

Complete C# Guide: From Basics to Advanced for .NET Framework 4.7.2

Table of Contents

1. [Introduction](#)
2. [Environment Setup](#)
3. [Basic C# Concepts](#)
4. [Object-Oriented Programming](#)
5. [Collections and Generics](#)
6. [Delegates and Events](#)
7. [LINQ \(Language Integrated Query\)](#)
8. [Asynchronous Programming](#)
9. [Memory Management and Performance](#)
10. [Reflection and Attributes](#)
11. [Interop and Unsafe Code](#)
12. [Exception Handling](#)
13. [Best Practices and Coding Standards](#)

Introduction

This comprehensive guide covers C# programming from fundamentals to advanced concepts, specifically targeting **.NET Framework 4.7.2** with **C# 7.3** language features. Each topic includes explanations of why features exist, when to use them versus alternatives, and both "with" and "without" examples to demonstrate the differences.

Why .NET Framework 4.7.2?

.NET Framework 4.7.2 provides a stable, mature platform with extensive API support and is widely deployed in enterprise environments. It supports **C# 7.3** language features, offering modern programming constructs while maintaining compatibility with existing infrastructure.

Environment Setup

Why Environment Setup Matters

Proper environment configuration ensures consistent development experience, proper IntelliSense support, and compatibility with target deployment environments.

Setting Up .NET Framework 4.7.2 Project

With Proper Setup:

```
&lt;Project Sdk="Microsoft.NET.Sdk"&gt;
  &lt;PropertyGroup&gt;
    &lt;TargetFramework&gt;net472&lt;/TargetFramework&gt;
    &lt;LangVersion&gt;7.3&lt;/LangVersion&gt;
  &lt;/PropertyGroup&gt;
&lt;/Project&gt;
```

Without Proper Setup (Problems):

```
&lt;Project Sdk="Microsoft.NET.Sdk"&gt;
  &lt;PropertyGroup&gt;
    &lt;TargetFramework&gt;net472&lt;/TargetFramework&gt;
  &lt;/PropertyGroup&gt;
&lt;/Project&gt;
```

When to Use vs. Alternatives

- **Use .NET Framework 4.7.2:** When targeting Windows environments, using WPF/WinForms, or maintaining legacy systems
- **Alternative (.NET Core/.NET 5+):** When building cross-platform applications or new cloud-native solutions

Basic C# Concepts

Variables and Data Types

Why Data Types Matter

Strong typing prevents runtime errors, improves performance through optimized memory layout, and provides better IntelliSense support during development.

With Explicit Types:

```
// Clear intent, compile-time safety
int age = 25;
```

```
string name = "John";
decimal salary = 50000.50m;
bool isActive = true;
DateTime joinDate = new DateTime(2023, 1, 15);
```

Without Explicit Types (Using var):

```
// Type inference - compiler determines type
var age = 25;           // int
var name = "John";      // string
var salary = 50000.50m; // decimal
var isActive = true;    // bool
var joinDate = new DateTime(2023, 1, 15); // DateTime
```

When to Use vs. Alternatives

- **Use explicit types:** When type clarity is important for readability or when working with nullable types
- **Use var:** When type is obvious from assignment, reduces verbosity in complex generic types

Control Flow Structures

Why Control Flow Matters

Control structures enable conditional logic, iteration, and program flow management, forming the foundation of algorithmic thinking.

With Modern Switch (C# 7.0+):

```
// Pattern matching switch expression (C# 7.0)
string GetGradeDescription(char grade)
{
    switch (grade)
    {
        case 'A':
            return "Excellent";
        case 'B':
            return "Good";
        case 'C':
            return "Average";
        case 'D':
            return "Below Average";
        case 'F':
            return "Fail";
        default:
            return "Invalid Grade";
    }
}
```

Without Switch (Using If-Else):

```
// Traditional if-else approach
string GetGradeDescription(char grade)
{
    if (grade == 'A')
        return "Excellent";
    else if (grade == 'B')
        return "Good";
    else if (grade == 'C')
        return "Average";
    else if (grade == 'D')
        return "Below Average";
    else if (grade == 'F')
        return "Fail";
    else
        return "Invalid Grade";
}
```

When to Use vs. Alternatives

- **Use switch:** When comparing single variable against multiple constant values
- **Use if-else:** When conditions involve complex expressions or range comparisons

Methods and Parameters

Why Methods Matter

Methods enable code reusability, improve maintainability, and implement the DRY (Don't Repeat Yourself) principle.

With Method Parameters:

```
// Reusable, testable, clear intent
public decimal CalculateTotal(decimal baseAmount, decimal taxRate, decimal discount)
{
    decimal discountAmount = baseAmount * (discount / 100);
    decimal discountedAmount = baseAmount - discountAmount;
    decimal tax = discountedAmount * (taxRate / 100);
    return discountedAmount + tax;
}

// Usage
decimal total = CalculateTotal(100m, 8.5m, 10m);
```

Without Methods (Inline Code):

```
// Repeated, hard to test, error-prone
decimal baseAmount = 100m;
decimal taxRate = 8.5m;
```

```
decimal discount = 10m;

decimal discountAmount = baseAmount * (discount / 100);
decimal discountedAmount = baseAmount - discountAmount;
decimal tax = discountedAmount * (taxRate / 100);
decimal total = discountedAmount + tax;

// If needed elsewhere, code must be duplicated
```

When to Use vs. Alternatives

- **Use methods:** For any logic used more than once, complex calculations, or when testing is required
- **Use inline code:** Only for truly one-off, simple operations

Object-Oriented Programming

Classes and Objects

Why Classes Matter

Classes provide encapsulation, data hiding, and blueprint for creating objects, enabling scalable and maintainable software design.

With Classes:

```
public class BankAccount
{
    private decimal _balance;
    private readonly string _accountNumber;

    public BankAccount(string accountNumber, decimal initialBalance)
    {
        _accountNumber = accountNumber;
        _balance = initialBalance >= 0 ? initialBalance : 0;
    }

    public string AccountNumber => _accountNumber;
    public decimal Balance => _balance;

    public bool Deposit(decimal amount)
    {
        if (amount <= 0) return false;
        _balance += amount;
        return true;
    }

    public bool Withdraw(decimal amount)
    {
        if (amount <= 0 || amount > _balance) return false;
        _balance -= amount;
    }
}
```

```

        _balance -= amount;
        return true;
    }

// Usage
var account = new BankAccount("12345", 1000m);
account.Deposit(500m);
account.Withdraw(200m);
Console.WriteLine($"Balance: {account.Balance}"); // Balance: 1300

```

Without Classes (Procedural Approach):

```

// Data and behavior separated, no encapsulation
public struct AccountData
{
    public string AccountNumber;
    public decimal Balance;
}

public static class AccountOperations
{
    public static bool Deposit(ref AccountData account, decimal amount)
    {
        if (amount <= 0) return false;
        account.Balance += amount;
        return true;
    }

    public static bool Withdraw(ref AccountData account, decimal amount)
    {
        if (amount <= 0 || amount > account.Balance) return false;
        account.Balance -= amount;
        return true;
    }
}

// Usage - more verbose, no data protection
var account = new AccountData { AccountNumber = "12345", Balance = 1000m };
AccountOperations.Deposit(ref account, 500m);
AccountOperations.Withdraw(ref account, 200m);
// Balance field is directly accessible - no protection
account.Balance = -1000m; // This shouldn't be allowed!

```

When to Use vs. Alternatives

- **Use classes:** When you need encapsulation, data validation, or complex state management
- **Use structs:** For simple value types, immutable data, or performance-critical scenarios with small data

Inheritance and Polymorphism

Why Inheritance Matters

Inheritance enables code reuse, establishes "is-a" relationships, and supports polymorphism for flexible designs.

With Inheritance:

```
public abstract class Shape
{
    protected string _name;

    protected Shape(string name)
    {
        _name = name;
    }

    public string Name => _name;
    public abstract double CalculateArea();

    public virtual void Display()
    {
        Console.WriteLine($"{_name}: Area = {CalculateArea():F2}");
    }
}

public class Rectangle : Shape
{
    private double _width, _height;

    public Rectangle(double width, double height) : base("Rectangle")
    {
        _width = width;
        _height = height;
    }

    public override double CalculateArea()
    {
        return _width * _height;
    }
}

public class Circle : Shape
{
    private double _radius;

    public Circle(double radius) : base("Circle")
    {
        _radius = radius;
    }

    public override double CalculateArea()
    {
```

```

        return Math.PI * _radius * _radius;
    }
}

// Usage - polymorphism in action
Shape[] shapes = {
    new Rectangle(5, 10),
    new Circle(7),
    new Rectangle(3, 4)
};

foreach (Shape shape in shapes)
{
    shape.Display(); // Calls appropriate implementation
}

```

Without Inheritance (Separate Classes):

```

public class RectangleShape
{
    private double _width, _height;

    public RectangleShape(double width, double height)
    {
        _width = width;
        _height = height;
    }

    public double CalculateArea()
    {
        return _width * _height;
    }

    public void Display()
    {
        Console.WriteLine($"Rectangle: Area = {CalculateArea():F2}");
    }
}

public class CircleShape
{
    private double _radius;

    public CircleShape(double radius)
    {
        _radius = radius;
    }

    public double CalculateArea()
    {
        return Math.PI * _radius * _radius;
    }

    public void Display()
    {

```

```

        Console.WriteLine($"Circle: Area = {CalculateArea():F2}");
    }
}

// Usage - no polymorphism, must handle each type separately
var rectangles = new List<RectangleShape> { new RectangleShape(5, 10), new RectangleShape(3, 7) };
var circles = new List<CircleShape> { new CircleShape(7) };

foreach (var rect in rectangles)
{
    rect.Display();
}

foreach (var circle in circles)
{
    circle.Display();
}

```

When to Use vs. Alternatives

- **Use inheritance:** When you have clear "is-a" relationships and need polymorphic behavior
- **Use composition:** When you have "has-a" relationships or need multiple inheritance-like behavior

Interfaces

Why Interfaces Matter

Interfaces define contracts, enable multiple inheritance of behavior, support dependency inversion, and improve testability.

