Center for Constitutional Rights

Discrimination, Detention, and Deportation: Immigration & Refugees

https://ccrjustice.org/home/blog/2017/06/26/frontlines-justice-weekly-news-roundup

Public Facing Advocacy Writing

The CCR blog

This is CCR's weekly "Frontlines of Justice" news round-up, keeping you in the loop about what we've been up to and what's coming soon. Check it out every Monday, your one-stop-shop for CCR opinions, news coverage, reports from court appearances, upcoming events, and more!

I fear our house is ablaze: Supreme Court sets dangerous precedent

Last week, in our landmark case, *Ziglar v. Abbasi*, the U.S. Supreme Court <u>reversed a federal appeals court ruling</u> that former high-level Bush administration officials may be sued for their roles in the post-9/11 profiling and abuse of Muslim, Arab, and South Asian men.

Attorney Rachel Meeropol and Abbasi plaintiff Benamar Benatta at Supreme Court after oral arguments

Justice Breyer, joined by Justice Ginsburg, read a powerful dissent from the bench, and compared the majoritys decision to other shameful moments in U.S. history when the courts looked the other way, noting that there have been far too many instances where the executive or legislative branch took actions during time of war that, on later examination, turned out unnecessarily and unreasonably to have deprived American citizens of basic constitutional rights.

As CCR senior staff attorney Rachel Meeropol, who argued the case, put it: The Courts decision allows for high-level officials to violate the Constitution without fear of personal accountabilitya dangerous message in this time of rampant state-sponsored discrimination against Muslim and immigrant communities. As Justice Breyer noted in his dissent, If you are cold, put on a sweater, perhaps an overcoat, perhaps also turn up the heat, but do not set fire to the house. I fear our house is ablaze.

Like nearly a hundred other men, Benamar Benatta, one of the *Abbasi* plaintiffs, was detained as a "terrorism suspect" for many months based solely on his race, religion, ethnicity, and immigration status, and abused in brutal detention conditions. Benamar expressed a profound disappointment in the Courts decision. This is a total failure of justice in my opinion. To this day we see high-level officials either retired or still holding office talk and praise the effectiveness of torture and mistreatment of detainees despite mounting evidence to the contrary! It is only by holding high-level officials to account when their policies are ineffective or discriminatory that a country can properly heal and look forward to the future.

The high-profile, high-stakes nature of the case, and the disastrous potential of the decision, garnered a great deal of press, including the *Guardian*, CNN, *USA Today*, Bloomberg, *NY Daily News*, *New York Times*, Politico, *Atlantic*, NPR's *All Things Considered*, *Washington Post*, Lawfare, *New York*, and Slate.

The ruling is disheartening, but at the same time, there is more work to be done. The Court sent our claim against Warden Hasty, for allowing our clients to be abused at the Metropolitan Detention Center, back to the appellate court to determine if it may proceed. This means our clients may still be compensated for the terrible harm done to them, and that we will keep fighting to hold our government accountable for violating the Constitution and we will keep you informed, as always, on our progress.

Vince Warren speaks at National Archives Foundations National Conversation on Rights and Justice

On Thursday, CCR executive director Vince Warren joined a panel led by NPRs Michel Norris for the <u>National Archives Foundations</u> <u>National Conversation on Rights and Justice: A More Perfect Union</u> two-day summit.

The 90-minute panel discussion included ACLU president Susan Herman, Brooklyn Law School professorK. Sabeel Rahman, and David Azerrad of the Heritage Foundation. Vince, citing the need for recognition of shared values and calling on us to create spaces for better, more honest conversations, stated that its more important than ever to challenge and resist the dismantling of democracy. He also emphasized the need for us to fight *for* one another: I fundamentally reject that social advancement for any one particular group means a retraction for another group.

Watch the video of the panel on YouTube.

Floyd: Grounding legal work in grassroots movements

"The benefits of collaboration are not abstract. This is a concrete example of how advocacy can influence the law."

CCR is honored to be included in Catalysts for Collaboration, which seek[s] to encourage those who work for a free and open internet to

collaborate across disciplinary silos in litigation. Our landmark stop-and-frisk lawsuit, *Floyd v. City of New York*, is featured as <u>one of the case studies</u>. Whether you're familiar with *Floyd* or new to the subject, it's a worthwhile and informative read, featuring several CCR folks, plus Joo-Hyun Kang of <u>Communities United for Police Reform</u>.

View the discussion thread.

Get the latest

Join us on the front lines for social justice!

666 Broadway 7th Floor New York, NY 10012

Main: 212-614-6464 Donations: 212-614-6448 Fax: 212-614-6499

Contact us

Privacy Policy

2019 Center for Constitutional Rights

Main: 212-614-6464 Donations: 212-614-6448 Fax: 212-614-6499

Contact us

Privacy Policy

2019 Center for Constitutional Rights

Main: 212-614-6464 Donations: 212-614-6448 Fax: 212-614-6499

Contact us

Privacy Policy

2019 Center for Constitutional Rights

Get the latest