

Solitary Watch

Criminal Justice Issues and Prisoners' Rights

<https://solitarywatch.org/2010/07/19/the-puppy-protection-act-and-the-animal-enterprise-terrorism-act/>

Campaign and Advocacy

close

Search

close

close

by [James Ridgeway](#) | July 19, 2010

Today we're cross-posting an entry from my blog [Unsilent Generation](#), because of its relevance to an issue we've written about before: environmental and animal rights activists placed in federal supermax [Communications Management Units \(CMUs\)](#) under the vastly overreactive Animal Enterprise Terrorism Act (AETA).

The gist of this is that if you pursue some modest reforms through the legislative process, you're an animal lover; if you take things a step further, you're a terrorist even if you commit no violence against human beings, and damage nothing but property. The subject is particularly timely at the moment because of the ongoing legal drama involving defendants who are being called the [AETA 4](#) four activists who engaged in what by most standards would clearly qualify as Constitutionally protected free expression.



Here's [the full post from Unsilent Generation](#):

Congress.org reports today on bills recently introduced in both houses of Congress. The Puppy Uniform Protection and Safety (PUPS) Act ([S 3424](#) and [HR 5434](#)) would amend the Animal Welfare Act to provide further protection for puppies.


The bills, from Sen. Dick Durbin (D-Ill.) and Rep. Sam Farr (D-Calif.), were introduced at the end of May and trail a Department of Agriculture inspector general report regarding federal investigations of breeders.

The IG report, released May 25, says large breeders who sell animals covered under the Animal Welfare Act (AWA, PL 89-544) online are exempt from inspection and licensing requirements due to a loophole in AWA. The IG says there are an increasing number of these unlicensed, unmonitored breeders.

The bills would require licensing and inspection of dog breeders that sell more than 50 dogs per year to the public (including online) and would also outline additional exercise requirements for dogs at facilities such as having sufficient, clean space and proper flooring.

According to a press release, Durbin said he would work administratively with the USDA to fix problems at its Animal and Plant Health Inspection Services, and then introduce additional legislation if needed.

Supporting humane treatment of puppies would seem like a political no-brainer, right? As [Liliana Segura](#) pointed out on Twitter earlier today, what could be better in the upcoming midterm elections than to be able to say our opponents HATE puppies? Mainstream groups like the [Humane Society](#) have been pushing for legislation action on puppy mills for years, to little avail. ([Click here](#) to see video of a Humane Society raid on a massive puppy mill in Tennessee, and [here](#) to read some gruesome details from the USDA's report on puppy mills.) Yet the bills are not exactly barreling their way through Congress; both are waiting for attention from agricultural subcommittees, and after two months, the Senate bill has only seven co-sponsors.



In addition, when it comes to animals routinely used in [cosmetic testing](#), and animals (including puppies and dogs) [treated cruelly](#) in drug testing and medical research, the federal government has pretty much sat on its hands or worse. To take one particularly galling example, the Physicians Committee for Responsible Medicine last year exposed an effort on the part of the National Institutes of Health to sell young constituents on the idea of animal experimentation. As Stephanie Ernst wrote on [Change.org](#):

[T]he NIH promotes, on its Web site, a [children's coloring book](#) that gives a skewed view of animal experiments. The coloring book implies that researchers are trying to cure animals that are already sick rather than purposely infecting them with diseases and ignores the fact that animals suffer and die in the process. The coloring book, entitled *The Lucky Puppy*, was produced by an industry trade group, the North Carolina Association for Biomedical Research, whose members have a financial interest in the continuation of animal research.

The book erroneously portrays the lives of animals in laboratories as pleasant and carefree. Published scientific research and numerous undercover investigations clearly demonstrate that animals in laboratories suffer pain and distress from experimental procedures and routine laboratory practices. The coloring book also makes misleading claims about the benefits of animal experiments, implying that research findings from experiments on animals are directly applicable to both the animals used in research and to humans.

The federal government is also actively engaged in protecting animal testing and experimentation against protests mounted by animal rights activists. Anyone who chooses to take action against an animal testing facility is not, as one would expect, subject to charges of breaking-and-entering or vandalism. Instead, they are branded terrorists under the notorious [Animal Enterprise Terrorism Act](#). For actions in which no human being were harmed, they can end up serving long sentences in a [federal supermax Communications Management Unit](#). (See the blog [Green Is the New Red](#) for the [best information on AETA](#).)



James Ridgeway (1936-2021) was founder and co-director of Solitary Watch. An investigative journalist for over 60 years, he served as Washington Correspondent for the Village Voice and Mother Jones, reporting domestically on subjects ranging from electoral politics to corporate malfeasance to the rise of the racist far right, and abroad from Central America, Northern Ireland, Eastern Europe, Haiti, and the former Yugoslavia. Earlier, he wrote for The New Republic and Ramparts, and his work appeared in dozens of other publications. He was the co-director of two films and author of 20 books, including a forthcoming posthumous edition of his groundbreaking 1991 work on the far right, *Blood in the Face*.

Accurate information and authentic storytelling can serve as powerful antidotes to ignorance and injustice. We have helped generate public awareness, mainstream media attention, and informed policymaking on what was once an invisible domestic human rights crisis.

Only with your support can we continue this groundbreaking work, shining light into the darkest corners of the U.S. criminal punishment system.

by [Juan Moreno Haines](#)

October 25, 2022

by [Solitary Watch Guest Author](#)

October 13, 2022

by [Vaidya Gullapalli](#)

September 29, 2022

Solitary Watch encourages comments and welcomes a range of ideas, opinions, debates, and respectful disagreement. We do not allow name-calling, bullying, cursing, or personal attacks of any kind. Any embedded links should be to information relevant to the conversation. Comments that violate these guidelines will be removed, and repeat offenders will be blocked. Thank you for your cooperation.

P.O. Box 11374
Washington, DC 20008

info@solitarywatch.org

Solitary Watch

Copyright 2022, Solitary Watch

Read about [rights and permissions](#).



Solitary Watch News