## **CSCM10** Project Specification Marking Scheme

	Clear Fail (<35%)	Fail (35-49%)	Pass (50-59%)	Merit (60-69%)	Distinction (70-85%)	High Distinction (>85%)
Survey of relevant material	Inadequate review of relevant material; No evaluation of previous work	Inadequate review of information with limited evaluation of material reviewed	Adequate review of information from readily accessible sources; Some evaluation of material reviewed	Information review includes material from a wide range of sources and has been evaluated in a sensible and coherent way	Comprehensive review of relevant material; Informed evaluation of previous work	Extensive independent research; Familiarity with all relevant material; Thorough evaluation of previous work
Theoretical Background	Virtually no evidence of understanding of relevant theoretical background	Inadequate grasp of relevant theoretical background	Adequate grasp of relevant theoretical background	Good understanding of theoretical background	Evidence that understanding of background has made a contribution to project design	In depth understanding of theoretical background and ability to apply it in a constructive fashion
Design, Planning, Risk Analysis	Project area not clearly defined; Scant analysis of problem / design; limited or missing risk analysis	Inadequate planning; Minimal evidence of thought being given to design decisions and project schedule; Minimal Risk Analysis	Sensible plan with some evidence of alternatives considered; Outline project schedule; Some thought given to Risk Analysis	Evidence of coherent planning strategy with design alternatives considered; Sensible project schedule with adequate risk analysis	Clear design and specification; Well thought out project schedule with timescale for deliverables; Risk Analysis carried out with evidence of mitigation strategies	Clear introduction / definition of project Detailed analysis / methodical presentation of project plan with timescale and deliverables specified; Comprehensive Risk Analysis and mitigation strategy
Documentation	Poorly organised report; Inadequate style / grammar; Poor referencing / tables / diagrams;	Inadequate presentation of work done with some expected material omitted; Barely reproduces existing literature;	Diligent presentation of work done with few flaws, but lacking in any critical appreciation of subject	Well organised report with high standard of grammar, referencing etc; A highly satisfactory piece of work, but with identifiable unfulfilled potential	Sensibly organised subdivided material; Flawless grammar; Relevant, clearly presented, valid material	Specification written to a professional standard; Independent writing exceeding expectations
Comments (good features and ways to improve)  Supervisors' comments						Overall Mark: