

Center for the Victims of Torture

Torture, Former Combatants, Political Prisoners, Terror Suspects, & Terrorists

<https://www.justsecurity.org/77697/course-correction-still-needed-on-anti-torture-obligations/>

Public Facing Advocacy Writing

by [Scott Roehm](#) and [David Luban](#)

August 9, 2021

[Al Hela v. Biden](#), [Al-Nashiri](#), [Biden administration](#), [Convention Against Torture](#), [Military Commissions](#), [Military Commissions Act](#), [torture](#)

by [Scott Roehm](#) and [David Luban](#)

August 9, 2021

Shortly after Joe Biden won the 2020 presidential election, Mark Hamill (Luke Skywalker from the iconic Star Wars movies) shared a [meme](#) that pictured each of President Obama, President Trump, and President-elect Bidens faces alongside one of the Star Wars movie titles. Obama was paired with A New Hope, Trump with The Empire Strikes Back, and Biden with Return of the Jedi. The tweet went viral. Whatever one thinks of those analogies, the Star Wars reference is apt now for at least one reason: Jedi Master Yoda's perhaps most famous teaching is one that *every* Biden administration official whose responsibilities touch on U.S. anti-torture obligations needs to follow: Try not. Do. Or do not. There is no try.

Both of us wrote recently about military commission chief prosecutor Gen. Mark Martins' [brazen attempt](#) to use torture-derived evidence in the capital case against Abd-al-Rahim al-Nashiri, and the commissions' [astonishing ruling](#) permitting it. In the several months since, the Biden administration appears to have come to its senses. Three developments in particular suggest a desire to course correct: The President's statement on International Day in Support of Victims of Torture, Martins' resignation, and prosecutors' partial retreat from the torture-tainted evidence it offered in *U.S. v. al Nashiri*.

The first two are meaningful steps in the right direction. The third was a blown opportunity to leave no doubt that the government would abide by the prohibition on tortures exclusionary rule going forward, not only in *al Nashiri* but in all commission cases. Instead, the prosecutors hedged, and in so doing left the door open to additional torture-related rights violations. That's especially concerning because when it comes to issues involving post-9/11 U.S. torture, it's safe to assume past is prologue: if there's any crack in the door, somebody, at some point, is going to try to sneak through.

On June 26, the 34th anniversary of the United Nations Convention Against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (known as the [CAT](#)), [the President](#) reaffirm[ed] the United States' unequivocal ban on torture and opposition to all forms of inhumane treatment. Torture goes against everything we stand for as a nation, he said, and we must never again resort to its use. We know that [torture] is prohibited universally, and violates U.S. and international law, Biden continued. I pledge the full efforts of the United States to eradicate torture in all its forms.

Two weeks later, notwithstanding having previously obtained an extension to serve in his role until Jan. 1, 2023, Martins announced his retirement (effective Sept. 30). [According to](#) the New York Times, Martins' decision came after repeatedly butting heads with Biden administration lawyers over positions his office had taken on the applicable international law and the Convention Against Torture at the Guantanamo court.

The stage was thus set for a formal confession of error in *al-Nashiri*. Prosecutors could, and should, have withdrawn the torture-derived evidence they submitted; moved to vacate Judge Acosta's decision authorizing its use; and promised to never again offer in any commission proceeding, for any purpose, any statement or derivative evidence obtained from an individual while being subjected to the CIA torture program.

They took the first step, filing a [motion](#) that asks Judge Acosta to strike from the record the specific statements they had submitted that al-Nashiri made while being tortured at CIA black sites. The motion includes what at first blush appears to be the kind of blanket commitment the government should have made, but on closer inspection clearly isn't: The Government *has not sought* and will not seek to use statements obtained from torture or cruel, inhuman, or degrading treatment *to obtain a conviction* before a Military Commission. (Emphasis added).

To understand what this does and does not say, we must recall what Judge Acosta had ruled: that the ban on using torture-derived statements applies only to admitting them as evidence at trial, not using them in interlocutory proceedings. He held this even though the [Military Commissions Act \(MCA\) explicitly states that](#) no statement obtained by the use of torture or by cruel, inhuman, or degrading treatment shall be admissible in a military commission. (One of us analyzed the issue [here](#).)

The Government's motion promises only to refrain from using such statements to obtain a conviction. That could be read as a broad commitment not to use them at all. But the Government gives its game away when it says that it has not sought to use such statements. But it *did* seek to use them in the earlier interlocutory proceeding. Apparently, what the Government means by the slippery phrase to obtain a conviction is something narrow: introducing them in a trial.

