

Linear Algebra and Matrix Methods

Determinants

Determinant answers “Is this square matrix invertible?”

The **determinant** of a square* matrix A is a number that simplifies the question of whether or not the matrix is invertible.

Denoted $\det(A)$ or $|A|$, the matrix A is invertible iff $\det(A) \neq 0$:

$$\det(A^{-1}) = (\det(A))^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)}.$$

If $\det(A) = 0$, then not being allowed to divide by zero signals a lack of invertibility of A .

*We only compute determinants for square matrices.

Determinants of 2x2 matrices

The determinant of the 2x2 matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

is

$$\det(A) = ad - bc.$$

If $ad \neq bc$, then the inverse of A is

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

Properties of determinants of matrices

- ▶ $\det(I) = 1$ for any size identity matrix.
- ▶ For a permutation matrix P , $\det(P) = (-1)^s$, where s is the number of row swaps from I that makes P .
- ▶ If A is triangular (lower or upper, or diagonal - both!), then $\det(A)$ is the product of its diagonal entries:

$$\det(A) = \prod_{i=1}^n a_{ii}.$$

- ▶ Scaling an $n \times n$ matrix A by a constant c scales $\det(A)$ by c^n :

$$\det(A) = D \implies \det(cA) = c^n D.$$

Properties of determinants of matrices

- ▶ Scaling only one row of A scales the determinant by just that amount. For example,

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 5 & -9 \end{pmatrix} = -18 - 20 = -38$$
$$\implies \det \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ -10 & 18 \end{pmatrix} = -2(-38) = 36 + 40 = 76.$$

- ▶ $\det(A)$ is a linear function of each row separately:

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} a + a' & b + b' \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} + \det \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

- ▶ Thus, in general,

$$\det(A + B) \neq \det(A) + \det(B).$$

Properties of determinants of matrices

- ▶ For example,

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = 4 \neq \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 1 + 1 = 2.$$

- ▶ If two rows are equal, then $\det(A) = 0$.
(Think: equal rows in A causes “ $0=0$ ” or “ $0=1$ ” in $A\vec{x} = b$.)
- ▶ Generalizing, if one row is a linear combination of any of the other rows of A , then $\det(A) = 0$. (Same reason.)
- ▶ An all-zero row makes $\det(A) = 0$. (Same reason.)

Properties of determinants of matrices

- ▶ Row addition (*not* scaling) operations do *not* change $\det(A)$.
- ▶ $\det(A) = \det(A^t)$: any “row” property is also for “columns”.
- ▶ $\det(AB) = \det(A) \cdot \det(B)$.

In general, determinants are hard to compute.

Computing a 2×2 determinant is easy, but in general, an $n \times n$ determinant takes a large number of computations to find.

There are ways to make it simpler:

- ▶ **Factorization** yields **pivots** to multiply easily.
- ▶ Computing **recursively** via **cofactors** allows simpler computations.
- ▶ Otherwise, directly calculating via the “big formula” with **permutations** uses $n!$ terms for an $n \times n$ matrix.

Computing a determinant via pivots

We have previously seen that, if a square matrix A is invertible, then it has a factorization

$$PA = LU$$

where P is a permutation matrix, L is lower triangular with diagonal 1s, and U is upper triangular. If row swaps are not needed, this can be written

$$A = LU,$$

or

$$A = LDU$$

if we factor the diagonal D off of U . All of these forms make it very simple to compute $\det(A)$.

Computing a determinant via pivots

In the form $PA = LU$, we have

$$\det(A) = \frac{\det(L)\det(U)}{\det(P)}$$

where

- ▶ $\det(P) = (-1)^s$ if s row swaps are needed for factorization,
- ▶ $\det(L) = 1^n = 1$,
- ▶ $\det(U) = \prod_{i=1}^n u_{ii}$ if u_{ii} are the diagonal elements of U .

Computing a determinant via pivots

In the form $A = LDU$, with triangular L and U having 1 diagonals, and D a diagonal matrix,

- ▶ $\det(L) = \det(U) = 1^n = 1$

- ▶ $\det(D) = \prod_{i=1}^n d_{ii}$

$$\implies \det(A) = \det(L)\det(D)\det(U) = \det(D) = \prod_{i=1}^n d_{ii}.$$

The “big formula” for determinants

To construct the “big formula” for the determinant of an $n \times n$ matrix A , first note that there are $n!$ **permutations** σ of the elements $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$:

$$\sigma : \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

We denote the set of all permutations[†] on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ by S_n .

