

Human Rights Watch

Torture, Former Combatants, Political Prisoners, Terror Suspects, & Terrorists

<https://www.hrw.org/news/2004/02/25/egypts-torture-epidemic>

Policy Issue Resources

Help us continue to fight human rights abuses. Please give now to support our work

A Human Rights Watch Briefing Paper

[Share this via Facebook](#)

[Share this via Twitter](#)

[Share this via WhatsApp](#)

[Share this via Email](#)

[Other ways to share](#)

[Share this via LinkedIn](#)

[Share this via Reddit](#)



[Share this via Telegram](#)



[Share this via Printer](#)

Torture in Egypt is a widespread and persistent phenomenon. Security forces and the police routinely torture or ill-treat detainees, particularly during interrogation. In most cases, officials torture detainees to obtain information and coerce confessions, occasionally leading to death in custody. In some cases, officials use torture detainees to punish, intimidate, or humiliate. Police also detain and torture family members to obtain information or confessions from a relative, or to force a wanted relative to surrender.¹

While torture in Egypt has typically been used against political dissidents, in recent years it has become epidemic, affecting large numbers of ordinary citizens who find themselves in police custody as suspects or in connection with criminal investigations. The Egyptian authorities do not investigate the great majority of allegations of torture despite their obligation to do so under Egyptian and international law. In the few cases where officers have been prosecuted for torture or ill-treatment, charges were often inappropriately lenient and penalties inadequate. This lack of effective public accountability and transparency has led to a culture of impunity.

Police and state security agencies continue to use torture in order to suppress political dissent. In the past decade, suspected Islamist militants have borne the brunt of these acts. Recently, increasing numbers of secular and leftist dissidents have also been tortured by police and security officials. In March and April 2003, for instance, the authorities tortured and ill-treated in detention some demonstrators and alleged organizers of public protests against the U.S. led war in Iraq.²

Egyptian police regularly detain street children they consider vulnerable to delinquency or vulnerable to danger.³ During arrest these children are routinely beaten with fists and batons. Children also told Human Rights Watch that police subjected them to sexual violence or tolerated sexual violence by adult detainees while in custody. They face brutal and humiliating treatment and, in some cases, this ill-treatment was so severe as to constitute torture.⁴

In addition, groups made vulnerable by stigma or social marginalization continue to be subject to police torture and ill-treatment. Many men arrested solely for consensual homosexual conduct, or suspicion thereof, have been beaten and tortured in police custody.⁵

Methods of torture include beatings with fists, feet, and leather straps, sticks, and electric cables; suspension in contorted and painful positions accompanied by beatings; the application of electric shocks; and sexual intimidation and violence.

Deaths in custody as a result of torture and ill-treatment have shown a disturbing rise in the past two years. Egyptian human rights organizations report at least ten cases in 2002 and seven in 2003 [see Appendix]. The Prosecutor General's office opened criminal investigations in some of these cases following formal complaints filed by human rights lawyers and family members. To Human Rights Watch's knowledge, none of these investigations have led to criminal prosecution or disciplinary actions against the perpetrators.

In the September-November 2003 period alone, Egyptian human rights organizations reported four cases of deaths in custody.

Egypt is party to the major human rights treaties dealing with torture, notably the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) and the Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (Convention against Torture). Hence, Egypt is strictly obliged to prohibit any form of torture and ill-treatment and to take positive measures in order to protect victims of torture by carrying out thorough, impartial, and prompt investigations into allegations of torture and ill-treatment and filing criminal charges where appropriate. However, Egypt did not sign the Optional Protocol to the ICCPR, which establishes a mechanism for receiving individual complaints. Egypt also entered reservations with regard to Articles 21 and 22 of the Convention against Torture. Those articles affirm the right of State parties to the Convention to file torture-related complaints against another state as well as the right of victims of torture to file grievances directly with the committee that oversees compliance with the Convention.

