

## Your Abstract Has Been Submitted For The AGU Fall Meeting 2019. You Will Receive An Email Confirmation.

Click [HERE](#) to print this page now.

- This page indicates that you have completed your abstract submission and an email confirmation will be sent to you.
- If you do not receive an email confirmation, please contact [agu@confex.com](mailto:agu@confex.com) to ensure your abstract was submitted and to have a confirmation email sent to you.
- This confirmation does not guarantee that your abstract was accepted and only confirms that your abstract will be submitted for consideration by the Program Committee.
- In this system, there is no 'Draft' option. Once the abstract is submitted, you may review, edit or withdraw your abstract until the deadline of **31 July 2019 23:59 EDT/03:59 +1 GMT**.
- Do not withdraw a paid abstract submission if edits are needed or to begin a new submission. You may make any edits to your abstract until the submission deadline.
- Abstract fees are nonrefundable.
- Once submitted, your abstract will need to be withdrawn if you no longer wish to have it considered by the Program Committee.
- Consider making your submission citable and more discoverable by adding it to the Earth and Space Science Open Archive (ESSOAr). [ESSOAr](#) is free to post and view content. Please read our [submission guide](#) and [FAQ](#) for more information.

### What can we learn from machine learning models developed for short-term forecasting of PM2.5?

Meenakshi Rao<sup>1,2</sup>, Aaron Fellows<sup>3</sup>, Philip Orlando<sup>4</sup> and Linda Acha George<sup>1</sup>, (1)Portland State University, Portland, OR, United States, (2)Department of Environmental Quality Oregon, Portland, OR, United States, (3)Department of Environmental Quality Oregon, Portland, United States, (4)Portland State University, Environmental Science and Management, Portland, OR, United States

#### Abstract Text:

Accurate forecasting of air pollution concentrations, both short- and long-term, can provide sensitive and other groups with some warning, thus enabling them to take action to reduce exposure to unhealthy levels of air pollution. We explore how different machine algorithms perform in predicting short-term 1-3 hour forecasts of PM2.5 concentrations at 5 different sites in Oregon. We use hourly PM2.5 and meteorological observations from Oregon's air quality monitoring network for the years from 2012-2016 as the training data set, and the 2017 PM2.5 data as the validation dataset. The accuracy of the machine learning models (MLMs) is estimated using the following metrics:

- (1) Comparison of the mean square errors for the MLM 1-hour predictions with the Reff model.
- (2) The mean bias and mean error of the 1-, 2-, and 3-hour predictions for each site as compared to the observed concentrations.
- (3) The slope and  $R^2$  of the best-fit line between predicted vs. observed concentrations.

MLMs are traditionally treated as black boxes. We use a combination of residual analysis, sensitivity analysis, and visualizations to "peek" instead the black box. Our goal is to explore

what we can learn from the MLM performance; and to harness these insights into picking the right algorithm and building better models.

**Session Selection:**

Use of Machine Learning and Causal Discovery to Advance Knowledge in the Atmospheric Sciences – Methods, Limitations and Trade-offs

**Submitter's E-mail Address:**

mr Rao@rainypdx.com

**Abstract Title:**

What can we learn from machine learning models developed for short-term forecasting of PM<sub>2.5</sub>?

**Requested Presentation Type:**

Poster Only

**Previously Published?:**

Yes

**Previously Published Material:**

The machine learning model development and comparison was presented at the EPA conference in Portland, OR in August 2018. This study builds on the previous work and begins exploring the models through sensitivity analysis and examines the residuals to get insights into what the models are missing or what are they catching? How do their predictions - and residuals - differ? We hope to develop heuristics on algorithm selection through these insights.

**AGU On-Demand:**

Yes

**Abstract Payment:**

Paid (agu-fm19-622962-9300-2458-4317-0186)

*For non-students only: I do not want to be involved in OSPA or the mentoring program.*

First Presenting Author

**Presenting Author**

---

Meenakshi Rao

Primary Email: mr Rao@rainypdx.com

**Affiliation(s):**

Department of Environmental Quality Oregon  
Portland OR 97124 (United States)

Portland State University

Portland OR 97207 (United States)

#### Second Author

Aaron Fellows

**Primary Email:** fellows.aaron@deq.state.or.us

**Affiliation(s):**

Department of Environmental Quality Oregon  
Portland 97124 (United States)

#### Third Author

Philip Orlando

**Primary Email:** porlando@pdx.edu

**Affiliation(s):**

Portland State University  
Environmental Science and Management  
Portland OR (United States)

#### Fourth Author

Linda Acha George

**Primary Email:** georgeL@pdx.edu

**Affiliation(s):**

Portland State University  
Portland OR 97207 (United States)

#### If necessary, you can make changes to your abstract submission

- You may access your submission to make any edits or submit another abstract by clicking [here](#).
- Your Abstract ID# is: 622962.
- Any changes that you make will be reflected instantly in what is seen by the reviewers.
- After the abstract proposal is submitted, you are not required to go through all submission steps to make edits. For example, click the "Authors" step in the Abstract Submission Control Panel to edit the Authors and then click save or submit.
- When you have completed your submission, you may close this browser window or submit another abstract [Abstract Viewer](#).

[Tell us what you think of the abstract submission process](#)