

# calzone: A Python package for measuring calibration of probabilistic models for classification

Kwok Lung Fan<sup>1</sup>, Gene Pennello<sup>1</sup>, Qi Liu<sup>1</sup>, Nicholas Petrick<sup>1</sup>, Ravi K. Samala<sup>1</sup>, Frank W. Samuelson<sup>1</sup>, Yee Lam Elim Thompson<sup>1</sup>, and Qian Cao<sup>1</sup>¶

<sup>1</sup> U.S. Food and Drug Administration ¶ Corresponding author

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

## Software

- [Review](#) ↗
- [Repository](#) ↗
- [Archive](#) ↗

Editor: [Open Journals](#) ↗

## Reviewers:

- [@openjournals](#)

Submitted: 01 January 1970

Published: unpublished

## License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).

## Summary

calzone is a Python package for measuring calibration of probabilistic models for classification problems. It provides a set of functions and classes for calibration visualization and calibration metrics computation given a representative dataset with the model's predictions and the true labels. The metrics provided in calzone include the following: Expected Calibration Error (ECE), Maximum Calibration Error (MCE), Hosmer-Lemeshow statistic (HL), Integrated Calibration Index (ICI), Spiegelhalter's Z-statistics and Cox's calibration slope/intercept. Some metrics come with variations such as binning scheme and top-class or class-wise.

## Statement of need

Classification is one of the most fundamental and important tasks in machine learning. The performance of classification models is often evaluated by a proper scoring rule, such as the cross-entropy or mean square error. Examination of the distinguishing power (resolution), such as AUC or Se/Sp are also used to evaluate the model performance. However, the reliability or calibration performance of the model is often overlooked.

Bröcker (2009) has shown that the proper scoring rule can be decomposed into the resolution and reliability. That means even if the model has high resolution (high AUC), it may not be a reliable or calibrated model. In many high-risk machine learning applications, such as medical diagnosis, the reliability of the model is of paramount importance.

We refer calibration as the agreement between the predicted probability and the true posterior probability of a class-of-interest,  $P(D = 1|\hat{p} = p) = p$ . This is defined as moderate calibration by Calster & Steyerberg (2018).

In the calzone package, we provide a set of functions and classes for calibration visualization and metrics computation. Existing libraries such as scikit-learn are often not dedicated to calibration metrics computation and don't provide calibration metrics computation that are widely used in the statistical literature. Most libraries for calibration are focusing on calibrating the model instead of measuring the level of calibration with various metrics. calzone is dedicated to calibration metrics computation and visualization.

## Functionality

### Reliability Diagram

Reliability Diagram is a graphical representation of the calibration of a classification model (Bröcker & Smith, 2007). It groups the predicted probabilities into bins and plots the mean

38 predicted probability against the empirical frequency in each bin. The reliability diagram can  
39 be used to assess the calibration of the model and to identify any systematic errors in the  
40 predictions. In addition, we add the option to plot with error bars to show the confidence  
41 interval of the empirical frequency in each bin. The error bars are calculated using Wilson's  
42 score interval (Wilson, 1927). We provide an example simulated dataset in the example\_data  
43 folder using beta-binomial distribution (Griffiths, 1973). Users can generate simulated data  
44 using the fake\_binary\_data\_generator class in the utils module.

```
from calzone.utils import reliability_diagram
from calzone.vis import plot_reliability_diagram

wellcal_data_loader = data_loader(
    data_path="example_data/simulated_welldata.csv"
)

reliability, confidence, bin_edges, bin_counts = reliability_diagram(
    wellcal_data_loader.labels,
    wellcal_data_loader.probs,
    num_bins=15,
    class_to_plot=1
)

plot_reliability_diagram(
    reliability,
    confidence,
    bin_counts,
    error_bar=True,
    title='Class 1 reliability diagram for well calibrated data'
)
```




Figure 1: Reliability Diagram for well calibrated data

## Calibration metrics

calzone provides functions to compute various calibration metrics. calzone also has a CalibrationMetrics() class which allows the user to compute the calibration metrics in a more convenient way. The following are the metrics that are currently supported in calzone:

### Expected Calibration Error (ECE) and Maximum Calibration Error (MCE)

Expected Calibration Error (ECE), Maximum Calibration Error (MCE) and binning-based methods (Guo et al., 2017; Pakdaman Naeini et al., 2015) aim to measure the average deviation between predicted probability and true probability. We provide the option to use equal-width binning or equal-frequency binning, labeled as ECE-H and ECE-C respectively. Users can also choose to compute the metrics for the class-of-interest or the top-class. In the case of class-of-interest, the program will treat it as a 1-vs-rest classification problem. It can be computed in calzone as follows:

```
from calzone.metrics import calculate_ece_mce

reliability, confidence, bin_edges, bin_counts = reliability_diagram(
    wellcal_dataloader.labels,
    wellcal_dataloader.probs,
    num_bins=10,
    class_to_plot=1,
    is_equal_freq=False
)

ece_h_classone, mce_h_classone = calculate_ece_mce(
```

```

reliability,
confidence,
bin_counts=bin_counts
)

```

## 57 Hosmer-Lemeshow statistic (HL)

58 Hosmer-Lemeshow statistic (HL) is a statistical test for the calibration of a probabilistic model.  
 59 It is a chi-square based test that compares the observed and expected number of events in  
 60 each bin. The null hypothesis is that the model is well calibrated. HL-test first bins data into  
 61 predicted probability bins (equal-width  $H$  or equal-count  $C$ ) and the test statistic is calculated  
 62 as:

$$HL = \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{(O_{1,m} - E_{1,m})^2}{E_{1,m}(1 - \frac{E_{1,m}}{N_m})} \sim \chi_{M-2}^2$$

63 where  $E_{1,m}$  is the expected number of class-of-interest events in the  $m^{th}$  bin,  $O_{1,m}$  is the  
 64 observed number of class-of-interest events in the  $m^{th}$  bin,  $N_m$  is the total number of  
 65 observations in the  $m^{th}$  bin, and  $M$  is the number of bins. In calzone, the HL-test can be  
 66 computed as follows:

```

from calzone.metrics import hosmer_lemeshow_test

HL_H_ts, HL_H_p, df = hosmer_lemeshow_test(
    reliability,
    confidence,
    bin_count=bin_counts
)

```

## 67 Cox's calibration slope/intercept

68 Cox's calibration slope/intercept is a non-parametric method for assessing the calibration of a  
 69 probabilistic model (COX, 1958). A new logistic regression model is fitted to the data, with  
 70 the predicted odds ( $\frac{p}{1-p}$ ) as the dependent variable and the true probability as the independent  
 71 variable. The slope and intercept of the regression line are then used to assess the calibration  
 72 of the model. A slope of 1 and intercept of 0 indicates perfect calibration. To test whether  
 73 the model is calibrated, fix the slope to 1 and fit the intercept. If the intercept is significantly  
 74 different from 0, the model is not calibrated. Then, fix the intercept to 0 and fit the slope.  
 75 If the slope is significantly different from 1, the model is not calibrated. In calzone, Cox's  
 76 calibration slope/intercept can be computed as follows:

```

from calzone.metrics import cox_regression_analysis

cox_slope, cox_intercept, cox_slope_ci, cox_intercept_ci = cox_regression_analysis(
    wellcal_data_loader.labels,
    wellcal_data_loader.probs,
    class_to_calculate=1,
    print_results=True,
    fix_slope=True
)

```

77 The values of the slope and intercept give you a sense of the form of miscalibration. A slope  
 78 greater than 1 indicates that the model is overconfident at high probabilities and underconfident  
 79 at low probabilities, and vice versa. An intercept greater than 0 indicates that the model is  
 80 overconfident in general, and vice versa. Notice that even if the slope is 1 and the intercept is  
 81 0, the model might not be calibrated, as Cox's calibration analysis fails to capture some types  
 82 of miscalibration.

### 83 Integrated calibration index (ICI)

84 The integrated calibration index (ICI) is very similar to Expected calibration error (ECE). It  
 85 also tries to measure the average deviation between predicted probability and true probability.  
 86 However, ICI does not use binning to estimate the true probability of a group of samples with  
 87 similar predicted probability. Instead, ICI uses curve smoothing techniques to fit the regression  
 88 curve and uses the regression result as the true probability (Austin & Steyerberg, 2019). The  
 89 ICI is then calculated using the following formula:

$$ICI = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(p_i) - p_i|$$

90 where  $f$  is the fitting function and  $p$  is the predicted probability. The curve fitting is usually  
 91 done with loess regression. However, it is possible to use any curve fitting method to calculate  
 92 the ICI. In calzone, we provide Cox's ICI and loess ICI support while the user can also use any  
 93 curve fitting method to calculate the ICI using functions in calzone.

```

from calzone.metrics import (
    cox_regression_analysis,
    lowess_regression_analysis,
    cal_ICI_cox
)

### calculating cox ICI
cox_ici = cal_ICI_cox(
    cox_slope,
    cox_intercept,
    wellcal_dataloader.probs,
    class_to_calculate=1
)

### calculating loess ICI
loess_ici, lowess_fit_p, lowess_fit_p_correct = lowess_regression_analysis(
    wellcal_dataloader.labels,
    wellcal_dataloader.probs,
    class_to_calculate=1,
    span=0.5,
    delta=0.001,
    it=0
)

```

94 Notice that flexible curve fitting methods such as loess regression are very sensitive to the  
 95 choice of span and delta parameters. The user can visualize the fitting result to avoid overfitting  
 96 or underfitting.

### 97 Spiegelhalter's Z-test

98 Spiegelhalter's Z-test is a test of calibration proposed by Spiegelhalter in 1986 (Spiegelhalter,  
 99 1986). It uses the fact that the Brier score can be decomposed into:

$$B = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - p_i)^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - p_i)(1 - 2p_i) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N p_i(1 - p_i)$$

100 And the TS of Z test is defined as:

$$Z = \frac{B - E(B)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(B)}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - p_i)(1 - 2p_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^N (1 - 2p_i)^2 p_i(1 - p_i)}$$

101 and it is asymptotically distributed as a standard normal distribution. In calzone, it can be  
102 calculated using:

```
from calzone.metrics import spiegelhalter_z_test

z, p_value = spiegelhalter_z_test(
    wellcal_dataloader.labels,
    wellcal_dataloader.probs,
    class_to_calculate=1
)
```

### 103 Metrics class

104 calzone also provides a class called CalibrationMetrics() to calculate all the metrics men-  
105 tioned above. The user can also use this class to calculate the metrics.

```
from calzone.metrics import CalibrationMetrics

metrics = CalibrationMetrics(class_to_calculate=1)

CalibrationMetrics.calculate_metrics(
    wellcal_dataloader.labels,
    wellcal_dataloader.probs,
    metrics='all'
)
```

### 106 Other features

#### 107 Bootstrapping

108 calzone also provides bootstrapping to calculate the confidence intervals of the metrics. The  
109 user can specify the number of bootstrap samples and the confidence level.

```
from calzone.metrics import CalibrationMetrics

metrics = CalibrationMetrics(class_to_calculate=1)

CalibrationMetrics.bootstrap(
    wellcal_dataloader.labels,
    wellcal_dataloader.probs,
    metrics='all',
    n_samples=1000
)
```

110 and it will return a structured numpy array.

#### 111 Subgroup analysis

112 calzone will perform subgroup analysis by default in the command line user interface. If the  
113 user input CSV file contains a subgroup column, the program will compute metrics for the  
114 entire dataset and for each subgroup.

#### 115 Prevalence adjustment

116 calzone also provides prevalence adjustment to account for prevalence changes between  
117 training data and testing data. Since calibration is defined using posterior probability, a  
118 mere shift in the prevalence of the testing data will result in miscalibration. It can be fixed

by searching for the optimal derived original prevalence such that the adjusted probability minimizes a proper scoring rule such as cross-entropy loss. The formula of prevalence adjusted probability is:

$$P'(D = 1|\hat{p} = p) = \frac{\eta'/(1 - \eta')}{(1/p - 1)(\eta/(1 - \eta))} = p'$$

where  $\eta$  is the prevalence of the testing data,  $\eta'$  is the prevalence of the training data, and  $p$  is the predicted probability (Chen et al., 2018; Gu & Pepe, 2010; Horsch et al., 2008; Tian et al., 2020). We search for the optimal  $\eta'$  that minimizes the cross-entropy loss.

## Multiclass extension

calzone also provides multiclass extension to calculate the metrics for multiclass classification. The user can specify the class to calculate the metrics using a 1-vs-rest approach and test the calibration of each class. Alternatively, the user can transform the data and make the problem become a top-class calibration problem. The top-class calibration has a similar format to binary classification, but the class 0 probability is defined as 1 minus the probability of the class with the highest probability, and the class 1 probability is defined as the probability of the class with the highest probability. The labels are transformed into whether the predicted class equals the true class, 0 if not and 1 if yes. Notice that the interpretation of some metrics may change in the top-class transformation.