With Interfaces:

```

public interface IRepository<T>
{
    void Add(T item);
    T GetById(int id);
    IEnumerable<T> GetAll();
    void Update(T item);
    void Delete(int id);
}

public interface ILogger
{
    void Log(string message);
    void LogError(string error);
}

public class CustomerService
{
    private readonly IRepository<Customer> _repository;
    private readonly ILogger _logger;
}

```

```

public CustomerService(IRepository<Customer> repository, ILogger logger)
{
    _repository = repository;
    _logger = logger;
}

public void CreateCustomer(Customer customer)
{
    try
    {
        _repository.Add(customer);
        _logger.Log($"Customer {customer.Name} created successfully");
    }
    catch (Exception ex)
    {
        _logger.LogError($"Error creating customer: {ex.Message}");
        throw;
    }
}

// Multiple implementations possible
public class DatabaseRepository : IRepository<Customer>
{
    public void Add(Customer item) { /* Database logic */ }
    public Customer GetById(int id) { /* Database logic */ return null; }
    public IEnumerable<Customer> GetAll() { /* Database logic */ return null; }
    public void Update(Customer item) { /* Database logic */ }
    public void Delete(int id) { /* Database logic */ }
}

public class InMemoryRepository : IRepository<Customer>
{
    private List<Customer> _customers = new List<Customer>();

    public void Add(Customer item) { _customers.Add(item); }
    public Customer GetById(int id) { return _customers.FirstOrDefault(c => c.Id == id); }
    public IEnumerable<Customer> GetAll() { return _customers; }
    public void Update(Customer item) { /* Update logic */ }
    public void Delete(int id) { _customers.RemoveAll(c => c.Id == id); }
}

```

Without Interfaces (Concrete Dependencies):

```

public class DatabaseRepository
{
    public void AddCustomer(Customer customer) { /* Database logic */ }
    public Customer GetCustomerById(int id) { /* Database logic */ return null; }
    public List<Customer> GetAllCustomers() { /* Database logic */ return null; }
    public void UpdateCustomer(Customer customer) { /* Database logic */ }
    public void DeleteCustomer(int id) { /* Database logic */ }
}

public class FileLogger

```

```

{
    public void WriteLog(string message) { /* File logging logic */ }
    public void WriteError(string error) { /* File error logging */ }
}

public class CustomerService
{
    private readonly DatabaseRepository _repository; // Tightly coupled
    private readonly FileLogger _logger; // Tightly coupled

    public CustomerService()
    {
        _repository = new DatabaseRepository(); // Hard to test
        _logger = new FileLogger(); // Hard to test
    }

    public void CreateCustomer(Customer customer)
    {
        try
        {
            _repository.AddCustomer(customer);
            _logger.WriteLine($"Customer {customer.Name} created successfully");
        }
        catch (Exception ex)
        {
            _logger.WriteLine($"Error creating customer: {ex.Message}");
            throw;
        }
    }
}

```

When to Use vs. Alternatives

- **Use interfaces:** When you need abstraction, dependency injection, or multiple implementations
- **Use abstract classes:** When you need to share implementation code among derived classes
- **Use concrete classes:** When you have only one implementation and no abstraction needs

Collections and Generics

Generic Collections

Why Generics Matter

Generics provide type safety, eliminate boxing/unboxing, improve performance, and enable code reuse across different types.

With Generics:

```

// Type-safe, no boxing, compile-time checking
public class GenericStack<T>
{
    private List<T> _items = new List<T>();

    public void Push(T item)
    {
        _items.Add(item);
    }

    public T Pop()
    {
        if (_items.Count == 0)
            throw new InvalidOperationException("Stack is empty");

        T item = _items[_items.Count - 1];
        _items.RemoveAt(_items.Count - 1);
        return item;
    }

    public int Count => _items.Count;
}

// Usage - type safe
var intStack = new GenericStack<int>();
intStack.Push(10);
intStack.Push(20);
int value = intStack.Pop(); // No casting needed

var stringStack = new GenericStack<string>();
stringStack.Push("Hello");
stringStack.Push("World");
string text = stringStack.Pop(); // No casting needed

```

Without Generics (Using Object):

```

// Type-unsafe, boxing/unboxing, runtime errors possible
public class ObjectStack
{
    private List<object> _items = new List<object>();

    public void Push(object item)
    {
        _items.Add(item);
    }

    public object Pop()
    {
        if (_items.Count == 0)
            throw new InvalidOperationException("Stack is empty");

        object item = _items[_items.Count - 1];
        _items.RemoveAt(_items.Count - 1);
        return item;
    }
}

```

```

    }

    public int Count => _items.Count;
}

// Usage - requires casting, potential runtime errors
var intStack = new ObjectStack();
intStack.Push(10); // Boxing occurs
intStack.Push(20); // Boxing occurs
int value = (int)intStack.Pop(); // Unboxing + casting required

var stringStack = new ObjectStack();
stringStack.Push("Hello");
stringStack.Push("World");
string text = (string)stringStack.Pop(); // Casting required

// Runtime error potential
intStack.Push("Not a number"); // Compiles but wrong!
int badValue = (int)intStack.Pop(); // InvalidCastException at runtime!

```

When to Use vs. Alternatives

- **Use generics:** For type safety, performance, and reusability across multiple types
- **Use object:** Only when you truly need to store different types together (rare)

List vs Array vs Dictionary

Why Different Collections Matter

Different collections have different performance characteristics, memory usage, and use cases.

With List<T>:

```

// Dynamic sizing, rich API, good for most scenarios
public class StudentManager
{
    private List<Student> _students = new List<Student>();

    public void AddStudent(Student student)
    {
        _students.Add(student); // O(1) amortized
    }

    public void RemoveStudent(int studentId)
    {
        _students.RemoveAll(s => s.Id == studentId); // O(n)
    }

    public Student FindStudent(int studentId)
    {
        return _students.FirstOrDefault(s => s.Id == studentId); // O(n)
    }
}

```

```

public List<Student> GetStudentsByGrade(char grade)
{
    return _students.Where(s => s.Grade == grade).ToList(); // O(n)
}

```

With Array:

```

// Fixed size, best performance for known-size scenarios
public class FixedStudentManager
{
    private Student[] _students;
    private int _count = 0;

    public FixedStudentManager(int capacity)
    {
        _students = new Student[capacity];
    }

    public bool AddStudent(Student student)
    {
        if (_count >= _students.Length) return false;
        _students[_count++] = student; // O(1)
        return true;
    }

    public Student GetStudentAt(int index)
    {
        if (index < 0 || index >= _count) return null;
        return _students[index]; // O(1)
    }

    public Student FindStudent(int studentId)
    {
        for (int i = 0; i < _count; i++) // O(n)
        {
            if (_students[i].Id == studentId)
                return _students[i];
        }
        return null;
    }
}

```

With Dictionary< TKey, TValue >:

```

// Fast lookup by key, best for key-value scenarios
public class OptimizedStudentManager
{
    private Dictionary<int, Student> _students = new Dictionary<int, Student>();

    public void AddStudent(Student student)
    {
        _students[student.Id] = student; // O(1) average
    }
}

```

```

public void RemoveStudent(int studentId)
{
    _students.Remove(studentId); // O(1) average
}

public Student FindStudent(int studentId)
{
    _students.TryGetValue(studentId, out Student student); // O(1) average
    return student;
}

public List<Student> GetStudentsByGrade(char grade)
{
    return _students.Values.Where(s => s.Grade == grade).ToList(); // O(n)
}

```

When to Use vs. Alternatives

- **Use List<T>**: For dynamic collections with occasional lookups and modifications
- **Use Array**: For fixed-size collections with index-based access and maximum performance
- **Use Dictionary<K,V>**: For fast key-based lookups and when you have natural keys

Delegates and Events

Delegates

Why Delegates Matter

Delegates enable functional programming concepts, callback mechanisms, and loose coupling between components.

With Delegates:

```

// Flexible, reusable, supports multiple subscribers
public delegate void ProcessDataDelegate(string data);
public delegate bool ValidateDataDelegate(string data);

public class DataProcessor
{
    public event ProcessDataDelegate DataProcessed;

    public void ProcessData(string data, ValidateDataDelegate validator)
    {
        if (validator(data))
        {
            // Process the data
            Console.WriteLine($"Processing: {data}");
        }
    }
}

```

```

        // Notify subscribers
        DataProcessed?.Invoke(data);
    }
    else
    {
        Console.WriteLine($"Invalid data: {data}");
    }
}

// Usage - flexible validation strategies
var processor = new DataProcessor();

// Subscribe to events
processor.DataProcessed += (data) => Console.WriteLine($"Logged: {data}");
processor.DataProcessed += (data) => Console.WriteLine($"Cached: {data}");

// Different validation strategies
ValidateDataDelegate emailValidator = (data) => data.Contains("@");
ValidateDataDelegate lengthValidator = (data) => data.Length > 5;

processor.ProcessData("test@email.com", emailValidator);
processor.ProcessData("short", lengthValidator);

```

Without Delegates (Interface-based):

```

// More rigid, requires class definitions
public interface IDataValidator
{
    bool Validate(string data);
}

public interface IDataProcessor
{
    void OnDataProcessed(string data);
}

public class EmailValidator : IDataValidator
{
    public bool Validate(string data)
    {
        return data.Contains("@");
    }
}

public class LengthValidator : IDataValidator
{
    public bool Validate(string data)
    {
        return data.Length > 5;
    }
}

public class Logger : IDataProcessor
{

```

```

        public void OnDataProcessed(string data)
    {
        Console.WriteLine($"Logged: {data}");
    }
}

public class Cache : IDataProcessor
{
    public void OnDataProcessed(string data)
    {
        Console.WriteLine($"Cached: {data}");
    }
}

public class DataProcessor
{
    private List<IDataProcessor> _processors = new List<IDataProcessor>();

    public void Subscribe(IDataProcessor processor)
    {
        _processors.Add(processor);
    }

    public void ProcessData(string data, IDataValidator validator)
    {
        if (validator.Validate(data))
        {
            Console.WriteLine($"Processing: {data}");

            foreach (var processor in _processors)
            {
                processor.OnDataProcessed(data);
            }
        }
    }
}

// Usage - requires class instances
var processor = new DataProcessor();
processor.Subscribe(new Logger());
processor.Subscribe(new Cache());

processor.ProcessData("test@email.com", new EmailValidator());
processor.ProcessData("short", new LengthValidator());

```

When to Use vs. Alternatives

- **Use delegates:** For simple callbacks, events, and functional programming scenarios
- **Use interfaces:** When you need more complex contracts or multiple methods

Events

Why Events Matter

Events provide a secure way to implement the observer pattern, ensuring proper encapsulation and preventing external manipulation.

With Events:

```
public class OrderService
{
    // Event - encapsulated, can only be triggered from inside class
    public event Action<Order> OrderCreated;
    public event Action<Order> OrderCancelled;

    public void CreateOrder(Order order)
    {
        // Business logic
        order.Status = OrderStatus.Created;
        order.CreatedDate = DateTime.Now;

        // Safely raise event
        OrderCreated?.Invoke(order);
    }

    public void CancelOrder(Order order)
    {
        order.Status = OrderStatus.Cancelled;
        order.CancelledDate = DateTime.Now;

        OrderCancelled?.Invoke(order);
    }
}

// Subscribers
public class EmailService
{
    public void Subscribe(OrderService orderService)
    {
        orderService.OrderCreated += SendOrderConfirmation;
        orderService.OrderCancelled += SendCancellationNotice;
    }

    private void SendOrderConfirmation(Order order)
    {
        Console.WriteLine($"Email: Order {order.Id} confirmed");
    }

    private void SendCancellationNotice(Order order)
    {
        Console.WriteLine($"Email: Order {order.Id} cancelled");
    }
}
```

```
// Usage
var orderService = new OrderService();
var emailService = new EmailService();
emailService.Subscribe(orderService);

var order = new Order { Id = 1, CustomerName = "John Doe" };
orderService.CreateOrder(order); // Email automatically sent
```

Without Events (Public Delegates):

```
public class OrderService
{
    // Public delegate - can be manipulated externally
    public Action<Order> OrderCreated;
    public Action<Order> OrderCancelled;

    public void CreateOrder(Order order)
    {
        order.Status = OrderStatus.Created;
        order.CreatedDate = DateTime.Now;

        OrderCreated?.Invoke(order);
    }

    public void CancelOrder(Order order)
    {
        order.Status = OrderStatus.Cancelled;
        order.CancelledDate = DateTime.Now;

        OrderCancelled?.Invoke(order);
    }
}

// Problem: External code can manipulate delegates
var orderService = new OrderService();

// This is dangerous - external code can:
orderService.OrderCreated = null; // Remove all subscribers
orderService.OrderCreated = (order) => { /* malicious code */ }; // Replace with malic
orderService.OrderCreated(new Order()); // Trigger events inappropriately
```

When to Use vs. Alternatives

- **Use events:** For notifications where you need to protect the subscriber list
- **Use public delegates:** Rarely - only when external triggering is intentionally needed
- **Use interfaces with observer pattern:** For more complex observer scenarios

LINQ (Language Integrated Query)

Query Syntax vs Method Syntax

Why LINQ Matters

LINQ provides a unified way to query data from different sources, improves code readability, and enables functional programming paradigms.

With LINQ Query Syntax:

```
public class StudentAnalyzer
{
    private List<Student> _students;

    public StudentAnalyzer(List<Student> students)
    {
        _students = students;
    }

    public IEnumerable<StudentSummary> GetTopStudentsByGrade()
    {
        // Query syntax - SQL-like, readable for complex queries
        var result = from student in _students
                     where student.GPA >= 3.5
                     group student by student.Major into majorGroup
                     orderby majorGroup.Key
                     select new StudentSummary
                     {
                         Major = majorGroup.Key,
                         StudentCount = majorGroup.Count(),
                         AverageGPA = majorGroup.Average(s => s.GPA),
                         TopStudent = majorGroup.OrderByDescending(s => s.GPA).First()
                     };

        return result;
    }
}
```

With LINQ Method Syntax:

```
public class StudentAnalyzer
{
    private List<Student> _students;

    public StudentAnalyzer(List<Student> students)
    {
        _students = students;
    }

    public IEnumerable<StudentSummary> GetTopStudentsByGrade()
    {
```

```

// Method syntax - fluent, composable
var result = _students
    .Where(student => student.GPA >= 3.5)
    .GroupBy(student => student.Major)
    .OrderBy(majorGroup => majorGroup.Key)
    .Select(majorGroup => new StudentSummary
    {
        Major = majorGroup.Key,
        StudentCount = majorGroup.Count(),
        AverageGPA = majorGroup.Average(s => s.GPA),
        TopStudent = majorGroup.OrderByDescending(s => s.GPA).First()
    });

    return result;
}
}

```

Without LINQ (Traditional Loops):

```

public class StudentAnalyzer
{
    private List<Student> _students;

    public StudentAnalyzer(List<Student> students)
    {
        _students = students;
    }

    public List<StudentSummary> GetTopStudentsByGrade()
    {
        // Traditional approach - verbose, error-prone
        var topStudents = new List<Student>();

        // Filter students with GPA >= 3.5
        foreach (var student in _students)
        {
            if (student.GPA >= 3.5)
            {
                topStudents.Add(student);
            }
        }

        // Group by major
        var majorGroups = new Dictionary<string, List<Student>>();
        foreach (var student in topStudents)
        {
            if (!majorGroups.ContainsKey(student.Major))
            {
                majorGroups[student.Major] = new List<Student>();
            }
            majorGroups[student.Major].Add(student);
        }

        // Create summary and sort
        var summaries = new List<StudentSummary>();

```

```

foreach (var majorGroup in majorGroups)
{
    var students = majorGroup.Value;
    var summary = new StudentSummary
    {
        Major = majorGroup.Key,
        StudentCount = students.Count,
        AverageGPA = CalculateAverage(students),
        TopStudent = FindTopStudent(students)
    };
    summaries.Add(summary);
}

// Sort by major
summaries.Sort((x, y) => x.Major.CompareTo(y.Major));

return summaries;
}

private double CalculateAverage(List<Student> students)
{
    double sum = 0;
    foreach (var student in students)
    {
        sum += student.GPA;
    }
    return sum / students.Count;
}

private Student FindTopStudent(List<Student> students)
{
    Student topStudent = students[0];
    foreach (var student in students)
    {
        if (student.GPA > topStudent.GPA)
        {
            topStudent = student;
        }
    }
    return topStudent;
}

```

When to Use vs. Alternatives

- **Use LINQ query syntax:** For complex queries involving joins, groups, and multiple from clauses
- **Use LINQ method syntax:** For simple filtering, mapping, and when chaining operations
- **Use traditional loops:** For simple operations or when maximum performance is critical

Deferred vs Immediate Execution

Why Execution Timing Matters

Understanding when LINQ queries execute affects performance, memory usage, and data consistency.

