

# A Four-Monolayer Sweet Spot: Ultrathin $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ Accelerates $\text{Li}^+$ Transport and Suppresses Degradation in Single-Crystal NCM83

## Abstract

Atomic-scale surface passivation is pivotal for stabilizing high-Ni layered cathodes operated to ultra-high voltages. Here we systematically vary the  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  coating thickness from 2 to 7 monolayers (ML) on single-crystal  $\text{LiNi}_{0.83}\text{Co}_{0.10}\text{Mn}_{0.07}\text{O}_2$  (SC-NCM83) using ozone-based atomic-layer deposition (ALD) and quantify the coupled effects on lithium-ion transport and interfacial degradation. Precise growth, verified by in-situ QCM and ex-situ ellipsometry/TEM, delivers conformal films with  $\pm 0.2$  ML reproducibility. GITT measurements at 25 °C reveal that a 4 ML  $\text{O}_3$ -grown coating maximizes the chemical diffusion coefficient of  $\text{Li}^+$  ( $D_{\text{Li}} \approx 1.2 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ), matching the uncoated control while outperforming thinner or thicker layers. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy shows the same 4 ML sample exhibits the lowest initial charge-transfer resistance ( $R_{\text{ct}} = 48 \Omega$ , versus  $85 \Omega$  bare) and the smallest  $R_{\text{ct}}$  increase after 100 cycles at 4.5 V ( $+22 \Omega$  vs.  $+140 \Omega$ ). Long-term cycling at 1 C between 2.7–4.5 V demonstrates  $\geq 90\%$  capacity retention after 200 cycles—and 91.2% after 300 cycles—only when the  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  thickness is  $\geq 4$  ML; uncoated electrodes fall to  $\sim 42\%$  over the same period. Post-mortem SEM/XPS/ICP analyses attribute the improved durability to a thinner, Al-rich cathode-electrolyte interphase and suppressed transition-metal dissolution engendered by the denser  $\text{O}_3$ -derived  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  network. Collectively, the data establish 4 ML as the optimum trade-off between minimized impedance growth and unimpeded  $\text{Li}^+$  transport, and underscore the benefits of oxidizing  $\text{O}_3$  chemistry

for defect-free nucleation. These insights furnish quantitative design rules for nanometer-scale coatings that extend the lifetime of next-generation, high-energy lithium-ion batteries.

## 1 Introduction

Ni-rich layered oxides such as single-crystal  $\text{LiNi}_{0.83}\text{Co}_{0.11}\text{Mn}_{0.06}\text{O}_2$  (SC-NCM83) promise  $\geq 300 \text{ Wh kg}^{-1}$  cell-level energy density, yet their practical deployment is throttled by severe interfacial degradation when cycled above  $\approx 4.4 \text{ V}$  vs.  $\text{Li/Li}^+$ . High-voltage operation accelerates transition-metal dissolution, surface rock-salt reconstruction and the formation of an ever-thickening cathode–electrolyte interphase (CEI), phenomena that raise the charge-transfer resistance ( $R_{\text{ct}}$ ) and drain reversible capacity. Conformal  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  films grown by atomic-layer deposition (ALD) are widely touted as a remedy because only a few monolayers can block parasitic reactions while contributing negligible  $\text{Li}^+$ -transport impedance. However, the “optimal” thickness remains contentious—reports span three to seven cycles—and the role of oxidant chemistry ( $\text{O}_3$  versus  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ) in dictating coating quality has seldom been isolated [1–3]. Consequently, a thickness–performance map tailored to SC-NCM83 is still lacking.

The present study closes this gap by systematically varying  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  coatings from two to seven monolayers, grown via ozone-based ALD at  $150^\circ\text{C}$ , and correlating atomic-scale thickness with  $\text{Li}^+$  diffusion kinetics, interfacial impedance and long-term cyclability. We address three questions: (i) Which thickness (2–7 ML) maximizes the  $\text{Li}^+$  chemical-diffusion coefficient ( $D_{\text{Li}}$ ) measured by galvanostatic intermittent titration (GITT) at  $25^\circ\text{C}$ ? (ii) How does each incremental layer modify the initial  $R_{\text{ct}}$  and its growth after 100 cycles to  $4.5 \text{ V}$ ? (iii) What minimum thickness secures  $\geq 90\%$  capacity retention after 200 cycles at  $1 \text{ C}$ , in contrast to  $\leq 80\%$  for the uncoated control?

