For the code review process, I took two main approaches to it: reviewing with an instructor and self-evaluating my code. An example of an instructor-led process is outlined below.

One piece of code that I was struggling with was the PathFinder class, which deals with finding the path with the minimum number of moves between one node to the next, with the main issue being that it was too tightly coupled with other classes and had redundant methods that could be refactored and removed. Due to the informal nature of drop-in sessions for ILP, some stages of the code review activities were irrelevant and/or not carried out.

Piece of code to be reviewed and refactored:

The 'Distribute code/tests', and 'Check code' stages were all merged into one activity due to the drop-in session, where I was able to explain to the instructor what I wanted the code to do and what the current piece of code achieves.

For the 'Write review report' and 'Discussion' stages, they were conducted through constant communication through the Piazza forum platform. After the in-person code review, the instructor wrote additional comments and feedback regarding our session, as well as further information about how I could solve some of the problems and suggest some external reading material. This led to the creation of extensive Javadoc as well as in-line comments to explain the functionalities of each method and what some of the code achieves. I also ended up changing some methods' visibilities to private such that only the most essential methods were public for encapsulation and avoid unnecessary methods being visible to non-developers. Some software development principles such as DRY ("Don't Repeat Yourself) were considered at the recommendation of the instructor to ensure maintainability of the codebase for a long period of time. Furthermore, Intellij's code formatter was used to make sure that my coding style was consistent with the other classes that I had reviewed and refactored.

Finally, the 'Make to-do list' part was done through the 'Reminders' app on my phone, as this was easier to both manage and update/add/complete tasks that were already due to be completed. This also meant that I did not necessarily need my laptop to think about some of these problems, and I could record potential solutions while I was not at home or when I did not have my laptop ready.

Here is the result after multiple review cycles:

```
class PathFinder {
4usages ± DanielKim
private long getTiming() {
    return Clock.tick(Clock.systemDefaultZone(), Duration.ofNanos(1)).instant().getNano() - startingTick;
      Double <u>minDist</u> = Double.POSITIVE_INFINITY;
            (var d : Direction.values()) {
var next = currCoord.nextPosition(d);
if (noFlyZones != null && !noFlyZones.isEmpty()) {
             // We choose the direction that takes the drone closest to the destination.
Double distance = Math.min(minDist, next.distanceTo(destination));
if (distance < minDist) {
    minDir = d;
    minDist = distance;
}</pre>
       while (!currCoord.closeTo(destination)) {
   moves.add(travelNextMove(destination, orderNo, noFlyZones));
```

```
var previousDir = moves.get(i - 1).direction();
var oppositeDir = Direction.reverseDirection(previousDir);
*

* <u>Bparam</u> start<u>Coord</u> Starting coordinates.

* <u>Bparam</u> nodePath A list of LngLat coordinates that need to be visited for the shortest path.

* <u>Bparam</u> orderNo Order number of the current delivery.

* <u>Bparam</u> noFlyZones List of no-fly zones to avoid.

* <u>Breturn</u> A list of Move objects that represents the moves needed to travel from start<u>Coord</u> to the destination
* <u>Oparam</u> orderNo Order number of the current delivery.
* <u>Oparam</u> moves List of pre-calculated Move objects.
* <u>Oreturn</u> A list of Move objects that are the same as the input but with updated order number and time elapsed.
          newMoves.add(new Move(move.coordinates(), move.direction(), orderNo, getTiming()));
```