With Deferred Execution:

```
public class OrderAnalyzer
{
    private List<Order> _orders = new List<Order>();

    public IEnumerable<Order> GetRecentOrders()
    {
        Console.WriteLine("Setting up query...");

        // Query is not executed here - deferred
        var recentOrders = _orders.Where(order => order.OrderDate >= DateTime.Now);

        Console.WriteLine("Query defined, not executed yet");
        return recentOrders;
    }

    public void DemonstrateDeferred()
    {
        // Add initial orders
        _orders.Add(new Order { Id = 1, OrderDate = DateTime.Now.AddDays(-10) });
        _orders.Add(new Order { Id = 2, OrderDate = DateTime.Now.AddDays(-40) });

        // Get query (not executed)
        var recentOrders = GetRecentOrders();

        // Add more orders after query definition
        _orders.Add(new Order { Id = 3, OrderDate = DateTime.Now.AddDays(-5) });

        Console.WriteLine("Enumerating results...");
        // Query executes NOW - includes order #3
        foreach (var order in recentOrders)
        {
            Console.WriteLine($"Order {order.Id} from {order.OrderDate:yyyy-MM-dd}");
        }
        // Output includes Order #3 even though it was added after query definition
    }
}
```

With Immediate Execution:

```
public class OrderAnalyzer
{
    private List<Order> _orders = new List<Order>();

    public List<Order> GetRecentOrders()
```

```

{
    Console.WriteLine("Setting up and executing query...");

    // Query executes immediately due to ToList()
    var recentOrders = _orders.Where(order => order.OrderDate >= DateTime.Now);

    Console.WriteLine("Query executed and results materialized");
    return recentOrders;
}

public void DemonstrateImmediate()
{
    // Add initial orders
    _orders.Add(new Order { Id = 1, OrderDate = DateTime.Now.AddDays(-10) });
    _orders.Add(new Order { Id = 2, OrderDate = DateTime.Now.AddDays(-40) });

    // Get materialized results (executed immediately)
    var recentOrders = GetRecentOrders();

    // Add more orders after query execution
    _orders.Add(new Order { Id = 3, OrderDate = DateTime.Now.AddDays(-5) });

    Console.WriteLine("Displaying results...");
    // Results are already materialized - doesn't include order #3
    foreach (var order in recentOrders)
    {
        Console.WriteLine($"Order {order.Id} from {order.OrderDate:yyyy-MM-dd}");
    }
    // Output does NOT include Order #3 because query was already executed
}
}

```

When to Use vs. Alternatives

- **Use deferred execution:** When you want fresh data at enumeration time and don't need immediate results
- **Use immediate execution:** When you need a snapshot of data or when the underlying data might change

Asynchronous Programming

async/await vs Task.ContinueWith

Why Asynchronous Programming Matters

Asynchronous programming improves application responsiveness, scalability, and resource utilization by avoiding thread blocking.

With async/await:

```

public class DataService
{
    private readonly HttpClient _httpClient = new HttpClient();

    // Clean, readable, sequential-looking async code
    public async Task<UserProfile> GetUserProfileAsync(int userId)
    {
        try
        {
            // Each await returns control to caller until operation completes
            var userResponse = await _httpClient.GetStringAsync($"api/users/{userId}");
            var user = JsonConvert.DeserializeObject<User>(userResponse);

            var preferencesResponse = await _httpClient.GetStringAsync($"api/users/{userId}/preferences");
            var preferences = JsonConvert.DeserializeObject<UserPreferences>(preferencesResponse);

            var ordersResponse = await _httpClient.GetStringAsync($"api/users/{userId}/orders");
            var orders = JsonConvert.DeserializeObject<List<Order>>(ordersResponse);

            return new UserProfile
            {
                User = user,
                Preferences = preferences,
                RecentOrders = orders.Take(5).ToList()
            };
        }
        catch (HttpRequestException ex)
        {
            // Exception handling is straightforward
            throw new ServiceException($"Failed to load user profile: {ex.Message}", ex);
        }
    }

    // Usage is simple
    public async Task DisplayUserProfile(int userId)
    {
        try
        {
            var profile = await GetUserProfileAsync(userId);
            Console.WriteLine($"User: {profile.User.Name}");
            Console.WriteLine($"Orders: {profile.RecentOrders.Count}");
        }
        catch (ServiceException ex)
        {
            Console.WriteLine($"Error: {ex.Message}");
        }
    }
}

```

Without async/await (using ContinueWith):

```

public class DataService
{
    private readonly HttpClient _httpClient = new HttpClient();

```

```

// Complex, nested, harder to read and maintain
public Task<UserProfile> GetUserProfileAsync(int userId)
{
    return _httpClient.GetStringAsync($"api/users/{userId}")
        .ContinueWith(userTask =>
    {
        if (userTask.IsFaulted)
            throw new ServiceException($"Failed to load user: {userTask.Exception.Message}");

        var user = JsonConvert.DeserializeObject<User>(userTask.Result);

        return _httpClient.GetStringAsync($"api/users/{userId}/preferences")
            .ContinueWith(preferencesTask =>
        {
            if (preferencesTask.IsFaulted)
                throw new ServiceException($"Failed to load preferences: {preferencesTask.Exception.Message}");

            var preferences = JsonConvert.DeserializeObject<UserPreferences>(preferencesTask.Result);

            return _httpClient.GetStringAsync($"api/users/{userId}/orders")
                .ContinueWith(ordersTask =>
            {
                if (ordersTask.IsFaulted)
                    throw new ServiceException($"Failed to load orders: {ordersTask.Exception.Message}");

                var orders = JsonConvert.DeserializeObject<List<Order>>(ordersTask.Result);

                return new UserProfile
                {
                    User = user,
                    Preferences = preferences,
                    RecentOrders = orders.Take(5).ToList()
                };
            }, TaskContinuationOptions.OnlyOnRanToCompletion).Unwrap();
        }, TaskContinuationOptions.OnlyOnRanToCompletion).Unwrap();
    }, TaskContinuationOptions.OnlyOnRanToCompletion).Unwrap();
}

// Usage is more complex
public Task DisplayUserProfile(int userId)
{
    return GetUserProfileAsync(userId)
        .ContinueWith(profileTask =>
    {
        if (profileTask.IsFaulted)
        {
            Console.WriteLine($"Error: {profileTask.Exception?.InnerException?.Message}");
        }
        else
        {
            var profile = profileTask.Result;
            Console.WriteLine($"User: {profile.User.Name}");
            Console.WriteLine($"Orders: {profile.RecentOrders.Count}");
        }
    });
}

```

```
    }  
}
```

When to Use vs. Alternatives

- **Use `async/await`:** For most asynchronous scenarios - cleaner, more maintainable code
- **Use `ContinueWith`:** Only when you need specific task continuation options or are on older .NET versions

ConfigureAwait and Deadlock Prevention

Why `ConfigureAwait` Matters

In .NET Framework applications (especially UI and [ASP.NET](#)), improper async usage can cause deadlocks.

With `ConfigureAwait (Preventing Deadlocks)`:

```
public class FileService  
{  
    // Library method - should not capture context  
    public async Task<string> ReadFileAsync(string path)  
    {  
        using (var reader = new StreamReader(path))  
        {  
            // ConfigureAwait(false) prevents deadlocks  
            var content = await reader.ReadToEndAsync().ConfigureAwait(false);  
            return content.ToUpper();  
        }  
    }  
  
    public async Task<List<string>> ProcessFilesAsync(string[] filePaths)  
    {  
        var results = new List<string>();  
  
        foreach (var path in filePaths)  
        {  
            // Safe - won't deadlock even if called from UI thread  
            var content = await ReadFileAsync(path).ConfigureAwait(false);  
            results.Add(content);  
        }  
  
        return results;  
    }  
}  
  
// UI usage (safe)  
public partial class MainWindow : Window  
{  
    private readonly FileService _fileService = new FileService();  
  
    private async void LoadButton_Click(object sender, RoutedEventArgs e)
```

```

    {
        try
        {
            LoadButton.IsEnabled = false;

            var files = new[] { "file1.txt", "file2.txt" };

            // Safe - ConfigureAwait(false) in library prevents deadlock
            var results = await _fileService.ProcessFilesAsync(files);

            // Back on UI thread to update UI
            ResultsListBox.ItemsSource = results;
        }
        finally
        {
            LoadButton.IsEnabled = true;
        }
    }
}

```

Without ConfigureAwait (Deadlock Prone):

```

public class FileService
{
    // Dangerous - can cause deadlocks in UI/ASP.NET scenarios
    public async Task<string> ReadFileAsync(string path)
    {
        using (var reader = new StreamReader(path))
        {
            // Default behavior - captures synchronization context
            var content = await reader.ReadToEndAsync(); // DANGEROUS
            return content.ToUpper();
        }
    }

    public async Task<List<string>> ProcessFilesAsync(string[] filePaths)
    {
        var results = new List<string>();

        foreach (var path in filePaths)
        {
            // Captures context at each await - deadlock risk
            var content = await ReadFileAsync(path); // DANGEROUS
            results.Add(content);
        }

        return results;
    }
}

// UI usage (deadlock prone)
public partial class MainWindow : Window
{
    private readonly FileService _fileService = new FileService();
}

```

```

private void LoadButton_Click(object sender, RoutedEventArgs e)
{
    try
    {
        LoadButton.IsEnabled = false;

        var files = new[] { "file1.txt", "file2.txt" };

        // DEADLOCK! UI thread waits for task, but task waits for UI thread
        var results = _fileService.ProcessFilesAsync(files).Result; // BLOCKS UI THREAD

        ResultsListBox.ItemsSource = results;
    }
    finally
    {
        LoadButton.IsEnabled = true;
    }
}

```

When to Use vs. Alternatives

- **Use ConfigureAwait(false):** In library code that doesn't need to return to original context
- **Use default behavior:** In application code that needs to update UI or access context-specific resources
- **Use Task.Run:** To offload CPU-bound work to thread pool

Memory Management and Performance

Value Types vs Reference Types

Why Type Choice Matters

Understanding value vs reference types affects memory usage, performance, and behavior when passing parameters.

With Value Types (Structs):

```

// Value type - stored on stack (if local) or inline in containing object
public struct Point
{
    public int X { get; set; }
    public int Y { get; set; }

    public Point(int x, int y)
    {
        X = x;
        Y = y;
    }
}

```

```

        public double DistanceFromOrigin()
    {
        return Math.Sqrt(X * X + Y * Y);
    }
}

public class GeometryCalculator
{
    public void DemonstrateValueTypes()
    {
        // Stored on stack, no heap allocation
        Point p1 = new Point(3, 4);
        Point p2 = p1; // Copies entire value

        p2.X = 10; // Only affects p2, p1 unchanged

        Console.WriteLine($"p1: ({p1.X}, {p1.Y})"); // (3, 4)
        Console.WriteLine($"p2: ({p2.X}, {p2.Y})"); // (10, 4)

        // Efficient for arrays of simple data
        Point[] points = new Point[1000]; // Contiguous memory, no indirection
        for (int i = 0; i < points.Length; i++)
        {
            points[i] = new Point(i, i * 2); // No heap allocation per point
        }
    }
}

```

With Reference Types (Classes):

```

// Reference type - stored on heap
public class PointClass
{
    public int X { get; set; }
    public int Y { get; set; }

    public PointClass(int x, int y)
    {
        X = x;
        Y = y;
    }

    public double DistanceFromOrigin()
    {
        return Math.Sqrt(X * X + Y * Y);
    }
}

public class GeometryCalculator
{
    public void DemonstrateReferenceTypes()
    {
        // Stored on heap, reference on stack
        PointClass p1 = new PointClass(3, 4); // Heap allocation
        PointClass p2 = p1; // Copies reference, same object
    }
}

```

```

p2.X = 10; // Affects both p1 and p2 (same object)

Console.WriteLine($"p1: ({p1.X}, {p1.Y})"); // (10, 4)
Console.WriteLine($"p2: ({p2.X}, {p2.Y})"); // (10, 4)

// Array stores references, objects scattered in heap
PointClass[] points = new PointClass[1000]; // Only array on heap initially
for (int i = 0; i < points.Length; i++)
{
    points[i] = new PointClass(i, i * 2); // 1000 separate heap allocations
}
// Memory is fragmented, requires garbage collection
}
}

```

When to Use vs. Alternatives

- **Use value types (structs):** For small, immutable data with value semantics (Point, Color, DateTime)
- **Use reference types (classes):** For larger objects, mutable data, or when you need reference semantics

Boxing and Unboxing

Why Boxing Matters

Boxing converts value types to objects, causing heap allocation and performance overhead.

With Boxing (Performance Problem):

```

public class BoxingExample
{
    public void DemonstrateBoxing()
    {
        // Boxing occurs - value type converted to object
        int number = 42;
        object boxedNumber = number; // BOXING - heap allocation

        ArrayList list = new ArrayList(); // Non-generic collection
        list.Add(123);      // BOXING
        list.Add(456);      // BOXING
        list.Add(789);      // BOXING

        // Unboxing occurs - object converted back to value type
        int retrievedNumber = (int)list[0]; // UNBOXING + cast

        // Performance problem with frequent boxing
        for (int i = 0; i < 1000; i++)
        {
            list.Add(i); // 1000 boxing operations!
        }
    }
}

```

```

    }

    public void ProcessValues(object[] values)
    {
        foreach (object value in values)
        {
            if (value is int intValue) // Unboxing
            {
                Console.WriteLine($"Integer: {intValue}");
            }
        }
    }
}

```

Without Boxing (Generic Collections):

```

public class NonBoxingExample
{
    public void DemonstrateNoBoxing()
    {
        // No boxing - strongly typed
        int number = 42;
        // Direct usage, no conversion needed

        List<int> list = new List<int>(); // Generic collection
        list.Add(123);      // No boxing
        list.Add(456);      // No boxing
        list.Add(789);      // No boxing

        // No unboxing needed
        int retrievedNumber = list[0]; // Direct access

        // Efficient performance
        for (int i = 0; i < 1000; i++)
        {
            list.Add(i); // No boxing operations
        }
    }

    public void ProcessValues(int[] values) // Strongly typed
    {
        foreach (int value in values) // No boxing/unboxing
        {
            Console.WriteLine($"Integer: {value}");
        }
    }

    // Generic method for different types
    public void ProcessValues<T>(T[] values)
    {
        foreach (T value in values)
        {
            Console.WriteLine($"Value: {value}");
        }
    }
}

```

```
    }  
}
```

When to Use vs. Alternatives

- **Avoid boxing:** Use generic collections and methods instead of object-based APIs
- **Boxing acceptable:** When interfacing with legacy APIs or when performance is not critical

Reflection and Attributes

Reflection Usage

Why Reflection Matters

Reflection enables runtime type inspection, dynamic method invocation, and metadata-driven programming, but comes with performance costs.

With Reflection:

```
[Serializable]  
public class Customer  
{  
    [Required]  
    public string Name { get; set; }  
  
    [Range(0, 150)]  
    public int Age { get; set; }  
  
    [EmailAddress]  
    public string Email { get; set; }  
  
    public void ProcessOrder(Order order)  
    {  
        Console.WriteLine($"Processing order for {Name}");  
    }  
}  
  
public class ReflectionValidator  
{  
    public bool ValidateObject(object obj)  
    {  
        Type type = obj.GetType();  
  
        // Get all properties with validation attributes  
        var properties = type.GetProperties();  
  
        foreach (var property in properties)  
        {  
            var attributes = property.GetCustomAttributes<ValidationAttribute>();  
            var value = property.GetValue(obj);  
        }  
    }  
}
```

```

        foreach (var attribute in attributes)
    {
        if (!attribute.IsValid(value))
        {
            Console.WriteLine($"Validation failed for {property.Name}: {attribute}");
            return false;
        }
    }

    return true;
}

public void InvokeMethod(object obj, string methodName, params object[] parameters)
{
    Type type = obj.GetType();
    MethodInfo method = type.GetMethod(methodName);

    if (method != null)
    {
        method.Invoke(obj, parameters);
    }
    else
    {
        throw new InvalidOperationException($"Method {methodName} not found");
    }
}

// Usage
var customer = new Customer { Name = "", Age = 200, Email = "invalid-email" };
var validator = new ReflectionValidator();

if (validator.ValidateObject(customer))
{
    validator.InvokeMethod(customer, "ProcessOrder", new Order());
}

```

Without Reflection (Compile-time):

```

public class Customer
{
    public string Name { get; set; }
    public int Age { get; set; }
    public string Email { get; set; }

    public void ProcessOrder(Order order)
    {
        Console.WriteLine($"Processing order for {Name}");
    }
}

public class DirectValidator
{
    public bool ValidateCustomer(Customer customer)

```

```

{
    // Direct property access - compile-time safety
    if (string.IsNullOrEmpty(customer.Name))
    {
        Console.WriteLine("Name is required");
        return false;
    }

    if (customer.Age < 0 || customer.Age > 150)
    {
        Console.WriteLine("Age must be between 0 and 150");
        return false;
    }

    if (!IsValidEmail(customer.Email))
    {
        Console.WriteLine("Invalid email address");
        return false;
    }

    return true;
}

private bool IsValidEmail(string email)
{
    return !string.IsNullOrEmpty(email) && email.Contains("@");
}

public void ProcessCustomerOrder(Customer customer, Order order)
{
    // Direct method call - compile-time safety
    customer.ProcessOrder(order);
}
}

// Usage - type-safe, better performance
var customer = new Customer { Name = "", Age = 200, Email = "invalid-email" };
var validator = new DirectValidator();

if (validator.ValidateCustomer(customer))
{
    validator.ProcessCustomerOrder(customer, new Order());
}

```

When to Use vs. Alternatives

- **Use reflection:** For generic frameworks, serialization, ORM mapping, or when types are unknown at compile time
- **Use direct access:** For better performance, compile-time safety, and when types are known

Custom Attributes

Why Custom Attributes Matter

Attributes enable declarative programming, metadata annotation, and cross-cutting concerns without modifying core logic.

With Custom Attributes:

```
// Custom attributes for metadata
[AttributeUsage(AttributeTargets.Method)]
public class LogExecutionTimeAttribute : Attribute
{
    public bool LogParameters { get; set; }
    public string Category { get; set; }

    public LogExecutionTimeAttribute(string category = "General")
    {
        Category = category;
    }
}

[AttributeUsage(AttributeTargets.Property)]
public class CacheableAttribute : Attribute
{
    public int ExpirationMinutes { get; set; }

    public CacheableAttribute(int expirationMinutes = 60)
    {
        ExpirationMinutes = expirationMinutes;
    }
}

// Business class with attributes
public class BusinessService
{
    [LogExecutionTime("Database", LogParameters = true)]
    public Customer GetCustomerById(int customerId)
    {
        // Simulate database access
        Thread.Sleep(100);
        return new Customer { Id = customerId, Name = "John Doe" };
    }

    [LogExecutionTime("Calculation")]
    public decimal CalculateDiscount(Customer customer, decimal amount)
    {
        Thread.Sleep(50);
        return amount * 0.1m;
    }
}

public class Customer
{}
```

```

public int Id { get; set; }

[Cacheable(30)]
public string Name { get; set; }

[Cacheable(1440)] // 24 hours
public string Email { get; set; }
}

// Interceptor using attributes
public class MethodInterceptor
{
    public T ExecuteWithLogging<T>(Func<T> method, MethodInfo MethodInfo)
    {
        var logAttribute = MethodInfo.GetCustomAttribute<LogExecutionTimeAttribute>();

        if (logAttribute != null)
        {
            var stopwatch = Stopwatch.StartNew();
            Console.WriteLine($"Starting {MethodInfo.Name} - Category: {logAttribute.Category}");

            try
            {
                T result = method();
                stopwatch.Stop();
                Console.WriteLine($"Completed {MethodInfo.Name} in {stopwatch.ElapsedMilliseconds} ms");
                return result;
            }
            catch (Exception ex)
            {
                stopwatch.Stop();
                Console.WriteLine($"Failed {MethodInfo.Name} after {stopwatch.ElapsedMilliseconds} ms");
                throw;
            }
        }

        return method();
    }
}