There are $n!$ different permutation matrices of size $n \times n$, corresponding to the $n!$ elements of S_n .

We will denote the permutation matrix corresponding to σ by P_σ .

The formula is

$$\det(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \det(P_\sigma) a_{1\sigma(1)} a_{2\sigma(2)} \cdots a_{n\sigma(n)}$$

[†]also known as the **symmetric group** on n elements

The “big formula” for determinants: 3×3

Here is the complete 3×3 case. Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}.$$

There are $3! = 6$ permutations of $\{1, 2, 3\}$:

- ▶ $\frac{3!}{2} = 3$ “even” permutations, with 0 or 2 swaps:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \rightarrow 1 \\ 2 \rightarrow 2 \\ 3 \rightarrow 3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 \rightarrow 2 \\ 2 \rightarrow 3 \\ 3 \rightarrow 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 \rightarrow 3 \\ 2 \rightarrow 1 \\ 3 \rightarrow 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

- ▶ and $\frac{3!}{2} = 3$ “odd” permutations, with 1 swap:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \rightarrow 2 \\ 2 \rightarrow 1 \\ 3 \rightarrow 3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 \rightarrow 3 \\ 2 \rightarrow 2 \\ 3 \rightarrow 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 \rightarrow 1 \\ 2 \rightarrow 3 \\ 3 \rightarrow 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The “big formula” for determinants: 3×3

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A) = & a_{11}a_{22}a_{33} + a_{12}a_{23}a_{31} + a_{13}a_{21}a_{32} \\ & - a_{12}a_{21}a_{33} - a_{13}a_{22}a_{31} - a_{11}a_{23}a_{32}. \end{aligned}$$

The 4×4 case has $4! = 24$ terms; the 5×5 has $5! = 120$ terms....

Cofactors: Determinants via recursion

The vast number of ways to factor terms in the big formula hints at the fact that we can isolate smaller blocks in a matrix, called **cofactor matrices**, and define the determinant recursively.

Define M_{ij} as the $n - 1 \times n - 1$ submatrix of A constructed by deleting row i and column j , and let

$$C_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \det(M_{ij}).$$

C_{ij} is called the (i, j) -**cofactor**, and $\det(M_{ij})$ the (i, j) -**minor**, of A .

Then, choose one row, row i , to delete, and index through all columns. We have the **cofactor formula**

$$\det(A) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} C_{ij}.$$

The cofactor formula for determinants: 3×3

If we choose row 1 to delete, then the big formula can be rewritten

$$M_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{21} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_{13} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{21} & a_{22} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A) &= (-1)^{1+1} a_{11}(a_{22}a_{33} - a_{23}a_{32}) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{1+2} a_{12}(a_{21}a_{33} - a_{23}a_{31}) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{1+3} a_{13}(a_{21}a_{32} - a_{22}a_{31}) \\ &= a_{11}a_{22}a_{33} + a_{12}a_{23}a_{31} + a_{13}a_{21}a_{32} \\ &\quad - a_{12}a_{21}a_{33} - a_{13}a_{22}a_{31} - a_{11}a_{23}a_{32}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, since $\det(A) = \det(A^t)$, we could also fix a column to delete, and index over rows.

Cramer's Rule: Cofactors

Throughout this section, we assume $\det(A) \neq 0$, so our square system has a unique solution.

Cramer's Rule is a method by which the solution of $A\vec{x} = b$ looks more like one-dimensional algebra than the LU decomposition we saw earlier.

If $ax = b$ is a standard basic algebra product, then the **cofactor** of the factor a is $x = \frac{b}{a}$.

We generalize this method of solution with the cofactors seen earlier.

Cramer's Rule: Cofactors

Let X_j be the square matrix that replaces column j in I with \vec{x} .

Example

$$X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & x_3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let B_j be the square matrix that replaces column j in A with b .

Example

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -4 & 5 \\ -3 & 2 & 0 \\ 6 & -11 & 9 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} 10 \\ 20 \\ 30 \end{pmatrix} \implies B_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -4 & 10 \\ -3 & 2 & 20 \\ 6 & -11 & 30 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Cramer's Rule is a way to solve $A\vec{x} = b$ via determinants.

