The Sentencing Project

Criminal Justice Issues and Prisoners' Rights

https://www.sentencingproject.org/publications/top-trends-state-criminal-justice-reform-2017/

Policy Isssue Resources

1705 DeSales St, NW 8th Floor Washington, D.C. 20036 202.628.0871 (fax) 202.628.1091 staff@sentencingproject.org

1705 DeSales St, NW 8th Floor Washington, D.C. 20036 202.628.0871 (fax) 202.628.1091 staff@sentencingproject.org

Lawmakers in several states enacted reforms to reduce the number of persons in prison and improve fairness in the criminal justice system. Most notably, Louisiana authorized legislation, Senate Bill 139, which expanded probation eligibility to people convicted of third-time nonviolent offenses and first-time low-level violent offenses. The bill also expanded eligibility for treatment alternatives and drug courts. The state amended parole practices, including lowering time served requirements before parole consideration, and authorized parole consideration for those sentenced to life at a time when their offense-type qualified for parole. Other states Arkansas, Hawaii, Michigan, and Montana adopted a range of reforms, including expanding probation eligibility, reclassifying low-level felonies to misdemeanors, streamlining parole review mechanisms, and limiting prison admissions for technical violations.

New Jersey, Arkansas, and Vermont advanced measures to address racial disparities in the criminal justice system. New Jersey adopted racial impact statement legislation, similar to that of Iowa, Connecticut, and Oregon, with the passage of Senate Bill 677. Racial impact statements are a tool for lawmakers to evaluate potential disparities of proposed sentencing legislation prior to adoption and implementation. The Arkansas Senate approved a racial impact statement bill, though the measure was not adopted by the House. Senate Bill 237 included provisions requiring the legislative sponsor to modify any bill proposal that is found to result in a racial disparity or submit a statement for the record to explain why the legislative proposal should be adopted. Vermont lawmakers authorized House Bill 492 and established a racial justice reform oversight board to monitor and implement practices to address structural racism and its contribution to disparate outcomes in the criminal justice system.

New York and North Carolina, the countrys only states that automatically prosecuted all 16- and 17-year-olds as adults, adopted reforms directing that teenage defendants should be adjudicated in the juvenile justice system. New York lawmakers adopted A-3009c/S-2009c, raising the age of juvenile accountability for all misdemeanors and most felony offenses. North Carolina officials raised the age of juvenile jurisdiction for nonviolent crimes to 18 in the states budget measure.

Tens of thousands of people with felony records are impacted by federal restrictions on public assistance for food and cash assistance. To overcome the restrictions, state officials must opt out of or modify the bans. Four states Arkansas, Louisiana, Maryland, and North Dakota did just that in 2017. In Arkansas (House Bill 1251), Louisiana (House Bill 681), and North Dakota (House Bill 1041) lawmakers eliminated the federal lifetime ban on food stamps for those with felony drug convictions. Maryland authorized House Bill 863, a measure that eliminated testing or treatment requirements for individuals with felony drug convictions who applied for social supports. Officials had previously modified the federal ban in 2000, requiring testing and other conditions for eligibility after a waiting period. HB 863 still maintains ineligibility for those convicted of high-level drug offenses.

Nationally, 6.1 million persons are disenfranchised from voting due to felony convictions. The laws governing whether justice-involved persons can vote vary across states. During 2017, Alabama enacted SB 237 to clarify a longstanding provision that disenfranchised persons convicted of crimes of moral turpitude. The bill establishes a list of 50 specific felonies that involve moral turpitude which disqualify a person from exercising his or her right to vote, according to the legislation. Previously, all felonies were interpreted to be crimes of moral turpitude. The measure has now restored voting rights to thousands of Alabama residents. Nebraska legislators attempted to override the governors veto of Legislative Bill 75, legislation that would have repealed the two-year waiting ban following sentence completion for voter eligibility.

A criminal record can impact an individual long after they complete their sentence. Several initiatives to improve reentry by affirming a fair chance at post-secondary education, employment, and housing were adopted in 2017. Louisiana lawmakers enacted House Bill 688 to prohibit public universities from asking applicants about their criminal history. Schools can ask about convictions for stalking, rape and sexual battery during the admissions process. Maryland legislators overrode the governors veto of Senate Bill 543 that restricts public and private colleges and universities from asking about arrests and convictions on initial application forms. Schools can inquire about this information at later stages of the admissions process and deny admission to persons they believe pose a danger. Utah passed

House Bill 156 to delay questions of criminal history on initial state employment applications, and House Bill 178 which eliminates a provision that required landlords to deny rental housing, under the state funded Good Landlords policy to applicants with a criminal record.

Americansare barred from voting due to felony disenfranchisement laws

Sentencing Policy

1705 DeSales St, NW 8th Floor Washington, D.C. 20036 202.628.0871 (fax) 202.628.1091 staff@sentencingproject.org

The Sentencing Project (EIN 52-1472546) is a registered 501(c)(3) nonprofit organization. Copyright 2022 The Sentencing Project All Rights Reserved Terms of Use // Privacy Policy