Ozone-based ALD affords highly uniform, self-limiting growth; in-situ quartz-crystal microbalance and ex-situ ellipsometry verify a rate of  $\approx 1 \text{ \AA cycle}^{-1}$ , enabling deterministic deposition of 2–7 ML films. Each thickness, plus an uncoated reference, is fabricated into CR2032 half-cells with identical electrode loading and electrolyte composition. GITT probes bulk  $\text{Li}^+$  transport; electrochemical impedance spectroscopy tracks  $R_{\text{ct}}$  evolution; and  $1 \text{ C}$  cycling for up to 300 cycles benchmarks durability. Post-mortem TEM,

XPS and ICP analyses link electrochemical trends to CEI thickness and transition-metal dissolution.

Three principal findings emerge. First, a 4-monolayer ( $\approx 0.4$  nm)  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  film is the “sweet spot”:  $D_{\text{Li}}$  equals or marginally exceeds that of bare SC-NCM83, the initial  $R_{\text{ct}}$  is roughly halved, and its 100-cycle growth is confined to  $< 10\%$ . Second, ozone-grown 4 ML coatings deliver 91.2% capacity retention after 300 cycles at 1 C—well above the 42% of the uncoated baseline and outperforming  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ -derived analogues of identical thickness [4, 5]. Third, thicker films ( $> 4$  ML) further suppress impedance build-up but begin to impede  $\text{Li}^+$  transport, while thinner films ( $< 4$  ML) leave the surface insufficiently passivated. These trends corroborate first-principles calculations that predict a diffusion-barrier minimum near 3–4 ML [6].

Section 2 details the ALD process, materials characterization and cell assembly; Section 3 presents electrochemical results and constructs the thickness–performance map; Section 4 discusses mechanistic insights vis-à-vis prior reports [7]; and Section 5 concludes with design guidelines for industrial implementation. Collectively, this work offers the first monolayer-resolved blueprint for stabilizing SC-NCM83 up to 4.5 V, reconciling contradictory thickness recommendations and advancing Ni-rich cathodes toward commercial viability.

## 2 Related Work

### Thickness-dependent $\text{Li}^+$ chemical diffusion in single-crystal NCM83

A consensus has emerged that  $\text{Li}^+$  diffusion in Ni-rich single-crystal cathodes rises sharply when a few monolayers of  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  are conformally deposited and then declines once the coating becomes too thick. GITT measurements at 25 °C on single-crystal  $\text{LiNi}_{0.83}\text{Co}_{0.11}\text{Mn}_{0.06}\text{O}_2$  (NCM83) reveal a bell-shaped dependence:  $D_{\text{Li}}$  improves by nearly an order of magnitude from the uncoated baseline to a maximum at  $\approx 4$  monolayers (ML), before falling off at  $\geq 6$  ML due to increased interfacial tortuosity and blocked  $\text{Li}^+$  pathways [8, 9]. Similar maxima at 3–4 ML are reproduced by first-principles migration-barrier calculations that link the trend to a trade-off between suppressed surface reconstruction and longer  $\text{Li}^+$  hop distances through amorphous  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  [9]. These findings frame our first question—

identifying the optimal 2–7 ML window for highest  $D_{\text{Li}}$ —as one of pinpointing the precise peak thickness under otherwise identical synthesis and testing conditions.

### Initial charge-transfer resistance and its evolution

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) studies converge on a “U-shaped”  $R_{\text{ct}}$  vs. thickness profile. Two-cycle coatings inadequately passivate the highly reactive Ni-rich surface, leaving  $R_{\text{ct}}$  only marginally lower than the bare control, whereas  $\geq 8$  ML coatings introduce excessive electronic/ionic impedance. Multiple groups locate an  $R_{\text{ct}}$  minimum at  $\sim 4$  ML during the first charge/discharge [10, 11]. On extended cycling (100 cycles, 4.5 V vs. Li/Li<sup>++</sup>), the same optimal thickness suppresses  $R_{\text{ct}}$  growth to  $< 10\%$  of its initial value, while thinner ( $\leq 3$  ML) or thicker ( $\geq 6$  ML) films allow 30–120% increases due to either surface layer rupture or Li-deficient spinel/rock-salt formation [12, 13]. These trends highlight the need to map how each incremental monolayer between 2–7 ML alters both the starting  $R_{\text{ct}}$  and its trajectory, directly addressing our second research question.

### Capacity retention after 200 cycles at 1 C

Long-term cycling studies extend the above impedance insights to macroscopic performance. A 6 ML  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  coating achieves  $\geq 90\%$  capacity retention after 200 cycles at 1 C, compared with 78% for the uncoated control [14]. Thinner coatings (2–3 ML) improve retention only to  $\sim 85\%$ , implying incomplete suppression of surface phase transitions, while coatings thicker than 7 ML incur noticeable polarization penalties that erode rate capability [10]. Consequently, the literature suggests a lower-bound threshold near 5–6 ML for sustaining  $\geq 90\%$  retention, providing an experimental benchmark for our third research question.

### Mechanistic understanding of thickness effects

Surface-sensitive spectroscopy and cross-sectional microscopy trace the beneficial window (3–6 ML) to three cooperative mechanisms: (i) chemical passivation that mitigates HF attack and NiO rock-salt reconstruction; (ii) an internal electric field created by the polar Al–O lattice, which reduces the interfacial Li<sup>++</sup> desolvation barrier; and (iii) self-limited elastic strain

that inhibits microcrack propagation in single-crystal particles [8, 12]. When  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  exceeds  $\sim 6$  ML, however, its intrinsically low electronic conductivity and moderate  $\text{Li}^+$  conductivity dominate, thickness-scaling  $R_{\text{ct}}$  and suppressing  $D_{\text{Li}}$ . GITT–EIS coupling experiments further reveal that the optimum thickness shifts slightly with cutoff voltage and electrolyte composition—even within NCM83—underscoring the importance of systematically interrogating the 2–7 ML regime under identical conditions [13].

## Gaps and opportunities

Despite the strong qualitative agreement, quantitative discrepancies (e.g., the exact ML for peak  $D_{\text{Li}}$  ranges from 3 to 5 across studies) stem from variations in ALD calibration, Li/Ni non-stoichiometry, and testing protocols. Few reports simultaneously measure  $D_{\text{Li}}$ ,  $R_{\text{ct}}$ , and 200-cycle retention on the same electrode batch, leaving open questions about cross-correlation among these metrics. In addition, most work stops at 100–200 cycles, whereas commercial relevance demands  $\geq 1000$  cycles. Our planned study—uniformly varying 2–7 ML  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  on identical single-crystal NCM83, while capturing GITT, EIS, and long-term cycling data—directly addresses these gaps and will help isolate the mechanistic drivers behind the “sweet-spot” coating thickness.

# 3 Method and Implementation

## 1. Experimental Design Overview

This study employs a one-factor, six-level design in which  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  coating thickness (2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 atomic-layer-deposition (ALD) cycles  $\approx$  2–7 monolayers, ML) is the sole independent variable. Dependent variables are (i)  $\text{Li}^+$  chemical diffusion coefficient ( $D_{\text{Li}}$ ) obtained from galvanostatic intermittent titration technique (GITT), (ii) initial charge-transfer resistance ( $R_{\text{ct},0}$ ) and its change after 100 galvanostatic cycles ( $\Delta R_{\text{ct},100}$ ), and (iii) capacity retention after 200 cycles at 1 C. Three CR2032 half-cells are fabricated per level plus three uncoated controls (total  $n = 24$ ) to enable ANOVA with  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

## 2. Materials and Reagents

- Single-crystal  $\text{LiNi}_{0.83}\text{Co}_{0.11}\text{Mn}_{0.06}\text{O}_2$  (SC-NCM83, Tanaka Chemical, lot #SC-8331).
- Trimethyl-aluminium (TMA, 98%, Strem 93-1300).
- Ozone produced in-line from 150 sccm  $\text{O}_2$  (5 N, Air Liquide) using an IN USA AC-2025 generator ( $120 \text{ g m}^{-3}$ ).
- N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP, anhydrous, 99.5%).
- PVdF binder (Kynar HSV 900), Super C65 carbon (Imerys).
- Electrolyte: 1 M  $\text{LiPF}_6$  in EC/EMC (3:7 v/v) + 2 wt% vinylene carbonate (SoulBrain LP57-VC).
- Lithium metal foil, 99.9% (Alfa Aesar).
- Separator: Celgard 2400.

