## **Human Rights First**

## Discrimination, Detention, and Deportation: Immigration & Refugees

## https://www.humanrightsfirst.org/press-release/executive-order-implementation-should-not-block-refugee-resettlement

## **Public Facing Advocacy Writing**

Work With Asylum Seekers

If you are seeking asylum, we can help

Areas of Focus

**Current Initiatives** 

New York CityHuman Rights First today urged the Trump Administration to make clear that refugees who are already being processed for U.S. resettlement have a bona fide relationship with U.S. entities and should not be blocked from admission under the partial implementation of President Trumps travel ban. On Monday the Supreme Court partially reinstated President Trumps executive order barring people from six Muslim-majority countries and halting refugee resettlement, making an exception for individuals determined to have a bona fide relationship with a person or entity in the United States. The Department of Justice (DOJ) is set to issue guidance on implementation of the ban before it goes into effect tomorrow.

The Supreme Courts ruling paves the way for the refugee admissions to proceed ahead as normal, said Human Rights Firsts Eleanor Acer. All refugees who have been approved for resettlement to the United States have established relationships with U.S. resettlement agencies. Furthermore, refugees approved for resettlement have undergone extensive vetting ensuring that they do not pose a security threat.

Under the Supreme Court order, refugee applicants who qualify for a processing priority should continue to have access to U.S. refugee resettlement. All refugees in the resettlement pipeline who have been assigned case numbers by the U.S. Refugee Admissions Program (USRAP) have established a bona fide relationship with a USRAP Resettlement Support Center (RSC). Such relationship may be entered into only after the applicant has established that he or she has ties to the United States.

In addition, the majority of refugees referred for and approved for U.S. resettlement already have family in the United States and many also have ties to U.S.-based voluntary resettlement agencies, faith-based groups, and other communities that have committed to cosponsor refugees, as well as U.S.-based attorneys or legal assistance organizations. Many Iraqis and their families who served the U.S. military, government, contractors, NGOs, and media, and are now in danger due to that service. Congress gave these Iraqis direct access to U.S. resettlement program through the Refugee Crisis in Iraq Act because of their relationships with the U.S. military and other U.S. entities. Tens of thousands of Iraqis have been waiting for years already to be resettled, in dangerous situations.

Human Rights First notes that certain groups of refugees face increased risk of violence and persecution as they await resettlement, including LGBT refugees who often faced continued persecution in the country of first asylum. For these refugees, their connections to U.S. resettlement agencies serve as their only lifeline to escape danger.

If the Trump Administrationmistakenly takes a restrictive approach to implementing the Supreme Courts ruling, it will surely lead to more lives lost and a further erosion of U.S. leadership globally, noted Acer. The bottom line is that refugees approved for U.S. resettlement have strong and bona fide relationships with U.S. resettlement agencies and in many cases with U.S. family members or other U.S. entities. They should not be blocked from U.S. resettlement.

Human Rights First also notes the implementation guidance must also safeguard access to asylum, ensuring that nationals of the six named countries are not denied access to protection at U.S. borders. Under U.S. laws and treaty commitments, border agents are required to process asylum claims, regardless of the asylum seekers country of origin.

Published on June 28, 2017

Share

Systemic Injustice-Refugee Protection

2017 Pro Bono Stars: Houston

Blog

Systemic Injustice-Refugee Protection

Joint Letter to President Biden, Secretary Mayorkas & AG Garland on Title 42

Letter

Systemic Injustice-Refugee Protection

360 Videos Document Life for Asylum Seekers at U.S. Border

Press

Systemic Injustice-Refugee Protection

5 Ways To Help Migrant Parents And Children At The Border

Blog

Systemic Injustice-Refugee Protection

5th Circuit Amicus Brief in State of Texas, State of Missouri v Biden: HRF Opposes MPP on the Merits

Amicus Briefs

Systemic Injustice-Refugee Protection

A life in safety would not mean anything if my children were not here with me: Joys and Trauma Persist for Refugees Separated from Their Families

Letter

Systemic Injustice-Refugee Protection

A Second Chance for Detained Asylum Seekers in Jennings v. Rodriguez

Blog

Systemic Injustice-Refugee Protection

A Shameful Record: Biden Administrations Use of Trump Policies Endangers People Seeking Asylum

Reports

Systemic Injustice-Refugee Protection

A Sign of Desperation: Syrian Refugees are Selling Their Organs

Blog

Systemic Injustice-Refugee Protection

A Sordid Scheme: The Trump Administrations Illegal Return of Asylum Seekers to Mexico

Reports

Systemic Injustice-Refugee Protection

A Sordid Scheme: The Trump Administrations Illegal Return of Asylum Seekers to Mexico

Reports

Systemic Injustice-Refugee Protection

A Year After Del Rio, Haitian Asylum Seekers Expelled Under Title 42 Are Still Suffering

Letter

Urge Congress to pass the Afghan Adjustment Act

Human Rights First is a nonpartisan, 501(c)(3). We do not favor or oppose any candidate for public office.