Article 42 of Egypt's Constitution provides that any person in detention shall be treated in a manner concomitant with the preservation of his dignity and that no physical or moral (*m`anawi*) harm is to be inflicted upon him. Egypt's Penal Code recognizes torture as a criminal offence, but the definition of the crime of torture falls short of the definition in Article 1 of the Convention against Torture. For example,

under article 126 of the Penal Code, torture is limited to physical abuse, occurs only when the victim is an accused, and only when torture is being used in order to coerce a confession. While confessions are frequently the object of torture, this narrow definition improperly excludes cases of mental or psychological abuse, and cases where the torture is committed against someone other than an accused or for purposes other than securing a confession.

Article 126 of the Egyptian Penal Code only penalizes acts of civil servants or public employees who commit or order acts of torture. The definition of torture in Article 1 of the Convention against Torture, by contrast, also covers situations when pain or suffering is inflicted by or at the instigation of or with the consent or acquiescence of a public official or other person acting in an official capacity,

Egypt's Penal Code also fails to provide for effective punishment of law enforcement officials responsible for torture and ill-treatment. Article 129 of the Penal Code states that any official who subjects persons to cruelty, including physical harm or offences to their dignity, shall be sentenced to an arrest period of no longer than one year, or with a fine not to exceed L.E. 200 [\$30]. Article 280 of the Penal Code provides for similarly inadequate penalties regarding illegal detention.

Articles 63 and 232 (2) of Egypt's Code of Criminal Procedure give the Office of the Prosecutor General exclusive authority to investigate allegations of torture and ill-treatment, even in the absence of a formal complaint, to bring charges against police and SSI officers, and to appeal court verdicts. However, under articles 210(1) and 232(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure persons filing complaints against police for torture or ill-treatment do not have the right to challenge any decision, be it administrative or judicial, by the prosecutors office. These articles prevent victims of torture from challenging arbitrary or capricious decisions by the Prosecutor General, thus granting the authorities effective immunity from judicial review, and thus unfettered discretion in determining how to respond to complaints of torture.

In practice, the government undertakes very few investigations and dismisses the seriousness of the problem of torture and ill-treatment in the country. Egyptian authorities admit only to the occasional case of human rights abuses.¹⁰ One factor underlying Egypt's failure to investigate and punish acts of torture by law enforcement officers may be the apparent conflict of interest in placing the responsibility to monitor places of detention, order forensic exams, and investigate and prosecute abuses by officials within the same office that is responsible for ordering arrests, obtaining confessions, and successfully prosecuting criminal suspects.

Medical evidence is crucial to determining whether torture has been committed. In the absence of medical evidence or a forensic report the Prosecutor General need not undertake an investigation, much less a criminal prosecution, but access to specialists in the Justice Ministry's department of forensic medicine requires referral by the Prosecutor General or a court. The Prosecutor General is under no obligation to provide a referral in prompt and timely manner.

The government's failure to investigate promptly and impartially credible allegations of torture and ill-treatment of political detainees and ordinary citizens, even in many cases of death in custody, has fostered a culture of impunity and contributed to the institutionalization of torture. In the rare instances where the courts have convicted officials of torture, penalties have been lenient. The authorities do not provide information on the number of complaints received, and have seldom divulged criminal, administrative or civil actions taken in relation to incidents of death in custody or torture and ill-treatment.

Under Egyptian law, victims of torture and the dependent heirs of those who have died in custody may file a claim at the administrative court for compensation and for violations of personal freedoms protected by the Constitution. Victims of torture are usually reluctant to bring civil lawsuits for fear of retribution by the perpetrators and a desire to put the experience behind them.¹¹ In addition, when plaintiffs are successful the courts rarely award compensation that is fair and adequate, as mandated by Article 14(1) of the Convention against Torture.¹² This, coupled with the absence of an effective system of criminal prosecution of torturers, makes torture very affordable for the Egyptian government.

