

```

1  /*****
   *****/
2  Project:      ECON 613 Stata Short Tutorial
3  Created by:  Weijia Qiu
4  Created:     2019/04/15
5  *****/
6
7
8  clear all
9  set more off, perm
10 set scrollbufsize 2000000
11 capture log close
12 log using "/Users/huangguo/Desktop/613/session 2.smcl", replace
13
14 //HW1
15 //Exercise 1
16 set obs 10000
17 set seed 100
18 generate x1=runiform(0,1)
19 generate x2=rgamma(3,2)
20 generate x3=rbinomial(10000,0.3)
21 generate eps=rnormal(2,1)
22 generate Y=0.5+1.2*x1-0.9*x2+0.1*x3+eps
23 egen mean_Y=mean(Y)
24 generate ydum=0
25 replace ydum=1 if Y>mean_Y & Y!=0
26 //Exercise 2
27 //Question 1
28 correlate Y x1
29 // Conclusion: the correlation is 0.047, which is far different
   from 1.2
30 //Question 2 and Question 3 (Calculate standard errors by using
   OLS formula)
31 regress ydum x1 x2 x3
32 // Question 3 (Calculate standrad errors by using bootstrap)
33 bootstrap, reps(49) seed(100): regress ydum x1 x2 x3
34 bootstrap, reps(499) seed(100): regress ydum x1 x2 x3
35 //Exercise 3
36 mlexp ( ydum*lnnormal({b1}*x1 + {b2}*x2 + {b3}*x3+{b0}) + (1-ydum)*
   lnnormal(-({b1}*x1 + {b2}*x2 + {b3}*x3+ {b0})) )
37 ///Exercise 4
38 regress ydum x1 x2 x3
39 //Interpretation: All the coefficients in the linear regression
   model are significant,
40 mlogit ydum x1 x2 x3
41 //Interpretation: All the coefficients in the linear regression
   model are significant,

```

```

42  probit ydum x1 x2 x3
43  //Interpretation: All the coefficients in the linear regression
    model are significant,
44  //Comparision: The coefficients in the probit model and logit
    model are very close except their intercepts. The signs of the
    coefficients are respectively the same in all these three models.
45  //Exercise 5
46  probit ydum x1 x2 x3
47  margins, dydx(*) vce(delta)
48  mlogit ydum x1 x2 x3
49  margins, dydx(*) vce(delta)
50
51
52
53
54  /// HW3
55  //Exercise 1
56  //Q1
57  clear
58  insheet using/Users/huangguo/Desktop/613/hw3/product.csv
59  sort hhid
60  save data1,replace
61  clear
62  insheet using/Users/huangguo/Desktop/613/hw3/demos.csv
63  save data2,replace
64  sort hhid
65  merge 1:m hhid using data1.dta
66  gen n = 4470
67  gen v2 = _n
68  summarize phse_stk ppk_tub ppk_stk pgen_stk pfl_tub phse_stk
    ppk_tub ppk_stk pgen_stk pfl_tub
69  tab choice
70  tab choice income
71
72  //Q2
73  //Use conditional logit model
74  rename (ppk_stk pbb_stk pfl_stk phse_stk pgen_stk pimp_stk pss_tub
    ppk_tub pfl_tub phse_tub)(c1 c2 c3 c4 c5 c6 c7 c8 c9 c10)
75  reshape long c,i(v2) j(price)
76  gen dum = cond(price == choice,1,0)
77  asclogit dum c,case(v2) alternatives(price)
78  est sto c_logit
79  estat mfx
80  //Interpretation Q2.3: Because beta is negative, which indicates
    that the higher the price it is, the less utility that an
    individual will have by choosing the product, and the less likely
    it is that an individual is going to choose the product.
81  //alfa1, alfa3, alfa4, alfa5, alfa9 are all negative, which

```

indicates that compared with the product 1 (PPk\_Stk), product 2,4,5,6,10 ( PBB\_Stk, PHse\_Stk,PGen\_Stk,PImp\_Stk,PHse\_Tub) are less preferred and thus are less likely to be chosen given the same price.

```

82 //alfa2, alfa6,alfa7, alfa8, are all positive, which indicates
    that compare with the product 1 (PPk_Stk), product 3,7,8,9
    (PFL_Stk,PSS_Tub,PPk_Tub,PFL_Tub) are more preferred and thus they
    are more likely to be chosen given the same price.
83
84 //Q3&4
85 asclogit d, case(v2) alternatives(price) casevar(income)
86 est sto m_logit
87 estat mfx
88
89 //Q5
90 asmixlogit dum, random(c) casevar(income) alternatives(price) case(
    v2)
91 estimate store haha
92 drop if choice == 10
93 drop if price == 10
94 asmixlogit dum, random(c) casevar(income) alternative(price) case(
    v2)
95 estimate store hahapartial
96 hausman hahapartial haha, alleqs constant
97 //The result of hausman test shows that we can hardly reject IIA.
    The reason might be that the sample of the product 10 is too small
    to show any significant difference if we remove its observations
98
99
100 //HW4
101 clear
102 insheet using /Users/huangguo/Desktop/613/hw4/Koop-Tobias.csv,names
103 //convert data into panel data
104 xtset personid timetrnd
105 bysort personid: gen t = _n
106 //Represent the panel dimension of wages for 5 randomly selected
    individuals
107 tabulate timetrnd logwage if personid == 3
108 tabulate timetrnd logwage if personid == 13
109 tabulate timetrnd logwage if personid == 133
110 tabulate timetrnd logwage if personid == 1133
111 tabulate timetrnd logwage if personid == 1333
112
113 //Q 2
114 //Random effect model
115 xtreg logwage educ potexper, re
116
117 //Q 3

```

```
118 //Fixed effect model
119 //Between Estimator
120 xtreg logwage educ potexper,be
121 //Within Estimator
122 xtreg logwage educ potexper,fe
123 //First time difference estimator
124 xtset personid t
125 xtides
126 gen logwage_D = D.logwage
127 gen educ_D = D.educ
128 gen potexper_D = D.potexper
129 xtreg logwage_D educ_D potexper_D, fe
130 //Q4
131 mlexp (ln(normalden(logwage, {b0} + {b1}*educ+ {b2}*potexper, {
sigma})))
132 //Q4.3
133 //The standard errors in the previous model are wrong because of
some auto-correlation issues in the model.
134 //In this case, the standard errors we calculated in the previous
model by using ols method can hardly get the robust standard error
of coefficients
135 //Alternative approach: We can use robust ols method to get the
adjusted standard errors of coefficients.We can also use gls to
get robust standard errors of coefficients.
136
137 log close
138
139
```