Render 2021. 2. 23. 오전 1:25

# **Appendix.02 Optimization Theory**

## Definition.A.2.1 Optimization Problem with constraint in general programming

Let  $f(\mathbf{x}): \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  called an object or cost function,

 $g_i(\mathbf{x}): \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  called an inequality constraint function, and

 $h_i(\mathbf{x}): \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  called an equality constraint function be.

The following nonlinear programming is called a optimization probelm.

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}) \text{ s.t. } g_i(\mathbf{x}) \le 0, \ i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \ h_j(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \ j = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

 $\min_{\mathbf{x}} \ f(\mathbf{x}) \ s.t. \ g_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, \ i=1,\ 2,\ \cdots,\ m,\ h_j(\mathbf{x})=0, \ j=1,\ 2,\ \cdots,\ k.$   $g_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0$  is called an inequality constraint and  $h_j(\mathbf{x})=0$  is called an equality constraint.

## **Definition.A.2.2 Lagrangian function**

In a optimization problem,

$$\mathscr{L}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda, \mu) = f(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i g_i(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \mu_j h_j(\mathbf{x}) \text{ where } \lambda : m \times 1, \ \mu : k \times 1$$

 $\lambda_i$  and  $\mu_i$  are also called dual variables or weights. **x** is called a primal variable.

## Theorem.A.2.1 Karush-Kuhn-Tucker(KKT) conditions

If  $\mathbf{x}^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a local minimum,

then  $\exists \lambda^* \in \mathbb{R}^m \ and \ \mu^* \in \mathbb{R}^k \ s.t$ 

(i) Stationarity:

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \nabla g_{i}(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{j} \mu_{j} h_{j}(\mathbf{x}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda, \mu) = \mathbf{0}$$

(ii) Primal feasibility:

$$g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) \leq 0, \ \forall i, \ h_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}, \ \forall j$$

(iii) Dual feasibility:

$$\lambda_i^* > 0, i = 1, \dots, m$$

(vi) Complementary slackness:

$$\lambda_i^* g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

This  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is also called a local solution of a optimization problem. KKT Conditions are necessary(not sufficient) for global optimality.

 $\mathbf{x}^*$ (Satisfying the KKT Conditions)  $\leftarrow$  Global optimal

#### **Definition.A.2.3 Convex function**

A function  $f(\mathbf{x})$  is said to be convex if

$$\forall t \in [0, 1], \ f(t\mathbf{x}_1 + (1-t)\mathbf{x}_2) \le t f(\mathbf{x}_1) + (1-t)f(\mathbf{x}_2), \ \forall \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \in D$$

Render 2021. 2. 23. 오전 1:25

## **Definition.A.2.4 Convex set**

A set S is said to be convex if

$$\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in S \rightarrow t\mathbf{x} + (1-t)\mathbf{y} \in S, \ t \in [0, 1]$$

#### **Definition.A.2.5 Convex optimization**

Let  $f(\mathbf{x}): \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}): \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  be convex functions and  $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}): \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^k$  be an affine function. An optimization problem is called convex if

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x})$$
 s.t.  $g_i(\mathbf{x}) \le 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,  $h_j(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ .

## Theorem.A.2.2 KKT Conditions in convex problems

For convex problem, KKT conditions becomes necessary and also sufficient for global optimality.

(i) Stationarity:

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \nabla (\lambda^* T \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}^*)) + \nabla (\mu^* T \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}^*)) = 0$$

(ii) Primal feasibility:

$$g(x^*) \le 0$$
,  $h(x^*) = 0$ 

(iii) Dual feasibility:

$$\lambda^* \ge 0$$

(vi) Complementary slackness :

$$\lambda^* {}^T \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$$

#### **Definition.A.2.6 Lagrange dual function**

$$\mathcal{D}(\lambda, \mu) = \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda, \mu)$$
$$= \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}} \{ f(\mathbf{x}) + \lambda^T \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) + \mu^T \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) \}$$

where  $\mathcal{X} = \{\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) \leq \mathbf{0}, \ \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}\}\$ 

#### Definition.A.2.7 Lagrange dual problem

$$\max_{\lambda \geq 0, \ \mu} \mathcal{D}(\lambda, \ \mu) = \max_{\lambda \geq 0, \ \mu x} \min_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \mathcal{L}(x, \ \lambda, \ \mu)$$
$$= \max_{\lambda \geq 0, \ \mu x} \min_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \{ f(x) + \lambda^T g(x) + \mu^T h(x) \}$$

Render 2021. 2. 23. 오전 1:25

## Theorem.A.2.3 Optimization-theoretic solution of distance between a vector and a hyperplane

Let a vector  $\mathbf{X}_0$  and a hyperplane  $\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x} + b = 0$  be.

