Homework 11

123456,AllMight

December 21, 2019

Problem 1

Find t(R) using warshall algorithm for the relation given blow.

$$M_R = \left[egin{array}{cccc} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array}
ight]$$

Solution.

1.
$$i=2, j=1$$
. $M_R = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

2. i=2,j=4.
$$M_R = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

3. i=3,j=1.
$$M_R = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

4. i=3,j=2.
$$M_R = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

5. i=3,j=4.
$$M_R = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

6.
$$i=4, j=1$$
. $M_R = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

7. i=4,j=2.
$$M_R = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

8. i=4,j=3.
$$M_R = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

9. i=4,j=4.
$$M_R = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Theorem 10.5.11: For a relation R on a non-empty set, prove that:

- 1. If R is reflexive, then s(R) and t(R) are both reflexive.
- 2. If R is transitive, then r(R) is transitive. And find a counterexample to show that s(R) is not transitive.

Solution.

Assume that R is a relation on set A.

- 1. For all $x \in A$, we have $\langle x, x \rangle \in R$ since R is reflexive. And we have $R \subseteq s(R)$ and $R \subseteq t(R)$, so for all $x \in A$, $\langle x, x \rangle \in s(R)$ and $\langle x, x \rangle \in t(R)$ still holds. So s(R) and t(R) are both reflexive.
- 2. For any $x, y, z \in A$, if $\langle x, y \rangle \in r(R)$ and $\langle y, z \rangle \in r(R)$.
 - (a) If $x = y \lor y = z$, then we can easily get $\langle x, z \rangle \in r(R)$
 - (b) If $x \neq y \land y \neq z$, as $r(R) = R \cup I_A$, so we have $\langle x, y \rangle \in r(R) \land x \neq y \Rightarrow \langle x, y \rangle \in R$. Similarly we have $\langle y, z \rangle \in R$, so we have

$$\langle x, y \rangle \in R \land \langle y, z \rangle \in R \Rightarrow \langle x, z \rangle \in R \Rightarrow \langle x, z \rangle \in r(R)$$

So r(R) is transitive.

Let $A = \{1, 2\}, R = \{\langle 1, 2 \rangle\}, R$ is transitive, but $s(R) = \{\langle 1, 2 \rangle, \langle 2, 1 \rangle\}$ is not transitive.

An equivalence closure e(R) for releation R is defined by:

- 1. e(R) is an equivalence relation.
- 2. For any equivalence R', if $R \subseteq R'$, then $e(R) \subseteq R'$

For a relation R on a non-empty set, prove that tsr(R) (defined in theorem 10.5.12) is the equivalence closure of R.

Solution.

Assume that R is a relation on A.

Method 1:

1. Firstly we prove that tsr(R) is an equivalence relation.

According to **Theorem 10.5.11**, we know that:

- (a) R is reflexive $\Rightarrow s(R)$ is reflexive $\land t(R)$ is reflexive
- (b) R is symmetric $\Rightarrow t(R)$ is symmetric

Then:

- (a) r(R) is reflexive $\Rightarrow sr(R)$ is reflexive $\Rightarrow tsr(R)$ is reflexive
- (b) sr(R) is symmetric $\Rightarrow tsr(R)$ is symmetric

tsr(R) is transitive by definition.

So we have tsr(R) is an equivalence relation.

2. Then we prove that e(R) = tsr(R)

We have $sr(R) = s(R \cup I_A) = R^{-1} \cup R \cup I_A$. Since e(R) is an equivalence relation and $R \subseteq e(R)$, so $R^{-1} \subseteq e(R)$ and $I_A \subseteq e(R)$. Then we have $sr(R) \subseteq e(R)$

As tsr(R) = t(sr(R)) is the transitive closure of sr(R), $sr(R) \subseteq e(R)$ and e(R) is transitive, according to the definition of transitive closure we have $tsr(R) \subseteq e(R)$.

As e(R) is the equivalence closure of R and tsr(R) is an equivalence relation, we have $e(R) \subseteq tsr(R)$.

So we have e(R) = tsr(R)

Q.E.D

Method 2:

1. Similarly we can prove that tsr(R) is an equivalence relation first.

2. Then we prove that any equivalence relation R', if $R \subseteq R'$ then $tsr(R) \subseteq R'$.

For any $\langle x, y \rangle \in tsr(R)$, which means there's a path from x to y in sr(R), or there exists $p_1, p_2, ..., p_{k-1} \in A$ for certain $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that $\langle x, p_1 \rangle, \langle p_1, p_2 \rangle, ..., \langle p_{k-1}, y \rangle \in sr(R)$.

Let
$$p_0 = x$$
 and $p_k = y$, we have $(\langle p_0, p_1 \rangle \in sr(R)) \wedge (\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle \in sr(R)) \dots \wedge (\langle p_{k-1}, p_k \rangle \in sr(R)) = \bigwedge_{i=0}^{k-1} (\langle p_i, p_{i+1} \rangle \in sr(R))$

