Zheng Wu, Haiyang Yu, Sungduk Yu and Jiawei Zhuang. We would also like to thank Rich Loft, Cecilia Banner, Kathryn Peczkowicz and Rory Kelly (NCAR), Carmen Ho, Perla Dinger, and Gina Skyberg (UC Davis) 70 and Kristi Hansen (University of Michigan) for administrative support during the workshop and summer school.