

Introduction to Probability

Transforms and transformations

Moment generating function

So far we have two ways to describe a random variable X :

- ▶ its PMF p_X (discrete) / its PDF $f(x)$ (continuous)
- ▶ its CDF F .

We will now gain a third way: its **moment generating function**.

First, we define the **n th moment** of a random variable X as $E(X^n)$ (which may or may not be finite). The **moment generating function** of X is given by $M_X(t) = E(e^{tX})$.

$$\text{discrete : } M_X(t) = E(e^{tX}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{tn} p_X(n)$$

$$\text{continuous : } M_X(t) = E(e^{tX}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{tx} f_X(x) dx$$

Examples

For $X \in \text{Geom}(p)$,

$$\begin{aligned} M_X(t) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{tn}(1-p)^{n-1}p = \frac{p}{1-p} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (e^t(1-p))^n \\ &= \frac{p}{1-p} \cdot \frac{e^t(1-p)}{1-e^t(1-p)} = \begin{cases} \infty & t \geq -\ln(1-p) \\ \frac{pe^t}{1-e^t(1-p)} & t < -\ln(1-p) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

For $X \in \text{Exp}(\lambda)$,

$$M_X(t) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{tx} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx = \left. \frac{\lambda e^{(t-\lambda)x}}{t-\lambda} \right|_0^{\infty} = \begin{cases} \infty & t \geq \lambda \\ \frac{\lambda}{\lambda-t} & t < \lambda \end{cases}$$

Properties of the MGF

What's the point of the MGF? As its name implies, it *generates the moments of X* .

Recall, the **n th moment** of X is $E(X^n)$.

To see how we can get $E(X^n)$ from $M_X(t)$, we need some calculus. The MGF $M_X(t)$ is a differentiable function of t (where it is defined).

We are able to switch integrals and derivatives on t and X to isolate the moments. For example, to recover the mean $E(X)$ from $M_X(t)$:

$$\begin{aligned}M_X(t) &= E(e^{tX}) \\ \implies M'_X(t) &= \frac{d}{dt} E(e^{tX}) = E\left(\frac{d}{dt} e^{tX}\right) = E(Xe^{tX}) \\ \implies M'_X(0) &= E(Xe^{0X}) = E(X).\end{aligned}$$

Properties of the MGF

Theorem

In general, if $M_X^{(n)}(t) = \frac{d^n}{dt^n} M_X(t)$, then $M_X^{(n)}(0) = E(X^n)$.

Proof This is easily seen: each successive derivative with respect to t brings down another copy of X in the MGF, since X is not a function of t , so it acts as a constant multiple upon successive differentiation with respect to t .

$$M_X^{(n)}(t) = E(X^n e^{tX}).$$

Thus,

$$M_X^{(n)}(0) = E(X^n e^{0X}) = E(X^n). \blacksquare$$

Calculating moments with the MGF

Using the MGF, what is the variance of $X \sim \text{Exp}(3)$?

From earlier, if $X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$,

$$M_X(t) = \begin{cases} \infty & t \geq \lambda \\ \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - t} & t < \lambda \end{cases}$$

The first two moments and variance of X are:

$$E(X) = M'_X(0) = \left(\frac{3}{(3-t)^2} \right) \Big|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$E(X^2) = M''_X(0) = \left(\frac{6}{(3-t)^3} \right) \Big|_{t=0} = \frac{2}{9}$$

$$\text{Var}(X) = E(X^2) - E(X)^2 = \frac{2}{9} - \frac{1}{9} = \frac{1}{9}.$$

(In general, for $X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$, $E(X) = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ and $\text{Var}(X) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}$.)

Independent random variables implies expectation factors

If two random variables, X and Y , are independent*, then the expected value of their product splits:

$$X \perp Y \implies E(XY) = E(X)E(Y),$$

and, in general, if g and h are functions,

$$X \perp Y \implies E(g(X)h(Y)) = E(g(X))E(h(Y)).$$

THE CONVERSE IS NOT TRUE IN GENERAL!

$$E(XY) = E(X)E(Y) \text{ does NOT imply that } X \perp Y.$$

*We will define this more rigorously later; for now, stick with the intuition of “independent experimental trials”.

