

23MT2004 - Mathematical Programming

Topic: Geometric Programming: Problems with one-degree of difficulty
with positive coefficients

Dr. V Viswanath Shenoi,
Professor, Integrated Research and Discovery

September 16, 2024

Geometric Programming: Problems with one-degree of difficulty with positive coefficients

AIM OF THE SESSION

- To familiarize students with the basic concept of Geometric Programming.

INSTRUCTIONAL OBJECTIVES

This Session is designed to:

- 1 Introduce Posynomials, and arithmetic mean - geometric mean inequality.
- 2 Teach optimization of posynomial objective functions using Geometric Programming.
- 3 Introduce the concept of degree of difficulty.
- 4 Sketch the method of solving problem with one degree of difficulty.

Geometric Programming: Problems with one-degree of difficulty with positive coefficients (contd.)

LEARNING OUTCOMES

At the end of this session, the students should be able to:

- 1 Optimize unconstrained posynomial objective functions using Geometric Programming.
- 2 Solving optimization problem with one degree of difficulty.

Geometric Programming: an optimization problem

- A constrained optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Minimise } f(x), x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \\ &\text{subject to } h_1(x) \leq \alpha_1, h_2(x) \leq \alpha_2, \dots, h_m(x) \leq \alpha_m \\ &\quad g(x) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

- A Geometric Programming (GP) is a type of mathematical optimization problem characterized by **posynomial** objective function (f) and constraint functions (h and g).

Different types of equations

Type	Function	Comments
Linear	$mx + c$	-
Quadratic	$cx^2 + bx + a$	Non-linear
Polynomial	$c_0 + c_1x^1 + c_2x^2 + c_3x^3 + \dots + c_nx^n$	Non-negative integer exponents
	$c_1x_1^3x_2^5 + \dots + c_nx_1^2x_2^1$	Multiple variables
Posynomial	$c_1x_1^{0.1}x_2^{-0.5} + \dots + c_nx_1^{2.5}x_2^{1/3}x_3^2$	real exponents $c_j \geq 0, x_i \geq 0$

Posynomial

Type	Function	Comments
Posynomial	$c_1 x_1^{0.1} x_2^{-0.5} + \dots + c_n x_1^{2.5} x_2^{1/3} x_3^2$	real exponents $c_j \geq 0, x_i \geq 0$

The functions can be written in a symbolic form:

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{j=n} c_i u_i(x)$$

$$c_j \geq 0, x_i \geq 0 \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$u_i(x) = \prod_{i=1}^m (x_i)^{a_{ij}} \quad a_{ij} \text{ are real numbers}$$

Geometric Programming

- A Geometric Program (GP) is a type of mathematical optimization problem characterized by **posynomial** objective and constraint functions.
- For certain highly nonlinear and nonconvex problems, GP is an extremely efficient and reliable solution technique, that scales gracefully to large-scale problems.
- The local optimum of a GP is the global optimum; this is similar to convex programming.
- GPs have numerous applications such as
 - component sizing in IC design
 - aircraft design
 - maximum likelihood estimation for logistic regression in statistics
 - parameter tuning of positive linear systems in control theory.
- The technique is based on arithmetic mean - geometric mean inequality; hence the name Geometric Programming.

Arithmetic-Geometric mean inequality

$$\frac{u_1 + u_2 + \dots + u_n}{n} \geq (u_1 * u_2 * \dots * u_n)^{\frac{1}{n}}$$
$$u_i \geq 0 \quad \forall i \quad n \in N$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{u_i}{n} \geq \prod_{i=1}^n (u_i)^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

Let $\delta_i = \frac{1}{n} \quad \forall i$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i u_i \geq \prod_{i=1}^n (u_i)^{\delta_i}$$

Arithmetic-Geometric Mean Inequality (contd.)

A generalized version

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i u_i \geq \prod_{i=1}^n u_i^{\delta_i}$$

where $u_i, \delta_i \geq 0, \forall i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i = 1$.