```

Without Custom Attributes (Manual Logging):

```

// No metadata, logging mixed with business logic
public class BusinessService
{
    private readonly ILogger _logger;

    public BusinessService(ILogger logger)
    {
        _logger = logger;
    }

    public Customer GetCustomerById(int customerId)
    {
        var stopwatch = Stopwatch.StartNew();

```

```

_logger.Log("Starting GetCustomerById - Category: Database");

try
{
    // Simulate database access
    Thread.Sleep(100);
    var customer = new Customer { Id = customerId, Name = "John Doe" };

    stopwatch.Stop();
    _logger.Log($"Completed GetCustomerById in {stopwatch.ElapsedMilliseconds}ms");
    return customer;
}
catch (Exception ex)
{
    stopwatch.Stop();
    _logger.Log($"Failed GetCustomerById after {stopwatch.ElapsedMilliseconds}ms");
    throw;
}
}

public decimal CalculateDiscount(Customer customer, decimal amount)
{
    var stopwatch = Stopwatch.StartNew();
    _logger.Log("Starting CalculateDiscount - Category: Calculation");

    try
    {
        Thread.Sleep(50);
        var discount = amount * 0.1m;

        stopwatch.Stop();
        _logger.Log($"Completed CalculateDiscount in {stopwatch.ElapsedMilliseconds}ms");
        return discount;
    }
    catch (Exception ex)
    {
        stopwatch.Stop();
        _logger.Log($"Failed CalculateDiscount after {stopwatch.ElapsedMilliseconds}ms");
        throw;
    }
}

// No caching metadata
public class Customer
{
    public int Id { get; set; }
    public string Name { get; set; }
    public string Email { get; set; }

    // Cache expiration must be handled manually in business logic
}

```

When to Use vs. Alternatives

- **Use custom attributes:** For cross-cutting concerns, framework development, or metadata-driven behavior
- **Use manual implementation:** When you need more control or when performance is critical

Exception Handling

Structured Exception Handling

Why Exception Handling Matters

Proper exception handling prevents application crashes, provides meaningful error information, and enables graceful recovery.

With Structured Exception Handling:

```
public class FileProcessor
{
    public ProcessResult ProcessFile(string filePath)
    {
        try
        {
            // Validate input
            if (string.IsNullOrEmpty(filePath))
                throw new ArgumentException("File path cannot be null or empty", nameof(filePath));

            if (!File.Exists(filePath))
                throw new FileNotFoundException($"File not found: {filePath}");

            // Read and process file
            var content = File.ReadAllText(filePath);

            if (content.Length == 0)
                throw new InvalidDataException("File is empty");

            // Process content
            var lines = content.Split('\n');
            var processedData = new List<string>();

            foreach (var line in lines)
            {
                if (line.Trim().Length > 0)
                {
                    processedData.Add(line.ToUpper());
                }
            }

            return new ProcessResult
            {
                Success = true,
                Data = processedData
            };
        }
    }
}
```

```

        Data = processedData,
        Message = $"Successfully processed {processedData.Count} lines"
    };
}

catch (ArgumentException ex)
{
    return new ProcessResult
    {
        Success = false,
        Error = ex,
        Message = $"Invalid argument: {ex.Message}"
    };
}

catch (FileNotFoundException ex)
{
    return new ProcessResult
    {
        Success = false,
        Error = ex,
        Message = $"File not found: {ex.Message}"
    };
}

catch (UnauthorizedAccessException ex)
{
    return new ProcessResult
    {
        Success = false,
        Error = ex,
        Message = $"Access denied: {ex.Message}"
    };
}

catch (InvalidOperationException ex)
{
    return new ProcessResult
    {
        Success = false,
        Error = ex,
        Message = $"Data error: {ex.Message}"
    };
}

catch (Exception ex)
{
    // Log unexpected exceptions
    Console.WriteLine($"Unexpected error: {ex}");

    return new ProcessResult
    {
        Success = false,
        Error = ex,
        Message = "An unexpected error occurred during file processing"
    };
}
}

public class ProcessResult

```

```

    {
        public bool Success { get; set; }
        public List<string> Data { get; set; }
        public string Message { get; set; }
        public Exception Error { get; set; }
    }

    // Usage
    var processor = new FileProcessor();
    var result = processor.ProcessFile("data.txt");

    if (result.Success)
    {
        Console.WriteLine(result.Message);
        foreach (var item in result.Data)
        {
            Console.WriteLine(item);
        }
    }
    else
    {
        Console.WriteLine($"Error: {result.Message}");
        // Handle error appropriately
    }
}

```

Without Structured Exception Handling:

```

public class FileProcessor
{
    public List<string> ProcessFile(string filePath)
    {
        // No validation - potential null reference exceptions

        // Direct file access - can throw multiple exception types
        var content = File.ReadAllText(filePath); // FileNotFoundException, UnauthorizedAccessException

        // No empty check - potential issues later
        var lines = content.Split('\n');
        var processedData = new List<string>();

        foreach (var line in lines)
        {
            if (line.Trim().Length > 0)
            {
                processedData.Add(line.ToUpper());
            }
        }

        return processedData;
    }
}

// Usage - caller must handle all possible exceptions
var processor = new FileProcessor();

```

```

try
{
    var result = processor.ProcessFile("data.txt"); // Many exceptions possible

    foreach (var item in result)
    {
        Console.WriteLine(item);
    }
}

catch (Exception ex) // Catch-all - no specific handling
{
    Console.WriteLine($"Something went wrong: {ex.Message}");
    // Don't know what specific error occurred or how to handle it
}

```

When to Use vs. Alternatives

- **Use structured exception handling:** For robust applications where you need to handle different error scenarios
- **Use simple try-catch:** For simple scenarios where all errors can be handled the same way
- **Use return codes:** For performance-critical code where exceptions are expensive

Custom Exceptions

Why Custom Exceptions Matter

Custom exceptions provide specific error information, enable targeted error handling, and improve API usability.

With Custom Exceptions:

```

// Domain-specific exceptions
public class CustomerException : Exception
{
    public string CustomerId { get; }
    public CustomerErrorType ErrorType { get; }

    public CustomerException(string customerId, CustomerErrorType errorType, string message)
        : base(message)
    {
        CustomerId = customerId;
        ErrorType = errorType;
    }

    public CustomerException(string customerId, CustomerErrorType errorType, string message, Exception innerException)
        : base(message, innerException)
    {
        CustomerId = customerId;
        ErrorType = errorType;
    }
}

```

```

public enum CustomerErrorType
{
    NotFound,
    InvalidData,
    DuplicateEmail,
    InsufficientCredit
}

public class PaymentException : Exception
{
    public decimal Amount { get; }
    public string PaymentMethod { get; }
    public PaymentErrorCode ErrorCode { get; }

    public PaymentException(decimal amount, string paymentMethod, PaymentErrorCode errorCode)
        : base(message)
    {
        Amount = amount;
        PaymentMethod = paymentMethod;
        ErrorCode = errorCode;
    }
}

public enum PaymentErrorCode
{
    InsufficientFunds,
    InvalidCard,
    NetworkError,
    ProcessingError
}

// Service using custom exceptions
public class CustomerService
{
    private readonly Dictionary<string, Customer> _customers = new Dictionary<string, Customer>();

    public void CreateCustomer(Customer customer)
    {
        if (customer == null)
            throw new CustomerException(null, CustomerErrorType.InvalidData, "Customer cannot be null");

        if (string.IsNullOrEmpty(customer.Email))
            throw new CustomerException(customer.Id, CustomerErrorType.InvalidData, "Email cannot be empty");

        if (_customers.Values.Any(c => c.Email == customer.Email))
            throw new CustomerException(customer.Id, CustomerErrorType.DuplicateEmail, $"Customer with email {customer.Email} already exists");

        _customers[customer.Id] = customer;
    }

    public Customer GetCustomer(string customerId)
    {
        if (!_customers.TryGetValue(customerId, out Customer customer))
            throw new CustomerException(customerId, CustomerErrorType.NotFound, "Customer not found");
    }
}