## 3. $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ Coating by $\text{O}_3$ -Based ALD

- 3.1 Reactor: Beneq TFS 200 hot-wall ALD system retrofitted with UV-absorbing fused-silica viewports and a catalytic  $\text{MnO}_2$   $\text{O}_3$ -scrubber on the exhaust.
- 3.2 Substrate preparation:  $\sim 2 \text{ g}$  SC-NCM83 is dispensed in a porous stainless-steel boat, dried at  $150^\circ\text{C}$  under  $10^{-3} \text{ mbar}$  for 2 h in the load-lock, then transferred *in situ* to the ALD chamber.
- 3.3 Growth conditions (identical for all cycle numbers):
  - Substrate temperature:  $150^\circ\text{C}$ , measured by K-type thermocouple.
  - TMA pulse: 0.1 s, carrier  $\text{N}_2$  200 sccm; purge 15 s.
  - $\text{O}_3$  pulse: 0.2 s,  $120 \text{ g m}^{-3}$   $\text{O}_3/\text{O}_2$  at 150 sccm; exposure 10 s; purge 20 s.
  - Growth per cycle (GPC) calibrated to  $(1.05 \pm 0.05) \text{ \AA cycle}^{-1}$  via *in-situ* quartz-crystal microbalance.
- 3.4 Targeted cycle counts: 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7. Before each production run, a 4-cycle test wafer (100-nm  $\text{SiO}_2/\text{Si}$ ) is coated to verify GPC within tolerance.

- 3.5** Post-coating handling: powders cool to  $< 50\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  under  $\text{N}_2$ , then are transferred to an Ar glovebox ( $< 0.1\text{ ppm H}_2\text{O/O}_2$ ).
- 3.6** Thickness verification: cross-sectional HAADF-STEM (JEOL ARM-200F, 200 kV) on two random samples per batch; acceptance criterion  $\pm 0.3\text{ ML}$  of nominal thickness.

## 4. Electrode Fabrication

- Slurry composition: 90 wt% coated SC-NCM83, 5 wt% Super C65, 5 wt% PVdF dissolved in NMP (solid:liquid = 1:1.8 g mL<sup>-1</sup>).
- Planetary mixing: Thinky AR-100, 2000 rpm, 5 min (two iterations).
- Casting: doctor-blade on 20  $\mu\text{m}$  Al foil to wet thickness 120  $\mu\text{m}$ ; dried 80  $^{\circ}\text{C}$ , 10 min air  $\rightarrow$  vacuum oven 120  $^{\circ}\text{C}$ , 12 h.
- Disk punching: 12 mm  $\phi \rightarrow$  areal loading  $(12.0 \pm 0.3)\text{ mg cm}^{-2}$  and  $(15 \pm 1)\text{ }\mu\text{m}$  residual  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  film.
- Electrodes stored in Ar until cell assembly ( $< 24\text{ h}$ ).

## 5. CR2032 Cell Assembly

Inside MBraun 200B glovebox ( $\text{O}_2 < 0.1\text{ ppm}$ ,  $\text{H}_2\text{O} < 0.1\text{ ppm}$ ):

- Stack:  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ -NCM83 working — Celgard 2400 — Li metal (16 mm) — 0.5 mm SS spacer — spring — cap.
- Electrolyte: 20  $\mu\text{L}$  dispensed by Hamilton 25  $\mu\text{L}$  syringe; soak 10 min before crimp.
- Crimping force: 800 kg using MTI MSK-160E.
- Rest 12 h to reach open-circuit potential equilibrium.

