Problem #2: the model of a LTI dynamic system S_2 is given, fed by a suitable input signal u(t)whose values have been saved in the MATLAB data2a.mat file. In all the simulations, assume $x(1) = [-500, -10, 50]^T$ as realization.

HINT: put the command rng('default') at the beginning of the m-file es2.m to always generate the same random realizations.

2.1) Design the steady-state Kalman predictor \mathcal{K}_{∞} in predictor-corrector form (i.e., not in standard form) and the dynamic Kalman filter \mathcal{F}_d in standard form.

Write the numerical values of:

-0.0282

0.2367

- the steady-state gain matrix
- the dynamic predictor and filter gain matrices (final values)

```
Numerical value of the steady-state gain matrix:
K0bar =
   -1.8948
   -0.0282
    0.2367
Numerical values of the dynamic predictor and
filter gain matrices (final values):
K =
   -2.3667
   -0.0379
    0.2811
K0 =
   -1.8948
```

2.2) Compare the state and output estimates provided by \mathcal{K}_{∞} and \mathcal{F}_d by means of plots and evaluate the RMSE_{Xk} for k=1,...,3 and the RMSE_y, explaining the different results obtained for $N'_0=0$, 10, 100.

```
2.2.a) Write the numerical values of RMSE_{Xk} and RMSE_{y} for N'_{0} = 0, 10, 100:
Numerical values of RMSEx and RMSEy for N'0 = 0:
RMSE xh ss pc =
19.3455
0.4013
1.8621
RMSE_xf =
17.9133
0.3776
1.6989
RMSE_yh_ss_pc =
0.1037
RMSE yf =
0.0296
Numerical values of RMSEx and RMSEy for N'0 = 10:
RMSE xh ss pc =
11.6780
0.2483
1.0952
RMSE xf =
10.5556
0.2235
0.9951
RMSE_yh_ss_pc =
0.0427
RMSE yf =
0.0293
Numerical values of RMSEx and RMSEy for N'0 = 100:
```

```
RMSE_xh_ss_pc =

0.2616
0.0052
0.0265

RMSE_xf =

0.2567
0.0051
0.0258

RMSE_yh_ss_pc =

0.0211

RMSE_yf =

0.0186
```

2.2.b) Clear report including the reasoning behind the computations and the possible critical analysis of the main numerical results:

In order to evaluate the gain matrix of the steady state Kalman predictor (K0bar), I used the command "Kalman", instead in order to evaluate the matrix of the dynamic Kalman filter (K0,K) I used a loop. From the results obtained from the RMSE evaluations, we can notice that, in general, as the number of samples to neglect at the transient increase, our results get better (decrease). In particular the results shows that the filtered system is better than the predicted one, that is because the filtered system uses also the information coming, from the last value of y(N).