The U.N. Committee against Torture, the U.N. Human Rights Committee and the U.N. Special Rapporteur on Torture have consistently expressed concern at the persistence of torture and cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment at the hands of law-enforcement personnel, in particular the security services. These bodies also criticized the lack of investigations into such practices, punishment of those responsible, and reparation for the victims.¹³

Despite Egypt's lamentable record on torture and ill-treatment, in recent years several countries, including the United States and Sweden, have extradited or rendered into Egyptian custody persons wanted by the government for alleged security-related offenses.¹⁴

Recommendations to the Government of Egypt

I) Policy Initiatives and Administrative Reforms:

II) Legal Reforms:

III) Transparency and international obligations:

Recommendations to the Arab League

"(a) The States parties shall protect every person in their territory from being subjected to physical or mental torture or cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment. They shall take effective measures to prevent such acts and shall regard the practice thereof, or participation therein, as a punishable offence."

Recommendations to the African Union

Recommendations to the International Community

Egypt: Reported Deaths in Custody owing to Torture and Ill-Treatment, 2003

Name & Age

Date of Detention

Date of Death in Custody

Place of Detention

Actions Taken

Source

`Abdullah Rizq `Abd al-Latif

May 2003

October 6th police station

EOHR communication

Ahmad Muhammad `Umar

June 1, 2003

July 6, 2003

al-Mahalla al-Kubra police station

AHRLA communication

Ragab Muhammad `Afifi Zidan

July 16, 2003

July 16, 2003

al-Minia police station

Family filed case with Public Prosecution office. Forensic doctor confirmed that body did not show signs of suicide, contrary to claims made by the authorities.

EOHR communication

Muhammad `Abd al-Sattar al-Rubi, 26

September 12, 2003

September 12, 2003

Ebshiwai detention center, Tibhar, al-Fayyum

Family filed case with Public Prosecution office. Forensic Doctor assigned to the case.

HRCAP communication

Muhammad `Abd al-Qadir, 31

September 14, 2003

September 21, 2003

Hadayyiq al-Qubba police station

AHRLA communication

Mahmud Gabr Muhammad

October 4, 2003

al-Sayyida Zainab police station

EOHR communication

Mus`ad Muhammad Qutb, 43

November 1, 2003

November 6, 2003

al-Duqi police station

EOHR communication

Egypt: Reported Deaths in Custody owing to Torture and Ill-Treatment, 2002

Name & Age

Date of Detention

Date of Death in Custody

Place of Detention

Actions Taken

Source

Sayyid Khalifa `Issa, 24

January 26, 2002

Unknown

Nasr City police station

2 officers sentenced to 3 years in prison on August 8, 2002; 2 others acquitted; 4 officers received one year suspended sentences and 1000 L.E fines

EOHR annual report

Ahmad Taha Yusif, 42

February 23, 2002

February 23, 2002

al-Wayli police station

Case referred to Cairo Criminal Court July 11, 2002

EOHR annual report

Midhat Fahmy `Ali, 35

March 10, 2002

March 10, 2002

al-Gumruk police station

Pending charges against one police officer for cruelty

EOHR annual report

Muhammad Mahmud `Uthman, 25

May 27, 2002

May 28, 2002

Masr al-Qadima police station

Complaints filed by family & EOHR

EOHR annual report

Mustafa Labib Abu Zaid, 25

Was already in prison
July 3, 2002
Shubra police station
Complaints filed by family & EOHR
EOHR annual report
Muhammad Muhammad Shahin, 44
June 18, 2002
July 8, 2002
Wadi al-Natrun 430 prison
EOHR annual report
Nabih Muhammad `Ali Shahin, 33
June 18, 2002
July 8, 2002
Wadi al-Natrun 430 prison
EOHR annual report
Ibrahim `Umar Mustafa, 29
August 8, 2002
August 10, 2002
Giza police station
Complaints filed by family & EOHR
EOHR annual report
Shibl Bayumi Ibrahim, 32
September 11, 2002
Unknown
Tanta Security Directorate
Family & EOHR complaints
EOHR annual report
Ahmad Khalil Ibrahim, 35
October 1, 2002
October 4, 2002
al-Gumruk police station
Family & EOHR complaints
EOHR annual report

¹See, for example: Human Rights Watch *World Report 2003*, (New York, 2003), p. 434; *World Report 2002* (New York, 2002), pp. 415-16; *World Report 2001* (New York, 2000), pp. 373-74; *World Report 2000* (New York, 1999), p. 346; *World Report 1999* (New York, 1998), pp. 347-48.