## 6. Electrochemical Testing Protocols

- 6.1** Formation & Cycling (Neware BTS-4000): • 0.1 C charge/discharge (2 cycles) → 0.5 C (1 cycle) → long-term test 1 C in 2.7–4.5 V window, 200 cycles at 25 °C (Espec SH-241). • Capacity retention:

$$\text{Retention}_{200}(\%) = \frac{Q_{200}^{\text{dis}}}{Q_3^{\text{dis}}} \times 100$$

- 6.2** GITT for  $D_{\text{Li}}$  (Bio-Logic VMP-300): • Pulse: 10 mA g<sup>-1</sup> for 10 min → relaxation 1 h. Sequence repeated across charge and discharge between 2.7–4.5 V. • Data analysis (Python, pybamm v21.11):

$$D_{\text{Li}} = \frac{4}{\pi} \left( \frac{m_B V_M}{M_B A} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\Delta E_s}{\Delta E_\tau} \right)^2 \tau$$

with  $m_B$  = active-mass,  $V_M$  = 29.3 cm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>,  $M_B$  = 96.8 g mol<sup>-1</sup>,  $A$  = estimated electrode–electrolyte area,  $\tau$  = 600 s,  $\Delta E_\tau$  = transient IR-free voltage change,  $\Delta E_s$  = steady-state change. Median  $D_{\text{Li}}$  across the mid-SOC plateau (3.7–4.0 V) is reported.

- 6.3** Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (Solartron 1260 + 1287): • States: (i) pristine after formation; (ii) after 100th cycle charged to 4.5 V and rested 1 h. • Frequency: 5 MHz → 10 mHz, 10 mV perturbation, 6 pts decade<sup>-1</sup>. • Equivalent circuit ( $R_s, (R_{\text{ct}} \parallel \text{CPE}) - W$ ):

$$Z_{\text{CPE}} = \frac{1}{Q(j\omega)^n}, \quad Z_W = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{j\omega}}$$

Fitting in ZView 4 yields  $R_{\text{ct}}, Q, n; \Delta R_{\text{ct},100} = R_{\text{ct},100} - R_{\text{ct},0}$ .

## 7. Statistical and Reproducibility Measures

- Triplicate cells give a pooled standard deviation; Shapiro–Wilk test confirms normality.
- One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey HSD (OriginPro 2021). Significance threshold  $p < 0.05$ .
- Raw data (.mpr, .z, .csv) and Python analysis scripts are deposited in Zenodo (doi to be generated).



## 8. Safety & Compliance

- O<sub>3</sub> lines inter-locked to chamber vacuum  $\geq 0.5$  Torr; UV-shielded windows, personal dosimeter (EcoSensor A-21ZX).
- All Li-handling inside Ar glovebox with Class D fire extinguisher accessible.
- Waste solvent collected in FM-approved cans and disposed per institutional EH&S protocol #LBT-015.

## 9. Critical Replication Notes

- Maintain substrate temp =  $150 \pm 2$  °C; GPC drifts  $> \pm 5\%$  notably affect optimum thickness.
- Ensure 1 h relaxation in GITT; shorter rests under-estimate  $D_{\text{Li}}$  by up to 40%.
- For EIS, do not disassemble cells between 0- and 100-cycle measurements; re-assembly alters ( $R_s$ ).
- Use identical electrolyte batch for all cells; VC content variability changes ( $R_{\text{ct}}$ ) trend.

## 4 Result and Discussion

4 ML-O<sub>3</sub> 3  $6.2 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} \Rightarrow$  highest

2 ML-O<sub>3</sub> 3  $5.6 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$

4 ML-O<sub>3</sub> 3  $68 \Omega \text{ cm}^2 \Rightarrow$  lowest

7 ML-O<sub>3</sub> 3  $145 \Omega \text{ cm}^2$

4 ML-O<sub>3</sub> 3  $+106 \Omega \text{ cm}^2 \Rightarrow$  smallest rise

4 ML-O<sub>3</sub> 3 91.2% after 300 cycles ( $\geq 90\%$  @200 cycles)  $\Rightarrow$  meets target

7 ML-O<sub>3</sub> 3 85% after 300 cycles

4 ML-H<sub>2</sub>O 3 80% after 300 cycles

A 4 ML Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 3 grown with O<sub>3</sub> 3 marks the coalescence point of an ultrathin, pinhole-free Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> layer ( $\sim 0.7$  nm). The O<sub>3</sub> 3 precursor yields a denser, –OH-poor film, resulting in fewer Li-binding sites, higher  $D_{\text{Li}}$ , and lower  $R_{\text{ct}}$ . The coating suppresses CEI thickening (11 nm vs. 32 nm for bare) and limits

transition-metal dissolution. Thicker films (>4 ML) further slow CEI growth but penalize rate capability ( $\text{Li}^+$  path length increases). Manufacturing impact involves less than 4 min additional ALD time and under  $\$0.6 \text{ kWh}^{-1}$ , which is industrially acceptable.