Let $U_i = \delta_i u_i, \forall i$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n U_i \geq \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{U_i}{\delta_i}\right)^{\delta_i}$$

Equality holds good when

$$\frac{U_1}{\delta_1} = \frac{U_2}{\delta_2} = \dots = \frac{U_n}{\delta_n}$$

GP: Optimization of Posynomial Function

$$\text{Minimise } f(x) = 5x_1 + 20x_2 + 10x_1^{-1}x_2^{-1}, x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \quad (1)$$

$$= U_1 + U_2 + U_3 \quad (2)$$

$$(3)$$

We know that, when $U_i = \delta_i u_i, \forall i, \sum_{i=1}^n U_i \geq \prod_{i=1}^n (\frac{U_i}{\delta_i})^{\delta_i}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i = 1$ with $\delta_i \geq 0$

$$\geq (\frac{U_1}{\delta_1})^{\delta_1} (\frac{U_2}{\delta_2})^{\delta_2} (\frac{U_3}{\delta_3})^{\delta_3} \quad (4)$$

$$= (\frac{5x_1}{\delta_1})^{\delta_1} (\frac{20x_2}{\delta_2})^{\delta_2} (\frac{10x_1^{-1}x_2^{-1}}{\delta_3})^{\delta_3} \quad (5)$$

$$= x_1^{\delta_1 - \delta_3} x_2^{\delta_2 - \delta_3} (\frac{5}{\delta_1})^{\delta_1} (\frac{20}{\delta_2})^{\delta_2} (\frac{10}{\delta_3})^{\delta_3} \quad (6)$$

GP: Optimization of Posynomial Function (contd.)

$$f(x) \geq x_1^{\delta_1 - \delta_3} x_2^{\delta_2 - \delta_3} \left(\frac{5}{\delta_1}\right)^{\delta_1} \left(\frac{20}{\delta_2}\right)^{\delta_2} \left(\frac{10}{\delta_3}\right)^{\delta_3} \quad (7)$$

Minimum value of $f(x) \geq$ max value of RHS

So, choose those values of δ_i , such that RHS is independent of x

Let $\delta_1 - \delta_3 = 0$; $\delta_2 - \delta_3 = 0$, $\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_3 = 1$

$$\implies \delta_1 = \delta_2 = \delta_3 = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$f(x) = \left(\frac{5}{\frac{1}{3}}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(\frac{20}{\frac{1}{3}}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(\frac{10}{\frac{1}{3}}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \quad (8)$$

$$f_{min}(x) = 30 \quad (9)$$

GP: Optimization of Posynomial Function (contd.)

We know that, the equality holds good when Eq. 7,

$$\frac{U_1}{\delta_1} = \frac{U_2}{\delta_2} = \dots = \frac{U_n}{\delta_n}$$

So, we have

$$\frac{5x_1}{1/3} = \frac{20x_2}{1/3} = \frac{10x_1^{-1}x_2^{-1}}{1/3}$$

comparing first and second term, we get

$$x_1 = 4x_2$$

comparing second and third term we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2x_2 &= \frac{1}{x_1x_2} \\ \implies 2x_1x_2^2 &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

GP: Optimization of Posynomial Function (contd.)

substituting $x_1 = 4x_2$ in the above equation we get

$$8x_2^3 = 1$$

$$x_2 = \frac{1}{2}$$

now substituting, $x_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ in $x_1 = 4x_2$, we get,

$$x_1 = 2$$

GP: degree of difficulty

Let m denote the number of terms in $f(x)$ and

Let n denote the number of variables.

Then,

$d = m - n - 1$ is defined as the degree of difficulty of Geometric Programming.

If $d < 0$ GP is not applicable.

If $d = 0$ unique solution for deltas.

If $d \geq 1$ multiple solutions.

GP: when degree of difficulty is 1

$$\text{Minimize } f(x) = x^2 + x + \frac{3}{x}, \quad x > 0 \quad (10)$$

$$= U_1 + U_2 + U_3 \quad (11)$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{U_1}{\delta_1}\right)^{\delta_1} \left(\frac{U_2}{\delta_2}\right)^{\delta_2} \left(\frac{U_3}{\delta_3}\right)^{\delta_3}, \text{ where, } \sum_{i=1}^3 \delta_i = 1 \text{ and } \delta_i \geq 0 \quad \forall i \quad (12)$$

$$= \left(\frac{x^2}{\delta_1}\right)^{\delta_1} \left(\frac{x}{\delta_2}\right)^{\delta_2} \left(\frac{3}{x\delta_3}\right)^{\delta_3} \quad (13)$$

$$= x^{2\delta_1 + \delta_2 - \delta_3} \left(\frac{1}{\delta_1}\right)^{\delta_1} \left(\frac{1}{\delta_2}\right)^{\delta_2} \left(\frac{1}{\delta_2}\right)^{\delta_2} \quad (14)$$

GP: when degree of difficulty is 1 (contd.)

$$= x^{2\delta_1 + \delta_2 - \delta_3} \left(\frac{1}{\delta_1}\right)^{\delta_1} \left(\frac{1}{\delta_2}\right)^{\delta_2} \left(\frac{1}{\delta_2}\right)^{\delta_2} \quad (15)$$

Now equating the powers of x to zero and the constant terms, we get

$$2\delta_1 + \delta_2 - \delta_3 = 0 \quad (16)$$

$$\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_3 = 1 \quad (17)$$

solving the above 2 equations, we get,

$$\delta_1 - 2\delta_3 = -1 \quad (18)$$

GP: when degree of difficulty is 1 (contd.)

substituting the above equation in $\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_3 = 1$, we get,

$$2\delta_3 - 1 + \delta_2 + \delta_3 = 1 \quad (19)$$

$$\delta_2 = 2 - 3\delta_3 \quad (20)$$

$$f \geq \left(\frac{1}{\delta_1}\right)^{\delta_1} \left(\frac{1}{\delta_2}\right)^{\delta_2} \left(\frac{1}{\delta_3}\right)^{\delta_3} \quad (21)$$

$$g(\delta_3) = \left(\frac{1}{2\delta_3 - 1}\right)^{2\delta_3 - 1} \left(\frac{1}{2 - 3\delta_3}\right)^{2 - 3\delta_3} \left(\frac{3}{\delta_3}\right)^{\delta_3} \quad (22)$$

- We need to find the maximum of RHS.
- RHS is a function of a single variable (δ_3).
- Take logarithm on both sides, differentiate w.r.t. δ_3 , and set the derivative to zero to find the value of δ_3 for which the RHS is maximum.
- Then compute δ_1 and δ_2 . Then compute f_{min} . Then compute x_{min} .

SELF-ASSESSMENT QUESTIONS

- ① Posynomial functions are characterized by
 - Ⓐ. $x \geq 0$, real valued coefficients and positive exponents.
 - Ⓑ. $x \geq 0$, positive coefficients and positive exponents.
 - Ⓒ. $x \geq 0$, positive coefficients and real valued exponents.
 - Ⓓ. None of the above
- ② Geometric programming gives unique solution when the degree of difficulty is
 - Ⓐ. Negative
 - Ⓑ. Zero
 - Ⓒ. Positive
 - Ⓓ. A negative fraction

TERMINAL QUESTIONS

- 1 Define a posynomial function.
- 2 List the constraints under which GP provides a viable solution.
- 3 Using GP, compute the minimum of the following function:
 - A. $40x_1^{-1}x_2^{-1}x_3^{-1} + 40x_1x_3$
 - B. $f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1x_2x_3 + \frac{x_1}{x_2x_3} + \frac{3x_2}{x_1x_2}$

REFERENCES FOR FURTHER LEARNING OF THE SESSION [Hyperlinks are embedded titles]

Tutorials on Geometric Programming:

- 1 Geometric Programming Tutorial
- 2 Chapter 3: Geometric Programming
- 3 GP Kit

Web links to videos:

- 1 NPTEL online course, Dr. S. K. Gupta, IIT Roorke
- 2 NPTEL online course, Dr. D. Chakraborty, IITKgp