²Human Rights Watch, *Security Forces Abuse of Anti-War Demonstrators*, Vol. 15, No.10(E), November 2003.

³These categories, set forth in Egypt's Child Law 12 of 1996, have become a pretext for mass arrest campaigns to clear the streets of children, obtain information about possible criminal activity, and force children to move on to other neighborhoods.

⁴*Charged with being Children: Egyptian Police Abuse Children in Need of Protection*, HRW Vol. 15, No. 1(E), February 2003.

[5](#)Human Rights Watch, Egypt: Crackdown on Homosexual Men Continues, October 7, 2003.

[6](#)Human Rights Center for the Assistance of Prisoners Press Release, Citizen dies while in the State Security station in Ebsheaway, Governorate of Al-Fayoum, September 22, 2003.

[7](#)The Association for Human Rights Legal Aid Press Release, The series of torture continues, September 30, 2003.

[8](#)Egyptian Organization for Human Rights Press Release, EOHR calls for investigating the death of a citizen in the office of the State Security Investigations in Gaber Ibn Hayaan, November 6, 2003.

[9](#)EOHR Press Release, November 6, 2003: <http://www.eohr.org/press/2003/8-1103.htm>

[10](#)U.N. Committee against Torture, Summary Record of the 385th meeting, May 14, 1999, U.N. doc. CAT/C/SR.385, Para. 11.

[11](#)According to the Egyptian Human Rights Center for the Assistance of Prisoners in the majority of cases of torture, torture victims prefer not to file lawsuit either due to fear of the perpetrators or to their relief at being released from the hell they experienced. Torture in Egypt: A Judicial Reality, HRCAP, March 18, 2001, page 27.

[12](#)In 2000, only in four cases were victims of torture awarded compensation. The sum of awards ranged between 2,000 to 10,000 Egyptian pounds (\$570 to 2,860 U.S.). The government told the Committee against Torture in 2001 that a total of seventeen compensation awards were made to victims in the period between 1997-2000.

[13](#)United Nations, *Conclusions and Recommendations of the Committee against Torture: Egypt*, CAT/C/CR/29/4, December 23, 2002; United Nations, *Concluding Observations of the Human Rights Committee: Egypt*, CCPR/CO/76/EGY, November 28, 2002; United Nations Economic and Social Council; Report of the Special Rapporteur on Torture to the Commission on Human Rights, *Question of the Human Rights of all persons subjected to any form of detention or imprisonment, in particular: Torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment*, E/CN.4/1996/35, January 9, 1996.

[14](#)See, for example: Anthony Shadid, America Prepares the War on Terror: U.S., Egypt Raids Caught Militants, *Boston Globe*, October 7, 2001; Rajiv Chandrasekaran and Peter Finn, U.S. Behind Secret Transfer of Terror Suspects, *Washington Post*, March 11, 2002; Anthony Shadid, In Shift, Sweden Extradites Militants to Egypt, *Boston Globe*, December 31, 2001.

Bahrain Death Sentences Follow Torture, Sham Trials

Suspicious Killings and Extrajudicial Executions by Egyptian Security Forces

[Share this via Facebook](#)

[Share this via Twitter](#)

[Share this via WhatsApp](#)

[Share this via Email](#)

[Other ways to share](#) [Share this via LinkedIn](#)

[Share this via Reddit](#)  [Share this via Telegram](#)  [Share this via Printer](#)

Human Rights Watch defends the rights of people in 90 countries worldwide, spotlighting abuses and bringing perpetrators to justice

Get updates on human rights issues from around the globe. Join our movement today.

Human Rights Watch is a 501(C)(3) nonprofit registered in the US under EIN: 13-2875808