So for any $\langle x, y \rangle \in tsr(R)$, we have:

$$\langle x, y \rangle \in tsr(R)$$

$$\Rightarrow (\exists k)(k \in \mathbb{N}^+ \land x = p_0 \land y = p_k \land (\bigwedge_{i=0}^{k-1} \langle p_i, p_{i+1} \rangle \in sr(R))$$

$$\Rightarrow (\exists k)(k \in \mathbb{N}^+ \land x = p_0 \land y = p_k \land (\bigwedge_{i=0}^{k-1} (\langle p_i, p_{i+1} \rangle \in r(R) \lor \langle p_{i+1}, p_i \rangle \in r(R)))$$

$$\Rightarrow (\exists k)(k \in \mathbb{N}^{+} \land x = p_{0} \land y = p_{k} \land (\bigwedge_{i=0}^{k-1} \langle p_{i}, p_{i+1} \rangle \in sr(R)))$$

$$\Rightarrow (\exists k)(k \in \mathbb{N}^{+} \land x = p_{0} \land y = p_{k} \land (\bigwedge_{i=0}^{k-1} (\langle p_{i}, p_{i+1} \rangle \in r(R) \lor \langle p_{i+1}, p_{i} \rangle \in r(R)))$$

$$\Rightarrow (\exists k)(k \in \mathbb{N}^{+} \land x = p_{0} \land y = p_{k} \land (\bigwedge_{i=0}^{k-1} (\langle p_{i}, p_{i+1} \rangle \in I_{A} \lor \langle p_{i}, p_{i+1} \rangle \in R \lor \langle p_{i+1}, p_{i} \rangle \in R))$$

$$\Rightarrow (\exists k)(k \in \mathbb{N}^+ \land x = p_0 \land y = p_k \land (\bigwedge_{i=0}^{k-1} (\langle p_i, p_{i+1} \rangle \in I_A \lor \langle p_i, p_{i+1} \rangle \in R' \lor \langle p_{i+1}, p_i \rangle \in R'))$$

$$\Rightarrow (\exists k)(k \in \mathbb{N}^+ \land x = p_0 \land y = p_k \land (\bigwedge_{i=0}^{k-1} (\langle p_i, p_{i+1} \rangle \in R'))$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle x, y \rangle \in R'$$

So we have $tsr(R) \subseteq R'$.

Q.E.D

Problem 4

Determine wether $f: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ is surjective if:

1.
$$f(m,n) = m + n$$

2.
$$f(m,n) = m - n$$

3.
$$f(m,n) = |m| - |n|$$

4.
$$f(m,n) = m^2 + n^2$$

5.
$$f(m,n) = m^2 - n^2$$

Solution.

- 1. YES
- 2. YES
- 3. YES

4. NO
$$(m^2 + n^2 \neq -1)$$

5. NO
$$(m^2 - n^2 \neq 2)$$

For every function below, answer the questions:

- 1. Whether the function is injective, surjective or bijective. If it is bijective, write down the expression of f^{-1}
- 2. Write down the image of the function and the inverse image of a given set S.
- 3. The relation $R = \{\langle x, y \rangle | x, y \in dom(f) \land f(x) = f(y)\}$ is an equivalence relation on dom(f), find this relation for the function.

All the functions:

1.
$$f: \mathbb{R} \to (0, \infty), f(x) = 2^x, S = [1, 2]$$

2.
$$f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}, f(n) = 2n + 1, S = \{2, 3\}$$

3.
$$f: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{N}, f(x) = |x|, S = \{0, 2\}$$

4.
$$f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, f(n) = \langle n, n+1 \rangle, S = \langle 2, 2 \rangle$$

5.
$$f:[0,1] \to [0,1], f(x) = \frac{2x+1}{4}, S = [0,\frac{1}{2}]$$

Solution.

- 1. (a) Bijective. $f^{-1}(x) = log_2(x)$
 - (b) image: $f[\mathbb{R}] = (0, \infty)$, reverse image $f^{-1}[S] = [0, 1]$
 - (c) $R = I_{\mathbb{R}}$
- 2. (a) Injective.
 - (b) image: $f[\mathbb{N}] = \{\text{positive odd numbers}\}, \text{ reverse image } f^{-1}[S] = \{1\}$
 - (c) $R = I_{\mathbb{N}}$
- 3. (a) Surjective.
 - (b) image: $f[\mathbb{Z}] = \mathbb{N}$, reverse image $f^{-1}[S] = \{-2, 0, 2\}$
 - (c) $R = I_{\mathbb{Z}} \cup \{\langle x, y \rangle | x \in \mathbb{Z} \land y \in \mathbb{Z} \land x = -y\}$
- 4. (a) Injective.
 - (b) image: $f[\mathbb{N}] = \{\langle n, n+1 \rangle | n \in \mathbb{N} \}$, reverse image $f^{-1}[S] = \emptyset$
 - (c) $R = I_{\mathbb{N}}$
- 5. (a) Injective.
 - (b) image: $f[[0,1]] = [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]$, reverse image $f^{-1}[S] = [0, \frac{1}{2}]$
 - (c) $R = I_{[0,1]}$

Let $f, g \in A_B$, and $f \cap g \neq \emptyset$, are $f \cap g$ and $f \cup g$ are functions? If so, prove it. If not, show the counterexample.

Solution.

1. $f \cap g$ is a function.

```
For any x, y_1, y_2 \in dom(f \cap g): \langle x, y_1 \rangle \in f \cap g \wedge \langle x, y_2 \rangle \in f \cap g \Leftrightarrow \langle x, y_1 \rangle \in f \wedge \langle x, y_1 \rangle \in g \wedge \langle x, y_2 \rangle \in f \wedge \langle x, y_2 \rangle \in g \Leftrightarrow (\langle x, y_1 \rangle \in f \wedge \langle x, y_2 \rangle \in f) \wedge (\langle x, y_1 \rangle \in g \wedge \langle x, y_2 \rangle \in g) \Rightarrow y_1 = y2 So f \cap g is a function
```

2. $f \cup g$ is not a function.

Let
$$A=\{1,2\}, B=\{1,2\}, f=\{\langle 1,1\rangle, \langle 2,2\rangle\}, g=\{\langle 1,2\rangle, \langle 2,2\rangle\},$$
 now $f\cup g=\{\langle 1,1\rangle, \langle 1,2\rangle, \langle 2,2\rangle\}$ is not a function.