Enhanced Table Example with KITTI-360

Your Name

July 29, 2024

1 Table Example

Here is the updated table with nested headers for Cityscapes and KITTI-360:

Method					Citys	Cityscapes KITT		T-360	
Rand 2K	Rank 2K	Class filter	KD	Pixel ent.	Mean Acc	Mean IoU	Mean Acc	Mean IoU	Avg mloU
✓					70.90	61.36	70.35	50.37	55.87
	✓				71.50	61.79	70.80	50.61	56.20
	✓	√			70.94	61.95	70.71	50.51	56.23
	✓	√	✓		71.56	62.80	70.79	50.59	56.70
	√	√	√	√	73.50	63.52	71.89	51.58	57.55

Table 1: Dataset Filtering

Fine-tune on						Cityscapes KITT		`I-360		
SegHead	Bias	L4	Gradual	L1	Select layers	Mean Acc	Mean IoU	Mean Acc	Mean IoU	Avg mIoU
✓						72.38	63.60	71.17	50.87	57.23
✓	✓					75.47	69.05	69.52	47.30	58.17
✓		✓				72.70	63.67	71.46	51.10	57.38
✓			✓			73.44	63.76	71.79	50.27	57.02
√				√		72.85	63.89	71.71	51.38	57.64
√					√	73.37	64.48	71.92	51.60	58.04

Table 2: Ablation study: Fine-tuning

Citys	capes	KITT		
Mean Acc	Mean IoU	Mean Acc	Mean IoU	Avg mIoU
83.75	76.21	71.17	48.62	62.41

Table 3: Baseline

EMD	Citys	capes	KITT			
Ranking	Mean Acc	Mean IoU	Mean Acc	Mean IoU	Avg mIoU	
Rank 1	72.38	63.60	71.17	50.87	57.23	
Rank 2	75.47	69.05	69.52	47.30	58.17	
Rank 3	72.70	63.67	71.46	51.10	57.38	
Rank 4	73.44	63.76	71.79	50.27	57.02	

Table 4: Fine-tune with buckets