# Tidy Time Series & Forecasting in R



#### **Outline**

- 1 Regression with ARIMA errors
- 2 Lab Session 18
- 3 Dynamic harmonic regression
- 4 Lab Session 19
- 5 Lagged predictors

#### **Outline**

- 1 Regression with ARIMA errors
- 2 Lab Session 18
- 3 Dynamic harmonic regression
- 4 Lab Session 19
- 5 Lagged predictors

#### **Regression with ARIMA errors**

#### **Regression models**

$$y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1,t} + \cdots + \beta_k x_{k,t} + \varepsilon_t,$$

- y<sub>t</sub> modeled as function of k explanatory variables
- In regression, we assume that  $\varepsilon_t$  is white noise.

#### **Regression with ARIMA errors**

#### **Regression models**

$$y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1,t} + \cdots + \beta_k x_{k,t} + \varepsilon_t,$$

- y<sub>t</sub> modeled as function of k explanatory variables
- In regression, we assume that  $\varepsilon_t$  is white noise.


#### **RegARIMA** model

$$y_t = eta_0 + eta_1 x_{1,t} + \dots + eta_k x_{k,t} + \eta_t, \ \eta_t \sim \mathsf{ARIMA}$$


- Residuals are from ARIMA model.
- Estimate model in one step using MLE
- Select model with lowest AICc value.

#### us\_change

```
## # A tsibble: 198 x 6 [10]
##
     Quarter Consumption Income Production Savings Unemployment
                   <dbl> <dbl>
                                           <dbl>
##
       <qtr>
                                    <dbl>
                                                        <dbl>
                                   -2.45 5.30
##
   1 1970 01
                  0.619 1.04
                                                        0.9
##
   2 1970 02
                  0.452 1.23
                                   -0.551 7.79
                                                        0.5
##
   3 1970 03
                  0.873 1.59
                                   -0.359
                                          7.40
                                                        0.5
##
   4 1970 04
                 -0.272 -0.240
                                   -2.19
                                          1.17
                                                        0.700
##
   5 1971 01
                  1.90
                        1.98
                                   1.91 3.54
                                                       -0.100
##
   6 1971 02
                  0.915 1.45
                                   0.902 5.87
                                                       -0.100
##
   7 1971 03
                  0.794 0.521
                                   0.308
                                          -0.406
                                                        0.100
                                    2.29
##
   8 1971 04
                  1.65
                        1.16
                                          -1.49
                                                        0
##
   9 1972 01
                  1.31 0.457
                                   4.15 -4.29
                                                       -0.2
##
  10 1972 02
                  1.89
                         1.03
                                    1.89 -4.69
                                                       -0.100
## # ... with 188 more rows
```



us\_change %>% as\_tibble() %>% select(-Quarter) %>% GGally::ggpairs()




- No need for transformations or further differencing.
- Increase in income does not necessarily translate into instant increase in consumption (e.g., after the loss of a job, it may take a few months for expenses to be reduced to allow for the new circumstances). We will ignore this for now.


```
fit <- us change %>%
 model(regarima = ARIMA(Consumption ~ Income + Production + Savings + Unemployment
report(fit)
## Series: Consumption
## Model: LM w/ ARIMA(0,1,2) errors
##
## Coefficients:
##
           ma1
               ma2 Income Production Savings Unemployment
##
  -1.0882 0.1118 0.7472
                                  0.0370 -0.0531 -0.2096
## s.e. 0.0692 0.0676 0.0403 0.0229 0.0029
                                                       0.0986
##
## sigma^2 estimated as 0.09588: log likelihood=-47.13
## ATC=108.27 ATCc=108.86 BTC=131.25
```

```
fit <- us change %>%
 model(regarima = ARIMA(Consumption ~ Income + Production + Savings + Unemployment
report(fit)
## Series: Consumption
## Model: LM w/ ARIMA(0,1,2) errors
##
  Coefficients:
##
           ma1
                   ma2 Income Production Savings Unemployment
  -1.0882 0.1118 0.7472
                                  0.0370 -0.0531 -0.2096
## s.e. 0.0692 0.0676 0.0403 0.0229 0.0029
                                                       0.0986
##
## sigma^2 estimated as 0.09588: log likelihood=-47.13
## ATC=108.27 ATCc=108.86
                           BTC=131.25
```

Write down the equations for the fitted model.



