Using Hadoop: Best Practices

Casey Stella

January 22, 2012

Table of Contents

Introduction

Designing a Map Reduce Algorithm

Performance

Testing

Debugging

Conclusion



► Hi, I'm Casey

- ► Hi, I'm Casey
 - ▶ I work at Explorys

- Hi, I'm Casey
 - ▶ I work at Explorys
 - ▶ I work with Hadoop and the Hadoop ecosystem daily

- ► Hi, I'm Casey
 - I work at Explorys
 - ▶ I work with Hadoop and the Hadoop ecosystem daily
 - ▶ I am a recovering Mathematician

- ▶ Hi, I'm Casey
 - I work at Explorys
 - I work with Hadoop and the Hadoop ecosystem daily
 - ▶ I am a recovering Mathematician
- Caveat emptor
 - Not all problems can be solved with Map Reduce
 - ► This is a batch processing system (i.e. not realtime)

- ► Hi, I'm Casey
 - I work at Explorys
 - I work with Hadoop and the Hadoop ecosystem daily
 - ▶ I am a recovering Mathematician
- Caveat emptor
 - Not all problems can be solved with Map Reduce
 - ► This is a batch processing system (i.e. not realtime)
- I'm going to talk about some of the best practices that I've seen

- Hi, I'm Casey
 - I work at Explorys
 - ▶ I work with Hadoop and the Hadoop ecosystem daily
 - ▶ I am a recovering Mathematician
- Caveat emptor
 - Not all problems can be solved with Map Reduce
 - ► This is a batch processing system (i.e. not realtime)
- I'm going to talk about some of the best practices that I've seen
 - Some of these are common knowledge

- Hi, I'm Casey
 - I work at Explorys
 - I work with Hadoop and the Hadoop ecosystem daily
 - ▶ I am a recovering Mathematician
- Caveat emptor
 - Not all problems can be solved with Map Reduce
 - ► This is a batch processing system (i.e. not realtime)
- I'm going to talk about some of the best practices that I've seen
 - Some of these are common knowledge
 - Some of these don't show up until you've been up 'til 3AM debugging a problem.

- Hi, I'm Casey
 - I work at Explorys
 - I work with Hadoop and the Hadoop ecosystem daily
 - ▶ I am a recovering Mathematician
- Caveat emptor
 - Not all problems can be solved with Map Reduce
 - ► This is a batch processing system (i.e. not realtime)
- I'm going to talk about some of the best practices that I've seen
 - Some of these are common knowledge
 - Some of these don't show up until you've been up 'til 3AM debugging a problem.
- These are my opinions and not necessarily the opinions of my employer.



▶ Setup and teardown costs, so keep the HDFS block size large

- Setup and teardown costs, so keep the HDFS block size large
- ▶ Mappers, Reducers and Combiners have memory constraints

- Setup and teardown costs, so keep the HDFS block size large
- ► Mappers, Reducers and Combiners have memory constraints
- Transmission costs dearly
 - ▶ Use Snappy, LZO, or (soon) LZ4 compression at every phase

- Setup and teardown costs, so keep the HDFS block size large
- Mappers, Reducers and Combiners have memory constraints
- Transmission costs dearly
 - ▶ Use Snappy, LZO, or (soon) LZ4 compression at every phase
 - Serialize your objects tightly (e.g. not using Java Serialization)

- Setup and teardown costs, so keep the HDFS block size large
- ▶ Mappers, Reducers and Combiners have memory constraints
- Transmission costs dearly
 - Use Snappy, LZO, or (soon) LZ4 compression at every phase
 - Serialize your objects tightly (e.g. not using Java Serialization)
 - Key/values emitted from the map phase had better be linear with a small constant..preferably below 1

- Setup and teardown costs, so keep the HDFS block size large
- Mappers, Reducers and Combiners have memory constraints
- Transmission costs dearly
 - ▶ Use Snappy, LZO, or (soon) LZ4 compression at every phase
 - Serialize your objects tightly (e.g. not using Java Serialization)
 - Key/values emitted from the map phase had better be linear with a small constant..preferably below 1
- Strategies
 - Intelligent use of the combiners

- Setup and teardown costs, so keep the HDFS block size large
- Mappers, Reducers and Combiners have memory constraints
- Transmission costs dearly
 - ▶ Use Snappy, LZO, or (soon) LZ4 compression at every phase
 - Serialize your objects tightly (e.g. not using Java Serialization)
 - Key/values emitted from the map phase had better be linear with a small constant..preferably below 1
- Strategies
 - Intelligent use of the combiners
 - Use Local Aggregation in the mapper to emit a more complex value.

- Setup and teardown costs, so keep the HDFS block size large
- Mappers, Reducers and Combiners have memory constraints
- Transmission costs dearly
 - ▶ Use Snappy, LZO, or (soon) LZ4 compression at every phase
 - Serialize your objects tightly (e.g. not using Java Serialization)
 - Key/values emitted from the map phase had better be linear with a small constant..preferably below 1
- Strategies
 - Intelligent use of the combiners
 - Use Local Aggregation in the mapper to emit a more complex value.
 - ► Ensure that all components of your keys are necessary in the sorting logic. If any are not, push them into the value.



First off, do it.