```

```

        $"Customer with ID {customerId} not found");

    return customer;
}

public void ProcessPayment(string customerId, decimal amount, string paymentMethod)
{
    var customer = GetCustomer(customerId); // May throw CustomerException

    if (customer.CreditLimit < amount)
        throw new CustomerException(customerId, CustomerErrorType.InsufficientCredit,
            $"Insufficient credit. Available: {customer.CreditLimit}, Requested: {amount}");

    // Simulate payment processing
    if (paymentMethod == "CARD" && amount > 1000)
        throw new PaymentException(amount, paymentMethod, PaymentErrorCode.InvalidCard,
            "Card payment limit exceeded");
}

// Usage with specific exception handling
public class OrderProcessor
{
    private readonly CustomerService _customerService = new CustomerService();

    public void ProcessOrder(string customerId, decimal amount, string paymentMethod)
    {
        try
        {
            _customerService.ProcessPayment(customerId, amount, paymentMethod);
            Console.WriteLine("Payment processed successfully");
        }
        catch (CustomerException ex) when (ex.ErrorType == CustomerErrorType.NotFound)
        {
            Console.WriteLine($"Customer {ex.CustomerId} not found. Please create account");
        }
        catch (CustomerException ex) when (ex.ErrorType == CustomerErrorType.InsufficientCredit)
        {
            Console.WriteLine($"Insufficient credit for customer {ex.CustomerId}. Please add funds");
        }
        catch (PaymentException ex) when (ex.ErrorCode == PaymentErrorCode.InvalidCard)
        {
            Console.WriteLine($"Card payment failed for amount {ex.Amount}. Try different card");
        }
        catch (Exception ex)
        {
            Console.WriteLine($"Unexpected error: {ex.Message}");
        }
    }
}

```

Without Custom Exceptions (Generic Exceptions):

```

// Using generic exceptions - less information
public class CustomerService

```

```

{
    private readonly Dictionary<string, Customer> _customers = new Dictionary<string, Customer>();

    public void CreateCustomer(Customer customer)
    {
        if (customer == null)
            throw new ArgumentNullException(nameof(customer)); // Generic

        if (string.IsNullOrEmpty(customer.Email))
            throw new ArgumentException("Email is required"); // Generic

        if (_customers.Values.Any(c => c.Email == customer.Email))
            throw new InvalidOperationException($"Customer with email {customer.Email} already exists");

        _customers[customer.Id] = customer;
    }

    public Customer GetCustomer(string customerId)
    {
        if (!_customers.TryGetValue(customerId, out Customer customer))
            throw new KeyNotFoundException($"Customer with ID {customerId} not found"); // Generic - no specific error handling

        return customer;
    }

    public void ProcessPayment(string customerId, decimal amount, string paymentMethod)
    {
        var customer = GetCustomer(customerId); // May throw KeyNotFoundException

        if (customer.CreditLimit < amount)
            throw new InvalidOperationException($"Insufficient credit"); // Generic - no specific error handling

        // Simulate payment processing
        if (paymentMethod == "CARD" && amount > 1000)
            throw new InvalidOperationException("Payment failed"); // Generic - no specific error handling
    }
}

// Usage - less specific error handling
public class OrderProcessor
{
    private readonly CustomerService _customerService = new CustomerService();

    public void ProcessOrder(string customerId, decimal amount, string paymentMethod)
    {
        try
        {
            _customerService.ProcessPayment(customerId, amount, paymentMethod);
            Console.WriteLine("Payment processed successfully");
        }
        catch (KeyNotFoundException ex)
        {
            Console.WriteLine($"Customer not found: {ex.Message}");
            // Can't easily determine if customer doesn't exist vs other key issues
        }
        catch (InvalidOperationException ex)
        {
            Console.WriteLine($"Insufficient credit: {ex.Message}");
        }
    }
}

```

```

    {
        Console.WriteLine($"Operation failed: {ex.Message}");
        // Can't distinguish between credit issues vs payment issues vs other problem
    }
    catch (ArgumentException ex)
    {
        Console.WriteLine($"Invalid argument: {ex.Message}");
        // Can't determine which argument was invalid
    }
    catch (Exception ex)
    {
        Console.WriteLine($"Unexpected error: {ex.Message}");
    }
}

```

When to Use vs. Alternatives

- **Use custom exceptions:** For domain-specific errors that need special handling or additional context
- **Use standard exceptions:** For common programming errors (ArgumentNullException, InvalidOperationException)

Best Practices and Coding Standards

Naming Conventions

Why Naming Conventions Matter

Consistent naming improves code readability, maintainability, and team collaboration.

With Proper Naming Conventions:

```

// Classes: PascalCase
public class CustomerOrderService
{
    // Constants: UPPER_CASE with underscores
    private const int MAX_RETRY_ATTEMPTS = 3;
    private const string DEFAULT_CURRENCY = "USD";

    // Private fields: _camelCase with underscore prefix
    private readonly IPaymentProcessor _paymentProcessor;
    private readonly ILogger _logger;
    private readonly Dictionary<string, decimal> _exchangeRates;

    // Properties: PascalCase
    public string ServiceName { get; private set; }
    public bool IsInitialized { get; private set; }

    // Constructor: PascalCase (same as class)
}

```

```

public CustomerOrderService(IPaymentProcessor paymentProcessor, ILogger logger)
{
    _paymentProcessor = paymentProcessor ?? throw new ArgumentNullException(nameof(paymentProcessor));
    _logger = logger ?? throw new ArgumentNullException(nameof(logger));
    _exchangeRates = new Dictionary<string, decimal>();
    ServiceName = "Customer Order Service";
}

// Methods: PascalCase with descriptive verbs
public async Task<OrderProcessingResult> ProcessCustomerOrderAsync(
    CustomerOrder customerOrder,
    PaymentInformation paymentInfo,
    CancellationToken cancellationToken = default)
{
    // Local variables: camelCase
    var validationResult = ValidateOrderRequest(customerOrder, paymentInfo);
    if (!validationResult.IsValid)
    {
        return OrderProcessingResultFailed(validationResult.ErrorMessage);
    }

    var totalAmount = CalculateOrderTotal(customerOrder);
    var paymentResult = await ProcessPaymentAsync(totalAmount, paymentInfo, cancellationToken);

    return paymentResult.IsSuccess
        ? OrderProcessingResult.Success(paymentResult.TransactionId)
        : OrderProcessingResultFailed(paymentResult.ErrorMessage);
}

// Private methods: PascalCase
private ValidationResult ValidateOrderRequest(CustomerOrder order, PaymentInformation payment)
{
    if (order == null)
        return ValidationResult.Invalid("Order cannot be null");

    if (order.Items == null || !order.Items.Any())
        return ValidationResult.Invalid("Order must contain at least one item");

    if (payment == null)
        return ValidationResult.Invalid("Payment information is required");

    return ValidationResult.Valid();
}

private decimal CalculateOrderTotal(CustomerOrder order)
{
    decimal subtotal = order.Items.Sum(item => item.Price * item.Quantity);
    decimal taxAmount = subtotal * order.TaxRate;
    decimal shippingCost = CalculateShippingCost(order);

    return subtotal + taxAmount + shippingCost;
}

private decimal CalculateShippingCost(CustomerOrder order)
{
    // Implementation details...
}

```

```

        return 0m;
    }

private async Task<PaymentResult> ProcessPaymentAsync(
    decimal amount,
    PaymentInformation paymentInfo,
    CancellationToken cancellationToken)
{
    // Implementation details...
    return await _paymentProcessor.ProcessAsync(amount, paymentInfo, cancellationToken);
}

// Enums: PascalCase
public enum OrderStatus
{
    Pending,
    Processing,
    Shipped,
    Delivered,
    Cancelled
}

// Interfaces: IPascalCase with 'I' prefix
public interface IPaymentProcessor
{
    Task<PaymentResult> ProcessAsync(decimal amount, PaymentInformation paymentInfo);
}

```

Without Proper Naming Conventions:

```

// Poor naming - inconsistent, unclear, non-standard
public class custSvc // Unclear abbreviation
{
    private const int max = 3; // Unclear constant name
    private const string curr = "USD"; // Unclear abbreviation

    private IPaymentProcessor pp; // Unclear abbreviation
    private ILogger log; // Abbreviation
    private Dictionary<string, decimal> rates; // Could be anything

    public string svcName { get; set; } // camelCase for property (wrong)
    public bool init; // Unclear, missing property syntax

    public custSvc(IPaymentProcessor paymentProcessor, ILogger logger) // camelCase const
    {
        pp = paymentProcessor; // No null checking
        log = logger;
        rates = new Dictionary<string, decimal>();
        svcName = "Customer Order Service";
    }

    // Poor method name - unclear what it does
    public async Task<OrderProcessingResult> Process(CustomerOrder ord, PaymentInfo
    {

```

```

var result = check(ord, pay); // Unclear method name
if (!result.IsValid)
{
    return OrderProcessingResultFailed(result.ErrorMessage);
}

var amt = calc(ord); // Unclear variable and method names
var payResult = await ProcessPaymentAsync(amt, pay, CancellationToken.None);

return payResult.IsSuccessfull
    ? OrderProcessingResult.Success(payResult.TransactionId)
    : OrderProcessingResultFailed(payResult.ErrorMessage);
}

private ValidationResult check(CustomerOrder o, PaymentInformation p) // Poor parameter names
{
    if (o == null) // Single letter variables
        return ValidationResult.Invalid("Order cannot be null");

    return ValidationResult.Valid();
}

private decimal calc(CustomerOrder o) // Unclear method name
{
    decimal st = o.Items.Sum(i => i.Price * i.Quantity); // Unclear variable names
    decimal tx = st * o.TaxRate;
    decimal sc = getShipping(o);

    return st + tx + sc;
}

private decimal getShipping(CustomerOrder o) // Inconsistent casing
{
    return 0m;
}
}

```

When to Use vs. Alternatives

- **Use standard conventions:** Always follow established .NET naming conventions for consistency
- **Use descriptive names:** Prefer clarity over brevity - code is read more than written
- **Avoid abbreviations:** Use full words unless the abbreviation is widely understood (like "Id")

SOLID Principles Implementation

Why SOLID Principles Matter

SOLID principles create maintainable, extensible, and testable code by promoting proper separation of concerns and dependencies.