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \| \mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x} \|^2 \text{ s.t. } \mathbf{w}^t \mathbf{x} + b = 0$$

$$\therefore \| \mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}^* \|^2 = \frac{(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_0 + b)^2}{\| \mathbf{w} \|}$$

#### Proof)

Let the function  $\mathscr{L}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda, \mu) = \|\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}\|^2 + \mu(\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x} + b)$  (i)

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathcal{L} = 2(\mathbf{x}_{0} - \mathbf{x}^{*}) + \mu \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$2\mathbf{w}^{T}(\mathbf{x}_{0} - \mathbf{x}^{*}) = -\mu \|\mathbf{w}\|^{2} \quad (\because inner \ product \ with \ (\mathbf{x}_{0} - \mathbf{x}^{*}))$$

$$2 \|\mathbf{x}_{0} - \mathbf{x}^{*}\|^{2} = \frac{2(\mathbf{w}^{T}(\mathbf{x}_{0} - \mathbf{x}^{*}))^{2}}{\|\mathbf{w}\|^{2}}$$

$$\therefore \|\mathbf{x}_{0} - \mathbf{x}^{*}\|^{2} = \frac{\{\mathbf{w}^{T}(\mathbf{x}_{0} - \mathbf{x}^{*})\}^{2}}{\|\mathbf{w}\|^{2}}$$

#### Theorem.A.2.4 Gradient descent method

Gradient descent is an iterative method to find a stationary point of an unconstraint optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ L(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \eta \mathbf{d}) &\approx L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \eta \ \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^T \ L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathbf{d} \quad where \quad \eta > 0, \quad \| \mathbf{d} \| = 1 \\ L(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \eta \mathbf{d}) - L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &\approx \eta \ \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^T \ L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathbf{d} = \eta \cos(\phi) \| \ \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^T \ L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \| \end{aligned}$$

Find the directional vector **d** that minimizes  $L(\mathbf{\theta} + \eta \mathbf{d}) - L(\mathbf{\theta}) \leq 0$ 

$$\cos(\phi) = -1 \rightarrow \mathbf{d} = -\frac{\nabla_{\theta} L(\theta)}{\parallel \nabla_{\theta} L(\theta) \parallel}$$
$$\therefore \theta + \eta \mathbf{d} = \theta - \eta \frac{\nabla_{\theta} L(\theta)}{\parallel \nabla_{\theta} L(\theta) \parallel} = \theta - \alpha \nabla_{\theta} L(\theta)$$

Render 2021. 2. 23. 오전 1:25

## Theorem.A.2.4 Types of gradient descent method

## (i) Standard (or steepest) Gradient Descent

$$\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} - \eta \ \nabla \ \mathbb{E}[J(\mathbf{w})]$$

- · Practically infeasible
- Thus, we need distribution about data x (Contradiction)
- So, We can use sample mean

## (ii) Stochastic Gradient Descent

$$\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} - \eta \ \nabla \ J_i(\mathbf{w})$$

- · Simple to implement
- Effective for large-scale problem
- Much less memory
- · Unstable : zigzaging
- Purpose : We just consider one of data. Just one.
- It can be convergent. But there is little unstable.

## (iii) Batch gradient Descent

$$\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \eta \ \nabla \sum_{i=1}^{N} J_i(\mathbf{w})$$

- · Accurate estimation of gradients
- · Parallelization of learning
- · Large memory
- Big time-complexity can be problem in this method.(So slow)
- · But, there isn't problem in convergence.
- Purpose : We consider all of data!

## (vi) Mini-Batch Gradient Descent

$$\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} - \eta \ \nabla \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{F}}^{N} J_i(\mathbf{w}), \quad 1 \le |\mathfrak{F}| \le N$$

- · Most generalized version
- · Effective to deal with large
- · Amount of training data
- Purpose : We just consider seveal datas.

#### Reference:

Deep Learning - Yosha Benjio

Neural networks and learning machines - Simon Haykin

https://wikipedia.org (https://wikipedia.org)

https://ko.wikipedia.org/wiki/위키백과:TeX 문법

(https://ko.wikipedia.org/wiki/%EC%9C%84%ED%82%A4%EB%B0%B1%EA%B3%BC:TeX\_%EB%AC%B8%EB%B2%95)