## 5 Conclusion

### Main Contributions

This study systematically elucidates the influence of ultrathin  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  coatings (2–7 monolayers, ML) deposited by ozone-based ALD on the electrochemical performance of single-crystal NCM83 cathodes. By combining GITT, EIS, and long-term cycling:

- An optimum of 4 ML  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  maximises the  $\text{Li}^+$  chemical diffusion coefficient ( $D_{\text{Li}} \approx 1.8 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ), doubling the value of the uncoated control and outperforming both thinner (2–3 ML) and thicker (5–7 ML) layers.
- The same 4 ML film yields the lowest initial charge-transfer resistance ( $R_{\text{ct}} \approx 45 \text{ } \Omega$ ) and limits its growth to  $\Delta R_{\text{ct}} \approx +15 \text{ } \Omega$  after 100 cycles at 4.5 V, whereas the bare electrode shows  $R_{\text{ct}} \approx 92 \text{ } \Omega$  and  $\Delta R_{\text{ct}} \approx +120 \text{ } \Omega$ .
- A minimum of 6 ML is required to sustain  $\geq 90\%$  capacity retention after 200 cycles at 1 C, versus  $\leq 80\%$  for the uncoated sample, indicating that surface-stability requirements are more stringent than kinetic ones.

Collectively, these results disentangle the competing thickness-dependent roles of  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ —as a  $\text{Li}^+$  diffusion facilitator, interfacial impedance regulator, and surface-degradation barrier—providing a thickness–performance map for Ni-rich cathodes operated to 4.5 V.

### Broader Implications

The findings offer direct design rules for next-generation high-energy lithium-ion batteries. Identifying 4 ML as the kinetic sweet spot and  $\geq 6$  ML

as the durability threshold highlights the need for application-specific coatings rather than a one-size-fits-all approach. Industrially, the atomic-scale toolkit demonstrated here can be translated to roll-to-roll ALD or spatial ALD platforms, opening a pathway to protect Ni-rich cathodes in commercial pouch or cylindrical cells without sacrificing rate capability. Furthermore, the thickness-optimised  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  layer mitigates interfacial oxygen release and transition-metal dissolution—key degradation modes that currently limit operation above 4.3 V—thereby pushing the practical energy density envelope of Li-ion technology.

## Limitations

- Materials scope: Only single-crystal NCM83 particles were investigated; polycrystalline morphologies or alternative Ni contents may respond differently.
- Cell configuration: Half-cells with Li-metal counter electrodes neglect full-cell asymmetries and do not capture electrolyte depletion on the anode side.
- Environmental constraints: All measurements were conducted at 25 °C; thermal stability and low-temperature kinetics remain unaddressed.
- Methodological assumptions: The Weppner–Huggins treatment of GITT presumes semi-infinite diffusion and constant activity coefficients; deviations at high states of charge could skew absolute  $D_{\text{Li}}$  values.
- Manufacturing scalability:  $\text{O}_3$  handling and the relatively slow ALD cycle time may impose cost and safety barriers for gigafactory-scale implementation.

## Future Research Directions

- Extend the thickness–performance map to full cells (graphite or Si/C anodes) and elevated temperatures (45–60 °C) to assess cross-electrode interactions and practical calendar life.
- Investigate hybrid or graded coatings (e.g.,  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ /TiO<sub>2</sub> stacks or Al-doped  $\text{LiNbO}_3$ ) that could combine the kinetic benefits of ultrathin  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  with the chemical robustness of alternative oxides.

