## **The Sentencing Project**

## Criminal Justice Issues and Prisoners' Rights

## https://www.sentencingproject.org/publications/deterrence-incriminal-justice-evaluating-certainty-vs-severity-of-punishment/

## **Policy Isssue Resources**

1705 DeSales St, NW 8th Floor Washington, D.C. 20036 202.628.0871 (fax) 202.628.1091 staff@sentencingproject.org

1705 DeSales St, NW 8th Floor Washington, D.C. 20036 202.628.0871 (fax) 202.628.1091 staff@sentencingproject.org

Sentencing systems and incarceration traditionally have a variety of goals, which include incapacitation, punishment, deterrence and rehabilitation. In recent decades, sentencing policy initiatives have often been enacted with the goal of enhancing the deterrent effect of the criminal justice system. Under the rubric of getting tough on crime, policies such as mandatory minimums, truth in sentencing, and three strikes and youre out have been designed to deter with the threat of imposing substantial terms of imprisonment for felony convictions.

While the criminal justice system as a whole provides some deterrent effect, a key question for policy development regards whether enhanced sanctions or an enhanced possibility of being apprehended provide any additional deterrent benefits. Research to date generally indicates that increases in the *certainty* of punishment, as opposed to the *severity* of punishment, are more likely to produce deterrent benefits. This briefing paper provides an overview of criminological research on these relative impacts as a guide to inform future policy consideration.

To read this paper, download the PDF below.

Latinx men born in 2001 can expect to go to prison in their lifetime

Sentencing Policy

1705 DeSales St, NW 8th Floor Washington, D.C. 20036 202.628.0871 (fax) 202.628.1091 staff@sentencingproject.org

The Sentencing Project (EIN 52-1472546) is a registered 501(c)(3) nonprofit organization. Copyright 2022 The Sentencing Project All Rights Reserved Terms of Use // Privacy Policy