Cramer's Rule for solving $A\vec{x} = b$

Each term x_j in the solution vector \vec{x} can be found via taking determinants of the matrix equation

$$AX_j = B_j.$$

Since $\det(X_j) = x_j$, this gives us

Cramer's Rule I: If $A\vec{x} = b$ has a unique solution, the solution is

$$x_j = \frac{\det(B_j)}{\det(A)}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Attempting to solve this system directly requires computing $n + 1$ determinants, each at the cost of summing $n!$ products.

Cramer's Rule for finding A^{-1}

We can also use a cofactor-based method for computing A^{-1} .

Cramer's Rule II: If $\det(A) \neq 0$, and C_{ij} are A 's cofactors, then the entries of A^{-1} are

$$(A^{-1})_{ij} = \frac{C_{ji}}{\det(A)}.$$

Setting C as the **cofactor matrix** with C_{ij} as entry (i, j) , we have

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)} C^t.$$

Application: cross product

Let $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Define the **cross product** of u and v by

$$u \times v = (||u|| \cdot ||v|| \cdot \sin(\theta)) \cdot n,$$

where $n \in \mathbb{R}^3$ has the following properties:

- ▶ θ is the angle between u and v
- ▶ $n \perp u$
- ▶ $n \perp v$
- ▶ $||n|| = 1$
- ▶ n is in the direction based on the “right hand rule” of physics.

Compare this definition to the **dot product** of u and v :

$$u \cdot v = ||u|| \cdot ||v|| \cdot \cos(\theta).$$

Application: cross product

Note that the three standard basis vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 , when used in some physics and engineering contexts, are written as

$$i = e_1, \quad j = e_2, \quad k = e_3,$$

with the following relationship via the cross product[‡]:

$$i \times j = k, \quad j \times i = -k.$$

[‡]This relationship involves a deeper mathematical meaning, via the *quaternions*, which extend the complex numbers \mathbb{C} to three “imaginary” units. The cross product defines unit quaternion multiplication, which is not commutative.

Application: cross product

The cross product can be computed by using the cofactor method of determinant computation across row 1 of the following “matrix”:

$$\begin{aligned} u \times v &= \text{“det”} \begin{pmatrix} i & j & k \\ u_1 & u_2 & u_3 \\ v_1 & v_2 & v_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} u_2 & u_3 \\ v_2 & v_3 \end{pmatrix} i - \det \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_3 \\ v_1 & v_3 \end{pmatrix} j + \det \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2 \\ v_1 & v_2 \end{pmatrix} k. \end{aligned}$$

Application: cross product, area

The length of the cross product of $u \times v$ is the area of the parallelogram formed by the vectors u and v , with diagonal $u + v$.

$$A_{||} = \|u \times v\| = \|u\| \cdot \|v\| \cdot |\sin(\theta)|$$

Thus, the area of the triangle formed by u and v is

$$A_{\triangle} = \frac{1}{2} \|u \times v\| = \frac{1}{2} \|u\| \cdot \|v\| \cdot |\sin(\theta)|.$$

Theorem

In general, if A is an $m \times n$ matrix, then the volume of the parallelepiped P formed in \mathbb{R}^n by the m rows of A is computed via

$$\text{vol}(P) = \sqrt{\det(AA^t)}.$$

Applications: cross product, area

If P is the parallelogram in \mathbb{R}^n formed by u and v , and θ is the angle between them, then

$$\begin{aligned} A = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} &\implies AA^t = \begin{pmatrix} \|u\|^2 & u \cdot v \\ u \cdot v & \|v\|^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ \implies \text{vol}(P) &= \sqrt{\det(AA^t)} = \sqrt{\|u\|^2\|v\|^2 - (u \cdot v)^2} \\ &= \|u\| \cdot \|v\| \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{u \cdot v}{\|u\| \cdot \|v\|} \right)^2} \\ &= \|u\| \cdot \|v\| \sqrt{1 - \cos^2(\theta)} \\ &= \|u\| \cdot \|v\| \cdot |\sin(\theta)|. \end{aligned}$$

In \mathbb{R}^3 , this is $\text{area}(P) = \|u \times v\|$.