## 1 regarima 20.0 0.00274




#### **Forecasting**


- To forecast a regression model with ARIMA errors, we need to forecast the regression part of the model and the ARIMA part of the model and combine the results.
- Some predictors are known into the future (e.g., time, dummies).
- Separate forecasting models may be needed for other predictors.
- Forecast intervals ignore the uncertainty in forecasting the predictors.

Model daily electricity demand as a function of temperature using quadratic regression with ARMA errors.

```
vic_elec_daily %>%
  ggplot(aes(x = Temperature, y = Demand, colour = Day_Type)) +
  geom_point() +
labs(x = "Maximum temperature", y = "Electricity demand (GW)")
```




```
vic_elec_daily %>%
  pivot_longer(c(Demand, Temperature)) %>%
  ggplot(aes(x = Date, y = value)) + geom_line() +
  facet_grid(vars(name), scales = "free_y")
```



```
fit <- vic elec daily %>%
 model(fit = ARIMA(Demand ~ Temperature + I(Temperature^2) +
   (Day Type == "Weekday")))
report(fit)
## Series: Demand
## Model: LM w/ ARIMA(2,1,2)(2,0,0)[7] errors
##
## Coefficients:
##
            ar1
                   ar2
                            ma1
                                     ma2 sar1 sar2 Temperature
##
       -0.1093 0.7226 -0.0182 -0.9381 0.1958 0.4175
                                                            -7.6135
## s.e. 0.0779 0.0739 0.0494 0.0493 0.0525 0.0570 0.4482
        I(Temperature^2) Day_Type == "Weekday"TRUE
##
                 0.1810
                                          30,4040
##
## S.P.
                 0.0085
                                           1.3254
##
## sigma^2 estimated as 44.91: log likelihood=-1206.11
## AIC=2432.21 AICc=2432.84 BIC=2471.18
```

```
augment(fit) %>%
   gg_tsdisplay(.resid, plot_type = "histogram")
```




## 1 fit 28.4 0.0000304

```
# Forecast one day ahead
vic_next_day <- new_data(vic_elec_daily, 1) %>%
  mutate(Temperature = 26, Day_Type = "Holiday")
forecast(fit, vic_next_day)
```

```
vic_elec_future <- new_data(vic_elec_daily, 14) %>%
mutate(
   Temperature = 26,
   Holiday = c(TRUE, rep(FALSE, 13)),
   Day_Type = case_when(
    Holiday ~ "Holiday",
    wday(Date) %in% 2:6 ~ "Weekday",
    TRUE ~ "Weekend"
)
)
```

```
forecast(fit, vic_elec_future) %>%
  autoplot(vic_elec_daily) + ylab("Electricity demand (GW)")
```



#### **Outline**

- 1 Regression with ARIMA errors
- 2 Lab Session 18
- 3 Dynamic harmonic regression
- 4 Lab Session 19
- 5 Lagged predictors

#### **Lab Session 18**

Repeat the daily electricity example, but instead of using a quadratic function of temperature, use a piecewise linear function with the "knot" around 20 degrees Celsius (use predictors Temperature & Temp2). How can you optimize the choice of knot?

The data can be created as follows.

```
vic_elec_daily <- vic_elec %>%
  filter(year(Time) == 2014) %>%
  index_by(Date = date(Time)) %>%
  summarise(
    Demand = sum(Demand) / 1e3,
    Temperature = max(Temperature),
   Holiday = any(Holiday)
  ) %>%
  mutate(
   Temp2 = I(pmax(Temperature - 20, 0)),
    Day_Type = case_when(
      Holiday ~ "Holiday",
      wday(Date) %in% 2:6 ~ "Weekday",
     TRUE ~ "Weekend"))
```

#### **Outline**

- 1 Regression with ARIMA errors
- 2 Lab Session 18
- 3 Dynamic harmonic regression
- 4 Lab Session 19
- 5 Lagged predictors

# Dynamic harmonic regression

#### **Combine Fourier terms with ARIMA errors**


#### **Advantages**

- it allows any length seasonality;
- for data with more than one seasonal period, you can include Fourier terms of different frequencies;
- the seasonal pattern is smooth for small values of K (but more wiggly seasonality can be handled by increasing K);
- the short-term dynamics are easily handled with a simple ARMA error.

