In that case, the solutions are

$$u = \sum_{\lambda_n \neq \lambda} \frac{(f, \phi_n)}{\lambda_n - \lambda} \phi_n + \sum_{n=M}^{N} c_n \phi_n$$

where $\{c_M, \ldots, c_N\}$ are arbitrary real constants.

4.11 Interior regularity

Roughly speaking, solutions of elliptic PDFe are as smooth as the data allows. For boundary value problems, it is convenient to consider the regularity of the solution in the interior of the domain and near the boundary speparately. We begin by studying the interior regularity of solutions. We follow closely the presentation in [9].

To motivate the regularity theory, consider the following simple a priori esti-1mate for the Laplacian. Suppose that $u \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, integrating by parts twice, we get

$$\int (\Delta u)^2 dx = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int (\partial_{ii}^2 u)(\partial_{jj}^2 u) dx$$

$$= -\sum_{i,j=1}^n \int (\partial_{iij}^3 u)(\partial_j^2 u) dx$$

$$= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int (\partial_{ij}^2 u)(\partial_{ij}^2 u) dx$$

$$= \int |D^2 u|^2 dx.$$

Hence, if $-\Delta u = f$, then

$$||D^2u||_{L^2} = ||f||_{L^2}^2.$$

Thus, w can control the L^2 -norm of all second derivatives of u by the L^2 -norm of the Laplacian of u. This estimate suggest that we should have $u \in H^2_{loc}$ if $f, u \in L^2$, as is in fact true. The above computation is, however, not justified for weak solutions that belong to H^1 ; as far as we know from previous existence theory, such solutions may not event posses second-order weak derivatives.

We will consider a PDE

$$(4.34) Lu = f in \Omega$$

where Ω is an open set in \mathbb{R}^n , $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, and l is a uniformly elliptic of the form

(4.35)
$$Lu = -\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \partial_i (a_{ij}\partial_j u).$$

It is straightforward to extend the proof of the regularity theorem to uniformmly elliptic operations thath contain lower-order terms [9].

A function $u \in H^i(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of (4.34)–(4.35) if

$$(4.36) a(u,v) = (f,v) \text{for all } v \in H_0^1(\Omega),$$

References

[9] Some Presentation