

A Measurement of the Extragalactic Background Light with NASA's New Horizons

Dennis Houlihan

*This work was submitted as part of a course requirement for completion of the BS degree in the Physics Program at RIT and, in its current form, does not appear in any publication external to RIT.**

(Dated: April 27, 2020)

The extragalactic background light (EBL) is the total light emitted from sources outside of the Milky Way Galaxy. An accurate measurement of the EBL can be used as a benchmark test where summed emission from discrete sources like galaxies are compared to the EBL intensity. Any discrepancies would imply the presence of new diffuse emission sources and lead to discoveries such as diffuse photons associated with dark matter annihilation. However, ground-based measurements have proven to be very difficult as foregrounds are much brighter than the signal of interest. For example, the zodiacal light is about 100 times brighter than the EBL. In this project, we will be using data from the Linear Etalon Imaging Spectral Array (LEISA) aboard the New Horizons spacecraft to measure the EBL at the near-infrared range. The New Horizons spacecraft is one of very few outer solar system probes with astronomical viewing capabilities. Its location provides a unique vantage point where local foregrounds are mitigated, enabling an exciting chance at measuring the EBL.

Introduction

The universe is home to a large host of diffuse astrophysical backgrounds that, if measured accurately, can yield important insights into the process of structure formation. One such background is the Cosmic Microwave Background¹ (CMB), which was emitted shortly after the Big Bang. It has shown to be a prime example of how fruitful diffuse background studies can be as it is a major source of information about the early universe.

The particular background of interest for this project is the extragalactic background light (EBL), which is the total emission of all light outside of our Milky Way Galaxy². It is believed that in the UV, optical, and near infrared spectral range, the EBL is dominated by redshifted starlight³. Just as the CMB provides information on the early universe, measuring the EBL could potentially provide new information on stellar and galactic processes as well as reveal other sources of emission⁴.

Prior ground-based measurement of the EBL has proven to be extremely difficult as there are numerous diffuse foregrounds that must be taken into account, as well as atmospheric extinction (absorption of light in the target field out of the beam), scattering, and airglow emission⁵. One way around these foregrounds is the use of instruments aboard New Horizons⁶, a NASA spacecraft sent to survey Pluto, its moons, and other objects in the outer solar system. In addition to their original purpose, New Horizons instruments can be used for astronomical measurements. This provides a rare and exciting opportunity to measure the EBL from an outer solar system vantage point that is free from the Earth's atmosphere and substantially decreases the affect of other diffuse foregrounds. For this project, we will be measuring the EBL in the near infrared spectral range with data from the New Horizons instrument known as the Linear Etalon Imaging Spectral Array (LEISA)⁶.

Measuring the EBL

The observed EBL is formed by two major energy sources: gravitational and nuclear⁷. The nuclear energy source consists of contributions from nucleosynthesis within stars, which is radiated predominantly in the UV-visual wavelength range and can be redshifted or absorbed and reradiated by dust into the near-IR⁷. The gravitational source consists of contributions from brown dwarfs, accreting black holes, and other gravitationally collapsing systems⁷. This energy is mainly seen in the mid-IR spectral range.

A good measurement of the EBL can be used as a valuable test, where integrated light from discrete sources such as galaxies can be compared¹. From this, constraints on models of galaxy formation and evolution², star formation, and metal and dust production⁷ can be determined. Other potential results include discoveries such as diffuse photons associated with dark matter annihilation, the signature of recombination from the epoch of reionization, and the presence of intra-halo light in the intragalactic medium⁴.

Local Foregrounds

Despite the potential benefits, direct measurements of the EBL are extremely difficult due to bright diffuse foregrounds including the integrated star light (ISL), zodiacal light (ZL), and the diffuse galactic light (DGL)⁴. While the New Horizons location alone will mitigate most of these foregrounds, we still must take them into account when making our measurements.

The ISL is the total sum of light emitted from stars within the Milky Way Galaxy¹⁰. It is easy to account for brighter stars, however, there are faint stars that are below the detection threshold of a given image that still contribute to this foreground. Taking advantage of star population models such as the TRILEGAL model¹ will

be needed to estimate the faint stars contribution.

The ZL is caused by solar light scattered by interplanetary dust particles within the plane of the ecliptic¹. This dust originates from many sources such as comets, asteroids, and Edgeworth-Kuiper Belt objects and spread out after they are ejected from their parent bodies¹. The ZL is concentrated within the plane of the ecliptic and is less appreciable outside of it¹⁰. Furthermore, interplanetary dust (IPD) in general is more prominent within the inner solar system, as measurements from Helios, Galileo, and Pioneers 8/9 show a steep decline in IPD density outside of 1AU⁴ and confinement to within 30° of the ecliptic plane. Fortunately for us, New Horizons will be so far from the sun ($R > 20\text{AU}$)⁸ that the ZL will be effectively zero for our purposes.

In a similar way to the IPD, dust along the plane of the Milky Way scatters light from stars within the galaxy. The resulting foreground is known as the DGL. As the ZL is brightest along the ecliptic, the DGL is brightest in the galactic plane and fainter at higher galactic latitudes⁸. It is important to note that it the DGL is due to dust in interstellar space, not interplanetary space. Therefore, outer-solar system location does not mitigate this light contribution and no where in the sky can we ignore this foreground.

LEISA

Launched on January 19, 2006, the New Horizons spacecraft was NASA's first mission to explore Pluto and its moons Charon, Nix, and Hydra⁶. It is currently in an extended mission focused on Kuiper Belt science at heliocentric distances of beyond 50AU⁴ and will continue on this mission until at least 2021.

While the main purpose of New Horizons and its instruments is to map the surface geology and composition of these objects⁶, its instruments can double as astronomical telescopes with which EBL measurements can be made. One of the core instruments aboard the New Horizons spacecraft is Ralph⁶, a visible/near-IR multi-spectral imager. It consists of a telescope that feeds two sets of focal planes that are intended to provide color, composition, and thermal maps for the surfaces of Pluto and its moons⁶. One of these focal planes is the Multi-Spectral Visible Imaging Camera (MVIC), while the other is LEISA⁹. LEISA is a wedged filter infra-red spectral imager that creates spectral maps in the 1.25–2.5 micron short wave infrared region, and the instrument of choice for this project.

Figure 1⁴ shows the sensitivities of three New Horizons instruments (MVIC, LORRI, and LEISA) as compared to current measurements of the optical and near-IR backgrounds. Compared to MVIC and LORRI, LEISA has a much higher wavelength range (1.25 - 1.5 μm), which helps in detecting fainter objects such as redshifted starlight in galaxies. LEISA also has a large field of view ($0.9^\circ \times 0.9^\circ$)⁹, which is good since the EBL




FIG. 1: Measurements of the EBL surface brightness λI_λ^{EBL} in the optical and near-IR. The filled data points represent direct photometric measurements while the open symbols represent the integrated galactic light. The shaded region indicates the HESS γ -ray constraints on the extragalactic background light. The red bar shows the wavelength range of LEISA and expected sensitivity for an integration time of $t_{int} = 1$ day. The actual sensitivity⁴ is $6 \times 10^4 \text{ nW m}^{-2} \text{ sr}^{-1}$.

LEISA Instrument Parameters

FOV:	$0.9^\circ \times 0.9^\circ$
Single Pixel FOV:	$60.83 \mu\text{rad} \times 60.83 \mu\text{rad}$
Pixel Size:	$40 \mu\text{m} \times 40 \mu\text{m}$ Pixels
Telescope Aperture:	75mm
Telescope Focal Length:	657.5mm
Spectral Resolution:	$\lambda/\Delta\lambda = 240$
Dark Current:	40 counts/second
Per-pixel Surface Brightness Sensitivity:	$6 \times 10^4 \text{ nW m}^{-2} \text{ sr}^{-1}$

TABLE I: Tabulated parameters for LEISA. All values were found in the Ralph instrument paper⁹. The per pixel surface brightness sensitivity is measured at the maximum integration time of 1 day.

is spread throughout the sky. Having a large field of view means measuring a larger area in the sky, which will help get a better sense of the nature of the EBL. LEISA's wavelength range is also important as measurements from 1-3 μm have been very challenging on Earth⁷ due to the bright foregrounds mentioned.

Although it is in a good vantage point, LEISA's parameters are not optimal for measuring the EBL. In Table I, LEISA's parameters are listed. For example, LEISA's small aperture (75mm) and spectral resolution ($R = 240$) means that it has a poor per-pixel sensitivity⁴. This in turn requires a significantly longer integration time (~ 1 day) as compared to ~ 1 hour for MVIC and LORRI⁴ to make a constraining measurement of the EBL. As a result, LEISA's measurements of the EBL will be both very interesting as well as very challenging.

Data Reduction

Once measurements are taken, we must decide which images to use and which to discard. Any defects such as streaking or blurry images will be discarded, as well as images that are taken at areas at which the foregrounds are especially bright. This is one of the most important steps as it determines how much data we will ultimately have to look at. In the first step of processing, we will subtract the median of the dark reference pixels and divide a master flat-field image from the accepted science images. The dark field accounts for instrument noise and bias variations over the array while the flat field accounts for image smearing and relative pixel response.