#### **Disadvantages**


seasonality is assumed to be fixed


```
aus_cafe <- aus_retail %>%
  filter(
    Industry == "Cafes, restaurants and takeaway food services",
    year(Month) %in% 2004:2018
) %>%
  summarise(Turnover = sum(Turnover))
aus_cafe %>% autoplot(Turnover)
```





```
fit <- aus_cafe %>% model(
    'K = 1' = ARIMA(log(Turnover) ~ fourier(K = 1) + PDQ(0, 0, 0)),
    'K = 2' = ARIMA(log(Turnover) ~ fourier(K = 2) + PDQ(0, 0, 0)),
    'K = 3' = ARIMA(log(Turnover) ~ fourier(K = 3) + PDQ(0, 0, 0)),
    'K = 4' = ARIMA(log(Turnover) ~ fourier(K = 4) + PDQ(0, 0, 0)),
    'K = 5' = ARIMA(log(Turnover) ~ fourier(K = 5) + PDQ(0, 0, 0)),
    'K = 6' = ARIMA(log(Turnover) ~ fourier(K = 6) + PDQ(0, 0, 0))
)
glance(fit)
```


.model	sigma2	log_lik	AIC	AICc	BIC
K = 1	0.0017471	317.2353	-616.4707	-615.4056	-587.7842
K = 2	0.0010732	361.8533	-699.7066	-697.8271	-661.4579
K = 3	0.0007609	393.6062	-763.2125	-761.3329	-724.9638
K = 4	0.0005386	426.7839	-821.5678	-818.2098	-770.5697
K = 5	0.0003173	473.7344	-919.4688	-916.9078	-874.8454
K = 6	0.0003163	474.0307	-920.0614	-917.5004	-875.4380















#### **Example: weekly gasoline products**

```
gasoline <- as_tsibble(fpp2::gasoline)
fit <- gasoline %>% model(ARIMA(value ~ fourier(K = 13) + PDQ(0, 0, 0)))
report(fit)
```

```
## Series: value
## Model: LM w/ ARIMA(0.1.1) errors
##
  Coefficients:
##
                 fourier(K = 13)C1 52 fourier(K = 13)S1 52
             ma1
##
        -0.8934
                                -0.1121
                                                      -0.2300
## s.e. 0.0132
                                0.0123
                                                       0.0122
##
         fourier(K = 13)C2 52 fourier(K = 13)S2 52
##
                       0.0420
                                              0.0317
## s.e.
                       0.0099
                                              0.0099
         fourier(K = 13)C3 52 fourier(K = 13)S3 52
##
##
                       0.0832
                                              0.0346
## S.P.
                       0.0094
                                              0.0094
         fourier(K = 13)C4 52 fourier(K = 13)S4 52
##
##
                       0.0185
                                              0.0398
## s.e.
                       0.0092
                                              0.0092
##
         fourier(K = 13)C5 52 fourier(K = 13)S5 52
##
                      -0.0315
                                              0.0009
## s.e.
                       0.0091
                                              0.0091
         fourier(K = 13)C6_52 fourier(K = 13)S6_52
##
##
                      -0.0522
                                               0.000
## s.e.
                       0.0090
                                               0.009
```

### **Example: weekly gasoline products**

forecast(fit, h = "3 years") %>%
 autoplot(gasoline)



#### **Outline**

- 1 Regression with ARIMA errors
- 2 Lab Session 18
- 3 Dynamic harmonic regression
- 4 Lab Session 19
- 5 Lagged predictors

#### **Lab Session 19**

Repeat Lab Session 18 but using all available data, and handling the annual seasonality using Fourier terms.

#### **Outline**

- 1 Regression with ARIMA errors
- 2 Lab Session 18
- 3 Dynamic harmonic regression
- 4 Lab Session 19
- 5 Lagged predictors

Sometimes a change in  $x_t$  does not affect  $y_t$  instantaneously

- $y_t = \text{sales}, x_t = \text{advertising}.$
- $y_t = \text{stream flow}, x_t = \text{rainfall}.$
- $y_t = \text{size of herd}, x_t = \text{breeding stock}.$

Sometimes a change in  $x_t$  does not affect  $y_t$  instantaneously

- $y_t = \text{sales}, x_t = \text{advertising}.$
- $y_t = \text{stream flow}, x_t = \text{rainfall}.$
- $y_t =$ size of herd,  $x_t =$ breeding stock.
- These are dynamic systems with input  $(x_t)$  and output  $(y_t)$ .
- $\mathbf{x}_t$  is often a leading indicator.
- There can be multiple predictors.