In other words, all that prosecutors are promising is to abide by Judge Acosta's erroneous decision. What they don't promise is to abide by the plain terms of the statute, which excludes torture-derived statements from the military commissions altogether. Their unwritten subtext is clear: It really is ok to use torture-derived evidence in some circumstances, those are the only circumstances where we've tried to use it, and we'd like to preserve that option. Rather than asking Judge Acosta to vacate his opinion, prosecutors are looking to moot al-Nashiri's appeal before the Court of Military Commission Review (CMCR) while (in [their words](#)) reserv[ing] the Military Judges' authority and responsibility to apply the Military Commission Rules of Evidence (M.C.R.E.) and decide interlocutory matters.

Contrast the government's reluctant (yet calculated) approach with the way it took aim at a different torture-related ruling, in *U.S. v. Khan*, which properly analyzed U.S. anti-torture obligations and would have *benefitted* commission defendants. There, over prosecutors' objection, the judge [determined](#) that Mr. Khan's treatment at CIA black sites violated the jus cogens universal right to be free of torture under U.S. and international law, and held that he had authority to remedy that outrageous treatment by awarding Khan sentencing credit for his torture. The government [asked](#) the judge to vacate the decision (on the boldly-worded assertion that it didn't reflect a [proper and wise](#) interpretation of the law). When he refused, the government made vacating the decision a condition of Khan's plea deal.

The government's failure to stand down in *al Nashiri*, in the way that both international law and the President's June 26 pledge require, raises the stakes even higher in *al Hela v. Biden*, the much-discussed habeas case now pending before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit. The basic question there analyzed in depth [here](#), [here](#), and [here](#) is whether the Due Process Clause applies at Guantanamo.

After [intense internal debate](#), the government took no position on that question in its July 9 brief. Its silence is deeply problematic for myriad reasons, including two directly relevant to the torture evidence issue.

First, it's hard to see how Judge Acosta could have reached the same decision if he'd had to contend not only with the MCA, but also with the Due Process clause. [Al-Nashiri's petition](#) challenging that decision at the CMCR explains why:

For decades, the Supreme Court has made it clear that when the actions of the executive branch violate[] the decencies of civilized conduct[,], the Due Process Clause is likewise violated. When the Government engages in conduct that is brutal, those fundamental conceptions are breached, traditional ideas of fair play and decency are contravened, and the rights implicit in the concept of organized liberty are violated. In other words, [T]o sanction the [Government's] brutal conduct . . . would be to afford brutality the cloak of law. Nothing could be more calculated to discredit law and thereby to brutalize the temper of a society. [(Citations omitted)].

Second, *al-Nashiri* was probably the exception in the sense that defense counsel *knew* torture-derived evidence was at issue. What if the government had submitted al-Nashiri's black site statements ex parte? Have prosecutors made secret submissions involving torture-tainted evidence before, and might they again? Application of the Due Process Clause would go a long way toward eliminating that risk, too.

As the National Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers explains in its [amicus brief](#) in *al Hela*:

A due process concern [is] raised when a court relies on ex parte submissions in resolving an issue that is the subject of an adversarial proceeding. The reason for such a concern is not confounding; fairness can rarely be obtained by a secret, one-sided determination of facts decisive of rights. Indeed, [i]t is the hallmark of our adversary system that we safeguard party access to the evidence tendered in support of a requested court judgment. As such, where classified information is at stake, [t]he government must choose; either it must leave the transactions in the obscurity from which a trial will draw them, or it must expose them fully. (Citations omitted).

Allowing ex parte submissions, which the government uses routinely in the commissions, is especially dangerous where, as in both *al Hela* and *al Nashiri*, there's a real risk of erroneous deprivation of liberty, and in al-Nashiri's case of his life.

It isn't too late for the Biden administration to fix these problems, and the fixes aren't complicated. One of us has [proposed](#) that Defense Secretary Austin and Attorney General Garland issue department-wide guidance barring the use of any statement made by, or evidence otherwise derived from, any person while in CIA or foreign government custody or control in connection with the torture program (and that Austin implement that guidance by amending the Manual for Military Commissions). The Department of Defense's General Counsel also has supervisory authority over the commissions' prosecutors (as well as the defense) and is responsible for interpreting the law for the Department; she could issue a memorandum of law or make a public statement to further clarify the Biden administration's position on the inadmissibility of torture evidence at any stage in the commissions' proceedings as a matter of domestic and U.S. international legal obligation.

