

## Important Values

$l_i$  number of data points in class i

$l = \sum_{i=1}^c l_i$  total amount of data points

$\mu_i = \frac{1}{l_i} \sum_{j=1}^{l_i} x_{ij}$  mean of class i

$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i=1}^c l_i * \mu_i$  mean data point

$\Delta L = [(\mu_1 - \hat{\mu}) | \dots | (\mu_c - \hat{\mu})]$  centralize the means

$L_i = [(x_{i1} - \mu_i) | \dots | (x_{il_i} - \mu_i)]$  centralize the data in class i

$L = [L_1 | \dots | L_c]$  **Note:**  $\text{rank}(L) = l - c$  &  $\text{rank}(\Delta L) = c - 1$

**Main Values:**

$S_B = \Delta L * \Delta L^T$  scatter (variance) between the classes

$S_W = L * L^T$  scatter (variance) in the classes

## Finding Projection Vectors

We need to solve  $\underset{u}{\operatorname{argmax}} \frac{u^T S_B u}{u^T S_W u}$  where  $u$  is the projection vector (**Note:**  $u$  is an unit vector). This is equivalent to solving  $\underset{u}{\operatorname{argmax}} u^T S_B u$  and  $\underset{u}{\operatorname{argmin}} u^T S_W u$ . Let assume, we solved  $u^T S_W u$  and it is equivalent to  $\kappa$  (**Note:** we don't know the  $u$ ).

Now, we can solve  $u^T S_B u$  with a given constraint  $u^T S_W u = \kappa$ .

**Using Lagrange Multiplier:**

$$\mathcal{L} = u^T S_B u + \lambda(u^T S_W u - \kappa)$$

$$\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{du} = 0 = S_B u + (u^T S_B)^T - \lambda(S_W u + (u^T S_W)^T)$$

$$\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{du} = 0 = 2S_B u - \lambda(2S_W u) \Rightarrow S_B u = \lambda S_W u, \text{ **Note:** } S_B \text{ \& } S_W \text{ are symmetric.}$$

**Note:** We will find the "optimal solution" because our objective function (the thing we are trying to optimize) and constraint are convex functions which means, we are doing [Convex Optimization](#).

Back to our first optimization problem, since we know  $S_B u = \lambda S_W u$ , we can re-write

it as  $\max \frac{\lambda u^T S_W u}{u^T S_W u}$ , since we assume  $u^T S_W u = \kappa$ , we can substitute it in and get  $\max \frac{\lambda \kappa}{\kappa} = \lambda$ . As we can see, the answer is independent from  $\kappa$  which means, we don't

need to find  $\kappa$  to solve the problem. We can see, vector  $u$  and  $\lambda$  are the eigen pairs of the  $S_W^{-1}S_B$ . But there is a problem,  $S_W$  is not full rank, so the inverse doesn't exist however, we can do some numerical tricks.

$S_W = U\Sigma V^T$ , the [SVD decomposition](#) of  $S_W$ . Using SVD, we can "find inverse" of  $S_W$ , we know that  $U$  and  $V^T$  inverse exist because they are [unitary matrixes](#) (special matrixes). To find the inverse of  $\Sigma$  we do, if  $\Sigma(i, i) = 0$  then  $\Sigma^\dagger(i, i) = 0$  else  $\Sigma^\dagger(i, i) = \frac{1}{\Sigma(i, i)}$ . **Note:**  $\Sigma$  is diagonal matrix (only the diagonal part contains non-zero values). The  $\dagger$  symbol means the [pseudo inverse](#) (estimating the inverse of a given matrix). Now, we can write pseudo inverse of  $S_W$ ,  $S_W^\dagger = V\Sigma^\dagger U^T$ .

Let we call  $W = S_W^\dagger S_B$ , the top "n" (value n is given by user) biggest eigen values corresponding eigen vectors will be the our projection vector. **Remember** we said  $\max \frac{u^T S_B u}{u^T S_W u} = \lambda$  and vector  $u$  and  $\lambda$  are the eigen pairs of the  $S_W^{-1}S_B$ . **Note:** We can only pick top  $c - 1$  eigen vector, because  $\text{rank}(S_B)$  is  $c - 1$  (bottleneck), because  $c - 1 < l - c$ ,  $l$  is much greater than  $c$ .