- First off, do it.
- Unit test individual mappers, reducers, combiners and partitioners
 - Actual unit tests. This will help debugging, I promise.
 - Design components so that dependencies can be injected via polymorphism when testing

- First off, do it.
- Unit test individual mappers, reducers, combiners and partitioners
 - Actual unit tests. This will help debugging, I promise.
 - Design components so that dependencies can be injected via polymorphism when testing
- Minimally verify that keys
 - Can be serialized and deserialized
 - hashcode() is sensible (Remember: the hashcode() for enum is not stable)
 - compareTo() is reflexive, symmetric and jives with equals()

- First off, do it.
- Unit test individual mappers, reducers, combiners and partitioners
 - Actual unit tests. This will help debugging, I promise.
 - Design components so that dependencies can be injected via polymorphism when testing
- Minimally verify that keys
 - Can be serialized and deserialized
 - hashcode() is sensible (Remember: the hashcode() for enum is not stable)
 - compareTo() is reflexive, symmetric and jives with equals()
- Integration test via single user mode hadoop if you like, but I think it's mostly pretty useless.



▶ The output of processing large amounts of data is often large

- ► The output of processing large amounts of data is often large
- Verify statistical properties via MR
 - ▶ If statistical tests fit within Map Reduce, then use MR

- ► The output of processing large amounts of data is often large
- Verify statistical properties via MR
 - ▶ If statistical tests fit within Map Reduce, then use MR
 - If not, then sample the dataset and verify with R, Python or whatever.

- The output of processing large amounts of data is often large
- Verify statistical properties via MR
 - If statistical tests fit within Map Reduce, then use MR
 - If not, then sample the dataset and verify with R, Python or whatever.
- Do outlier analysis and thresholding based QA

- The output of processing large amounts of data is often large
- Verify statistical properties via MR
 - If statistical tests fit within Map Reduce, then use MR
 - ▶ If not, then sample the dataset and verify with R, Python or whatever.
- Do outlier analysis and thresholding based QA
- Data analysis is hard and often requires specialized skills
 - ▶ Enter a new breed: the data scientist

- The output of processing large amounts of data is often large
- Verify statistical properties via MR
 - ▶ If statistical tests fit within Map Reduce, then use MR
 - If not, then sample the dataset and verify with R, Python or whatever.
- Do outlier analysis and thresholding based QA
- Data analysis is hard and often requires specialized skills
 - Enter a new breed: the data scientist
 - Stats + Computer Science + Domain knowledge

- The output of processing large amounts of data is often large
- Verify statistical properties via MR
 - ▶ If statistical tests fit within Map Reduce, then use MR
 - If not, then sample the dataset and verify with R, Python or whatever.
- Do outlier analysis and thresholding based QA
- Data analysis is hard and often requires specialized skills
 - Enter a new breed: the data scientist
 - Stats + Computer Science + Domain knowledge
 - Often not a software engineer



Better to catch it at the unit test level

- Better to catch it at the unit test level
- If you can't, I suggest the following technique
 - ▶ Investigatory map reduce job to find the data causing the issue.

- Better to catch it at the unit test level
- ▶ If you can't, I suggest the following technique
 - Investigatory map reduce job to find the data causing the issue.
 - Single point if you're lucky, if not then a random sample using reservoir sampling

- Better to catch it at the unit test level
- ▶ If you can't, I suggest the following technique
 - Investigatory map reduce job to find the data causing the issue.
 - Single point if you're lucky, if not then a random sample using reservoir sampling
 - Take the data and integrate it into a unit test.

- Better to catch it at the unit test level
- ▶ If you can't, I suggest the following technique
 - Investigatory map reduce job to find the data causing the issue.
 - Single point if you're lucky, if not then a random sample using reservoir sampling
 - Take the data and integrate it into a unit test.

DO NOT

Use print statements to debug unless you're sure of the scope.

- Better to catch it at the unit test level
- ▶ If you can't, I suggest the following technique
 - Investigatory map reduce job to find the data causing the issue.
 - Single point if you're lucky, if not then a random sample using reservoir sampling
 - Take the data and integrate it into a unit test.

DO NOT

- Use print statements to debug unless you're sure of the scope.
- Use counters where the group or name count grows more than a fixed amount.

- Better to catch it at the unit test level
- ▶ If you can't, I suggest the following technique
 - Investigatory map reduce job to find the data causing the issue.
 - Single point if you're lucky, if not then a random sample using reservoir sampling
 - Take the data and integrate it into a unit test.

DO NOT

- ▶ Use print statements to debug unless you're sure of the scope.
- Use counters where the group or name count grows more than a fixed amount.

DO

Use a single counter in the actual job if the job doesn't finish



- Better to catch it at the unit test level
- ▶ If you can't, I suggest the following technique
 - Investigatory map reduce job to find the data causing the issue.
 - Single point if you're lucky, if not then a random sample using reservoir sampling
 - Take the data and integrate it into a unit test.

DO NOT

- ▶ Use print statements to debug unless you're sure of the scope.
- Use counters where the group or name count grows more than a fixed amount.

DO

- Use a single counter in the actual job if the job doesn't finish
- Use a map reduce job that outputs suspect input data into HDFS



Sample Code

- ► I've created a simple Hadoop project in the NLP domain to illustrate some of these points
- Implements a Map Reduce algorithm that analyzes the senatorial speeches and generates the most statistically important words used, comparing across multiple political orientations
- It features
 - ▶ Full example of a Map Reduce algorithm that is not word count
 - Unit tests for the Keys and Values
 - ▶ Integration test that executes the full lifecycle
- ► Find it at https://github.com/cestella/CHUG-talk



Conclusion

- Thanks for your attention
- Follow me on twitter @casey_stella
- ▶ Find me at
 - ▶ http://caseystella.com
 - https://github.com/cestella