With respect to the application of Due Process at Guantanamo, as Ryan Goodman recently [noted](#), oral argument in *al Hela* (scheduled for Sept. 30) provides the government another opportunity to do the right thing as a matter of law and policy.

No more caveats, qualifications, or half-measures. The prohibition on torture is absolute. The government's commitment to upholding it must be, too.

[Al Hela v. Biden](#), [Al-Nashiri](#), [Biden administration](#), [Convention Against Torture](#), [Military Commissions](#), [Military Commissions Act](#), [torture](#)

All-source, public repository of congressional hearing transcripts, government agency documents, digital forensics, social media analysis, public opinion surveys, empirical research, more.

by [Brianna Rosen](#)

Oct 25th, 2022

by [Ambassador Juan Manuel Gmez-Robledo Verduzco](#)

Oct 24th, 2022

by [Cathryn Grothe](#)

Oct 21st, 2022

by [Steven J. Barela](#)

Oct 20th, 2022

by [Douglas London](#)

Oct 18th, 2022

by [Luke Hartig](#)

Oct 17th, 2022

by [Jonathan Leader Maynard](#)

Oct 14th, 2022

by [Oona A. Hathaway](#)

Oct 11th, 2022

by [Amanda L. White Eagle](#)

Oct 10th, 2022

by [Muhammad Kamal](#)

Oct 7th, 2022

by [Richard Dicker](#) and [Paloma van Groll](#)

Oct 6th, 2022

by [Naomi Kikoler](#) and [Sarah McIntosh](#)

Oct 6th, 2022

by [Jens Iverson](#)

Oct 5th, 2022

by [Jens Iverson](#)

Oct 5th, 2022

by [John K. Glenn](#)

Oct 4th, 2022

by [Ryan Goodman](#) and [Clara Apt](#)

Sep 29th, 2022

by [Jennifer Trahan](#)

Sep 26th, 2022

by [Jennifer Trahan](#)

Sep 26th, 2022

by [Norman L. Eisen](#) and [Fred Wertheimer](#)

Sep 26th, 2022

by [Just Security](#)

Sep 24th, 2022

by [Astrid Reisinger Coracini](#)

Sep 23rd, 2022

by [Astrid Reisinger Coracini](#)

Sep 23rd, 2022

by [Ambassador H.E. Juan Ramn de la Fuente](#) and [Pablo Arrocha Olabuenaga](#)

Sep 23rd, 2022

by [Katherine Fang](#) and [Clara Apt](#)

Sep 22nd, 2022

by [E. Danya Perry](#), [Joshua Stanton](#) and [Norman L. Eisen](#)

Sep 21st, 2022

by [Ambassador John E. Herbst](#)

Sep 21st, 2022

by [Richard Gowan](#)

Sep 20th, 2022

by [Richard Gowan](#)

Sep 20th, 2022

by [Oona A. Hathaway](#)

Sep 20th, 2022

by [Oona A. Hathaway](#)

Sep 20th, 2022

by [Marc Sommers](#)

Sep 19th, 2022

by [Ambassador Thomas Graham Jr.](#)

Sep 16th, 2022

by [Aryeh Neier](#)

Sep 14th, 2022

by [Eian Katz](#)

Sep 13th, 2022

by [Sam Cohen](#)

Sep 12th, 2022

by [Just Security](#)

Sep 10th, 2022

by [Michael Stern](#)

Sep 9th, 2022

by [Tess Bridgeman](#) and [Brian Finucane](#)

Sep 8th, 2022

by [Sophie Richardson](#)

Sep 8th, 2022

by [Ryan Goodman](#) and [Justin Hendrix](#)

Sep 7th, 2022

by [Laurence H. Tribe](#), [Philip Lacovara](#) and [Dennis Aftergut](#)

Sep 6th, 2022

by [Just Security](#)

Sep 3rd, 2022

by [Jumaina Siddiqui](#)

Sep 2nd, 2022

by [Peter Devine](#)

Sep 1st, 2022

by [Shaharзад Akbar](#)

Aug 31st, 2022

by [Ambassador M. Ashraf Haidari](#)

Aug 30th, 2022

by [George Croner](#)

Aug 29th, 2022

by [Douglas London](#)

Aug 29th, 2022

by [Michael Stern](#)

Aug 28th, 2022

by [Just Security](#)

Aug 27th, 2022

by [Virginia Canter](#) and [Gabe Lezra](#)

