

P1: ①  $f$  is convex ②  $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = 1$  to prove  $f\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i x_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i f(x_i)$

When  $m=1$ , then  $\lambda_1=1$   $f(x) = f(x) * 1$  proved.

When  $m=2$   $f\left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \lambda_i x_i\right) = f(\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2) \stackrel{\text{def}}{\leq} \lambda_1 f(x_1) + \lambda_2 f(x_2)$  (by definition of convex)  
 $f(\lambda_1 x_1 + (1-\lambda_1)x_2) \stackrel{\text{def}}{\leq} \lambda_1 f(x_1) + (1-\lambda_1)f(x_2)$

When  $m \geq 2$

Suppose that  $f\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i x_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i f(x_i)$  is true.  
 then for  $m+1=k$   $f\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i x_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i f(x_i)$  is still true. (we need to prove)

~~$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i x_i\right) = f((1-\lambda_k) \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{\lambda_i x_i}{1-\lambda_k} + \lambda_k x_k) \stackrel{\text{def}}{\leq} (1-\lambda_k) f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{\lambda_i x_i}{1-\lambda_k}\right) + \lambda_k f(x_k)$$~~

With that  $\Leftrightarrow (1-\lambda_k) + \lambda_k = 1$

$$(1-\lambda_k) f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{\lambda_i x_i}{1-\lambda_k}\right) + \lambda_k f(x_k) = (1-\lambda_k) f\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\lambda_i x_i}{1-\lambda_k}\right) + \lambda_k f(x_k)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (1-\lambda_k) f\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\lambda_i}{1-\lambda_k} x_i\right) + \lambda_k f(x_k) &\leq (1-\lambda_k) \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\lambda_i}{1-\lambda_k} f(x_i) + \lambda_k f(x_k) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\lambda_i}{1-\lambda_k} f(x_i) + \lambda_k f(x_k) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i f(x_i) \end{aligned}$$

then  $f\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i x_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i f(x_i)$  get proved.

P2. (i) ~~for  $\in \mathbb{R}^n$~~  in dom

from  $\cap_{i=1}^m \text{dom } f_i$

choose any two point  $x$  and  $y$ . and set  $\theta \in (0, 1]$

then for Any  $f_i$ , ~~we in  $\text{dom } f_i$~~   
 $\text{convex}$

(as  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}^+$ )

We have.  $f_i(\theta x + (1-\theta)y) \leq \theta f_i(x) + (1-\theta)f_i(y)$  and  $\lambda_i f_i(\theta x + (1-\theta)y) \leq \lambda_i \theta f_i(x) + (1-\theta)\lambda_i f_i(y)$

the. we summarize all the function

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i f_i(\theta x + (1-\theta)y) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \theta f_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^m (1-\theta) \lambda_i f_i(y) \quad f = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i f_i$$

$f(\theta x + (1-\theta)y) \leq \theta f(x) + (1-\theta)f(y) \Rightarrow f$  is convex on  $\text{dom}(f) := \cap_{i=1}^m \text{dom } f_i$

P2 (ii) as  $f$  is convex.  $g(x) = Ax + b$  is an affine function  
 so that for  $\theta \in [0, 1]$  we have  $g(x_1 + x_2) = \theta g(x_1) + (1-\theta)g(x_2) = A(\theta x_1 + (1-\theta)x_2) + b$ .  
 So let set  $x_0 = \theta x + (1-\theta)y$  then  $g(x_0) = g(\theta x + (1-\theta)y) = A(\theta x + (1-\theta)y) + b$   
 $g(x_0) = \theta(Ax + b) + (1-\theta)(Ay + b) = \theta g(x) + (1-\theta)g(y)$   
 so for  $g$  we have  $g(\theta x + (1-\theta)y) = \theta g(x) + (1-\theta)g(y)$   
 as  $f$  is convex.  $g(x)$  and  $g(y) \in \text{dom}(f)$  for  $\theta \in [0, 1]$   
 we have  $f(\theta g(x) + (1-\theta)g(y)) \leq \theta f(g(x)) + (1-\theta)f(g(y))$  set  $fog = h$   
 $f(g(\theta x + (1-\theta)y)) \leq \theta f(g(x)) + (1-\theta)f(g(y))$   
 $h(\theta x + (1-\theta)y) \leq \theta h(x) + (1-\theta)h(y)$

$\Rightarrow h(x)$  is convex  $\Rightarrow fog$  is convex.

P3  $f(x) = x^T Q x + b^T x + c$   $Q$  is symmetric matrix,  $\frac{\text{frx}}{\text{smooth}}$  with  $2\|Q\|$   
 for smoothness.  $\Leftrightarrow \|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\|_* \leq L \|x - y\|$  for all  $x, y \in D$ .

P3c  $f(x) = x^T Q x + b^T x + c$   $Q$  is symmetric matrix  
 we need to prove that  $f(x)$  is smooth with  $2\|Q\|$   
 $\Leftrightarrow \|\nabla f(y) - \nabla f(x)\| \leq L \|y - x\|_2$   $\forall y, z \in R^n$   $L = 2\|Q\|$   
 $\nabla f(x) = 2Qx + b$   $\|\nabla f(y) - \nabla f(x)\| = \|2Q(y-x)\| = 2\|Q(y-x)\| \leq 2\|Q\| \|y-x\|$   
 so  $f(x)$  is ~~smooth~~ smooth with  $\|Q\| = L$ .

P4 projected gradient descent  $y_{t+1} = x_t - \eta \nabla f(x_t)$   
 $x_{t+1} = \Pi_{\mathcal{X}}(y_{t+1}) \quad (\eta > 0)$

$f$  is convex-differentiable  $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for some  $t \geq 0$   $x_{t+1} = x_t$   
 to prove that  $x_t$  is a minimizer of  $f$  over closed-convex  $f$ .

for  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  we have  $\langle \Pi_{\mathcal{X}}(y) - y, x - \Pi_{\mathcal{X}}(y) \rangle \geq 0$

then when  $x_{t+1} = x_t = \Pi_{\mathcal{X}}(y_{t+1})$   $x_t = y_{t+1} + \eta \nabla f(x_t) \Leftrightarrow y_{t+1} = x_t - \eta \nabla f(x_t)$

$x_t \cdot \nabla \Pi_{\mathcal{X}}(y_{t+1}) = \nabla \Pi_{\mathcal{X}}(x_t - \eta \nabla f(x_t))$  and  $y = x_t - \eta \nabla f(x_t)$ ,  $\Pi_{\mathcal{X}}(y) = x_t$

then for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  we have  $\langle x_t - (x_t - \eta \nabla f(x_t)), (x - x_t) \rangle \geq 0$

$\Leftrightarrow \langle \nabla f(x_t), (x - x_t) \rangle \geq 0$  as  $f$  is convex so  $x_t$  is a minimal of  $\mathcal{X}$