The next step would be to perform astrometric registration, which is the process of pairing each pixel with a pair of right ascension (RA) and declination (Dec) coordinates¹. This can be done with algorithms such as astrometry.net⁸, which detect bright sources, divides them into subsets, and matches them according to a pre-built index. The final alignment information is recorded and returned to the user in a fits header for each image.

Post-Reduction Analysis

To get a clear measurement of the EBL, we must rid the accepted science images of contamination from the foregrounds mentioned and instrument noise. Equation 1¹ shows the brightness in an arbitrary image of the astronomical sky outside of Earth's atmosphere:

$$\lambda I_{\lambda}^{\text{meas}} = \lambda I_{\lambda}^{\text{IPD}} + \lambda I_{\lambda}^{\star} + \lambda I_{\lambda}^{\text{RS}} + \lambda I_{\lambda}^{\text{DGL}} + \epsilon I_{\lambda}^{\text{EBL}} + \lambda I_{\lambda}^{\text{inst}} \quad (1)$$

where $\lambda I_{\lambda}^{\text{IPD}}$ is the brightness associated with the IPD, $\lambda I_{\lambda}^{\star}$ is brightness from resolved stars, $\lambda I_{\lambda}^{\text{RS}}$ refers to brightness from residual starlight of stars too faint to detect individually, $\lambda I_{\lambda}^{\text{DGL}}$ refers to the brightness from the DGL, ϵ is a factor that accounts for absorption in galactic dust, and $\lambda I_{\lambda}^{\text{inst}}$ refers to the instrument noise. We can isolate $\lambda I_{\lambda}^{\text{EBL}}$ in three steps⁸: remove the effect of $\lambda I_{\lambda}^{\star}$ by masking bright stars; subtract the diffuse components to isolate the diffuse residual component $\lambda I_{\lambda}^{\text{resid}} = \epsilon I_{\lambda}^{\text{EBL}}$; and correct the mean residual intensity for the effects of galactic extinction (determine value of ϵ) to yield $\lambda I_{\lambda}^{\text{EBL}}$.

Resolved and Residual Starlight

In order to measure the sky brightness, we must exclude the brightness from $\lambda I_{\lambda}^{\star}$ and $\lambda I_{\lambda}^{\text{RS}}$. One way of doing this is to implement image masks to remove signals from unwanted sources. Masking is the process of identifying and removing stars or other sources of light that are near or brighter than the detection threshold from an image⁸. We can identify stars using various catalogues that are publicly available. Successfully imple-

menting masks in our images ensures that we can effectively ignore bright contributions to the sky brightness from stars, hot pixels, and other defects in our images.

When it comes to $\lambda I_{\lambda}^{\text{RS}}$, excluding its contribution is not as easy since we cannot take out stars we cannot see. The first step is to determine the number density of stars in the images¹⁰. To do this, we will employ the TRI-LEGAL model⁸, which models the population of stars as a function of position, photometric system, and various parameters describing the Milky Way's disc, halo, and bulge. From this, we can estimate how much light the stars too faint to be catalogued contribute to our images.

Dark Current

Although the measured reference bias offset will be subtracted from the images as stated above, the dark current of the detector could still act as a potentially important isotropic component¹ of $\lambda I_{\lambda}^{\text{inst}}$. LEISA's dark current is ~ 40 counts/second⁹ and drops as the temperature of the focal plane drops. According to the manufacturer⁹, the dark current drops by about a factor of two for every five degrees drop in the focal plane temperature. Although the dark current is not very bright, it is significant enough that we will still subtract it from our images.

Interplanetary Dust

As mentioned before, dust particles within interplanetary space reflect sunlight and other sources, creating a diffuse sky brightness. The Student Dust Counter⁶ (SDC) aboard New Horizons has measured the flux of 0.5-5 μm dust grains from 5 to 30AU. Its findings suggested an order of magnitude drop of the flux from 1 to 5AU, and a flattening of the dust flux to 20AU⁸. A model has been generated⁸ that is consistent with these measurements and can be used to predict the IPD light intensity at LEISA's position. Using this we can predict the component of $\lambda I_{\lambda}^{\text{IPD}}$ and subtract it from our images.

Diffuse Galactic Light

The dust grains responsible for the DGL not only reflect light from stars in the galaxy, but can also be heated by the interstellar radiation field (ISRF) and release this energy thermally in the far-IR⁷. As a result, the DGL is correlated with 100 μm emission where photon scattering is simple⁸. To avoid thick dust, we will only pay attention to high galactic altitudes where the distribution is less dense. This allows us to take advantage of the linear relationship between thermal emission intensity and optical surface brightness⁸. In other words, we know the shape of the DGL in the far-IR and we know

the scaling from the far-IR to the near-IR. By multiplying these factors, we can find the DGL contribution in the wavelength range we are measuring (near-IR).

Extinction Correction

After accounting for the foregrounds in our science images, we retrieve the residual sky brightness $\lambda I_{\lambda}^{resid}$. The per-field measurements will be computed as the weighted mean of the individual exposures, where the weights are the inverse error on the mean in each exposure⁸. To get these field averages to a measurement of the EBL, we must correct for galactic extinction. First, we will compute the mean residual brightness and then apply a generated extinction correction to that quantity.

Using various models⁸, we will compute the mean of the extinction measurements weighted by the same uncertainty weights as in the mean intensity computation. This will yield an extinction correction that can be applied to the residual brightness which will then lead to a value for the EBL⁸.

Error Analysis

There are many sources of error, both in the image data itself and the data reduction process. The errors in the measurements can be grouped into two main categories⁸: systematics in the instrument and systematics in the foreground accountancy. The instrument errors will be computed from the variance of measurements from each image, and as a result combine a variety of sources of noise⁹ (detector, read out, photon, etc.) in an indistinguishable way. According to prior inspection⁸, the noise is dominated by bit noise from the analog to digital converter. This means that longer integration times could be beneficial in mitigating this noise.

For the data reduction, the only situation in which such an error could have a measurable impact is if the regions immediately surrounding the reference stars have

an inter-pixel response different from the bulk of the detector array⁸. To guard against this, we could use reference stars in random positions on the detector array.

Budget

There are no planned expenses for this project.

Timeline

Capstone I

- Learn about LEISA (3 weeks)
- Data quality assessment and preliminary cuts (6 weeks)
- Analysis pipeline validation and checks (4 weeks)
- Write Paper and Presentation (2 weeks)

Capstone II

- Finalize data cuts (1 week)
- EBL Analysis (4 weeks)
- Systematics and error assessment (6 weeks)
- Scientific Interpretation (2 weeks)
- Write paper and presentation (2 weeks)

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Dr. Michael Zemcov for being my advisor in this project and for helping me through this process. I would also like to thank Teresa Symons for guiding me through questions I had on the material as well. I would like to thank Dr. Mishkatul Bhattacharya for his help in the capstone preparation class. Lastly, I would like to thank all the students and faculty in the School of Physics and Astronomy at RIT for all of their support.

* Rochester Institute of Technology, School of Physics and Astronomy, Faculty Advisor: Dr. Michael Zemcov

¹ Zemcov, Michael, and Teresa Symons. *The Optical Background with New Horizons*. pp. 1–15.

² Cooray, A. (2016). Extragalactic background light measurements and applications. Royal Society Open Science, 3(3), 150555. doi: 10.1098/rsos.150555

³ Leinert, C. (1998). *The 1997 reference for diffuse sky brightness*. Astronomy and Astrophysics Supplementary Series, 1–99.

⁴ Zemcov, M., Arcavi, I., ... Werner, M. (2018). *Astrophysics with New Horizons: Making the Most of a Generational Opportunity*. Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific, 130(993), 115001.

⁵ Bernstein, R. A. (2007). *The Optical Extragalactic Background Light: Revisions and Further Comments*. The Astrophysical Journal, 666(2), 663–673. doi: 10.1086/519824

⁶ National Aeronautics and Space Agency.(2015). *New Horizons the First Mission to the Pluto System and Kuiper Belt*[Fact Sheet]. Retrieved from https://www.nasa.gov/sites/default/files/atoms/files/nh-fact-sheet-2015_1.pdf

⁷ Dwek, E., & Hauser, M. G. (1998). The COBE Diffuse Infrared Background Experiment Search for the Cosmic Infrared Background. IV. Cosmological Implications. The Astrophysical Journal, 106–122. doi: 10.1086/306381

⁸ Zemcov, M., Immel, P., Nguyen, C., Cooray, A., Lisse, C., & Poppe, A. (2016). *Measurement of the cosmic optical*

background using the long range reconnaissance imager on New Horizons. Nature Communications, 1–7.

- ⁹ Reuter, D., Stern, A., ... Scherrer, J. (2008). *Ralph: A Visible/Infrared Imager for the New Horizons Pluto/Kuiper Belt Mission.* Space Science Review, 129–154.

- ¹⁰ Matsumoto, T., Matsuura, S., Murakami, H., Tanaka,

M., Freund, M., Lim, M., ... Noda, M. (2005). Infrared Telescope in Space Observations of the Near-Infrared Extragalactic Background Light. The Astrophysical Journal, 626(1), 31–43. doi: 10.1086/429383