

23MT2014

THEORY OF COMPUTATION

Topic:

GREIBACH NORMAL FORM

Session – 13-b



Department of CSE(H)

AUTOMATA THEORY AND FORMAL LANGUAGES

22CS2215A

Topic:

GREIBACH NORMAL FORM

Session - 18

AIM OF THE SESSION

Aim: To understand and apply Greibach Normal Form (GNF) in the context of context-free languages.

INSTRUCTIONAL OBJECTIVES

This Session is designed to:

1. Convert a given context-free grammar into Greibach Normal Form (GNF) using appropriate transformation rules.
2. Evaluate the correctness of a grammar in GNF.
3. Create a grammar in GNF for a given language.

LEARNING OUTCOMES

At the end of this session, you should be able to:

1. Define Greibach Normal Form (GNF) and explain its significance in the study of context-free languages.
2. Identify the necessary steps and transformation rules to convert a given context-free grammar into GNF.
3. Apply the transformation rules to convert a context-free grammar into GNF.

Greinbach Normal Form

All productions have form:

$$A \rightarrow a V_1 V_2 \cdots V_k \quad k \geq 0$$

symbol

variables

Examples:

$$S \rightarrow cAB$$

$$A \rightarrow aA \mid bB \mid b$$

$$B \rightarrow b$$

Greinbach
Normal Form

$$S \rightarrow abSb$$

$$S \rightarrow aa$$

Not Greinbach
Normal Form

Conversion to Greinbach Normal Form:

$$S \rightarrow abSb$$

$$S \rightarrow aa$$



$$S \rightarrow aT_bST_b$$

$$S \rightarrow aT_a$$

$$T_a \rightarrow a$$

$$T_b \rightarrow b$$

Greinbach
Normal Form

Theorem: For any context-free grammar
(which doesn't produce λ)
there is an equivalent grammar
in Greinbach Normal Form

Observations

- Greinbach normal forms are very good for parsing
- It is hard to find the Greinbach normal form of any context-free grammar

Question 1:

Which of the following is true about Greibach Normal Form (GNF)?

- A) It is a variant of Chomsky Normal Form.
- B) It is a variant of Backus-Naur Form.
- C) It is a variant of Extended Backus-Naur Form.
- D) It is a variant of Greibach Backus-Naur Form.

Answer:

- D) It is a variant of Greibach Backus-Naur Form.

Question 2:

What is the distinguishing feature of Greibach Normal Form?

- A) All productions are in the form $A \rightarrow a\alpha$, where a is a terminal and α is a sequence of non-terminals and terminals.
- B) All productions are in the form $A \rightarrow aB$, where a is a terminal and B is a non-terminal.
- C) All productions are in the form $A \rightarrow \alpha$, where α is a sequence of non-terminals and terminals.
- D) All productions are in the form $A \rightarrow \epsilon$, where A is a non-terminal.

- Answer: A) All productions are in the form $A \rightarrow a\alpha$, where a is a terminal and α is a sequence of non-terminals and terminals.

Question 3:

What is the benefit of Greibach Normal Form?

- A) It allows for more compact representation of context-free grammars.
- B) It ensures that every non-terminal can derive at least one terminal symbol.
- C) It simplifies the parsing process and improves parsing efficiency.
- D) It allows for recursive definitions of non-terminals.

Answer: C) It simplifies the parsing process and improves parsing efficiency.

mcq

- Question 4:
- Which type of grammars can be easily converted to Greibach Normal Form?
- A) Context-free grammars.
- B) Regular grammars.
- C) Extended Backus-Naur Form grammars.
- D) Chomsky Normal Form grammars.
- Answer:
- A) Context-free grammars.

Terminal question

1. How does the conversion of a Context-Free Grammar (CFG) to Chomsky Normal Form (CNF) simplify the grammar and improve parsing efficiency? Provide examples to support your answer.
2. Explain the significance of converting CFG to Greibach Normal Form (GNF) in the context of compiler optimization. How does GNF facilitate advanced code generation and optimization techniques?
3. Discuss the advantages and disadvantages of using CNF and GNF as intermediate representations in natural language processing tasks. How do these normal forms impact parsing algorithms and overall analysis efficiency?
4. Compare and contrast the conversion processes of CFG to CNF and CFG to GNF. What are the key differences between these two normal forms and their applications in optimization?
5. In what scenarios would converting a CFG to CNF be particularly beneficial? Provide real-world examples where CNF conversion has improved parsing efficiency and facilitated syntactic analysis.
6. Explore the relationship between CFG conversion and grammar optimization. How does converting CFG to CNF or GNF open up possibilities for additional grammar optimizations? Provide examples of optimization techniques that can be applied after the conversion.
7. Investigate the impact of converting CFG to CNF or GNF on the performance of natural language processing applications. How does the choice of normal form affect the accuracy and speed of tasks like machine translation, sentiment analysis, and information extraction?
8. Discuss the role of CFG conversion in the optimization of compiler processes. How does transforming a CFG to CNF or GNF enhance code generation and enable advanced optimization techniques like loop optimization, instruction scheduling, and register allocation?
9. Explore the trade-offs involved in converting a CFG to CNF or GNF. While these normal forms improve efficiency and enable optimizations, they can also result in increased grammar complexity. Discuss the balance between optimization benefits and the potential drawbacks of the conversion.
10. Analyse contemporary research or practical applications where the conversion of CFG to CNF or GNF has played a crucial role. Highlight the specific benefits achieved through the conversion and the impact on the overall performance of the analysed systems or applications.

THANK YOU



Team – TOC