The model include present and past values of predictor:  $x_t, x_{t-1}, x_{t-2}, \ldots$ 

$$y_t = a + \nu_0 x_t + \nu_1 x_{t-1} + \dots + \nu_k x_{t-k} + \eta_t$$

where  $\eta_t$  is an ARIMA process.


The model include present and past values of predictor:  $x_t, x_{t-1}, x_{t-2}, \ldots$ 


$$y_t = a + \nu_0 x_t + \nu_1 x_{t-1} + \dots + \nu_k x_{t-k} + \eta_t$$

where  $\eta_t$  is an ARIMA process.

x can influence y, but y is not allowed to influence x.

```
## # A tsibble: 40 x 3 [1M]
         Month Quotes TV.advert
##
##
         <mth>
                 <dbl>
                           <Jdb>>
##
    1 2002 Jan
                 13.0
                            7.21
   2 2002 Feb 15.4
                            9.44
##
##
   3 2002 Mar 13.2
                            7.53
##
    4 2002 Apr
               13.0
                            7.21
##
    5 2002 May
                 15.4
                            9.44
    6 2002 Jun
                            6.42
##
                  11.7
##
   7 2002 Jul
                 10.1
                            5.81
                                              41
##
    8 2002 Aug
                 10.8
                            6.20
```





```
fit <- insurance %>%
 # Restrict data so models use same fitting period
 mutate(Ouotes = c(NA, NA, NA, Ouotes[4:40])) %>%
 model(
    ARIMA(Quotes ~ pdg(d = 0) + TV.advert),
    ARIMA(Quotes ~ pdq(d = 0) + TV.advert +
                                lag(TV.advert)),
    ARIMA(Quotes ~ pdq(d = 0) + TV.advert +
                                lag(TV.advert) +
                                lag(TV.advert, 2)),
    ARIMA(Quotes ~ pdq(d = 0) + TV.advert +
                                lag(TV.advert) +
                                lag(TV.advert, 2) +
                                lag(TV.advert, 3))
```


#### glance(fit)

Lag order	sigma2	log_lik	AIC	AICc	BIC
0 1 2	0.2649757 0.2094368 0.2150429	-28.28210 -24.04404 -24.01627	66.56420 58.08809 60.03254	68.32890 59.85279 62.57799	75.00859 66.53249 70.16581
3	0.2056454	-22.15731	60.31461	64.95977	73.82565


```
# Re-fit to all data
fit <- insurance %>%
 model(ARIMA(Quotes ~ TV.advert + lag(TV.advert) + pdq(d = 0)))
report(fit)
## Series: Quotes
## Model: LM w/ ARIMA(1.0.2) errors
##
## Coefficients:
##
           ar1
                  ma1 ma2 TV.advert lag(TV.advert) intercept
  0.5123 0.9169 0.4591
                                 1.2527
                                                0.1464
                                                          2.1554
## s.e. 0.1849 0.2051 0.1895
                                 0.0588
                                                0.0531
                                                          0.8595
##
## sigma^2 estimated as 0.2166: log likelihood=-23.94
## AIC=61.88 AICc=65.38 BIC=73.7
```

```
# Re-fit to all data
fit <- insurance %>%
 model(ARIMA(Quotes ~ TV.advert + lag(TV.advert) + pdq(d = 0)))
report(fit)
## Series: Quotes
## Model: LM w/ ARIMA(1.0.2) errors
##
## Coefficients:
##
            ar1
                    ma1 ma2 TV.advert lag(TV.advert) intercept
   0.5123 0.9169 0.4591
                                     1.2527
                                                      0.1464
                                                                  2.1554
## s.e. 0.1849 0.2051 0.1895
                                     0.0588
                                                      0.0531
                                                                 0.8595
##
## sigma^2 estimated as 0.2166: log likelihood=-23.94
## AIC=61.88 AICc=65.38 BIC=73.7
                   V_t = 2.16 + 1.25X_t + 0.15X_{t-1} + n_t
                   \eta_t = 0.512\eta_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t + 0.92\varepsilon_{t-1} + 0.46\varepsilon_{t-2}.
```

```
advert_a <- new_data(insurance, 20) %>%
  mutate(TV.advert = 10)
forecast(fit, advert_a) %>% autoplot(insurance)
```



```
advert_b <- new_data(insurance, 20) %>%
  mutate(TV.advert = 8)
forecast(fit, advert_b) %>% autoplot(insurance)
```



```
advert_c <- new_data(insurance, 20) %>%
  mutate(TV.advert = 6)
forecast(fit, advert_c) %>% autoplot(insurance)
```