With SOLID Principles:

```
// Single Responsibility Principle (SRP)
// Each class has one reason to change

public interface ICustomerRepository
{
    Customer GetById(int id);
    void Save(Customer customer);
    void Delete(int id);
}

public interface IEmailService
{
    void SendEmail(string to, string subject, string body);
}

public interface ILogger
{
    void Log(string message);
    void LogError(string error);
}

// Open/Closed Principle (OCP)
// Open for extension, closed for modification

public abstract class PaymentProcessor
{
    protected ILogger Logger { get; }

    protected PaymentProcessor(ILogger logger)
    {
        Logger = logger;
    }

    public PaymentResult ProcessPayment(decimal amount, PaymentDetails details)
    {
        Logger.Log($"Processing payment of {amount}");

        var result = DoProcessPayment(amount, details);

        if (result.IsSuccessful)
            Logger.Log("Payment processed successfully");
        else
            Logger.LogError($"Payment failed: {result.ErrorMessage}");

        return result;
    }

    protected abstract PaymentResult DoProcessPayment(decimal amount, PaymentDetails deta
```

```

}

public class CreditCardProcessor : PaymentProcessor
{
    public CreditCardProcessor(ILogger logger) : base(logger) { }

    protected override PaymentResult DoProcessPayment(decimal amount, PaymentDetails details)
    {
        // Credit card specific logic
        if (details.CardNumber.Length != 16)
            return PaymentResult.Failed("Invalid card number");

        return PaymentResult.Success($"CC-{Guid.NewGuid()}");
    }
}

public class PayPalProcessor : PaymentProcessor
{
    public PayPalProcessor(ILogger logger) : base(logger) { }

    protected override PaymentResult DoProcessPayment(decimal amount, PaymentDetails details)
    {
        // PayPal specific logic
        if (string.IsNullOrEmpty(details.Email))
            return PaymentResult.Failed("PayPal email required");

        return PaymentResult.Success($"PP-{Guid.NewGuid()}");
    }
}

// Liskov Substitution Principle (LSP)
// Subtypes must be substitutable for their base types

public class PaymentService
{
    private readonly Dictionary<PaymentType, PaymentProcessor> _processors;

    public PaymentService(ILogger logger)
    {
        _processors = new Dictionary<PaymentType, PaymentProcessor>;
        {
            { PaymentType.CreditCard, new CreditCardProcessor(logger) },
            { PaymentType.PayPal, new PayPalProcessor(logger) }
        };
    }

    public PaymentResult ProcessPayment(PaymentType type, decimal amount, PaymentDetails details)
    {
        if (_processors.TryGetValue(type, out PaymentProcessor processor))
        {
            // All processors can be used interchangeably
            return processor.ProcessPayment(amount, details);
        }

        return PaymentResult.Failed("Unsupported payment type");
    }
}

```

```
}

// Interface Segregation Principle (ISP)
// Clients should not depend on interfaces they don't use

public interface IOrderReader
{
    Order GetById(int id);
    IEnumerable<Order> GetByCustomerId(int customerId);
}

public interface IOrderWriter
{
    void Save(Order order);
    void Delete(int id);
}

public interface IOrderProcessor
{
    void ProcessOrder(int orderId);
    void CancelOrder(int orderId);
}

// Dependency Inversion Principle (DIP)
// Depend on abstractions, not concretions

public class OrderService
{
    private readonly IOrderReader _orderReader;
    private readonly IOrderWriter _orderWriter;
    private readonly ICustomerRepository _customerRepository;
    private readonly IEmailService _emailService;
    private readonly PaymentService _paymentService;

    public OrderService(
        IOrderReader orderReader,
        IOrderWriter orderWriter,
        ICustomerRepository customerRepository,
        IEmailService emailService,
        PaymentService paymentService)
    {
        _orderReader = orderReader;
        _orderWriter = orderWriter;
        _customerRepository = customerRepository;
        _emailService = emailService;
        _paymentService = paymentService;
    }

    public void ProcessOrder(int orderId)
    {
        var order = _orderReader.GetById(orderId);
        var customer = _customerRepository.GetById(order.CustomerId);

        var paymentResult = _paymentService.ProcessPayment(
            order.PaymentType,
            order.Total,
```

```

        order.PaymentDetails);

    if (paymentResult.IsSuccess)
    {
        order.Status = OrderStatus.Processed;
        order.TransactionId = paymentResult.TransactionId;
        _orderWriter.Save(order);

        _emailService.SendEmail(
            customer.Email,
            "Order Confirmation",
            $"Your order {orderId} has been processed.");
    }
}
}

```

Without SOLID Principles:

```

// Violates multiple SOLID principles
public class OrderService
{
    private List<Order> _orders = new List<Order>();
    private List<Customer> _customers = new List<Customer>();

    // Violates SRP - handles too many responsibilities
    public void ProcessOrder(int orderId)
    {
        // Database access logic (should be in repository)
        var order = _orders.FirstOrDefault(o => o.Id == orderId);
        if (order == null) return;

        var customer = _customers.FirstOrDefault(c => c.Id == order.CustomerId);
        if (customer == null) return;

        // Payment processing logic (should be in payment service)
        // Violates OCP - adding new payment types requires modifying this method
        PaymentResult paymentResult;
        if (order.PaymentType == PaymentType.CreditCard)
        {
            // Credit card processing
            if (order.PaymentDetails.CardNumber.Length != 16)
            {
                paymentResult = PaymentResult.Failed("Invalid card number");
            }
            else
            {
                paymentResult = PaymentResult.Success($"CC-{Guid.NewGuid()}");
            }
        }
        else if (order.PaymentType == PaymentType.PayPal)
        {
            // PayPal processing
            if (string.IsNullOrEmpty(order.PaymentDetails.Email))
            {
                paymentResult = PaymentResult.Failed("PayPal email required");
            }
        }
    }
}

```

```

    }

    else
    {
        paymentResult = PaymentResult.Success($"PP-{Guid.NewGuid()}");
    }
}

else
{
    paymentResult = PaymentResultFailed("Unsupported payment type");
}

// Logging logic (should be in logger)
Console.WriteLine($"Processing payment of {order.Total}");

if (paymentResult.IsSuccess)
{
    // Order update logic
    order.Status = OrderStatus.Processed;
    order.TransactionId = paymentResult.TransactionId;

    // Email logic (should be in email service)
    // Violates DIP - depends on concrete email implementation
    var smtpClient = new SmtpClient("smtp.gmail.com");
    smtpClient.Send(
        "no-reply@company.com",
        customer.Email,
        "Order Confirmation",
        $"Your order {orderId} has been processed.");

    Console.WriteLine("Payment processed successfully");
}
else
{
    Console.WriteLine($"Payment failed: {paymentResult.ErrorMessage}");
}
}

// Violates ISP - forces clients to depend on methods they might not need
public void SaveOrder(Order order) { /* implementation */ }
public void DeleteOrder(int id) { /* implementation */ }
public Order GetOrder(int id) { /* implementation */ }
public void SendOrderEmail(int orderId) { /* implementation */ }
public void ProcessPayment(Order order) { /* implementation */ }
public void LogOrderActivity(string message) { /* implementation */ }
}

```

When to Use vs. Alternatives

- **Use SOLID principles:** Always - they are fundamental to good object-oriented design
- **Balance complexity:** Don't over-engineer simple scenarios, but plan for growth
- **Gradual refactoring:** Apply SOLID principles when refactoring existing code

Conclusion

This comprehensive guide has covered C# programming from fundamental concepts to advanced patterns, specifically targeting .NET Framework 4.7.2 and C# 7.3. Each topic included explanations of why features exist, when to use them versus alternatives, and practical examples demonstrating both approaches.

Key Takeaways

1. **Type Safety:** Use strong typing, generics, and explicit declarations to catch errors at compile time
2. **Performance:** Understand value vs reference types, avoid boxing, and use appropriate collections
3. **Maintainability:** Follow SOLID principles, use proper naming conventions, and implement structured exception handling
4. **Asynchrony:** Use async/await for I/O bound operations and understand ConfigureAwait for library code
5. **Abstraction:** Leverage interfaces, delegates, and events for loose coupling and testability

Best Practices Summary

- **Prefer composition over inheritance** when relationships are not clear "is-a" relationships
- **Use async/await** instead of ContinueWith for cleaner asynchronous code
- **Implement proper exception handling** with specific catch blocks and meaningful error messages
- **Follow established naming conventions** for consistent, readable code
- **Apply SOLID principles** to create maintainable and extensible applications
- **Use generic collections** to avoid boxing and improve type safety
- **Leverage LINQ** for data querying while being mindful of performance implications

Next Steps

To continue improving your C# skills:

1. **Practice:** Implement the examples in this guide and experiment with variations
2. **Read:** Study the official Microsoft documentation and C# specification
3. **Build:** Create real-world applications applying these concepts
4. **Test:** Write unit tests for your code to ensure quality and maintainability
5. **Review:** Regularly review and refactor existing code applying these principles

Remember that good programming is not just about knowing the syntax, but understanding when and why to use different approaches to solve problems effectively and maintainably.