## Command line interface

calzone also provides a command line interface to calculate the metrics. The user can visualize the calibration curve, calculate the metrics and their confidence intervals using the command line interface. To use the command line interface, the user can run `python cal_metrics.py -h` to see the help message.

## Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the Research Participation Program at the Center for Devices and Radiological Health administered by the Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education through an interagency agreement between the U.S. Department of Energy and the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA).

## Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## References

- Austin, P. C., & Steyerberg, E. W. (2019). The integrated calibration index (ICI) and related metrics for quantifying the calibration of logistic regression models. *Statistics in Medicine*, 38(21), 4051–4065. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.8281>
- Bröcker, J. (2009). Reliability, sufficiency, and the decomposition of proper scores. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 135(643), 1512–1519. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.456>
- Bröcker, J., & Smith, L. A. (2007). Increasing the reliability of reliability diagrams. *Weather and Forecasting*, 22(3), 651–661. <https://doi.org/10.1175/WAF993.1>

- 156 Calster, B. V., & Steyerberg, E. W. (2018). Calibration of prognostic risk scores. In  
157 *Wiley StatsRef: Statistics reference online* (pp. 1–10). John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.  
158 [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118445112.stat08078](https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118445112.stat08078)
- 159 Chen, W., Sahiner, B., Samuelson, F., Pezeshk, A., & Petrick, N. (2018). Calibration of  
160 medical diagnostic classifier scores to the probability of disease. *Statistical Methods in*  
161 *Medical Research*, 27(5), 1394–1409. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0962280216661371>
- 162 COX, D. R. (1958). Two further applications of a model for binary regression. *Biometrika*,  
163 45(3-4), 562–565. <https://doi.org/10.1093/biomet/45.3-4.562>
- 164 Griffiths, D. A. (1973). Maximum likelihood estimation for the beta-binomial distribution  
165 and an application to the household distribution of the total number of cases of a disease.  
166 *Biometrics*, 29(4), 637–648. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2529131>
- 167 Gu, W., & Pepe, M. S. (2010). Estimating the diagnostic likelihood ratio of a continuous  
168 marker. *Biostatistics*, 12(1), 87–101. <https://doi.org/10.1093/biostatistics/kxq045>
- 169 Guo, C., Pleiss, G., Sun, Y., & Weinberger, K. Q. (2017). On calibration of modern neural  
170 networks. In D. Precup & Y. W. Teh (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 34th international*  
171 *conference on machine learning* (Vol. 70, pp. 1321–1330). PMLR. <https://proceedings.mlr.press/v70/guo17a.html>
- 172
- 173 Horsch, K., Giger, M. L., & Metz, C. E. (2008). Prevalence scaling: Applications to an  
174 intelligent workstation for the diagnosis of breast cancer. *Academic Radiology*, 15(11),  
175 1446–1457. [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acra.2008.04.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acra.2008.04.022)
- 176 Pakdaman Naeini, M., Cooper, G., & Hauskrecht, M. (2015). Obtaining well calibrated  
177 probabilities using bayesian binning. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial*  
178 *Intelligence*, 29(1). <https://doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v29i1.9602>
- 179 Spiegelhalter, D. J. (1986). Probabilistic prediction in patient management and clinical trials.  
180 *Statistics in Medicine*, 5(5), 421–433.
- 181 Tian, J., Liu, Y.-C., Glaser, N., Hsu, Y.-C., & Kira, Z. (2020). Posterior re-calibration  
182 for imbalanced datasets. In H. Larochelle, M. Ranzato, R. Hadsell, M. F. Balcan,  
183 & H. Lin (Eds.), *Advances in neural information processing systems* (Vol. 33, pp.  
184 8101–8113). Curran Associates, Inc. [https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper\\_files/paper/2020/file/5ca359ab1e9e3b9c478459944a2d9ca5-Paper.pdf](https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2020/file/5ca359ab1e9e3b9c478459944a2d9ca5-Paper.pdf)
- 185
- 186 Wilson, E. B. (1927). Probable inference, the law of succession, and statistical inference.  
187 *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 22(158), 209–212.