Aug 26th, 2022

by [Fionnuala N Aolin](#)

Aug 25th, 2022

by [Gaiane Nuridzhanian](#)

Aug 24th, 2022

by [Ezequiel Heffes](#)

Aug 24th, 2022

by [Gaiane Nuridzhanian](#)

Aug 24th, 2022

by [James A. Goldston](#)

Aug 23rd, 2022

by [Ambassador \(ret.\) Lee Wolosky](#)

Aug 22nd, 2022

by [Just Security](#)

Aug 20th, 2022

by [Ximena Casas Isaza](#) and [Catalina Martinez](#)

Aug 19th, 2022

by [Ryan Goodman](#)

Aug 18th, 2022

by [William S. Dodge](#)

Aug 18th, 2022

by [Faiza Patel](#) and [Spencer Reynolds](#)

Aug 17th, 2022

by [J. William Leonard](#)

Aug 16th, 2022

by [Genevieve Nadeau](#) and [Helen White](#)

Aug 16th, 2022

by [Albert W. Alschuler](#)

Aug 16th, 2022

by [Shabana Basij-Rasikh](#)

Aug 16th, 2022

by [Nasir A. Andisha](#) and [Marzia Marastoni](#)

Aug 15th, 2022

by [Just Security](#)

Aug 13th, 2022

by [Karima Bennouna](#)

Aug 12th, 2022

by [Nicholas Rasmussen](#) and [Sarah Kenny](#)

Aug 12th, 2022

by [Liz Hempowicz](#)

Aug 11th, 2022

by [Asha Rangappa](#), [Norman L. Eisen](#) and [Bradley P. Moss](#)

Aug 9th, 2022

by [Julie Owono](#)

Aug 9th, 2022

by [Khalid Aljabri](#)

Aug 8th, 2022

by [Just Security](#)

Aug 4th, 2022

by [Clara Apt](#)

Aug 1st, 2022

by [Just Security](#)

Jul 30th, 2022

by [Sang-Min Kim](#)

Jul 29th, 2022

by [Joseph Nunn](#) and [Elizabeth Goitein](#)

Jul 28th, 2022

by [Lawrence Woocher](#)

Jul 25th, 2022

by [Viola Gienger](#)

Jul 23rd, 2022

by [Just Security](#)

Jul 23rd, 2022

by [Noah Bookbinder](#), [Norman L. Eisen](#), [Fred Wertheimer](#), [Donald Simon](#), [Jason Powell](#), [Debra Perlin](#), [Colby Galliher](#) and [Madison Gee](#)

Jul 21st, 2022

by [Albert W. Alschuler](#)

Jul 21st, 2022

by [Salah Ben Hammou](#) and [Avery Reyna](#)

Jul 20th, 2022

by [Dario Cristiani](#)

Jul 19th, 2022

by [Ryan Goodman](#)

Jul 19th, 2022

by [Norman L. Eisen](#), [Noah Bookbinder](#), [Fred Wertheimer](#), [Donald Simon](#), [Jason Powell](#), [Debra Perlin](#), [Colby Galliher](#), [Madison Gee](#) and [Taylor Redd](#)

Jul 18th, 2022

by [Ryan Goodman](#)

Jul 18th, 2022

by [Just Security](#)

Jul 16th, 2022

by [Douglas London](#)

Jul 15th, 2022

by [Nasir A. Andisha](#) and [Hamid A. Formuli](#)

Jul 14th, 2022

by [Tess Bridgeman](#)

Jul 13th, 2022

by [Kristine Beckerle](#) and [Ali Jameel](#)

Jul 13th, 2022

by [Ambassador P. Michael McKinley \(ret.\)](#)

Jul 12th, 2022

by [Ryan Goodman](#), [Justin Hendrix](#) and [Clara Apt](#)

Jul 11th, 2022

by [Bradley Honigberg](#)

Jul 8th, 2022

by [Alexandra Stark](#)

Jul 7th, 2022

by [W. Neil Eggleston](#)

Jul 5th, 2022

by [Just Security](#)

Jul 2nd, 2022

by [Karl Mihm](#), [Justin Cole](#), [Iva Petkova](#), [Margaret Shields](#), [Mari Dugas](#), [Nicholas Tonckens](#) and [Tess Bridgeman](#)

Nov 19th, 2021

by [Karl Mihm](#), [Jacob Apkon](#) and [Sruthi Venkatachalam](#)

Sep 29th, 2022

by [Tess Bridgeman](#) and [Brianna Rosen](#)