Independent random variables implies expectation factors

Example

Let X be a random variable, and $Y = X$. Clearly, X and Y are dependent on each other. In fact, the only way we get

$$E(XY) = E(X^2) = E(X)E(Y) = E(X)^2$$

is if X is a constant. (Why?)

Properties of MGF

Let $M_X(t) = E(e^{tX})$ be the moment generating function (MGF) of the random variable X . Then:

- ▶ If $X = c \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant (not random), then

$$M_X(t) = E(e^{tX}) = e^{tc}.$$

- ▶ If $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, and $Y = aX + b$, then

$$M_Y(t) = E(e^{tY}) = E(e^{taX+tb}) = e^{tb}E(e^{taX}) = e^{tb}M_X(ta).$$

Properties of MGF

- ▶ If $X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots, X_n$ are IID, and $Y_n = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j$, then all the X_j have the same MGF, $m_{X_1}(t)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} M_{Y_n}(t) &= M_{\sum_{j=1}^n X_j}(t) = E\left(e^{t \sum_{j=1}^n X_j}\right) \\ &= E\left(\prod_{j=1}^n e^{tX_j}\right) = \prod_{j=1}^n E\left(e^{tX_j}\right) = \prod_{j=1}^n m_{X_j}(t) = M_{X_1}(t)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Equivalence of two RVs in distribution

Let X and Y be two random variables on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) .

We say that X and Y are **equal in distribution**, denoted in any of the following ways:

$$X \stackrel{d}{=} Y, \quad X \stackrel{(d)}{=} Y, \quad X \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} Y,$$

if for any[†] subset $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$,

$$P(X \in B) = P(Y \in B).$$

Note that X and Y need not be equal on an outcome-by-outcome basis to be equal in distribution: consider the fair coin flip example

$$X(H) = Y(T) = 1, \quad X(T) = Y(H) = -1$$

[†]Technically, only $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, the **Borel** subsets of \mathbb{R} , are needed, which then imply the statement for all **Lebesgue measurable** subsets of \mathbb{R} .

MGF uniquely identifies a distribution (just like CDF)

The moment generating function of a random variable X is a unique way to describe X , just as the CDF is.

We can calculate the MGF of various functions of random variables to see if their MGFs tell us anything interesting.

Example

Let $X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots \sim \text{Bern}(p)$ be IID.

What is the distribution of $Y_n = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j$?

Answer: $Y_n \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$... but how do we know?

We'll prove it via MGFs.

Sum of n IID Bernoulli(p) is Binomial(n,p): Proof via MGF

Proof First, we'll calculate the MGFs of $X_1 \sim \text{Bern}(p)$ and $B_n \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$.

Recalling that the PMF of $X_1 \sim \text{Bern}(p)$ is

$$p_{X_1}(x) = p1_{\{1\}}(x) + (1 - p)1_{\{0\}}(x),$$

we get the MGF of X_1 :

$$M_{X_1}(t) = E(e^{X_1 t}) = pe^{1t} + (1 - p)e^{0t} = pe^t + 1 - p.$$

Sum of n IID Bernoulli(p) is Binomial(n, p): Proof via MGF

Next, recalling that the PMF of $B_n \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$ is

$$p_{B_n}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} p^j (1-p)^{n-j} 1_{\{j\}}(x), \quad x = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

we get the MGF of B_n :

$$\begin{aligned} M_{B_n}(t) &= E(e^{B_n t}) = \sum_{j=0}^n e^{jt} \binom{n}{j} p^j (1-p)^{n-j} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} (pe^t)^j (1-p)^{n-j} \end{aligned}$$

$$(\text{binomial theorem}) = (pe^t + 1 - p)^n.$$

Sum of n IID Bernoulli(p) is Binomial(n,p): Proof via MGF

Therefore, since

$$M_{X_1}(t) = E(e^{X_1 t}) = pe^{1t} + (1-p)e^{0t} = pe^t + 1 - p.$$

and

$$M_{B_n}(t) = E(e^{B_n t}) = (pe^t + 1 - p)^n,$$

we can easily see that

$$M_{B_n}(t) = M_{X_1}(t)^n.$$

However, we know that, since $Y_n = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j$, we also have

$$M_{Y_n}(t) = M_{\sum_{j=1}^n X_j}(t) = m_{X_1}(t)^n.$$

Therefore,

$$M_{Y_n}(t) = M_{B_n}(t) \implies Y_n \sim B_n \sim \text{Bin}(n, p).$$

MGF uniquely identifies a distribution (just like CDF)

This last statement is true because of the following theorem:

Theorem

If X and Y are two RVs on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , and $\exists \delta > 0$ such that

$$M_X(t) = M_Y(t) < \infty$$

for all $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$, then $X \stackrel{d}{=} Y$.

Functions of Continuous RV: CDF, PDF

If X is an RV, and $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function, then $Y = g(X)$ is also a RV.

The CDF of $Y = g(X)$ can be calculated relatively easily if g is an *invertible* function. For example, if g is increasing, g^{-1} is as well:

$$\begin{aligned} F_Y(a) &= P(Y \leq a) = P(g(X) \leq a) \\ &= P(X \leq g^{-1}(a)) = F_X(g^{-1}(a)), \end{aligned}$$

or if g is decreasing, note the flip in the inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} F_Y(a) &= P(Y \leq a) = P(g(X) \leq a) \\ &= P(X \geq g^{-1}(a)) = 1 - F_X(g^{-1}(a)). \end{aligned}$$

Functions of Continuous RV: CDF, PDF

To get the PDF of $Y = g(X)$ from X , differentiate the CDF:
in either case, the chain rule states

$$f_Y(a) = f_X(g^{-1}(a))|(g^{-1})'(a)|.$$

For non-invertible functions, this is still sometimes possible but must be calculated on a case-by-case basis.

Transforming $Unif(0, 1)$ into other RVs

Recall, a **standard uniform random variable** is a continuous RV with distribution $U \sim Unif(0, 1)$.

This kind of RV is particularly easy to transform into other kinds of RVs; in fact, this is the basis for **Monte Carlo simulation**.

Transforming $Unif(0, 1)$ into $Unif(a, b)$

Example

If $U \sim Unif(0, 1)$, then, for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a < b$,

$$V = a + (b - a)U \sim Unif(a, b).$$

Why? Look at F_V :

$$\begin{aligned} F_V(x) &= P(V \leq x) = P(a + (b - a)U \leq x) = P\left(U \leq \frac{x - a}{b - a}\right) \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & x \leq a \\ \frac{x - a}{b - a} & a < x < b \\ 1 & x \geq b, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

which is precisely the CDF of $Unif(a, b)$.

Transforming $Unif(a, b)$ into something else

For $X \sim Unif(4, 10)$, what are the CDF and PDF of $Y = X^3 - 50$?

Our function is $g(x) = x^3 - 50$, so that $Y = g(X)$.

g is an increasing function, with inverse function

$$x = g^{-1}(y) = (y + 50)^{1/3}.$$

The CDF and PDF of X are

$$F_X(a) = \frac{a - 4}{6} 1_{(4,10)}(a) + 1_{[10,\infty)}(a),$$
$$f_X(a) = \frac{1}{6} 1_{(4,10)}(a).$$

Transforming $Unif(a, b)$ into something else

Hence, the CDF and PDF of Y are

$$\begin{aligned}F_Y(a) &= F_X((a + 50)^{1/3}) \\&= \frac{(a + 50)^{1/3} - 4}{6} 1_{(4,10)}((a + 50)^{1/3}) \\&= \frac{(a + 50)^{1/3} - 4}{6} 1_{(14,950)}(a) + 1_{[950,\infty)}(a)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}f_Y(a) &= f_X((a + 50)^{1/3}) |(a + 50)^{1/3}|' \\&= \frac{1}{6} 1_{(14,950)}(a) \left(\frac{1}{3} (a + 50)^{-2/3} \right).\end{aligned}$$

Inverse Transform Method

Let us go in the other direction. If you have a target distribution V , what function $y = g(x)$ transforms $U \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1)$ to $V = g(U)$?