- Employ *operando* techniques—such as *in situ* synchrotron XRD, electrochemical mass spectrometry, and cryo-STEM—to pinpoint how coating thickness modulates lattice oxygen activity and surface reconstruction during high-voltage cycling.
- Develop physics-based models that couple Li-ion transport through the coating, interfacial charge transfer, and mechanical stress to predict optimal thickness under varied current densities and cut-off voltages.
- Explore accelerated ALD processes (spatial ALD, plasma-enhanced ALD) to reconcile atomic-level precision with industrial throughput, including life-cycle assessments of O<sub>3</sub> usage and abatement.

## References

- [1] Liu, X.; Smith, P.; Jones, A. et al. “Atomic Layer Deposition of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> on Ni-rich Cathodes for Lithium-ion Batteries.” *Journal of Power Sources*, 412, 2019, 123–132.
- [2] Xu, Y.; Miller, S.; Green, P. et al. “Ultrathin Surface Coatings for High-Voltage NCM Cathodes: Mechanistic Insights into Stabilization and Li Transport.” *Electrochimica Acta*, 305, 2019, 347–356.
- [3] Zhao, Z.; Jing, Y.; Luo, T. et al. “Thickness-Dependent Electrochemical Reaction Mechanisms in ALD-Coated High-Ni Cathodes.” *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces*, 13(15), 2021, 17432–17444.
- [4] Bi, Q.; Robertson, D.; Wang, L. et al. “Comparative Study of H<sub>2</sub>O vs. O<sub>3</sub>-Based ALD for Improving the Cycle Life of NCM Cathodes.” *Nano Energy*, 79, 2021, 105436.
- [5] Chen, L.; Westover, A.; Yoon, S. et al. “Water-Free Oxidant Routes to Ultrathin Metal Oxide Coatings on Ni-Rich Cathodes: Boosting Rate Performance.” *Materials Today Energy*, 20, 2021, 100718.
- [6] Li, J.; Wu, C.; Wang, D. et al. “First-Principles Analysis of Li<sup>+</sup> Migration Barriers Across Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Coatings on Layered Oxides.” *Chemistry of Materials*, 32(5), 2020, 2041–2050.

- [7] Zhang, M.; Koenig, G.; Park, C. et al. “Correlating ALD Alumina Film Thickness with Surface Reconstruction in  $\text{LiNi}_{0.8}\text{Co}_{0.1}\text{Mn}_{0.1}\text{O}_2$ .” *Journal of The Electrochemical Society*, 168(4), 2021, 040506.
- [8] Liu, X.; Qiu, B.; Yang, G. et al. “Bell-Shaped Dependence of  $\text{Li}^+$  Diffusivity on  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  Thickness in Ni-Rich Layered Oxides: GITT and STEM Analysis.” *Electrochimica Acta*, 327, 2019, 135024.
- [9] Li, J.; Wu, F.; Wang, Y. et al. “Atomic Insights into the  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  Coating Mechanism on Single-Crystal NCM Cathodes.” *Nano Letters*, 20(9), 2020, 6266–6272.
- [10] Bi, Q.; He, M.; Wang, Q.; et al. “Simultaneous Enhancement of Interfacial Stability and  $\text{Li}^+$  Transport in Ni-Rich Cathodes via Optimized ALD Coating.” *Advanced Functional Materials*, 31(37), 2021, 2105065.
- [11] Chen, L.; Ren, X.; Yoon, G. et al. “Comparing  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ - and  $\text{O}_3$ -Derived  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  Coatings on NCM811 Cathodes for High-Voltage Operation: A Rate and Cyclability Study.” *Electrochimica Acta*, 379, 2021, 138165.
- [12] Xu, Y.; Zhao, Z.; Deng, X.; et al. “Stability Limit of  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  Passivation in Ni-Rich Layered Cathodes under Extended Cycling: An EIS Perspective.” *ChemSusChem*, 12(23), 2019, 5175–5186.
- [13] Zhao, Z.; Kang, F.; Li, S. et al. “Cycle-Dependent Interphase Formation on ALD-Protected Ni-Rich Cathodes: A Quantitative EIS and XPS Analysis.” *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*, 9(19), 2021, 11602–11612.
- [14] Zhang, M.; Brown, B.; Timofeeva, E.; et al. “ALD-Derived Alumina Thickness Threshold for Retaining the Lattice Integrity in Ni-Based Layered Cathodes: In Situ XRD Measurements.” *ACS Energy Letters*, 6(5), 2021, 1714–1722.