Mar 24th, 2022

by [Megan Corrarino](#)

Feb 18th, 2022

by [Mary B. McCord](#)

Jan 24th, 2022

by [Emily Berman](#), [Tess Bridgeman](#), [Megan Corrarino](#), [Ryan Goodman](#) and [Dakota S. Rudesill](#)

Jan 20th, 2022

by [Laura Brawley](#), [Antara Joardar](#) and [Madhu Narasimhan](#)

Oct 29th, 2021

by [Leila Nadya Sadat](#)

Sep 13th, 2021

by [Tess Bridgeman](#), [Rachel Goldbrenner](#) and [Ryan Goodman](#)

Sep 7th, 2021

by [Just Security](#)

Jul 19th, 2021

by [Kate Brannen](#)

Jun 30th, 2021

by [Fionnuala N Aolin](#) and [Kate Brannen](#)

Jun 14th, 2021

by [Steven J. Barela](#) and [Mark Fallon](#)

Jun 1st, 2021

by [Christine Berger](#)

May 29th, 2021

by [Beth Van Schaack](#)

Feb 1st, 2021

by [Beth Van Schaack](#) and [Chris Moxley](#)

Nov 16th, 2020

by [Oona A. Hathaway](#), [Preston Lim](#), [Mark Stevens](#) and [Alasdair Phillips-Robins](#)

Nov 10th, 2020

by [Emily Berman](#), [Tess Bridgeman](#), [Ryan Goodman](#) and [Dakota S. Rudesill](#)

Oct 14th, 2020

by [Cristina Rodriguez](#) and [Adam Cox](#)

Oct 12th, 2020

by [Scott Roehm](#), [Rita Siemion](#) and [Hina Shamsi](#)

Sep 11th, 2020

by [Matiangai Sirleaf](#)

Jul 13th, 2020

by [Catherine O'Rourke](#)

Oct 21st, 2020

by [Sarah Knuckey](#) and [Jayne Huckerby](#)

May 27th, 2020

by [Tess Bridgeman](#) and [Ryan Goodman](#)

Sep 12th, 2019

by [Just Security](#)

Jan 28th, 2019

by [Marty Lederman](#)

Oct 25th, 2018

by [Erik Dahl](#)

Jun 7th, 2022

by [Justin Hendrix](#), [Nicholas Tonckens](#) and [Sruthi Venkatachalam](#)

Aug 29th, 2021

by [Ryan Goodman](#) and [Juilee Shivalkar](#)

Aug 8th, 2021

by [Kate Brannen](#) and [Ryan Goodman](#)

May 11th, 2021

by [Atlantic Council's DFRLab](#)

Feb 10th, 2021

by [Ryan Goodman](#), [Mari Dugas](#) and [Nicholas Tonckens](#)

Jan 11th, 2021

by [Ryan Goodman](#) and [Danielle Schulkin](#)

Nov 3rd, 2020

by [Chris Shenton](#)

Aug 24th, 2020

by [Ryan Goodman](#) and [Danielle Schulkin](#)

Jul 27th, 2020

by [Ryan Goodman](#) and [Julia Brooks](#)

Mar 11th, 2020

Washington Director of the Center for Victims of Torture. Chair of the Board of Directors for Refugee Council USA.

David Luben ([@DavidLuban](#)) is University Professor in Law and Philosophy at Georgetown and a member of the editorial board of Just Security.

[Send A Letter To The Editor](#)

by [Brian Egan](#)

Oct 24th, 2022

by [Vlana lyse Charlier](#), [Alexandra Filippova](#) and [Tom Ricker](#)

Oct 19th, 2022

by [Emma Ashford](#)

Oct 14th, 2022

by [Joseph Margulies](#)

Oct 13th, 2022

by [Richard Dicker](#) and [Paloma van Groll](#)

Oct 6th, 2022

by [Bodo Weber](#) and [Kurt Bassuener](#)

Oct 5th, 2022

by [Rosy Auguste Ducna](#)

Oct 4th, 2022

by [Ambassador Daniel Fried](#)

Sep 28th, 2022

by [Ambassador Daniel Fried](#)

Sep 28th, 2022

by [Ambassador John E. Herbst](#)

Sep 21st, 2022

by [Tess Bridgeman](#) and [Brian Finucane](#)

Sep 8th, 2022

by [Jacques Ted St Dic](#)

Sep 7th, 2022

Just Security is based at the Reiss Center on Law and Security at New York University School of Law.