

How Quorum Sensing Interactions Affect Population Structure

02-712 Final Project


Sid Reed, Neel Mehtani, Sarah Wenger, Deepika Yeramosu,
Evan Trop

Computational Biology Department, Carnegie Mellon University

November 29, 2021

Background


Quorum-Sensing Systems



- Signal-Receptor molecule pairs that modulate gene expression

Figure 1: Waters and Bassler (2005)


Quorum-Sensing Systems



- ▶ Signal-Receptor molecule pairs that modulate gene expression
- ▶ Once threshold density is reached, enough signal is received to upregulate target genes

Figure 1: Waters and Bassler (2005)


Quorum-Sensing Systems



- ▶ Signal-Receptor molecule pairs that modulate gene expression
- ▶ Once threshold density is reached, enough signal is received to upregulate target genes
- ▶ Can lead to biofilms, antibiotic production etc.

Figure 1: Waters and Bassler (2005)

Public Goods and Cheating



- When quorum is reached, bacteria produce a “public good”

Figure 2: Eldar (2011)

Public Goods and Cheating




Figure 2: Eldar (2011)

- ▶ When quorum is reached, bacteria produce a “public good”
- ▶ Everyone benefits from this even if they don’t contribute

Public Goods and Cheating




Figure 2: Eldar (2011)

- ▶ When quorum is reached, bacteria produce a “public good”
- ▶ Everyone benefits from this even if they don’t contribute
- ▶ Must produce the receptor, signal molecule and good to contribute

Public Goods and Cheating




Figure 2: Eldar (2011)

- ▶ When quorum is reached, bacteria produce a “public good”
- ▶ Everyone benefits from this even if they don’t contribute
- ▶ Must produce the receptor, signal molecule and good to contribute
- ▶ Cheaters DO prosper (if you are a bacterium)

Who Cares?

- ▶ check the discussion from Eldar (2011) for references

Maintaining Freeloaders as a Diversity Reservoir

Who Cares?

- ▶ check the discussion from Eldar (2011) for references

Maintaining Freeloaders as a Diversity Reservoir

Kin Recognition for Strains

Who Cares?

- ▶ check the discussion from Eldar (2011) for references

Maintaining Freeloaders as a Diversity Reservoir

Kin Recognition for Strains

Designing Cheaters to Disrupt Pathogen Growth

Model

Signal-Receptor Activation Matrix K_{ac}

- Represents all receptors-signal pairs ($R_i S_i$) present in at least 1 OTU in the population

Signal-Receptor Activation Matrix K_{ac}

- ▶ Represents all receptors-signal pairs ($R_i S_i$) present in at least 1 OTU in the population
- ▶ Different sets of receptor-signal combinations can produce the same K_{ac}

Signal-Receptor Activation Matrix K_{ac}

- ▶ Represents all receptors-signal pairs $(R_i S_i)$ present in at least 1 OTU in the population
- ▶ Different sets of receptor-signal combinations can produce the same K_{ac}
- ▶ K_{ac} is of dimension $|R| \times |S| = |N| \times |N|$

Facultative Cheaters

Matrix for 2 strains R_1S_1 and R_2S_2

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Facultative Cheaters

Matrix for 2 strains R_1S_1 and R_2S_2

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Obligate Cheater

Matrix for 2 strains R_1S_1 and R_0S_0

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Facultative Cheaters

Matrix for 2 strains R_1S_1 and R_2S_2

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Obligate Cheater

Matrix for 2 strains R_1S_1 and R_0S_0

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Custom Matrix

Matrix for 2 strains $R_1R_2S_1$ and R_2S_2
or 3 strains R_1S_1 , R_2S_1 and R_2S_2

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Results

Bibliography I

Aggarwal, Surya D., Hasan Yesilkaya, Suzanne Dawid, and N. Luisa Hiller. 2020. "The Pneumococcal Social Network." *PLOS Pathogens* 16 (10). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1008931>.

Calle, M. Luz. 2019. "Statistical Analysis of Metagenomics Data." *Genomics & Informatics* 17 (1). <https://doi.org/10.5808/gi.2019.17.1.e6>.

Dimitriu, Tatiana, Frances Medaney, Elli Amanatidou, Jessica Forsyth, Richard J. Ellis, and Ben Raymond. 2019. "Negative Frequency Dependent Selection on Plasmid Carriage and Low Fitness Costs Maintain Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamases in *Escherichia Coli*." *Scientific Reports* 9 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-53575-7>.

Bibliography II

Eldar, A. 2011. "Social Conflict Drives the Evolutionary Divergence of Quorum Sensing." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 108 (33): 13635–40.

<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1102923108>.

Pérez-Escudero, Alfonso, and Jeff Gore. 2016. "Selection Favors Incompatible Signaling in Bacteria." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 113 (8): 1968–70.

<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1600174113>.

Bibliography III

Pollak, Shaul, Shira Omer-Bendori, Eran Even-Tov, Valeria Lipsman, Tasneem Bareia, Ishay Ben-Zion, and Avigdor Eldar. 2016.

“Facultative Cheating Supports the Coexistence of Diverse Quorum-Sensing Alleles.” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 113 (8): 2152–7.

<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1520615113>.

Waters, Christopher M, and Bonnie L. Bassler. 2005. “Quorum Sensing: Cell-to-Cell Communication in Bacteria.” *Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology* 21: 319–46.

<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.cellbio.21.012704.131001>.