Children's Rights

Children's Rights

https://www.childrensrights.org/press-release/childrens-rights-files-amicus-brief-in-fulton-v-city-of-philadelphia-to-argue-against-government-sanctioned-discrimination-in-child-welfare-system/

Public Facing Advocacy Writing

Contacts: Camilla Jenkins, cjenkins@childrensrights.org

(August 20, 2020) Today, Childrens Rights filed an amicus brief in Fulton v. City of Philadelphia, a case to be argued in front of SCOTUS this fall. The case centers on Catholic Social Services (CSS), a child welfare agency that has sued the City of Philadelphia to receive taxpayer dollars for its child welfare services, despite the agencys refusal to comply with the Citys nondiscrimination requirement. The brief is filed on behalf of the nations children who will be profoundly and irreparably harmed if the Court adopts the position that CSS and other government-contracted foster care agencies have a constitutional right to refuse prospective families based solely on religious objections.

Greenberg Traurig LLP served as pro bono counsel for the amici in the filing. The brief was joined by 32 child advocate organizations from across the country and a number of members of the <u>Interfaith Coalition for Childrens Rights</u> which seeks to oppose legislation and policy changes that would enshrine a license to discriminate into law.

In *Fulton v. City of Philadelphia*, SCOTUS will consider whether foster care agencies who contract with the government to perform an essential government function in exchange for tax-payer funds have a constitutional right to refuse prospective families based solely on religious objections to those families. If the Court rules in favor of CSS, it is children in the child welfare system who will ultimately pay the price.

We speak on behalf of thousands of children across the country who go to sleep at night yearning for safe and loving homes. We respect and value the role that faith-based agencies play in providing both placement and services for children in foster care across the country. Our concern is with government-sanctioned discrimination of any kind. The lower courts correctly held that the City of Philadelphias anti-discrimination regulation applies to all taxpayer-funded child welfare agencies to ensure that the pool of foster parents and resource caregivers is as diverse and broad as the foster children they serve, **said Christina Wilson Remlin, Lead Counsel at Childrens Rights.** We urge the Supreme Court to put children first and uphold Philadelphias non-discrimination policy. The only litmus test for these caregivers should be love and safety.

Accepting CSSs claim that government-contracted foster care agencies have a constitutional right to discriminate against qualified families that do not meet the agencys individual religious standards will hurt children in foster care by unnecessarily reducing the number of safe, stable placements available to them. It would also invite challenges by faith-based government contractors to a wide variety of government laws and policies protecting families and children from discrimination in the provision of government services.

Requiring that the City of Philadelphia contractually allow child welfare agencies to discriminate based on sexual orientation would also send a harmful message to vulnerable children in foster careas well as to potential LGBTQ foster parentsthat the City will not protect them from discrimination. And it would harm LGBTQ youtha demographic disproportionately represented in the foster care systemby telling them that people like them are not worthy of protection.

America already has a shortage of available families to care for children entering the foster care system. Narrowing the number of qualified and loving parents based on non-merit factors would cause thousands of children to languish in foster care and institutional placements where their needs often go unmet and where they are more likely to experience trauma and abuse.

The impact of this case has major implications far beyond the child welfare system and would apply across social services such as food banks, homeless shelters, hospitals, disaster relief agencies, and other taxpayer-funded services, **said Remlin**. Ultimately this could leave millions of people without access to needed publicly-funded services, normalizing discrimination against those seeking needed social services or assistance. This undermines the very premise that taxpayer-funded social services are designed to serve all of the public, especially its vulnerable children.

###

About Childrens Rights: Every day, children are harmed in Americas broken child welfare, juvenile justice, education, and healthcare systems. Through relentless strategic advocacy and legal action, we hold governments accountable for keeping kids safe and healthy. Childrens Rights, a national non-profit organization, has made a lasting impact for hundreds of thousands of vulnerable children. For more information, please visit www.childrensrights.org.