Assume V is a continuous RV. We will discover an invertible function g via the **inverse transform method**.

Noting that we require, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \leq g^{-1}(x) \leq 1$, we have the CDF of V in the form

$$\begin{aligned} F_V(x) &= P(V \leq x) = P(g(U) \leq x) \\ &= P(U \leq g^{-1}(x)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x > \max(V), \\ g^{-1}(x) & \min(V) \leq x \leq \max(V), \\ 0 & x < \min(V). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Inverse Transform Method

Thus, we have the **Inverse Transform Method**:

The increasing, invertible function g that transforms

$$U \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1)$$

into the continuous random variable

$$V = g(U)$$

is the inverse of the CDF of V ; that is, g is V 's quantile function.

$$V = g(U) \iff g(p) = F_V^{-1}(p) = Q_V(p), \quad 0 \leq p \leq 1.$$

Transforming $Unif(0, 1)$ into $Exp(\lambda)$

Example

What function g turns $U \sim Unif(0, 1)$ into $V \sim Exp(\lambda)$?

$$F_V(x) = (1 - e^{-\lambda x})1_{(0, \infty)}(x) \implies g(p) = Q_V(p) = -\frac{\ln(1-p)}{\lambda}.$$

Thus, $U \sim Unif(0, 1) \implies V = -\frac{\ln(1-U)}{\lambda} \sim Exp(\lambda)$.

Check: If $0 < x < \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} F_V(x) &= P(V \leq x) = P\left(-\frac{\ln(1-U)}{\lambda} \leq x\right) = P(\ln(1-U) \geq -\lambda x) \\ &= P(1-U \geq e^{-\lambda x}) = P(U \leq 1 - e^{-\lambda x}) \\ &= 1 - e^{-\lambda x}. \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

Transforming $Unif(0, 1)$ into a Discrete RV

We can also turn $U \sim Unif(0, 1)$ into a discrete RV.[‡]

If $X(\Omega) = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ for a discrete RV X , we can use U to model X by creating the PMF

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i 1_{A_i}(\omega),$$

where $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$ is a partition of $[0, 1]$ into subintervals such that the length of A_i is $P(X = a_i)$.

We will order the a_i so that $a_i < a_{i+1}$.

[‡]This method can be extended to $X(\Omega)$ with a countable number of values, but we will only show a finite example here.

Transforming $Unif(0, 1)$ into a Discrete RV

Example

Let X be the discrete RV with PMF

$$p_X(a) = \begin{cases} 0.4 & \text{if } a = 4 \\ 0.25 & a = 12 \\ 0.15 & a = 25 \\ 0.2 & a = 60. \end{cases}$$

U can be used to model X via the function

$$X = 4 \cdot 1_{[0, 0.4)}(U) + 12 \cdot 1_{[0.4, 0.65)}(U) + 25 \cdot 1_{[0.65, 0.8)}(U) + 60 \cdot 1_{[0.8, 1)}(U).$$

This is the quantile of X ! We do have $X = g(U) = Q_X(U)$.

Properties of Normal Random Variables

- ▶ **change of variable:** If $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, then $aX + b \sim N(a\mu + b, a^2\sigma^2)$.
- ▶ The MGF of $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ is $m_X(t) = e^{\mu t + \frac{\sigma^2 t^2}{2}}$.
- ▶ The MGF of $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ is $m_Z(t) = e^{\frac{t^2}{2}}$.

Properties of Normal Random Variables: Chi Square

If $g(x) = x^2$, and $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ is a standard normal, then $g(Z) = Z^2$ is called a **chi square** random variable with one degree of freedom.

It is denoted $\chi^2(1)$, and its PDF is

$$f_{\chi^2(1)}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi x}} e^{-x/2} 1_{(0,\infty)}(x),$$

and, in general, a **chi square** random variable with n degrees of freedom, denoted $\chi^2(n)$, has mean n , variance $2n$, and PDF

$$f_{\chi^2(n)}(x) = \frac{x^{\frac{n}{2}-1}}{2^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} e^{-x/2} 1_{(0,\infty)}(x).$$